Supplemental Materials

Yuan Jiang and Chunming Zhang

We present the proofs for Theorems 3–4. Throughout the supplemental materials, $\|\cdot\|$ is used only for the L_2 norm, and $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denote the L_1 norm and the L_∞ norm respectively.

Proof of Theorem 3

Let $V_{ij}(\alpha) = q_1(Y_{ni}; X_{ij}\alpha)X_{ij}$. $\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{CR}$ are the solutions of the following equations

$$h_{n,j}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{ij}(\alpha) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, p_n.$$

With Condition 1(b), $h_{n,j}(\cdot)$ is an increasing function.

Part 1: $j \in \{1, ..., s_n\}$.

To prove $\widehat{w}_{\max}^{(I)} = O_P(1/\gamma_n^{(I)})$, it suffices to prove that there exists a small enough $\delta > 0$ such that

$$P\left(\min_{1 \le j \le s_n} |\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{\text{CR}}| \le \gamma_n^{(I)} \delta\right) = o(1). \tag{1}$$

Since $h_{n,j}(\cdot)$ is an increasing function and $h_{n,j}(\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{CR}) = 0$,

$$P(|\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{\text{CR}}| \le \gamma_n^{(\text{I})}\delta) \le P\{h_{n,j}(-\gamma_n^{(\text{I})}\delta) \le 0 \le h_{n,j}(\gamma_n^{(\text{I})}\delta)\}.$$
(2)

By Taylor's expansion,

$$V_{ij}(\pm \gamma_n^{(I)}\delta) = q_1(Y_{ni}; 0)X_{ij} + (\pm \gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)q_2(Y_{ni}; \pm \gamma_n^{(I)}\delta^*X_{ij})X_{ij}^2,$$

with $\delta^* \in (0, \delta)$. Let $\mu_0 = F^{-1}(0)$ and $C_0 = q''(\mu_0)/F'(\mu_0) \neq 0$, thus

$$E\{V_{1j}(\pm \gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\} = C_0 E(Y_n X_j) + (\pm \gamma_n^{(I)}\delta) E\{q_2(Y_n; \pm \gamma_n^{(I)}\delta^* X_j) X_j^2\}.$$

Because $|E(Y_nX_j)| \ge c\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{I})}$, and $\max_{1 \le j \le s_n} |E\{q_2(Y_n; \pm \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{I})}\delta^*X_j)X_j^2\}|$ is bounded, we can choose δ small enough, such that for $1 \le j \le s_n$,

$$|E\{V_{1j}(\pm \gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\}| \ge \frac{1}{2}|C_0||E(Y_nX_j)| \ge \frac{c}{2}|C_0|\gamma_n^{(I)}$$
 and $\operatorname{sign}[E\{V_{1j}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\}] = \operatorname{sign}[E\{V_{1j}(-\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\}].$

Assuming $E\{V_{1j}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\} < 0$ and $E\{V_{1j}(-\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\} < 0$ without loss of generality,

$$P\{h_{n,j}(-\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta) \leq 0 \leq h_{n,j}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\}$$

$$\leq P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n [V_{ij}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta) - E\{V_{ij}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\}] \geq -nE\{V_{1j}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\}\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n [V_{ij}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta) - E\{V_{ij}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\delta)\}] \geq \frac{c}{2}|C_0|n\gamma_n^{(I)}\right)$$

$$\leq 2\exp\left(\frac{-c^2C_0^2n^2\gamma_n^{(I)2}/4}{C_1n + C_2c|C_0|n\gamma_n^{(I)}/2}\right), \tag{3}$$

where the last inequality can be obtained similar to proving Lemma 1 (with possibly different C_1 and C_2). By (2), (3) and Bonferroni inequality, for a small enough $\delta > 0$,

$$P\left(\min_{1 \le j \le s_n} |\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{\text{CR}}| \le \gamma_n^{(I)} \delta\right) \le 2s_n \exp\left(\frac{-c^2 C_0^2 n^2 \gamma_n^{(I)2} / 4}{C_1 n + C_2 c |C_0| n \gamma_n^{(I)} / 2}\right) = o(1). \tag{4}$$

The equality in (4) follows from $\gamma_n^{(I)} = O(1), \sqrt{n}\gamma_n^{(I)} \to \infty$ and $\log(s_n) = o(n\gamma_n^{(I)2}).$

Part 2: $j \in \{s_n + 1, \dots, p_n\}$.

To prove $(\widehat{w}_{\min}^{(\mathrm{II})})^{-1} = o_P(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})})$, it suffices to prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P\left(\max_{s_n+1\leq j\leq p_n}|\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{\text{CR}}|\geq \gamma_n^{(\text{II})}\epsilon\right)=o(1). \tag{5}$$

As $h_{n,j}(\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{CR}) = 0$, it follows that

$$P\left(|\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{\text{CR}}| \ge \gamma_n^{(\text{II})}\epsilon\right) \le P\{h_{n,j}(\gamma_n^{(\text{II})}\epsilon) \le 0\} + P\{h_{n,j}(-\gamma_n^{(\text{II})}\epsilon) \ge 0\}.$$
 (6)

Similar to Part 1.

$$E\{V_{1j}(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}\epsilon)\} = C_0 E(Y_n X_j) + \epsilon \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})} E\{q_2(Y_n; \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}\epsilon^* X_j) X_j^2\},$$

with $\epsilon^* \in (0, \epsilon)$. Since $E(Y_n X_j) = o(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})})$ and $E\{q_2(Y_n; \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})} \epsilon^* X_j) X_j^2\} \ge \eta$,

$$E\{V_{1i}(\gamma_n^{(\text{II})}\epsilon)\} \ge \epsilon \eta \gamma_n^{(\text{II})}/2$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

Again by an application of Bernstein's inequality as in (3), for large n,

$$P\{h_{n,j}(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}\epsilon) \leq 0\} = P\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n [V_{ij}(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}\epsilon) - E\{V_{ij}(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}\epsilon)\}] \leq -E\{V_{1j}(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}\epsilon)\}\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n [V_{ij}(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}\epsilon) - E\{V_{ij}(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}\epsilon)\}] \leq -\epsilon\eta n\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}/2\right)$$

$$\leq 2\exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2\eta^2n^2\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})2}/4}{C_1n + C_2\epsilon\eta n\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}/2}\right). \tag{7}$$

Similarly,

$$P\{h_{n,j}(-\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}\epsilon) \ge 0\} \le 2\exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2\eta^2n^2\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})2}/4}{C_1n + C_2\epsilon\eta n\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})}/2}\right). \tag{8}$$

Thus by (6), (7), (8) and Bonferroni inequality,

$$P\left(\max_{s_n+1\leq j\leq p_n}|\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{\text{CR}}|\geq \gamma_n^{(\text{II})}\epsilon\right)\leq 4(p_n-s_n)\exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2\eta^2n^2\gamma_n^{(\text{II})2}/4}{C_1n+C_2\epsilon\eta n\gamma_n^{(\text{II})}/2}\right)=o(1).$$
 (9)

The equality in (9) follows from the conditions $\sqrt{n}\gamma_n^{(\text{II})} \to \infty$, $\log(p_n - s_n) = o(n\gamma_n^{(\text{II})})$ and $\log(p_n - s_n) = o(n\gamma_n^{(\text{II})2})$.

Proof of Theorem 4

Minimizing (4.3) is equivalent to minimizing the following criterion functions,

$$\ell_{n,j}^{PCR}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q(Y_{ni}, F^{-1}(X_{ij}\alpha)) + \kappa_n |\alpha|, \quad j = 1, \dots, p_n.$$

Part 1: $j \in \{1, ..., s_n\}$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3, we prove that for a small enough $\delta > 0$, there exist local minimizers $\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}$ of $\ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\alpha)$ such that

$$P\left(\min_{1 \le j \le s_n} |\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{PCR}| > \gamma_n^{(I)} \delta\right) \to 1.$$
 (10)

It suffices to prove that for a small enough $\delta > 0$ and some large enough $C_n > 0$, there exist some β_j with $|\beta_j| = 2\delta$ such that

$$P\left(\min_{1 \le j \le s_n} \left\{ \inf_{|\alpha| \le \delta} \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\alpha) - \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\beta_j) \right\} > 0 \right) \to 1, \tag{11}$$

and

$$P\left(\min_{1 \le j \le s_n} \left\{ \inf_{|\alpha| \ge C_n} \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(\text{I})}\alpha) - \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(\text{I})}\beta_j) \right\} > 0 \right) \to 1.$$
 (12)

(11) and (12) imply that with probability tending to one, there must exist local minimizers $\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}$ of $\ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\alpha)$ such that $\gamma_n^{(\mathbf{I})}\delta<|\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}|<\gamma_n^{(\mathbf{I})}C_n$ for $1\leq j\leq s_n$, and this implies (10). First, we prove (12). We notice that for every $n\geq 1$, when $|\alpha|\to\infty$,

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq s_n} \left\{ \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(\text{I})} \alpha) - \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(\text{I})} \beta_j) \right\} \geq \kappa_n \gamma_n^{(\text{I})} |\alpha| - \max_{1 \leq j \leq s_n} \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(\text{I})} \beta_j) \to \infty.$$

Thus (12) holds.

Second, we prove (11). By Taylor's expansion, for $1 \leq j \leq s_n$,

$$\ell_{n,j}^{PCR}(\gamma_n^{(I)}\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Q(Y_{ni}, F^{-1}(0)) + \frac{\gamma_n^{(I)}}{n} \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n q_1(Y_{ni}; 0) X_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma_n^{(I)2}}{n} \alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^n q_2(Y_{ni}; \gamma_n^{(I)}\alpha_j^* X_{ij}) X_{ij}^2 + \gamma_n^{(I)} \kappa_n |\alpha|,$$

with α_i^* between 0 and α . Thus, we have that

$$\begin{split} & \min_{1 \leq j \leq s_n} \left\{ \inf_{|\alpha| \leq \delta} \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(\mathbf{I})} \alpha) - \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(\mathbf{I})} \beta_j) \right\} \\ & \geq \min_{1 \leq j \leq s_n} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq \delta} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_n^{(\mathbf{I})}}{n} (\alpha - \beta_j) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{q}_1(Y_{ni}; 0) X_{ij} \right\} \\ & + \min_{1 \leq j \leq s_n} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma_n^{(\mathbf{I})2}}{n} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq \delta} \left\{ \alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{q}_2(Y_{ni}; \gamma_n^{(\mathbf{I})} \alpha_j^* X_{ij}) X_{ij}^2 - \beta_j^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{q}_2(Y_{ni}; \gamma_n^{(\mathbf{I})} \beta_j^* X_{ij}) X_{ij}^2 \right\} \right] \\ & + \min_{1 \leq j \leq s_n} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq \delta} \left\{ \gamma_n^{(\mathbf{I})} \kappa_n(|\alpha| - |\beta_j|) \right\} \\ & \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{split}$$

with α_j^* between 0 and α , and β_j^* between 0 and $\beta_{n,j}$. Let $\mu_0 = F^{-1}(0)$ and $C_0 = q''(\mu_0)/F'(\mu_0) \neq 0$, for I_1 ,

$$\begin{split} I_{1} & \geq \gamma_{n}^{(\mathrm{I})} \min_{1 \leq j \leq s_{n}} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq \delta} \{C_{0}(\alpha - \beta_{j}) E(Y_{n} X_{j})\} \\ & + \gamma_{n}^{(\mathrm{I})} \min_{1 \leq j \leq s_{n}} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq \delta} \left[C_{0}(\alpha - \beta_{j}) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{Y_{ni} X_{ij} - E(Y_{n} X_{j})\} \right] \\ & - \gamma_{n}^{(\mathrm{I})} \max_{1 \leq j \leq s_{n}} \sup_{|\alpha| \leq \delta} \left\{ C_{0} \mu_{0}(\alpha - \beta_{j}) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ij} \right\} \\ & \equiv I_{1,1} + I_{1,2} + I_{1,3}. \end{split}$$

We can see that

$$|I_{1,3}| \le \gamma_n^{(I)} |C_0 \mu_0| \max_{1 \le j \le s_n} \left\{ \sup_{|\alpha| \le \delta} \left(3\delta \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij} \right| \right) \right\}$$

= $O_P(\gamma_n^{(I)} \{ \log(s_n) / n \}^{1/2}) \delta$,

since $\max_{1 \leq j \leq s_n} |n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij}| = O_P(\{\log(s_n)/n\}^{1/2})$ from Bernstein's inequality (Lemma 2.2.9 in van der Vaart and Wellner, 1996). Again $|I_{1,2}| = O_P(\gamma_n^{(I)} \{\log(s_n)/n\}^{1/2})\delta$ by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3. Now we choose $\beta_j = -2\delta \operatorname{sign}\{C_0 E(Y_n X_j)\}$ satisfying $|\beta_j| = 2\delta$. Then

$$\begin{split} I_{1,1} &= \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{I})} \min_{1 \leq j \leq s_n} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq \delta} \left([\alpha + 2\delta \mathrm{sign}\{C_0 E(Y_n X_j)\}] C_0 E(Y_n X_j) \right) \\ &\geq \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{I})} \min_{1 \leq j \leq s_n} \{\delta |C_0 E(Y_n X_j)|\} \geq |C_0| c \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{I})} \mathcal{A}_n \delta. \end{split}$$

For I_2 and I_3 ,

$$|I_{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma_{n}^{(I)2}}{n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq s_{n}} \sup_{|\alpha| \leq \delta} \left\{ \alpha^{2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{2}(Y_{ni}; X_{ij}\gamma_{n}^{(I)}\alpha_{j}^{*}) X_{ij}^{2} \right| + \beta_{j}^{2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{2}(Y_{ni}; X_{ij}\gamma_{n}^{(I)}\beta_{j}^{*}) X_{ij}^{2} \right| \right\}$$

$$= O_{P}(\gamma_{n}^{(I)2}) \delta^{2},$$

and
$$|I_3| = O(\gamma_n^{(I)} \kappa_n) \delta$$
.

Under the conditions $\mathcal{A}_n = \gamma_n^{(I)}$, $\kappa_n = o(\gamma_n^{(I)})$ and $\log(s_n) = o(n\gamma_n^{(I)2})$, we can choose a small enough $\delta > 0$ such that with probability tending to one, $I_{1,1}$ dominates $I_{1,2}$, $I_{1,3}$, I_2 and I_3 . Thus (11) is proved.

Part 2: $j \in \{s_n + 1, \dots, p_n\}$.

We prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist local minimizers $\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{PCR}$ of $\ell_{n,j}^{PCR}(\alpha)$ such that

$$P\left(\max_{s_n+1 \le j \le p_n} |\widehat{\beta}_{n,j}^{PCR}| \le \gamma_n^{(II)} \epsilon\right) \to 1.$$
 (13)

It suffices to prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P\left(\min_{s_n+1 \le j \le p_n} \left\{ \inf_{|\alpha|=\epsilon} \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(\text{II})}\alpha) - \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(0) \right\} > 0 \right) \to 1.$$
 (14)

By Taylor's expansion,

$$\min_{s_n+1 \leq j \leq p_n} \left\{ \inf_{|\alpha|=\epsilon} \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(\gamma_n^{(\text{II})}\alpha) - \ell_{n,j}^{\text{PCR}}(0) \right\}$$

$$\geq \min_{s_n+1 \leq j \leq p_n} \inf_{|\alpha|=\epsilon} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_n^{(\text{II})}}{n} \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{q}_1(Y_{ni}; 0) X_{ij} \right\}$$

$$+ \min_{s_n+1 \leq j \leq p_n} \inf_{|\alpha|=\epsilon} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_n^{(\text{II})2}}{2n} \alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{q}_2(Y_{ni}; \gamma_n^{(\text{II})}\alpha_j^* X_{ij}) X_{ij}^2 \right\} + \inf_{|\alpha|=\epsilon} (\gamma_n^{(\text{II})} \kappa_n |\alpha|)$$

$$\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

with $\alpha_j^* \in (0, \alpha)$. For I_1 , it is seen that

$$\begin{split} |I_{1}| & \leq \max_{s_{n}+1 \leq j \leq p_{n}} \sup_{|\alpha| = \epsilon} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_{n}^{(\mathrm{II})}}{n} \middle| \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{0}(Y_{ni} - \mu_{0}) X_{ij} \middle| \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{\gamma_{n}^{(\mathrm{II})}}{n} |C_{0}| \epsilon \max_{s_{n}+1 \leq j \leq p_{n}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{ni} X_{ij} \middle| + \frac{\gamma_{n}^{(\mathrm{II})}}{n} |C_{0} \mu_{0}| \epsilon \max_{s_{n}+1 \leq j \leq p_{n}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ij} \middle|. \end{split}$$

Since $|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{ni} X_{ij}| \le |\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{Y_{ni} X_{ij} - E(Y_n X_j)\}| + |\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(Y_n X_j)|$ and similar to Part 1,

$$\max_{s_n+1 \le j \le p_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij} \right| = O_P(\{\log(p_n - s_n)/n\}^{1/2}),$$

$$\max_{s_n+1 \le j \le p_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{Y_{ni} X_{ij} - E(Y_n X_j)\} \right| = O_P(\{\log(p_n - s_n)/n\}^{1/2}),$$

we have that $|I_1| \leq O_P(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})} \{ \log(p_n - s_n)/n \}^{1/2}) \epsilon + o_P(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})} \mathcal{B}_n) \epsilon$.

The proof may be separated for case (1) and case (2) in Theorem 4 from here. Case (1): For I_2 , we have that

$$|I_2| \leq \max_{s_n+1 \leq j \leq p_n} \sup_{|\alpha|=\epsilon} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})2}}{2n} \alpha^2 \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{q}_2(Y_{ni}; \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})} \alpha_j^* X_{ij}) X_{ij}^2 \middle| \right\} = O_P(\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})2}) \epsilon^2.$$

Thus $I_3 = \gamma_n^{(\text{II})} \kappa_n \epsilon$ dominates I_1 and I_2 with probability tending to one, since $\log(p_n - s_n) = o(n\kappa_n^2)$, $\mathcal{B}_n = O(\kappa_n)$ and $\gamma_n^{(\text{II})} = o(\kappa_n)$. So (14) is proved. Case (2): I_2 is always positive with Condition 1(b). Moreover,

$$\begin{split} I_{2} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n}^{(\text{II})2} \min_{s_{n}+1 \leq j \leq p_{n}} \inf_{|\alpha|=\epsilon} \left[\alpha^{2} E\{q_{2}(Y_{n}; \gamma_{n}^{(\text{II})} \alpha_{j}^{*} X_{j}) X_{j}^{2}\} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n}^{(\text{II})2} \times \\ &\max_{s_{n}+1 \leq j \leq p_{n}} \sup_{|\alpha|=\epsilon} \left(\alpha^{2} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[q_{2}(Y_{ni}; \gamma_{n}^{(\text{II})} \alpha_{j}^{*} X_{ij}) X_{ij}^{2} - E\{q_{2}(Y_{n}; \gamma_{n}^{(\text{II})} \alpha_{j}^{*} X_{j}) X_{j}^{2}\} \right] \right| \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n}^{(\text{II})2} \epsilon^{2} \eta - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n}^{(\text{II})2} \epsilon^{2} O_{P}(\{\log(p_{n} - s_{n})/n\}^{1/2}). \end{split}$$

Thus, $I_3 = \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})} \kappa_n \epsilon$ and the term $\frac{1}{2} \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})2} \epsilon^2 \eta$ in I_2 dominate all other terms with probability tending to one, since $\log(p_n - s_n) = o\{\max(n\kappa_n^2, n\gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})2})\}$, $\mathcal{B}_n = O\{\max(\kappa_n, \gamma_n^{(\mathrm{II})})\}$ and $\log(p_n - s_n) = o(n)$. So (14) is also proved.