

# Geometric Langlands and non-abelian Hodge theory

R. Donagi and T. Pantev

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	85
2. A brief review of the geometric Langlands conjecture	89
3. Higgs bundles, the Hitchin system, and abelianization	94
3.1. Higgs bundles and the Hitchin map	94
3.2. Using abelianization	97
4. The classical limit	104
4.1. The classical limit conjecture	104
4.2. Duality of Hitchin systems	105
5. Non-abelian Hodge theory	107
5.1. Results from non-abelian Hodge theory	107
5.2. Using non-abelian Hodge theory	109
6. Parabolic Higgs sheaves on the moduli of bundles	111
6.1. Wobbly, shaky, and unstable bundles	111
6.2. On functoriality in non-abelian Hodge theory	113
References	113

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this survey is to explain some aspects of the geometric Langlands Conjecture and the main ideas relating it to non abelian Hodge theory. These developments are due to many mathematicians and physicists, but we emphasize a series of works by the authors, starting from the outline in [Don89], through the recent proof of the classical limit conjecture in [DP06], and leading to the works in progress [DP09], [DPS09b], and [DPS09a].

The Langlands program is the *non-abelian* extension of class field theory. The abelian case is well understood. Its geometric version, or geometric

class field theory, is essentially the theory of a curve  $C$  and its Jacobian  $J = J(C)$ . This abelian case of the Geometric Langlands Conjecture amounts to the well known result that any rank one local system (or: line bundle with flat connection) on the curve  $C$  extends uniquely to  $J$ , and this extension is natural with respect to the Abel-Jacobi map. The structure group of a rank one local system is of course just the abelian group  $\mathbb{C}^\times = GL_1(\mathbb{C})$ . The geometric Langlands conjecture is the attempt to extend this classical result from  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  to all complex reductive groups  $G$ . This goes as follows.

The Jacobian is replaced by the moduli  $\mathbf{Bun}$  of principal bundles  $V$  on  $C$  whose structure group is the Langlands dual group  ${}^L G$  of the original  $G$ . The analogues of the Abel-Jacobi maps are the *Hecke correspondences*  $\mathbf{Hecke} \subset \mathbf{Bun} \times \mathbf{Bun} \times C$ . These parametrize quadruples  $(V, V', x, \beta)$  where  $x$  is a point of  $C$ , while  $V, V'$  are bundles on  $C$ , with an isomorphism  $\beta : V|_{C-x} \rightarrow V'|_{C-x}$  away from the point  $x$  having prescribed order of blowing up at  $x$ . (In case  $G = \mathbb{C}^\times$  these become triples  $(L, L', x)$  where the line bundle  $L'$  is obtained from  $L$  by tensoring with some fixed power of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_C(x)$ . By fixing  $L$  and varying  $x$  we see that this is indeed essentially the Abel-Jacobi map.) For  $GL(n)$  and more complicated groups, there are many ways to specify the allowed order of growth of  $\beta$ , so there is a collection of Hecke correspondences, each inducing a Hecke operator on various categories of objects on  $\mathbf{Bun}$ . The resulting Hecke operators form a commutative algebra. The Geometric Langlands Conjecture says that an irreducible  $G$ -local system on  $C$  determines a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module (or a perverse sheaf) on  $\mathbf{Bun}$  which is a simultaneous eigensheaf for the action of the Hecke operators - this turns out to be the right generalization of naturality with respect to the Abel-Jacobi map. Fancier versions of the conjecture recast this as an equivalence of derived categories: of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $\mathbf{Bun}$  versus coherent sheaves on the moduli  $\mathbf{Loc}$  of local systems. Our discussion of the geometric Langlands conjecture occupies section 2 of this survey. There are many related conjectures and extensions, notably to *punctured* curves via parabolic bundles and local systems. Some of these make an appearance in section 6.

Great progress has been made towards understanding these conjectures [Dri80, Dri83, Dri87], [Lau87], [BD03], [Laf02], [FGKV98], [FGV01], [Gai01], [Lau03], including proofs of some versions of the conjecture for  $GL_2$  [Dri83] and later, using Lafforgue's spectacular work [Laf02], also for  $GL_n$  [FGV01, Gai01]. The conjecture is unknown for other groups, nor in the parabolic case. Even for  $GL(n)$  the non-abelian Hodge theory machinery promises a new concrete construction of the non-abelian Hecke eigensheaves. This construction is quite different from most of the previously known constructions except perhaps for the work of Bezrukavnikov-Braverman [BB07] over finite fields, which is very much in the spirit of the approach discussed in this survey.

The work surveyed here is based on an abelianization of the geometric Langlands conjecture in terms of Higgs bundles. A Higgs bundle is a pair  $(E, \theta)$  consisting of a vector bundle  $E$  on  $C$  with a  $\omega_C$ -valued endomorphism  $\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \omega_C$ , where  $\omega_C$  is the canonical bundle of  $C$ . More generally, a  $G$ -Higgs bundle is a pair  $(E, \theta)$  consisting of a principal  $G$ -bundle  $E$  with a section  $\theta$  of  $ad(E) \otimes \omega_C$ , where  $ad(E)$  is the adjoint vector bundle of  $E$ . Hitchin [Hit87b] studied the moduli  $\mathcal{Higgs}$  of such Higgs bundles (subject to an appropriate stability condition) and showed that it is an algebraically integrable system: it is algebraically symplectic, and it admits a natural map  $h : \mathcal{Higgs} \rightarrow B$  to a vector space  $B$  such that the fibers are Lagrangian subvarieties. In fact the fiber over a general point  $b \in B$  (in the complement of the *discriminant hypersurface*) is an abelian variety, obtained as Jacobian or Prym of an appropriate spectral cover  $\overline{C}_b$ . The description in terms of spectral covers is somewhat ad hoc, in that it depends on the choice of a representation of the group  $G$ . A uniform description is given in terms of generalized Pryms of *cameral covers*, cf. [Don93, Fal93, Don95, DG02]. The results we need about Higgs bundles and the Hitchin system are reviewed in section 3.1.

In old work [Don89], we defined abelianized Hecke correspondences on  $\mathcal{Higgs}$  and used the Hitchin system to construct eigensheaves for them. That construction is described in section 3.2. After some encouragement from Witten and concurrent with the appearance of [KW06], complete statements and proofs of these results finally appeared in [DP06]. This paper also built on results obtained previously, in the somewhat different context of large  $N$  duality, geometric transitions and integrable systems, in [DDP07a, DDP07b, DDD<sup>+</sup>06]. The case of the groups  $GL_n$ ,  $SL_n$  and  $PGL_n$  had appeared earlier in [HT03], in the context of hyperkahler mirror symmetry. The main result of [DP06] is formulated as a duality of the Hitchin system: There is a canonical isomorphism between the bases  $B, {}^L B$  of the Hitchin system for the group  $G$  and its Langlands dual  ${}^L G$ , taking the discriminant in one to the discriminant in the other. Away from the discriminants, the corresponding fibers are abelian varieties, and we exhibit a canonical duality between them. The old results about abelianized Hecke correspondences and their eigensheaves then follow immediately. These results are explained in section 4 of the present survey.

It is very tempting to try to understand the relationship of this abelianized result to the full geometric Langlands conjecture. The view of the geometric Langlands correspondence pursued in [BD03] is that it is a “quantum” theory. The emphasis in [BD03] is therefore on quantizing Hitchin’s system, which leads to the investigation of *opers*. One possibility, discussed in [DP06] and [Ari02, Ari08], is to view the full geometric Langlands conjecture as a quantum statement whose “classical limit” is the result in [DP06]. The idea then would be to try to prove the geometric Langlands conjecture by deforming both sides of the result of [DP06] to higher and

higher orders. Arinkin has carried out some deep work in this direction [Ari02, Ari05, Ari08].

But there is another path. In this survey we explore the tantalizing possibility that the abelianized version of the geometric Langlands conjecture is in fact equivalent, via recent breakthroughs in non-abelian Hodge theory, to the full original (non-abelian) geometric Langlands conjecture, not only to its 0-th order or “classical” approximation. Instead of viewing the solution constructed in [DP06] as a classical limit of the full solution, it is interpreted as the  $z = 0$  incarnation of a twistor-type object that also has a  $z = 1$  interpretation which is identified with the full solution.

Non abelian Hodge theory, as developed by Donaldson, Hitchin, Corlette, Simpson [Don87, Hit87a, Cor88, Sim92, Cor93, Sim97], and many others, establishes under appropriate assumptions the equivalence of local systems and Higgs bundles. A richer object (harmonic bundle or twistor structure) is introduced, which specializes to both local systems and Higgs bundles. This is closely related to Deligne’s notion of a  $z$ -connection: at  $z = 1$  we have ordinary connections (or local systems), while at  $z = 0$  we have Higgs bundles. Depending on the exact context, these specialization maps are shown to be diffeomorphisms or categorical equivalences. The projective (or compact Kähler) case and the one dimensional open case were settled by Simpson twenty years ago - but the open case in higher dimension had to await the breakthroughs by Biquard [Biq97], Jost-Yang-Zuo [JYZ07], Sabbah [Sab05], and especially Mochizuki [Moc06, Moc09, Moc07a, Moc07b]. This higher dimensional theory produces an equivalence of parabolic local systems and parabolic Higgs bundles. This is quite analogous to what is obtained in the compact case, except that the objects involved are required to satisfy three key conditions discovered by Mochizuki. In section 5.1 we review these exciting developments, and outline our proposal for using non-abelian Hodge theory to construct the automorphic sheaves required by the geometric Langlands conjecture. This approach is purely mathematical of course, but it is parallel to physical ideas that have emerged from the recent collaborations of Witten with Kapustin, Gukov and Frenkel [KW06, GW06, FW08], where the geometric Langlands conjecture was placed firmly in the context of quantum field theory.

Completion of these ideas depends on verification that Mochizuki’s conditions are satisfied in situations arising from the geometric Langlands conjecture. This requires a detailed analysis of instability loci in moduli spaces. Particularly important are the *wobbly* locus of non-very-stable bundles, and the *shaky* locus, roughly the Hitchin image of stable Higgs bundles with an unstable underlying bundle. In section 6.1 we announce some results about these loci for rank 2 bundles. These lead in some cases to an explicit construction (modulo solving the differential equations inherent in the non-abelian Hodge theory) of the Hecke eigensheaf demanded by the geometric Langlands correspondence.

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Edward Witten for encouragement to write up [DP06], Shing-Tung Yau for inviting us to write this survey, and Dima Arinkin and Dennis Gaitsgory for illuminating conversations. Some of the work surveyed here was completed while the second author was visiting the Aspen Center for Physics, and he wishes to thank the ACP for the excellent and stimulating working environment. The work of Ron Donagi was supported by the NSF grants DMS 0612992 and DMS 0908487. The work of Tony Pantev was supported by NSF grant DMS-0700446. Both authors were supported by NSF Research Training Group Grant DMS-0636606.

## 2. A brief review of the geometric Langlands conjecture

In a nutshell the Geometric Langlands Conjecture predicts the existence of a canonical equivalence of categories

$$(GLC) \quad \boxed{\mathfrak{c} : D_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{c}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D_{\text{coh}}({}^L\mathbf{Bun}, \mathcal{D})},$$

which is uniquely characterized by the property that  $\mathfrak{c}$  sends the structure sheaves of points  $\mathbb{V}$  in  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{c}$  to Hecke eigen  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$ :

$${}^L H^\mu(\mathfrak{c}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}})) = \mathfrak{c}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}}) \boxtimes \rho^\mu(\mathbb{V}).$$

The characters appearing in the geometric Langlands correspondence depend on certain background geometric data:

- a smooth compact Riemann surface  $C$ ;
- a pair of Langlands dual complex reductive groups  $G, {}^L G$ .

If we write  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$  for the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  ${}^L G$  and we fix maximal tori  $T \subset G$  and  ${}^L T \subset {}^L G$  with Cartan subalgebras by  $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  and  ${}^L\mathfrak{t} \subset {}^L\mathfrak{g}$ , then group theoretic Langlands duality can be summarized in the relation between character lattices

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{root}_{\mathfrak{g}} & \subset & \text{char}_G & \subset & \text{weight}_{\mathfrak{g}} & \subset & \mathfrak{t}^\vee \\ & & & & & & \\ \text{coroot}_{\mathfrak{g}} & \subset & \text{cochar}_G & \subset & \text{coweight}_{\mathfrak{g}} & \subset & \mathfrak{t} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{root}_{[{}^L\mathfrak{g}]} & \subset & \text{char}_{[{}^L G]} & \subset & \text{weight}_{[{}^L\mathfrak{g}]} & \subset & {}^L\mathfrak{t}^\vee \end{array}$$

Here  $\text{root}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \text{weight}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{t}^\vee$  are the root and weight lattice corresponding to the root system on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\text{char}_G = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  denotes the character lattice of  $G$ . Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coroot}_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \{x \in \mathfrak{t} \mid (\text{weight}_{\mathfrak{g}}, x) \subset \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \text{weight}_{\mathfrak{g}}^\vee \\ \text{coweight}_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \{x \in \mathfrak{t} \mid (\text{root}_{\mathfrak{g}}, x) \subset \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \text{root}_{\mathfrak{g}}^\vee \end{aligned}$$

are the coroot and coweight lattices of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and

$$\text{cochar}_G = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, T) = \text{Hom}(S^1, T_{\mathbb{R}}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{t} \mid (\text{char}_G, x) \subset \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \text{char}_G^\vee$$

is the cocharacter lattice of  $G$ .

With this data we can associate various moduli stacks:

**Bun**,  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$ : the moduli stacks of principal  $G$ ,  ${}^L G$  bundles  $V$  on  $C$ ,  
**Loc**,  ${}^L\mathbf{Loc}$ : the moduli stacks of  $G$ ,  ${}^L G$  local systems  $\mathbb{V} = (V, \nabla)$   
on  $C$ .

The more familiar moduli spaces of stable objects in these stacks are not quite right for (GLC): unstable bundles and local systems must be considered along with the stable ones. For semisimple groups, these stacks are the correct objects. In order to obtain the correct statement also for reductive groups, we need the rigidified versions **Bun**,  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$ , **Loc**,  ${}^L\mathbf{Loc}$ , in which the connected component of the generic stabilizer is “removed”. In the notation of [AOV08, Appendix A] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Bun} &= \mathbf{Bun} // Z_0(G), & \mathbf{Loc} &= \mathbf{Loc} // Z_0(G), \\ {}^L\mathbf{Bun} &= {}^L\mathbf{Bun} // Z_0(G), & {}^L\mathbf{Loc} &= {}^L\mathbf{Loc} // Z_0({}^L G), \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z_0(G)$ ,  $Z_0({}^L G)$  denote the connected components of  $Z(G)$ ,  $Z({}^L G)$ . A subgroup of  $Z(G)$  and  $Z({}^L G)$  will give a normal flat subgroup in the inertia of the moduli stacks and as explained in [AOV08, Appendix A] we can pass to a quotient by such subgroups to obtain rigidified stacks. The rigidified stacks are thus intermediate between the full stacks and the moduli spaces of their stable objects. The appearance of the rigidified moduli in the Geometric Langlands Conjecture is necessary (see Remark 2.4) to ensure the matching of components of the two categories involved in (GLC). For semi-simple groups this step is unnecessary (see Remark 2.1).

**REMARK 2.1.** To clarify the rigidification process it is useful to introduce the notions of a regularly stable bundle and a regularly simple local system. By definition these are objects whose automorphism group coincides with the generic group of automorphisms, namely the center  $Z(G)$ ,  $Z({}^L G)$  of the structure group  $G$ ,  ${}^L G$ .

It is instructive to note that the rigidified stacks often specialize to familiar geometric objects. For instance if  $G$ ,  ${}^L G$  are semi-simple groups, then we are rigidifying by the trivial subgroup and so **Bun** = **Bun**, **Loc** = **Loc**, etc. Note also that if the center of  $G$  is connected, then both **Bun** and **Loc** are generically varieties: in this case the open substacks  $\mathbf{Bun}^{rs} \subset \mathbf{Bun}$ ,  $\mathbf{Loc}^{rs} \subset \mathbf{Loc}$  parameterizing regularly stable bundles or regularly simple local systems coincide with the GIT-moduli spaces of regularly stable bundles and regularly simple local systems respectively.

To formulate the characteristic property of  $\mathfrak{c}$  we also need the Hecke correspondences  ${}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^\mu \subset {}^L\mathbf{Hecke}$  defined for all dominant cocharacter  $\mu \in \text{cochar}_{[{}^L G]}^+$  as follows:

- ${}^L\mathbf{Hecke}$ :** the moduli stack of quadruples  $(V, V', x, \beta)$ , where
- $V, V'$  are principal  ${}^L G$ -bundles on  $C$ ,
  - $x \in C$ ,
  - $\beta : V|_{C-\{x\}} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'|_{C-\{x\}}$ .

**${}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^\mu$ :** the closed substack of  ${}^L\mathbf{Hecke}$  of quadruples  $(V, V', x, \beta)$  such that if  $\lambda \in \text{char}_{[{}^L G]}^+$  is a dominant cocharacter and if  $\rho^\lambda$  is the irreducible representation of  ${}^L G$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ , then  $\beta$  induces an inclusion of locally free sheaves

$$\rho^\lambda(\beta) : \rho^\lambda(V) \hookrightarrow \rho^\lambda(V') \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle x).$$

These stacks are equipped with natural projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & {}^L\mathbf{Hecke} & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ {}^L\mathbf{Bun} & & {}^L\mathbf{Bun} \times C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & {}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^\mu & \\ p^\mu \swarrow & & \searrow q^\mu \\ {}^L\mathbf{Bun} & & {}^L\mathbf{Bun} \times C \end{array}$$

where  $p(V, V', x, \beta) := V$ ,  $q(V, V', x, \beta) := V'$ , and  $p^\mu$  and  $q^\mu$  are the restrictions of  $p$  and  $q$  to  ${}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^\mu$ . Moreover

- $p^\mu, q^\mu$  are proper representable morphisms which are locally trivial fibrations in the étale topology;
- ${}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^\mu$  is smooth if and only if  $\mu$  is a minuscule weight of  $G$ ;
- ${}^L\mathbf{Hecke}$  is an ind-stack and is the inductive limit of all  ${}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^{\mu'}$ 's;
- $p$  and  $q$  are formally smooth morphisms whose fibers are ind-schemes, the fibers of  $q$  are all isomorphic to the affine Grassmanian for  ${}^L G$ .

The Hecke functor  ${}^L H^\mu$  is defined as the integral transform

$$\begin{aligned} {}^L H^\mu : D_{\text{coh}}({}^L\mathbf{Bun}, \mathcal{D}) &\longrightarrow D_{\text{coh}}({}^L\mathbf{Bun}, \mathcal{D}) \\ \mathcal{M} &\longmapsto q_1^\mu \left( (p^\mu)^* \mathcal{M} \otimes {}^L I^\mu \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  ${}^L I^\mu$  is the Goresky-MacPherson middle perversity extension  $j_{!*}(\mathbb{C}[\dim {}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^\mu])$  of the trivial rank one local system on the smooth part  $j : ({}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^\mu)^{\text{smooth}} \hookrightarrow {}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^\mu$  of the Hecke stack.

REMARK 2.2. Similarly we can define Hecke operators  ${}^L H^{\mu, x}$  labeled by a cocharacter  $\mu \in \text{cochar}^+({}^L G)$  and a point  $x \in C$ . To construct these operators we can repeat the definition of the  ${}^L H^\mu$ 's but instead of  ${}^L I^\mu$  we need to use the intersection cohomology sheaf on the restricted Hecke correspondence

$${}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^{\mu, x} := {}^L\mathbf{Hecke}^\mu \times_{{}^L\mathbf{Bun} \times {}^L\mathbf{Bun} \times C} ({}^L\mathbf{Bun} \times {}^L\mathbf{Bun} \times \{x\}).$$

The operators  ${}^L H^{\mu,x}$  are known to generate a commutative algebra of endofunctors of  $D_{\text{coh}}({}^L \mathbf{Bun}, \mathcal{D})$  [BD03], [Gai01]. In particular it is natural to look for  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  ${}^L \mathbf{Bun}$  that are common eigen-modules of all the  ${}^L H^{\mu,x}$ .

A  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  on  ${}^L \mathbf{Bun}$  is a *Hecke eigen module* with eigenvalue  $\mathbb{V} \in \mathbf{Loc}$  if for every  $\mu \in \text{char}^+(G)$  we have

$${}^L H^\mu(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \rho^\mu(\mathbb{V}).$$

This setup explains all the ingredients in (GLC). According to the conjecture (GLC) the derived category of coherent  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules on  $\mathbf{Loc}$  is equivalent to the derived category of coherent  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  ${}^L \mathbf{Bun}$ . Moreover this equivalence transforms the skyscraper sheaves of points on  $\mathbf{Loc}$  into Hecke eigen  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  ${}^L \mathbf{Bun}$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3. Suppose  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  ${}^L G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathbf{Loc}$  can be identified with the stack of rank  $n$  vector bundles  $C$  equipped with an integrable connection. In this case the algebra of Hecke operators is generated by the operators  $H^i$  given by the special Hecke correspondences

$$\mathbf{Hecke}^i := \left\{ (V, V', x) \left| \begin{array}{l} V \text{ and } V' \text{ are locally free sheaves of rank } n \\ \text{such that } V \subset V' \subset V(x) \text{ and} \\ \text{length}(V'/V) = i. \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

The operators  $H^i$  correspond to the fundamental weights of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  which are all minuscule. In particular all  $\mathbf{Hecke}^i$ 's are smooth. The fibers of the projection  $q^i : \mathbf{Hecke}^i \rightarrow \mathbf{Bun} \times C$  are all isomorphic to the Grassmanian  $Gr(i, n)$  of  $i$ -dimensional subspaces in an  $n$ -dimensional space.

REMARK 2.4. The categories related by the conjectural geometric Langlands correspondence admit natural orthogonal decompositions. For instance note that the center of  $G$  is contained in the stabilizer of any point  $\mathbb{V}$  of  $\mathbf{Loc}$  and so  $\mathbf{Loc}$  is a  $Z(G)$ -gerbe over the full rigidification  $\mathbf{Loc} := \mathbf{Loc} // Z(G) = \mathbf{Loc} // \pi_0(Z(G))$  of  $\mathbf{Loc}$ . (In fact by the same token as in Remark 2.1, the stack  $\mathbf{Loc}$  is generically a variety.) Furthermore the stack  $\mathbf{Loc}$  is in general disconnected and

$$\pi_0(\mathbf{Loc}) = \pi_0(\mathbf{Loc}) = H^2(C, \pi_1(G)_{\text{tor}}) = \pi_1(G)_{\text{tor}}$$

where  $\pi_1(G)_{\text{tor}} \subset \pi_1(G)$  is the torsion part of the finitely generated abelian group  $\pi_1(G)$ . Thus we get an orthogonal decomposition

$$(1) \quad D_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{Loc}, \mathcal{O}) = \coprod_{(\gamma, \alpha) \in \pi_1(G)_{\text{tor}} \times Z(G)^\wedge} D_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{Loc}_\gamma, \mathcal{O}; \alpha),$$

where  $Z(G)^\wedge = \text{Hom}(Z(G), \mathbb{C}^\times)$  is the character group of the center and  $D_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{Loc}_\gamma, \mathcal{O}; \alpha)$  is the derived category of  $\alpha$ -twisted coherent  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules on the connected component  $\mathbf{Loc}_\gamma$ .



Similarly the group of connected components  $\pi_0(Z({}^L G))$  is contained in the stabilizer of any point of  ${}^L \mathbf{Bun}$  and so is a  $\pi_0(Z({}^L G))$ -gerbe over  ${}^L \underline{\mathbf{Bun}} := {}^L \mathbf{Bun} // \pi_0(Z({}^L G))$ . Also the stack  ${}^L \mathbf{Bun}$  can be disconnected and

$$\pi_0({}^L \mathbf{Bun}) = \pi_0({}^L \underline{\mathbf{Bun}}) = H^2(C, \pi_1({}^L G)) = \pi_1({}^L G).$$

Hence we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$(2) \quad D_{\text{coh}}({}^L \mathbf{Bun}, \mathcal{D}) = \coprod_{(\alpha, \gamma) \in \pi_1({}^L G) \times \pi_0(Z({}^L G))^\wedge} D_{\text{coh}}({}^L \underline{\mathbf{Bun}}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}; \gamma),$$

where  $D_{\text{coh}}({}^L \underline{\mathbf{Bun}}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}; \gamma)$  is the derived category of  $\gamma$ -twisted coherent  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on the connected component  ${}^L \underline{\mathbf{Bun}}_\alpha$ .

Finally, observe that the group theoretic Langlands duality gives natural identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1({}^L G) &= Z(G)^\wedge \\ Z_0({}^L G) &= (\pi_1(G)_{\text{free}})^\wedge \\ \pi_0(Z({}^L G)) &= (\pi_1(G)_{\text{tor}})^\wedge, \end{aligned}$$

where again  $\pi_1(G)_{\text{tor}} \subset \pi_1(G)$  is the torsion subgroup,  $\pi_1(G)_{\text{free}} = \pi_1(G) / \pi_1(G)_{\text{tor}}$  is the maximal free quotient, and  $Z({}^L G)$  is the center of  ${}^L G$ , and  $Z_0({}^L G)$  is its connected component.

In particular the two orthogonal decompositions (1) and (2) are labeled by the same set and one expects that the conjectural equivalence  $\mathfrak{c}$  from (GLC) identifies  $D_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{Loc}_\gamma, \mathcal{O}; -\alpha)$  with  $D_{\text{coh}}({}^L \underline{\mathbf{Bun}}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}; \gamma)$ . The minus sign on  $\alpha$  here is essential and necessary in order to get a duality transformation that belongs to  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . This behavior of twistings was analyzed and discussed in detail in [DP08].

EXAMPLE 2.5. Suppose  $G \cong GL_1(\mathbb{C}) \cong {}^L G$ . Then  $\mathbf{Bun} = \mathbf{Pic}(C)$  is the Picard variety of  $C$ . Here there is only one interesting Hecke operator

$$H^1 : D_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{Pic}(C), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}(C \times \mathbf{Pic}(C), \mathcal{D})$$

which is simply the pull-back  $H^1 := \mathbf{aj}^*$  via the classical Abel-Jacobi map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{aj} : C \times \mathbf{Pic}^d(C) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Pic}^{d+1}(C) \\ (x, L) &\longmapsto L(x). \end{aligned}$$

In this case the geometric Langlands correspondence  $\mathfrak{c}$  can be described explicitly. Let  $\mathbb{L} = (L, \nabla)$  be a rank one local system on  $C$ . Since  $\pi_1(\mathbf{Pic}^d(C))$  is the abelianization of  $\pi_1(C)$  and the monodromy representation of  $\mathbb{L}$  is abelian, it follows that we can view  $\mathbb{L}$  as a local system on each component

$\mathbf{Pic}^d(C)$  of  $\mathbf{Pic}(C)$ . With this setup we have

$$\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{L}) := \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{the unique translation invariant} \\ \text{rank one local system on } \mathbf{Pic}(C) \\ \text{whose restriction on each component} \\ \mathbf{Pic}^d(C) \text{ has the same monodromy} \\ \text{as } \mathbb{L} \end{array} \right).$$

The local system  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{L})$  can be constructed effectively from  $\mathbb{L}$  (see e.g. [Lau90]):

- Pullback the local system  $\mathbb{L}$  to the various factors of the  $d$ -th Cartesian power  $C^{\times d}$  of  $C$  and tensor these pullbacks to get rank one local system  $\mathbb{L}^{\boxtimes d}$  on  $C^{\times d}$ ;
- By construction  $\mathbb{L}^{\boxtimes d}$  is equipped with a canonical  $\mathbf{S}_d$ -equivariant structure compatible with the standard action of the symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_d$  on  $C^{\times d}$ . Pushing forward  $\mathbb{L}^{\boxtimes d}$  via  $g_d : C^{\times d} \rightarrow C^{(d)} = C^{\times d}/\mathbf{S}_d$  and passing to  $\mathbf{S}_d$  invariants we get a rank one local system  $(g_{d*}\mathbb{L}^{\boxtimes d})^{\mathbf{S}_d}$  on  $C^{(d)}$ ;
- For  $d > 2g-2$  the Abel-Jacobi map  $\mathbf{aj}^d : C^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}^d(C)$  is a projective bundle over  $\mathbf{Pic}^d(C)$  and so by pushing forward by  $\mathbf{aj}^d$  we get a rank one local system which we denote by  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathbf{Pic}^d(C)}$ . In other words

$$\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathbf{Pic}^d(C)} := \mathbf{aj}_*^d \left[ \left( g_{d*}\mathbb{L}^{\boxtimes d} \right)^{\mathbf{S}_d} \right].$$

- Translation  $(\bullet) \otimes \omega_C$  by the canonical line bundle transports the local systems  $\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathbf{Pic}^d(C)}$  to components  $\mathbf{Pic}^d(C)$  of  $\mathbf{Pic}(C)$  with  $d \leq 2g-2$ .

The rough idea of the project we pursue in [DP06, DP09, DPS09a, DPS09b] is that one should be able to reduce the case of a general group to the previous example by using Hitchin’s abelianization. We will try to make this idea more precise in the remainder of the paper. First we need to introduce the Hitchin integrable system which allows us to abelianize the moduli stack of Higgs bundles.

### 3. Higgs bundles, the Hitchin system, and abelianization

**3.1. Higgs bundles and the Hitchin map.** As in the previous section fixing the curve  $C$  and the groups  $G$ ,  ${}^L G$  allows us to define moduli stacks of Higgs bundles:

**Higgs**,  ${}^L$ **Higgs**: the moduli stacks of  $\omega_C$ -valued  $G$ ,  ${}^L G$  Higgs bundles  $(E, \varphi)$  on  $C$ . Here  $E$  is a principal  $G$ , or  ${}^L G$  bundle on  $C$  and  $\varphi \in H^0(C, \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes \omega_C)$ ,

and their rigidified versions **Higgs**,  ${}^L$ **Higgs** in which the connected component of the center of the generic stabilizer ( $= Z_0(G)$ ,  $Z_0({}^L G)$ ) is “removed”.

Hitchin discovered [Hit87b] that the moduli stack  $\mathcal{Higgs}$  has a natural symplectic structure and comes equipped with a complete system of commuting Hamiltonians. These are most conveniently organized in a remarkable map  $h : \mathcal{Higgs} \rightarrow B$  to a vector space  $B$ , known as the *Hitchin map*.

The target of this map, also known as the *Hitchin base*, is the cone

$$B := H^0(C, (\mathfrak{t} \otimes \omega_C)/W),$$

where as before  $\mathfrak{t}$  is our fixed Cartan algebra in  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , and  $W$  is the Weyl group of  $G$ . We will see momentarily that the cone  $B$  is actually a vector space.

To construct the Hitchin map  $h$  one considers the adjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For every principal  $G$ -bundle  $E$  the quotient map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/G$  induces a map between the total spaces of the associated fiber bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g} & \twoheadrightarrow & E \times_{\text{Ad}} (\mathfrak{g}/G) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{ad}(E) & & C \times (\mathfrak{g}/G) \end{array}$$

which induces a (polynomial) map between the fiber bundles

$$(3) \quad \text{ad}(E) \otimes \omega_C \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \omega_C)//G.$$

The map (3) combines with the canonical identification  $\mathfrak{g}/G = \mathfrak{t}/W$  given by Chevalley's restriction theorem [Hum72, Section 23.1] to yield a natural map of fiber bundles

$$\nu_E : \text{ad}(E) \otimes \omega_C \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t} \otimes \omega_C)/W.$$

This construction gives rise to the Hitchin map:

$$\begin{aligned} h : \quad \mathcal{Higgs} &\longrightarrow B := H^0(C, (\mathfrak{t} \otimes \omega_C)/W) \\ (E, \varphi) &\longrightarrow \text{“}\varphi \text{ mod } W\text{”} := \nu_E(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Slightly less canonically if  $r = \dim \mathfrak{t} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$  we can choose homogeneous  $G$ -invariant polynomials  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$  such that  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}]^W = \mathbb{C}[I_1, \dots, I_r]$ . With this choice we get an identification

$$B = H^0(C, (\mathfrak{t} \otimes \omega_C)/W) \cong \bigoplus_{s=1}^r H^0(C, \omega_C^{\otimes d_s}),$$

with  $d_s = \deg I_s$ , and we can rewrite the Hitchin map as

$$\begin{aligned} h : \quad \mathcal{Higgs} &\longrightarrow B = \bigoplus_{s=1}^r H^0(C, \omega_C^{\otimes d_s}) \\ (E, \varphi) &\longrightarrow (I_1(\varphi), \dots, I_r(\varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

The points of the Hitchin base admit a natural geometric interpretation as certain Galois covers of  $C$  with Galois group  $W$  called *cameral covers*. By definition the cameral cover associated with a point  $b \in B$  is the cover  $p_b : \tilde{C}_b \rightarrow C$  obtained as the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C}_b & \longrightarrow & \text{tot}(\mathfrak{t} \otimes \omega_C) \\ p_b \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \xrightarrow{b} & \text{tot}(\mathfrak{t} \otimes \omega_C)/W \end{array}$$

Repeating the same construction for the tautological section  $C \times B \rightarrow \text{tot}(\mathfrak{t} \otimes \omega_C)/W$  we also get the universal cameral cover

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} & \longrightarrow & \text{tot}(\mathfrak{t} \otimes \omega_C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C \times B & \longrightarrow & \text{tot}(\mathfrak{t} \otimes \omega_C)/W \end{array}$$

which by construction restricts to  $\tilde{C}_b$  on the slice  $C \times \{b\} \subset C \times B$ .

Deformation theory for principal bundles on  $C$  together with Serre duality gives a natural identification

$$\mathbf{Higgs} \cong T^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$$

of the stack of Higgs bundles with the cotangent stack  $T^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$  to the stack of bundles. This gives rise to the symplectic structure on  $\mathbf{Higgs}$ . The Hitchin map  $h : \mathbf{Higgs} \rightarrow B$  is a completely integrable system structure on  $\mathbf{Higgs}$ . Its generic fibers are abelian group stacks which are also Lagrangian for the natural symplectic structure. Concretely the fiber  $h^{-1}(b)$  is identified with an appropriately defined Prym stack for the cameral cover  $p_b : \tilde{C}_b \rightarrow C$ , i.e.  $h^{-1}(b)$  is a special  $W$ -isotypic piece for the  $W$ -action on the stack of (decorated) line bundles on  $\tilde{C}_b$ . The details of this picture were worked out in various situations in [Hit87b, Fal93, Don93, Don95, Sco98, DG02]. The most general result in this direction is [DG02, Theorem 4.4] according to which:

- the covering map  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow C \times B$  determines an abelian group scheme  $\mathcal{T}$  over  $C \times B$ ;
- if  $\Delta \subset B$  is the discriminant divisor parametrizing  $b \in B$  for which  $\tilde{p}_b : \tilde{C}_b \rightarrow C$  does not have simple Galois ramification, then the restriction

$$h : \mathbf{Higgs}|_{B-\Delta} \rightarrow B - \Delta$$

is a principal homogeneous stack over the commutative group stack  $\mathbf{Tors}_{\mathcal{T}}$  on  $B - \Delta$  parametrizing  $\mathcal{T}$ -torsors along  $C$ .

REMARK 3.1. For every  $\mu \in \text{char}(G)$  we can also consider the associated spectral cover  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\mu \rightarrow C \times B$ . It is the quotient of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  by the stabilizer of

$\mu$  in  $W$ . Very often, e.g. for classical groups and the fundamental weight [Hit87b, Don93] the fiber of the Hitchin map can also be described as a stack of (decorated) line bundles on the spectral cover. For instance if  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  and we use the highest weight of the  $n$ -dimensional fundamental representation of  $G$ , then the associated spectral cover  $\overline{C}_b \rightarrow C$  is of degree  $n$ , and the fiber of the Hitchin map  $h^{-1}(b)$  can be identified with the stack  $\mathcal{Pic}(\overline{C}_b)$  of all line bundles on  $\overline{C}_b$ .

**3.2. Using abelianization.** From the point of view of the Geometric Langlands Conjecture the main utility of the Hitchin map is that it allows us to relate the highly non-linear moduli  $\mathbf{Bun}$  to an object that is essentially “abelian”.

The basic idea is to combine the Hitchin map with the projection  ${}^L\mathcal{Higgs} \rightarrow {}^L\mathbf{Bun}$ ,  $(E, \varphi) \rightarrow E$ . More precisely we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & {}^L\mathcal{Higgs}|_{{}^L B - {}^L \Delta} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow h \\ {}^L\mathbf{Bun} & & {}^L B - {}^L \Delta \end{array}$$

in which the fibers of  $h : \mathcal{Higgs}|_{B-\Delta} \rightarrow B - \Delta$  are commutative group stacks and each fiber of  $h$  dominates  $\mathbf{Bun}$ .

We can use this diagram to reformulate questions about  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules or  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$  to questions about  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules or  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on fibers of  $h$ . This process is known as *abelianization* and has been applied successfully to answer many geometric questions about the moduli of bundles. The fact that each fiber of  $h : \mathcal{Higgs}|_{B-\Delta} \rightarrow B - \Delta$  is an isotypic component of the moduli of line bundles on the corresponding cameral cover, and the fact (see Example 2.5) that the Geometric Langlands Correspondence can be constructed explicitly for rank one local systems, suggests that abelianization can be used to give a construction of the functor  $\mathfrak{c}$  (GLC) in general.

A first attempt to reduce the GLC to its abelian case was in the unpublished [Don89]. The Hitchin system was used there to construct abelianized Hecke eigensheaves  $(M, \delta)$  on the moduli of Higgs bundles. We describe this below, along with one way to push these eigensheaves down to the moduli of bundles. A modern version of the abelianized Hecke eigensheaf construction appeared in [DP06]. Our current approach [DP09, DPS09b] essentially replaces the explicit pushforward (from  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  to  $\mathbf{Bun}$ ) with recent results from non abelian Hodge theory. We will outline this approach in the remainder of this survey.

There are various other ways in which one can employ abelianization to produce a candidate for the functor  $\mathfrak{c}$ . One possibility is to apply a version of the generalizations of the Fourier transform due to Laumon, Rothstein, and Polishchuk [Lau96, Rot96, PR01, Pol08] along the fibers of  $h$ . The most successful implementation of this approach to date is the recent work of

Frenkel-Teleman [FT09] who used the generalized Fourier transform to give a construction of the correspondence (GLC) for coherent sheaves on a formal neighborhood of the substack of opers (see [BD05, BD03]) inside  $\mathcal{L}oc$ . Another idea is to study the deformation quantization of a Fourier-Mukai transform along the fibers of  $h$ . This is the main component of Arinkin's approach [Ari02, Ari08] to the quasi-classical geometric Langlands correspondence. This approach was recently utilized by Bezrukavnikov and Braverman [BB07] who proved the geometric Langlands correspondence for curves over finite fields for  $G = {}^L G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ . Last but not least, in the recent work of Kapustin-Witten [KW06] the geometric Langlands correspondence  $\mathfrak{c}$  is interpreted physically in two different ways. On one hand it is argued that the existence of the conjectural map (GLC) is a mirror symmetry statement relating the  $A$  and  $B$ -type branes on the hyper-Kähler moduli spaces of Higgs bundles. On the other hand Kapustin and Witten use a gauge theory/string duality to show that the functor  $\mathfrak{c}$  can be thought of as an electric-magnetic duality between supersymmetric four-dimensional Gauge theories with structure groups  $G$  and  ${}^L G$  respectively. This suggests that  $\mathfrak{c}$  can be understood as a conjugation of the Fourier-Mukai transform along the Hitchin fibers with two non-abelian Hodge correspondences. Some non-trivial tests of this proposal were performed in [KW06] and in the work of Frenkel-Witten [FW08] who elaborated further on this conjectural construction.

In the rest of the section we construct the construction of [Don89]. This proposal shares many of the same ingredients as the other approaches and highlights the important issues that one has to overcome. It also has the advantage of being manifestly algebraic. In this approach one starts with a local system  $\mathbb{V}$  on  $C$  (taken to be  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  valued for simplicity) and uses it together with some geometry to construct a pair  $(M, \delta)$  where  $M$  is a bundle on  $\mathbf{Higgs}$ , and  $\delta : M \rightarrow M \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{Higgs}/B}^1$  is a meromorphic relative flat connection acting along the fibers of the Hitchin map  $h : \mathbf{Higgs} \rightarrow B$ . Furthermore by construction the bundle  $(M, \delta)$  is a Hecke eigen  $\mathcal{D}$ -module with eigenvalue  $(V, \nabla)$  with respect to an abelianized version

$${}_{\mathrm{ab}}H^i : D_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathbf{Higgs}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathbf{Higgs} \times C, \mathcal{O})$$

of the Hecke functors. These are defined again for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  as integral transforms with respect to the trivial local system on the abelianized Hecke correspondences

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbf{Hecke}^i & \\
 {}_{\mathrm{ab}}p^i \swarrow & & \searrow {}_{\mathrm{ab}}q^i \\
 \mathbf{Higgs} & & \mathbf{Higgs} \times C
 \end{array}$$

The correspondences  ${}_{\text{ab}}\mathbf{Hecke}^i$  are the ‘‘Higgs lifts’’ of the correspondences  $\mathbf{Hecke}^i$  from Example 2.3, that is

$${}_{\text{ab}}\mathbf{Hecke}^i = \left\{ ((V, \varphi), (V', \varphi'), \beta, x) \left| \begin{array}{l} (V, V', \beta, x) \in \mathbf{Hecke}^i \text{ and } \beta \text{ fits in a com-} \\ \text{mutative diagram} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\beta} & V' \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi' \\ V \otimes \omega_C & \xrightarrow{\beta \otimes \text{id}} & V' \otimes \omega_C \end{array} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

Here the maps  ${}_{\text{ab}}p^i, {}_{\text{ab}}q^i$  are induced from the maps  $p^i, q^i$  and so the fiber of  ${}_{\text{ab}}q^i$  over  $((V', \varphi'), x)$  is contained in the fiber of  $q^i$  over  $(V', x)$ , which as we saw before is isomorphic to  $Gr(i, n)$ . In fact from the definition we see that the fiber of  ${}_{\text{ab}}q^i$  over  $((V', \varphi'), x)$  consists of the  $i$ -dimensional subspaces in  $V'_x$  which are  $\varphi'$ -invariant. Thus if the point  $x$  is not a ramification point of the spectral cover of  $(V', \varphi')$  it follows that the fiber of  ${}_{\text{ab}}q^i$  consists of finitely many points in  $Gr(i, n)$ .

The construction of  $(M, \delta)$  occupies the remainder of this section. The approach depends on one global choice: we will fix a theta characteristic  $\zeta \in \mathbf{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ ,  $\zeta^{\otimes 2} = \omega_C$ . To simplify the discussion we will assume that  $G = {}^L G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . As we saw in the previous section the choice of the fundamental  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  gives rise to a universal  $n$ -sheeted spectral cover

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\mathcal{E}} \\ \pi \downarrow \\ C \times B \end{array}$$

Suppose now we have  $\mathbb{V} = (V, \nabla)$  - a rank  $n$  vector bundle with an integrable connection on  $C$ . The fiber over  $V \in \mathbf{Bun}$  of the projection  $\mathbf{Higgs} \cong T^\vee \mathbf{Bun} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bun}$  is just the fiber  $T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun} = H^0(C, \text{ad}(V) \otimes \omega_C)$  of the cotangent bundle to  $\mathbf{Bun}$ . Restricting the Hitchin map to  $T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$  and pulling back the universal spectral cover we get a cover

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{E}}_V & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{E}} \\ \pi_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ C \times T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun} & \xrightarrow{h_V} & C \times B \end{array}$$

Using the spectral correspondence [Hit87b, Don95, DG02] we can find a holomorphic line bundle  $L$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_V$  such that

- $\pi_{V*} L \cong p_C^*(V \otimes \zeta^{\otimes -(n-1)})$ ,
- $\nabla$  induces a (relative over  $T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$ ) holomorphic connection  $D$  on  $L$ .

Indeed, by definition the vector bundle  $p_C^*V$  on  $C \times T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$  comes equipped with a tautological Higgs field  $\varphi \in H^0(C \times T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}, p_C^*(\mathrm{ad}(V) \otimes \omega_C))$ , characterized uniquely by the property that for every  $\theta \in T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$  we have  $\varphi|_{C \times \{\theta\}} = \theta$ . The cover  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_V \rightarrow C \times T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$  is simply the spectral cover of  $(p_C^*V, \varphi)$  and hence comes equipped with a natural line bundle  $L'$ , such that  $\pi_{V*}L' = p_C^*V$ . Notice that for every  $\theta \in T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$  the restriction of the line bundle  $L'$  to the spectral curve  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{h_V(\theta)} = \overline{\mathcal{C}}_V|_{C \times \{\theta\}}$  has degree  $n(n-1)(g-1)$  and so does not admit a holomorphic connection. To correct this problem we can look instead at  $L'|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{h_V(\theta)}} \otimes \zeta^{\otimes -(n-1)}$  which has degree zero and so admits holomorphic connections. With this in mind we set

$$L := L' \otimes \pi_{V*}p_C^*\zeta^{\otimes -(n-1)}.$$

To see that  $\nabla$  induces a relative holomorphic connection  $D$  on  $L$  we will need the following fact.

Let  $\theta \in H^0(C, \mathrm{ad}(V) \otimes \omega_C)$  be a Higgs field and let

$$\left( p : \overline{C} \rightarrow C, N \in \mathbf{Pic}^{n(n-1)(g-1)}(\overline{C}) \right)$$

be the associated spectral data. Suppose that  $\overline{C}$  is smooth and that  $p : \overline{C} \rightarrow C$  has simple ramification. Let  $R \subset \overline{C}$  denote the ramification divisor. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of affine spaces

$$\tau : \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{holomorphic} \\ \text{connections} \\ \text{on } V \end{array} \right) \longrightarrow \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{meromorphic connections on } N \\ \text{with logarithmic poles along } R \\ \text{and residue } \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

Indeed, since  $(\overline{C}, N)$  is built out from  $(V, \theta)$  via the spectral construction we have that  $p_*N = V$ . Away from the ramification divisor  $N$  is both a subbundle in  $p^*V$  and a quotient bundle of  $p^*V$ . Furthermore if  $\nabla$  is a holomorphic connection on  $V$ , the pullback  $p^*\nabla$  is a holomorphic connection on  $p^*V$  and so on  $\overline{C} - R$  we get a holomorphic connection on  $N$  given by the composition

$$(4) \quad N \rightarrow p^*V \xrightarrow{p^*\nabla} p^*V \otimes \Omega_{\overline{C}}^1 \rightarrow N \otimes \Omega_{\overline{C}}^1.$$

On all of  $\overline{C}$  the composition (4) can be viewed as a meromorphic connection on  $N$  with pole along  $R$ . The order of the pole and the residue of this meromorphic connection can be computed locally near the ramification divisor  $R$ . The order of the pole is clearly  $\leq 1$ , since this is true for each step in (4). The residue is clearly locally determined, in particular it is the same at all the (simple) points of  $R$ . An appropriate version of the residue theorem then implies that this residue must be  $(-1/2)$ . Here is an explicit calculation for this.

Since  $p$  has simple ramification, in an appropriate local (formal or analytic) coordinate centered at a point  $r \in R$  the map  $p$  can be written



as  $z \mapsto z^2$ . The image of this local chart in  $C$  is, say an analytic disk  $\mathbb{D} \subset C$  centered at a branch point. Over  $\mathbb{D}$  the covering  $\overline{C} \rightarrow C$  splits into  $n - 1$  connected components:  $p^{-1}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D}_0 \amalg \mathbb{D}_1 \amalg \dots \amalg \mathbb{D}_{n-2}$  where  $p_0 := p|_{\mathbb{D}_0} : \mathbb{D}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is the two sheeted ramified cover given by  $p_0(z) = z^2$  and  $p_i := p|_{\mathbb{D}_i} : \mathbb{D}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  are one sheeted components for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 2$ . Over  $\mathbb{D}$  the bundle  $V$  will then split into a direct sum of a rank two piece  $V_0$  and a rank  $n - 2$  piece  $W$ . For the calculation of the polar part of the connection  $D$  near this point only the rank two piece  $V_0$  of the bundle is relevant since upon restriction to  $\mathbb{D}_0$  the natural adjunction morphisms  $p^*V \rightarrow N$  and  $N \rightarrow p^!V = p^*V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}}(R)$  factor through  $p_0^*V_0 \rightarrow N$  and  $N \rightarrow p_0^*V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0}(r)$  respectively.

Thus we focus on the covering of disks  $p_0 : \mathbb{D}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ ,  $p_0(z) = z^2$ , where  $z, w$  are the coordinates on  $\mathbb{D}_0$  and  $\mathbb{D}$  respectively. we denote the covering involution of this map by  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\sigma : \mathbb{D}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_0$ ,  $\sigma(z) = -z$ .

Without a loss of generality we may assume that  $N|_{\mathbb{D}_0}$  has been trivialized. This induces a trivialization of  $V_0 = p_{0*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0}$ : the frame of this trivialization consists of  $\mathbf{e}_+, \mathbf{e}_- \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}, V_0)$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_+$  is a frame for the subsheaf of  $\sigma$ -invariant sections of  $V_0$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_-$  is a frame for the subsheaf of  $\sigma$ -anti-invariant sections of  $V$ . Concretely, if we use the canonical identification  $\Gamma(\mathbb{D}, V_0) = \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0, \mathcal{O})$ , the section  $\mathbf{e}_+$  corresponds to  $1 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0, \mathcal{O})$  and the section  $\mathbf{e}_-$  corresponds to  $z \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_0, \mathcal{O})$ . Since  $\nabla : V_0 \rightarrow V_0 \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{D}}^1$  is a holomorphic connection on  $V_0$ , we will have that in the trivialization given by the frame  $(\mathbf{e}_+, \mathbf{e}_-)$  it is given by

$$\nabla = d + A(w)dw, \quad A(w) \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{O})).$$

But the change of frame  $(\mathbf{e}_+, \mathbf{e}_-) \rightarrow (\mathbf{e}_+, \mathbf{e}_-) \exp(-\int A(w)dw)$ , transforms the connection  $d + A(w)dw$  into the trivial connection  $d$  and since holomorphic changes of frame do not affect the polar behavior it suffices to check that the connection  $\tau(\nabla)$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0}$  induced from  $d$  by (4) has a logarithmic pole at  $z = 0$  with residue  $(-1/2)$ .

By (4) we have that the meromorphic connection  $\tau(\nabla)$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0}$  is defined as the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times} \longrightarrow (p_0^*V_0)|_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times} \xrightarrow{p_0^*\nabla} (p_0^*V_0)|_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times}^1$$

where the first and third maps are induced from the adjunction maps  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times} \rightarrow p^!p_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times} = p^*p_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times} = p^*V_0$  and  $p^*V_0 = p^*p_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0^\times}$ .

To compute  $\tau(\nabla)$  choose a small subdisk  $U \subset \mathbb{D}_0$ , s.t.  $0 \notin U$ . Then  $p_0^{-1}(p_0(U)) = U \amalg \sigma(U)$ , and the restriction of  $p_0$  to  $U$  and  $\sigma(U)$  induces an identification

$$(5) \quad \Gamma(U, p^*V) \cong \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}) \oplus \Gamma(\sigma(U), \mathcal{O})$$

so that adjunction morphisms  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, p^*V_0)$  and  $\Gamma(U, p^*V_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$  become simply the inclusion  $\mathbf{i}$  and projection  $\mathbf{p}$  for this direct sum decomposition.

Now the connection  $p_0^*$  on the bundle  $p_0^*V_0$  has a flat frame  $(p_0^*\mathbf{e}_+, p_0^*\mathbf{e}_-)$ . In terms of the decomposition (5) we have

$$p_0^*\mathbf{e}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad p_0^*\mathbf{e}_- = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -z \end{pmatrix}$$

Now if  $f(z) \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O})$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\nabla)(f) &= \mathbf{p} \circ p_0^*\nabla \circ \mathbf{i}(f) = \mathbf{p} \circ p_0^*\nabla \begin{pmatrix} f(z) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{p} \circ p_0^*\nabla \left[ \frac{f(z)}{2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{f(z)}{2z} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -z \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{p} \left[ \frac{f'(z)}{2} dz \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \left( \frac{f'(z)}{2z} - \frac{f(z)}{2z^2} \right) dz \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -z \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{p} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} f'(z) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{f(z)}{z} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{f(z)}{z} \end{pmatrix} dz \right] \\ &= f'(z) dz - \frac{1}{2} f(z) \frac{dz}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\text{Res}_{z=0}(\tau(\nabla)) = -1/2$  as claimed.

Next suppose we are given a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on a variety  $X$  and a trivalizing open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  for  $\mathcal{L}$  with local frames  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{L})$ . Let  $g_{\alpha\beta} \in \Gamma(U_{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{O}^\times)$  be the transition functions for these frames:  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{e}_\beta$ . In particular any section of  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by a collection  $\{s_\alpha\}$  of locally defined holomorphic functions  $s_\alpha \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O})$  satisfying  $s_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta} s_\beta$ , and a connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^1$  is given by connection one forms  $a_\alpha \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, \Omega_X^1)$  satisfying  $a_\alpha - a_\beta = d \log g_{\alpha\beta}$ . If  $\varrho \in \mathbb{Q}$  is a fixed rational number and if  $\mathfrak{s} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \varrho})$  is a global section in some rational power of  $\mathcal{L}$ , then we can choose a trivalizing cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  for  $\mathcal{L}$  which is also a trivalizing cover for  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes \varrho}$  and such that the transition functions for  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes \varrho}$  in appropriately chosen local frames are all branches  $g_{\alpha\beta}^\varrho$  of the  $\varrho$ -th powers of the transition functions  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  for  $\mathcal{L}$  and the section  $\mathfrak{s}$  is represented by a collection  $\{\mathfrak{s}_\alpha\}$  of locally defined holomorphic functions satisfying  $\mathfrak{s}_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta}^\varrho \mathfrak{s}_\beta$ . Taking  $d \log$  of both sides of this last identity we get that

$$\left( -\frac{1}{\varrho} d \log \mathfrak{s}_\alpha \right) - \left( -\frac{1}{\varrho} d \log \mathfrak{s}_\beta \right) = d \log g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

In other words the collection  $\{d - (1/\varrho)d \log \mathfrak{s}_\alpha\}$  gives a meromorphic connection on  $\mathcal{L}$  with pole along the divisor  $\mathfrak{s} = 0$ . Furthermore if the divisor of  $\mathfrak{s}$  is smooth this connection has residue  $-1/\varrho$ .

Now consider the line bundle  $N \otimes p^*\zeta^{\otimes -(n-1)}$  on  $\overline{C} = \overline{C}_{h_V(\theta)}$ . As explained above the connection  $\nabla$  on  $V$  induces a meromorphic connection  $\tau(\nabla)$  on  $N$  with a logarithmic pole along  $R$  and residue  $(-1/2)$ . On

the other hand we have  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}}(R) = p^*\zeta^{\otimes 2(n-1)}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{s}$  be a holomorphic section of  $p^*\zeta^{\otimes 2(n-1)}$  that vanishes on  $R$ . Then by the discussion in the previous paragraph  $\mathfrak{s}$  induces a meromorphic connection  $\mathfrak{D}$  on the line bundle  $p^*\zeta^{\otimes -(n-1)}$ : if we trivialize  $p^*\zeta^{\otimes -(n-1)}$  on an open  $U \subset \overline{C}$  and if  $\mathfrak{s}$  is represented by a holomorphic function  $\mathfrak{s}_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O})$  in this trivialization, then in the same trivialization  $\mathfrak{D} := d + \frac{1}{2}d\log(\mathfrak{s}_U)$ . By construction  $\mathfrak{D}$  has logarithmic poles along  $R$  and residue  $1/2$ . Therefore the tensor product connection  $\tau(\nabla) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \mathfrak{D}$  is a holomorphic connection on  $N \otimes p^*\zeta^{\otimes -(n-1)} = L|_{\overline{C}_{h_V(\theta)} \times \{\theta\}}$ . The whole construction makes sense relatively over  $T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$  and so by varying  $\theta \in T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$  we get a relative holomorphic connection  $D$  on  $L$ , uniquely characterized by the property that its restriction to the slice  $\overline{C}_{h_V(\theta)} \times \{\theta\}$  is equal to  $\tau(\nabla) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \mathfrak{D}$ . Even though this is not needed in what follows, it is instructive to note here that the relative connection  $D$  can be lifted to an absolute (i.e. differentiating in all directions) holomorphic connection on  $L$ . This absolute connection is not integrable but has curvature which is a holomorphic two form. Of course this holomorphic two form restricts to zero on each cameral curve which accounts for the integrability of the relative connection  $D$ .

Now we can use  $(L, D)$  as an input for the  $GL_1$ -version of the geometric Langlands correspondence. More precisely, applying the construction from Example 2.5 to the relative local system  $(L, D)$  and along the smooth fibers of  $\pi_V$  we get a relative rank one local system  $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{\nabla})$  on the part of

$$\mathbf{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_V/C \times T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}) = \mathbf{Higgs} \times_B T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$$

sitting over  $B - \Delta$ . If we push  $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{\nabla})$  forward to  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  we get a relative meromorphic local system  $(M, \delta)$ , where  $M$  is a holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbf{Higgs}$ , and  $\delta$  is a meromorphic connection on  $M$  differentiating only along the fibers of  $h : \mathbf{Higgs} \rightarrow B$ .

The bundle  $M$  can be described explicitly. Let  $(E, \psi) \in \mathbf{Higgs}$  be any point, then the fiber of  $M$  at  $(E, \psi)$  is given by

$$M_{(E, \psi)} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\theta \in T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun} \\ h(\theta) = h(\psi)}} \mathcal{P}_{(L_\theta, N_\psi)},$$

where  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}^0(\overline{C}_{h(\psi)}) \times \mathbf{Pic}(\overline{C}_{h(\psi)})$  is the standard Poincare line bundle,  $L_\theta$  is the restriction of  $L$  to the slice  $\overline{C}_{h(\theta)} \times \{\theta\}$ , and  $N_\psi \in \mathbf{Pic}(\overline{C}_{h(\psi)})$  is the line bundle corresponding to  $(E, \psi)$  via the spectral correspondence.

**REMARK 3.2.**

- The above approach will give rise to a geometric Langlands correspondence if we can find a way to convert the  ${}_{\text{ab}}H^i$ -eigen module  $(M, \delta)$  on  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  to an  $H^i$ -eigen module on  $\mathbf{Bun}$ . To do this we can take several routes: we can either average the  $(M, \delta)$  over all  $\theta \in T_V^\vee \mathbf{Bun}$ , or use deformation quantization as in [Ari02, Ari05], or

use Simpson’s non-abelian Hodge theory [Sim91, Sim92, Sim97] as we will do in the remainder of the paper.

- To set up the previous construction for an arbitrary group  $G$  we need to establish a duality between  $\mathbf{Higgs}_0$  and  ${}^L\mathbf{Higgs}$ . This was done in [DP06] and we will review it in section 4.
- The correspondences  $\mathbf{Higgs}^i$  and  ${}_{\text{ab}}\mathbf{Higgs}^i$  can be related geometrically:  ${}_{\text{ab}}\mathbf{Higgs}^i$  is the total space of the relative conormal bundle of  $\mathbf{Higgs}^i \subset \mathbf{Bun} \times \mathbf{Bun} \times C$  over  $C$ .

#### 4. The classical limit

In this section we review the construction of the Fourier-Mukai functor  $\mathbf{FM}$  appearing in step (2) of the six step process in section 5.1.

**4.1. The classical limit conjecture.** Fix a curve  $C$  and groups  $G, {}^L G$ . The moduli stacks of Higgs bundles arise naturally in an interesting limiting case of conjecture (GLC): the so called *classical limit*.

On the local system side of (GLC) the passage to the limit is based on Deligne’s notion of a  $z$ -connection [Sim97] which interpolates between the notions of a local system and a Higgs bundle. A  $z$ -connection is by definition a triple  $(V, \nabla, z)$ , where  $\pi : V \rightarrow C$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle on  $C$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is a complex number, and  $\nabla$  is a differential operator satisfying the Leibnitz rule up to a factor of  $z$ . Equivalently,  $\nabla$  is a  $z$ -splitting of the Atiyah sequence for  $V$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ad}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(V) \xrightarrow{\sigma} T_C \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\longleftarrow \nabla$

Here  $\text{ad}(V) = V \times_{\text{ad}} \mathfrak{g}$  is the adjoint bundle of  $V$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(V) = (\pi_* T_V)^G$  is the Atiyah algebra of  $V$ ,  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(V) \rightarrow T_C$  is the map induced from  $d\pi : T_V \rightarrow \pi^* T_C$ , and  $\nabla$  is a map of vector bundles satisfying  $\sigma \circ \nabla = z \cdot \text{id}_{T_C}$ .

When  $z = 1$  a  $z$ -connection is just an ordinary connection. More generally, when  $z \neq 0$ , rescaling a  $z$ -connection by  $z^{-1}$  gives again an ordinary connection. However for  $z = 0$  a  $z$ -connection is a Higgs bundle. In this sense the  $z$ -connections give us a way of deforming a connection into a Higgs bundle. In particular the moduli space of  $z$ -connections can be viewed as a geometric 1-parameter deformation of  $\mathbf{Loc}$  parametrized by the  $z$ -line and such that the fiber over  $z = 1$  is  $\mathbf{Loc}$ , while the fiber over  $z = 0$  is  $\mathbf{Higgs}_0$  the stack of Higgs bundles with trivial first Chern class. Using this picture we can view the derived category  $D_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{Higgs}_0, \mathcal{O})$  as the  $z \rightarrow 0$  limit of the category  $D_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{Loc}, \mathcal{O})$ .

On the  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$  side the limit comes from an algebraic deformation of the sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{D}$  of differential operators on  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$ . More precisely  $\mathcal{D}$  is a sheaf of rings which is filtered by the filtration by orders of differential operators. Applying the Rees construction [Ree56, Ger66, Sim91] to this filtration we get a flat deformation of  $\mathcal{D}$  parametrized by the  $z$ -line and such

that the fiber of this deformation at  $z = 1$  is  $\mathcal{D}$  and the fiber at  $z = 0$  is the symmetric algebra  $S^\bullet T = \text{gr } \mathcal{D}$  of the tangent bundle of  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$ . Passing to categories of modules we obtain an interpretation of  $D_{\text{coh}}({}^L\mathbf{Bun}, S^\bullet T)$  as the  $z \rightarrow 0$  limit of  $D_{\text{coh}}({}^L\mathbf{Bun}, \mathcal{D})$ . Since  ${}^L\mathbf{Higgs}$  is the cotangent stack of  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$  the category  $D_{\text{coh}}({}^L\mathbf{Bun}, S^\bullet T)$  will be equivalent to  $D_{\text{coh}}({}^L\mathbf{Higgs}, \mathcal{O})$  and so we get a limit version of the conjecture (GLC) which predicts the existence of a canonical equivalence of categories

(cGLC<sub>0</sub>)

$$\mathfrak{cl}_0 : D_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{Higgs}_0, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D_{\text{coh}}({}^L\mathbf{Higgs}, \mathcal{O})$$

which again sends structure sheaves of points to eigensheaves of a classical limit version of the Hecke functors. The precise construction of the classical limit Hecke functors is discussed in [DP06, Section 2]. Here we will only mention that in a forthcoming work Arinkin and Bezrukavnikov establish an isomorphism between the algebra of classical limit Hecke functors and the algebra of abelianized Hecke functors that we discussed in Section 3.2.

We also expect that the equivalence  $\mathfrak{cl}_0$  extends to an equivalence

(cGLC)

$$\mathfrak{cl} : D_{\text{coh}}(\mathbf{Higgs}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D_{\text{coh}}({}^L\mathbf{Higgs}, \mathcal{O})$$

which again sends structure sheaves of points to eigensheaves of a classical limit version of the Hecke functors.

**4.2. Duality of Hitchin systems.** The classical limit conjecture (cGLC) can be viewed as a self duality of Hitchin's integrable system: Hitchin's system for a complex reductive Lie group  $G$  is dual to Hitchin's system for the Langlands dual group  ${}^L G$ . This statement can be interpreted at several levels:

- First, a choice of an invariant bilinear pairing on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , induces an isomorphism between the bases of the Hitchin systems for  $G$  and  ${}^L G$ , interchanging the discriminant divisors.
- The general fiber of the neutral connected component  $\underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_0$  of Hitchin's system for  $G$  is an abelian variety. We show that it is dual to the corresponding fiber of the neutral connected component  ${}^L\underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_0$  of the Hitchin system for  ${}^L G$ .
- The non-neutral connected components  $\underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_\alpha$  form torsors over  $\underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_0$ . According to the general philosophy of [DP08], these are dual to certain gerbes. In our case, we identify these duals as natural gerbes over  ${}^L\underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_0$ . The gerbe  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  of  $G$ -Higgs bundles was introduced and analyzed in [DG02]. This serves as a universal object: we show that the gerbes involved in the duals of the non-neutral connected components  $\underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_\alpha$  are induced by  $\mathbf{Higgs}$ .

- More generally, we establish a duality over the complement of the discriminant between the gerbe  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  of  $G$ -Higgs bundles and the gerbe  ${}^L\mathbf{Higgs}$  of  ${}^L G$ -Higgs bundles, which incorporates all the previous dualities.
- Finally, the duality of the integrable systems lifts to an equivalence of the derived categories of  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  and  ${}^L\mathbf{Higgs}$ . As a corollary we obtain a construction of eigensheaves for the abelianized Hecke operators on Higgs bundles.

To elaborate on these steps somewhat, note that the Hitchin base  $B$  and the universal cameral cover  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow C \times B$  depend on the group  $G$  only through its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The choice of a  $G$ -invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  determines an isomorphism  $\mathbf{l} : B \rightarrow {}^L B$  between the Hitchin bases for the Langlands-dual algebras  $\mathfrak{g}, {}^L\mathfrak{g}$ . This isomorphism lifts to an isomorphism  $\ell$  of the corresponding universal cameral covers. (These isomorphisms are unique up to automorphisms of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow C \times B$ : There is a natural action of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  on  $B$  which also lifts to an action on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow C \times B$ . The apparent ambiguity we get in the choice of the isomorphisms  $\mathbf{l}, \ell$  is eliminated by these automorphisms.)

The next step [DP06] is to show that the connected component  $P_b$  of the Hitchin fiber  $h^{-1}(b)$  over some general  $b \in B$  is dual (as a polarized abelian variety) to the connected component  ${}^L P_{\mathbf{l}(b)}$  of the corresponding fiber for the Langlands-dual system. This is achieved by analyzing the cohomology of three group schemes  $\bar{\mathcal{T}} \supset \mathcal{T} \supset \mathcal{T}^0$  over  $C$  attached to a group  $G$ . The first two of these were introduced in [DG02], where it was shown that  $h^{-1}(b)$  is a torsor over  $H^1(C, \mathcal{T})$ . The third one  $\mathcal{T}^0$  is their maximal subgroup scheme all of whose fibers are connected. It was noted in [DG02] that  $\bar{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}$  except when  $G = \mathrm{SO}(2r + 1)$  for  $r \geq 1$ . Dually one finds [DP06] that  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^0$  except for  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(r)$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . In fact, it turns out that the connected components of  $H^1(\mathcal{T}^0)$  and  $H^1(\bar{\mathcal{T}})$  are dual to the connected components of  $H^1({}^L\bar{\mathcal{T}}), H^1({}^L\mathcal{T}^0)$ , and we are able to identify the intermediate objects  $H^1(\mathcal{T}), H^1({}^L\mathcal{T})$  with enough precision to deduce that they are indeed dual to each other.

Finally we extend the basic duality to the non-neutral components of the stack of Higgs bundles. The non-canonical isomorphism from non-neutral components of the Hitchin fiber to  $P_b$  can result in the absence of a section, i.e. in a non-trivial torsor structure [HT03, DP08]. In general, the duality between a family of abelian varieties  $A \rightarrow B$  over a base  $B$  and its dual family  $A^\vee \rightarrow B$  is given by a Poincaré sheaf which induces a Fourier-Mukai equivalence of derived categories. It is well known [DP08, BB07, BB06] that the Fourier-Mukai transform of an  $A$ -torsor  $A_\alpha$  is an  $\mathcal{O}^*$ -gerbe  ${}_\alpha A^\vee$  on  $A^\vee$ . Assume for concreteness that  $G$  and  ${}^L G$  are semisimple. In this case there is indeed a natural stack mapping to  $\mathbf{Higgs}$ , namely the moduli stack  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  of semistable  $G$ -Higgs bundles on  $C$ . Over the locus of stable bundles, the stabilizers of this stack are isomorphic to the center  $Z(G)$  of  $G$  and so over the stable locus  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  is a gerbe. The stack  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  was analyzed

in [DG02]. From [DP08] we know that every pair  $\alpha \in \pi_0(\mathbf{Higgs}) = \pi_1(G), \beta \in \pi_1({}^L G) = Z(G)^\wedge$  defines a  $U(1)$ -gerbe  $\beta \underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_\alpha$  on the connected component  $\underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_\alpha$  and that there is a Fourier-Mukai equivalence of categories  $D^b(\beta \underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_\alpha) \cong D^b({}_\alpha {}^L \underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_\beta)$ . In our case we find that all the  $U(1)$ -gerbes  $\beta \underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_\alpha$  are induced from the single  $Z(G)$ -gerbe  $\mathbf{Higgs}$ , restricted to component  $\underline{\mathbf{Higgs}}_\alpha$ , via the homomorphisms  $\beta : Z(G) \rightarrow U(1)$ . These results culminate in [DP06, Theorem B], which gives a duality between the Higgs gerbes  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  and  ${}^L \mathbf{Higgs}$ . The key to the proof is our ability to move freely among the components of  $\mathbf{Higgs}$  via the abelianized Hecke correspondences.

## 5. Non-abelian Hodge theory

**5.1. Results from non-abelian Hodge theory.** Non Abelian Hodge theory, as developed by Donaldson, Hitchin, Corlette, Simpson [Don87, Hit87a, Cor88, Sim92, Cor93, Sim97], and many others, establishes under appropriate assumptions the equivalence of local systems and Higgs bundles. A richer object (harmonic bundle or twistor structure) is introduced, which specializes to both local systems and Higgs bundles. This is closely related to Deligne's notion of a  $z$ -connection (see Section 4.1): at  $z = 1$  we have ordinary connections (or local systems), while at  $z = 0$  we have Higgs bundles. Depending on the exact context, these specialization maps are shown to be diffeomorphisms or categorical equivalences. Originally Corlette and Simpson proved the non-abelian Hodge theorem for projective manifolds:

**THEOREM [Cor88, Sim92, Cor93, Sim97]** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$  be a smooth complex projective variety. Then there is a natural equivalence of  $dg \otimes$ -categories:*

$$\mathbf{nah}_X : \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{finite rank } \mathbb{C}\text{-local} \\ \text{systems on } X \end{array} \right) \longrightarrow \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{finite rank } \mathcal{O}_X(1)\text{-semistable} \\ \text{Higgs bundles on } X \text{ with } ch_1 = 0 \\ \text{and } ch_2 = 0 \end{array} \right)$$

**REMARK 5.1.** (a) Here by a *Higgs bundle* we mean a pair  $(E, \theta)$  where  $E$  is a vector bundle on  $X$ , and  $\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map satisfying  $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ . A Higgs bundle  $(E, \theta)$  is  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ -semistable if for every  $\theta$ -invariant subsheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subset E$  we have  $\chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(n))/\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \chi(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(n))/\text{rk}(E)$  for  $n \gg 0$ .

(b) We can also consider Higgs sheaves. These are by definition pairs  $(\mathcal{F}, \theta)$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent or quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X$ , and  $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^1$  is  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map satisfying  $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ .

For quasi-projective varieties, the one dimensional analogue of the Corlette-Simpson theorem was settled by Simpson twenty years ago [Sim90]. The open case in higher dimension had to await the recent breakthroughs by Biquard [Biq97], Jost-Yang-Zuo [JYZ07], Sabbah [Sab05], and especially Mochizuki [Moc06, Moc09, Moc07a, Moc07b]. This higher dimensional theory produces an equivalence of parabolic local systems and parabolic Higgs bundles, quite analogous to what is obtained in the compact case. Mochizuki is able to prove a version of the non-abelian Hodge correspondence which allows for singularities of the objects involved:

**THEOREM [Moc06, Moc09]** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$  be a smooth complex projective variety and let  $D \subset X$  be an effective divisor. Suppose that we have a closed subvariety  $Z \subset X$  of codimension  $\geq 3$ , such that  $X - Z$  is smooth and  $D - Z$  is a normal crossing divisor.*

*Then there is a canonical equivalence of dg  $\otimes$ -categories:*

$$\mathbf{nah}_{X,D} : \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{finite rank tame} \\ \text{parabolic } \mathbb{C}\text{-local} \\ \text{systems on } (X, D) \end{array} \right) \longrightarrow \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{finite rank locally abelian} \\ \text{tame parabolic Higgs bundles} \\ \text{on } (X, D) \text{ which are} \\ \mathcal{O}_X(1)\text{-semistable and satisfy} \\ \text{parch}_1 = 0 \text{ and } \text{parch}_2 = 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Mochizuki requires three basic ingredients for this theorem:

- (1) a good compactification, which is smooth and where the boundary is a divisor with normal crossings away from codimension 3;
- (2) a local condition: tameness (the Higgs field is allowed to have at most logarithmic poles along  $D$ ) and compatibility of filtrations (the parabolic structure is locally isomorphic to a direct sum of rank one objects); and
- (3) a global condition: vanishing of parabolic Chern classes.

A feature of the non-abelian Hodge correspondence that is specific to the open case is captured in another result of Mochizuki:

**THEOREM [Moc07a, Moc07b]** *Let  $U$  be a quasi-projective variety and suppose  $U$  has two compactifications*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & Y \\ & \swarrow \phi & \nearrow \psi \\ & U & \end{array}$$

where:

- $X, Y$  are projective and irreducible;
- $X$  is smooth and  $X - U$  is a normal crossing divisor away from codimension 3;



Then the restriction from  $X$  to  $U$  followed by the middle perversity extension from  $U$  to  $Y$  gives an equivalence of abelian categories:

$$\phi_{*!} \circ \psi^* : \begin{pmatrix} \text{irreducible} & \text{tame} \\ \text{parabolic } \mathbb{C}\text{-local} \\ \text{systems on } (X, D) \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{simple } \mathcal{D}\text{-modules on } Y \text{ which} \\ \text{are smooth on } U \end{pmatrix}$$


**5.2. Using non-abelian Hodge theory.** As we mentioned before non-abelian Hodge theory provides a natural approach to constructing the geometric Langlands correspondence  $\mathfrak{c}$ . The relevance of non-abelian Hodge theory to the problem is already implicit in the work of Beilinson-Drinfeld [BD03] on quantization of Hitchin hamiltonians, in the work of Arinkin [Ari02, Ari08] on the quasi-classical version of the geometric Langlands conjecture, and in the work of Bezrukavnikov-Braverman [BB07] on the Fourier-Mukai interpretation of the correspondence in positive characteristic. The non-abelian Hodge theory approach was brought in the spotlight in the mirror symmetry work of Hausel-Thaddeus [HT03] and several key features of the approach were worked out in the ground breaking work of Kapustin-Witten [KW06] on gauge theory/sigma model duality, in the work of Frenkel-Witten [FW08] on endoscopy, and in our own work [DP06] on the classical limit of the Geometric Langlands Conjecture.

The possibility suggested by these works is that the known (see [DP06] and the discussion in section 4) eigensheaf of the abelianized Hecke, which is a Higgs-type object  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ , extends by non-abelian Hodge theory to a twistor eigensheaf on  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$ . The original Higgs sheaf appears at  $z = 0$ , while at the opposite end  $z = 1$  we can expect to find precisely the Hecke eigensheaf postulated by the GLC.

The situation is essentially non-compact: There is a locus  $\mathbf{S}$  in the moduli space  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}^s$  of stable bundles along which our Higgs field  $\varphi$  blows up. This can be traced back, essentially, to the difference between the notions of stability for bundles and Higgs bundles. The cotangent bundle  $T^\vee({}^L\mathbf{Bun}^s)$  embeds as a Zariski-open in  ${}^L\mathbf{Higgs}^s$ . If we ignore stability the two are equal:  $T^\vee({}^L\mathbf{Bun}) = {}^L\mathbf{Higgs}$ . But as moduli of stable objects, there is a locus  $\mathbf{Un}$  in  ${}^L\mathbf{Higgs}$  parametrizing stable Higgs bundles with *unstable* underlying bundle. In order to turn the projection  ${}^L\mathbf{Higgs}^s \rightarrow {}^L\mathbf{Bun}^s$  into a morphism,  $\mathbf{Un}$  must be blown up to an exceptional divisor  $\widehat{\mathbf{Un}}$ . Then the Higgs field part  $\varphi$  of the Hecke eigensheaf  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  on  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}^s$  blows up along the image  $\mathbf{S}$  of  $\widehat{\mathbf{Un}}$ .

In current work with C. Simpson [DP09, DPS09b, DPS09a], we are investigating the possibility of applying non-abelian Hodge theory to the GLC. The heart of the matter amounts to verification of the Mochizuki conditions: we need to find where the Higgs field blows up, resolve this locus to obtain a normal crossing divisor, lift the objects to this resolution, and verify that the parabolic chern classes of these lifts vanish upstairs. This

would provide the crucial third step in the following six step recipe for producing the candidate automorphic sheaf:



Note that all of the other steps in this process are essentially already in place. The functor (1) is given by the Corlette-Simpson non-abelian Hodge correspondence  $(E, \theta) = \mathbf{nah}_C(V, \nabla)$  on the smooth compact curve  $C$ . The functor (2) sends  $(E, \theta) \in \mathbf{Higgs}$  to  $\mathbf{FM}(\mathcal{O}_{(E, \theta)})$  where  $\mathbf{FM}$  is a Fourier-Mukai transform for coherent sheaves on  $T^\vee \mathbf{Bun} = \mathbf{Higgs}$ . In fact  $\mathbf{FM}$  is the integral transform with kernel the Poincare sheaf constructed (away from the discriminant) in [DP06]. This sheaf is supported on the fiber product of the two Hitchin fibrations  $h : \mathbf{Higgs}_0 \rightarrow B$  and  ${}^L h : {}^L \mathbf{Higgs} \rightarrow B$  and we discussed it briefly in section 4.1. The functor (4) is the parabolic non-abelian Hodge correspondence  $\mathbf{nah}_{{}^L \mathbf{Bun}^{ss}, \mathbf{s}}$  of Mochizuki. Here  ${}^L \mathbf{Bun}^{ss}$  denotes the (rigidified) stack of semistable bundles. Note that here we are applying the first Mochizuki theorem not to a projective variety but to a smooth proper Deligne-Mumford stack with a projective moduli space. In fact Mochizuki's proof [Moc09] works in this generality with no modifications. The functors

(5) and (6) are the pullback and middle extension functors applied to the two compactifications  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}^{ss} \supset {}^L\mathbf{Bun}^s \subset {}^L\mathbf{Bun}$ . In order to conclude that the composition (6)  $\circ$  (5) is an equivalence we need a strengthening of Mochizuki’s extension theorem which would allow for  $Y$  to be an Artin stack which is only locally of finite type [DPS09a].

In the next section we explain some of the issues that one needs to tackle in order to carry out step (3).

### 6. Parabolic Higgs sheaves on the moduli of bundles

To construct the functor (3) we need to convert a translation invariant line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on the Hitchin fiber into a stable parabolic Higgs sheaf  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  on the moduli of bundles. The strategy is:

- construct a suitable blow-up of the Hitchin fiber which resolves the rational map to  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}^s$ ;
- pull  $\mathcal{L}$  and the tautological one form on the Hitchin fiber to this blow-up;
- twist with an appropriate combination of the exceptional divisors;
- push-forward the resulting rank one Higgs bundle on the blow-up to  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}^s$  to obtain a quasi-parabolic Higgs sheaf  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  on  $({}^L\mathbf{Bun}^s, \mathbf{S})$
- fix parabolic weights for  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  so that  $\text{parch}_1 = 0$  and  $\text{parch}_2 = 0$ .

In [DP09, DPS09b] we work out this strategy for  $G = GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . The first task here is to understand the divisors  $\widehat{\mathbf{Un}}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  geometrically.

**6.1. Wobbly, shaky, and unstable bundles.** A  $G$ -bundle  $E$  is *very stable* if it has no nonzero nilpotent Higgs fields  $\theta$  [Lau88]. Very stable bundles are stable [Lau88]. We call a bundle *wobbly* if it is stable but not very stable, and we call a bundle *shaky* if it is in  $\mathbf{S}$ . A major step towards carrying out our program is the identification of shaky bundles:

**THEOREM [DP09]** *Let  $G = {}^L G = GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Fix a smooth Hitchin fiber  $\mathbf{Higgs}_{\overline{C}}$ .*

- (a) *The rational map  $\mathbf{Higgs}_{\overline{C}} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{Bun}^s$  can be resolved to a morphism  $\widehat{\mathbf{Higgs}}_{\overline{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bun}^s$  by a canonical sequence of blow-ups with smooth centers.*
- (b) *For every translation invariant line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathbf{Higgs}_{\overline{C}}$ , and for any twist by exceptional divisors of the pullback of  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\widehat{\mathbf{Higgs}}_{\overline{C}}$ , the polar divisor of the associated quasi-parabolic Higgs sheaf  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  is independent of  $\overline{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ , and the twist, and is equal to  $\mathbf{S}$ .*
- (c) *The shaky bundles are precisely the wobbly ones.*

This is in exact agreement with the expected behavior of the Hecke eigensheaf, according to Drinfeld and Laumon [Lau95].

In view of this theorem, the key geometric issue needed for a proof of the GLC along these lines is therefore an analysis of the locus of wobbly bundles and of the sequence of blowups needed to convert it into a normal crossing divisor. For  $G = GL_2(\mathbb{C})$  this analysis is carried out in [DP09].

In specific cases it is possible to work out the moduli spaces, wobbly loci, and Hecke correspondences in great detail. One such case is when the curve is  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with  $n$  marked points, and the group is  $G = GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

This is an instance of the tamely ramified Geometric Langlands Conjecture, or the Geometric Langlands Conjecture for parabolic local systems and bundles. This natural extension of the GLC is explained beautifully in [Fre08, GW06], and a simple case (elliptic curve with one marked point) is analyzed in [FW08] from a point of view similar to ours. The six step process outlined above applies equally well to the ramified case: in fact, as explained above, our use of non-abelian Hodge theory has the parabolic structures built in even when the initial objects are defined over a compact curve, so there is every reason to expect that our construction should work just as well when the initial object is itself parabolic.

A major surprise is that in the parabolic case, the [DPS09b] characterization of the poles of the parabolic Higgs sheaf  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  on **Bun** needs to be modified. Wobbly bundles are still shaky, but new, non-wobbly components of the shaky locus can arise. These seem to be related to the variation of GIT quotients. In this section we illustrate this new phenomenon in the first non trivial case,  $n = 5$ . The results will appear in [DPS09b].

There is a large body of work describing the moduli space  $M_n$  of semi-stable  $GL(2)$  parabolic bundles (or flat  $U(2)$  connections) on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with  $n$  marked points as well as its cohomology ring, see e.g. [Bau91, Jef94, BR96, BY96]. In several of these references one can find an identification of  $M_5$  as a del Pezzo surface  $dP_4$ , the blowup of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at 4 general points. Actually,  $M_n$  is not a single object: it depends on the choice of parabolic weights at the  $n$  points. For instance [Bau91] if we choose all the parabolic weights to be equal to  $1/2$ , then the moduli space  $M_n$  can be described explicitly as the blow-up of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$  at  $n$ -points lying on a rational normal curve. The  $dP_4$  description of  $M_5$  holds for the lowest chamber, when the parabolic weights  $\alpha$  are positive but small. By working out the GIT picture, we find [DPS09b] that in the case of balanced weights there are actually four chambers, and the corresponding moduli spaces are:  $dP_4$  for  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{5}$ ,  $dP_5$  for  $\frac{2}{5} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^2$  for  $\frac{2}{3} < \alpha < \frac{4}{5}$ , and empty for  $\frac{4}{5} < \alpha < 1$ . The Hecke correspondence essentially relates the space at level  $\alpha$  to the corresponding space at level  $1 - \alpha$ . The non-abelian Hodge theory description gives us the flexibility of working in a chamber of our choosing; we choose the self-dual  $dP_5$  chamber at  $\frac{2}{5} < \alpha < \frac{3}{5}$ .

We find that in the lowest chamber, the shaky locus does agree with the wobbly locus. It consists of the 10 lines on the  $dP_4$ , together with 5 additional rational curves, one from each of the five rulings on the  $dP_4$ , and all five passing through the same point  $p \in dP_4$ . In particular, this divisor

fails to have normal crossings at  $p$  and so is not suitable for the non-abelian Hodge theory approach. As we move to the next chamber, it is precisely the point  $p$  that is blown up to produce the  $dP_5$ . We check [DPS09b] that the wobbly locus now consists of 15 of the 16 lines on the  $dP_5$  - the proper transforms of the 15 previous components. This is where the new phenomenon first shows up: the shaky locus actually consists of all 16 lines on  $dP_5$ . In our self-dual chamber, the shaky divisor has normal crossings, the total space of the Hecke correspondence is smooth, the rational map from the Hitchin fiber to  $M_5$  has a natural resolution producing a parabolic Higgs sheaf on  $M_5$ , and there exist twists and assignments of parabolic weights along the shaky locus that fulfill the Mochizuki conditions from section 5.1. More or less all of this fails on the  $dP_4$  or the  $\mathbb{P}^2$  model; in particular, there is no solution to the Mochizuki conditions involving only 15 of the lines. This gives in this case an explicit construction (modulo solving the differential equations inherent in the non-abelian Hodge theory) of the Hecke eigensheaf demanded by the GLC.

**6.2. On functoriality in non-abelian Hodge theory.** Showing that the  $\mathcal{D}$ -module we construct on  ${}^L\mathbf{Bun}$  in step (6) in section 5.2 is indeed a Hecke eigensheaf depends on having good functorial properties of the non-abelian Hodge correspondence and the Mochizuki extension theorem in the parabolic context. The main task is to define direct images of parabolic objects under fairly general circumstances and to establish their basic properties. The aspects of functoriality needed for our construction in examples are relatively easy to establish, basically because the resolved abelianized Hecke correspondences tend to be finite. Nevertheless, it seems natural to try to establish the functorial behavior in general. We are currently pursuing this in a joint project with C.Simpson [DPS09a].

Through the works of Mochizuki [Moc07a, Moc07b] and Jost-Yang-Zuo [JYZ07] we know that the de Rham cohomology of the  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extension (of the restriction to  $X \setminus D$  of) a tame parabolic local system on  $(X, D)$  can be calculated directly in terms of  $L^2$  sections with respect to the harmonic metric. In the case of a map to a point, the functoriality we need identifies this also with the cohomology of (the Dolbeault complex associated to) the corresponding parabolic Higgs bundle. Our plan is to establish the general case of functoriality by combining this with an appropriate extension of the techniques of Simpson's [Sim93].

## References

- [AOV08] D. Abramovich, M. Olsson, and A. Vistoli. Tame stacks in positive characteristic. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 58(4):1057–1091, 2008.
- [Ari02] D. Arinkin. *Fourier transform for quantized completely integrable systems*. PhD thesis, Harvard University, 2002.
- [Ari05] D. Arinkin. On  $\lambda$ -connections on a curve where  $\lambda$  is a formal parameter. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(4):551–565, 2005.

- [Ari08] D. Arinkin. Quasi-classical limit of the geometric Langlands correspondence, 2008. talk at KITP, Santa Barbara, available at [http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/langlands\\_m08/arinkin1/](http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/langlands_m08/arinkin1/).
- [Bau91] S. Bauer. Parabolic bundles, elliptic surfaces and  $SU(2)$ -representation spaces of genus zero Fuchsian groups. *Math. Ann.*, 290(3):509–526, 1991.
- [BB06] O. Ben-Bassat. Twisting derived equivalences, 2006.
- [BB07] A. Braverman and R. Bezrukavnikov. Geometric Langlands correspondence for  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules in prime characteristic: the  $GL(n)$  case. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 3(1, part 3):153–179, 2007.
- [BD03] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld. Quantization of Hitchin’s integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves. Book, in preparation, 2003.
- [BD05] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld. *Opers*, 2005. arXiv.org:math/0501398.
- [Biq97] O. Biquard. Fibrés de Higgs et connexions intégrables: le cas logarithmique (diviseur lisse). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 30(1):41–96, 1997.
- [BR96] I. Biswas and N. Raghavendra. Canonical generators of the cohomology of moduli of parabolic bundles on curves. *Math. Ann.*, 306(1):1–14, 1996.
- [BY96] H. Boden and K. Yokogawa. Moduli spaces of parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic  $K(D)$  pairs over smooth curves: I. *Internat. J. Math.*, 7:573–598, 1996.
- [Cor88] K. Corlette. Flat  $G$ -bundles with canonical metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 28(3):361–382, 1988.
- [Cor93] K. Corlette. Nonabelian Hodge theory. In *Differential geometry: geometry in mathematical physics and related topics (Los Angeles, CA, 1990)*, volume 54 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 125–144. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [DDD<sup>+</sup>06] D.-E. Diaconescu, R. Dijkgraaf, R. Donagi, C. Hofman, and T. Pantev. Geometric transitions and integrable systems. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 752(3):329–390, 2006.
- [DDP07a] D.-E. Diaconescu, R. Donagi, and T. Pantev. Geometric transitions and mixed Hodge structures. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 11(1):65–89, 2007.
- [DDP07b] D.-E. Diaconescu, R. Donagi, and T. Pantev. Intermediate Jacobians and ADE Hitchin systems. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 14(5):745–756, 2007.
- [DG02] R. Donagi and D. Gaitsgory. The gerbe of Higgs bundles. *Transform. Groups*, 7(2):109–153, 2002.
- [Don87] S. Donaldson. Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(1):127–131, 1987.
- [Don89] R. Donagi. The geometric Langlands conjecture and the Hitchin system, 1989. Lecture at the US-USSR Symposium in Algebraic Geometry, Univ. of Chicago, June-July, 1989.
- [Don93] R. Donagi. Decomposition of spectral covers. *Astérisque*, 218:145–175, 1993.
- [Don95] R. Donagi. Spectral covers. In *Current topics in complex algebraic geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1992/93)*, volume 28 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 65–86. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [DP06] R. Donagi and T. Pantev. Langlands duality for Hitchin systems, 2006. preprint, arXiv.org:math/0604617.
- [DP08] R. Donagi and T. Pantev. Torus fibrations, gerbes, and duality. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 193(901):vi+90, 2008. With an appendix by Dmitry Arinkin.
- [DP09] R. Donagi and T. Pantev. Parabolic Hecke eigen  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules for  $GL_2$ , 2009. in preparation.
- [DPS09a] R. Donagi, T. Pantev, and C. Simpson. Functoriality in non-abelian Hodge theory. in preparation, 2009.
- [DPS09b] R. Donagi, T. Pantev, and C. Simpson. Hodge theory and the tamely ramified langlands correspondence. in preparation, 2009.
- [Dri80] V. Drinfeld. Langlands’ conjecture for  $GL(2)$  over functional fields. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978)*, pages 565–574, Helsinki, 1980. Acad. Sci. Fennica.

- [Dri83] V. Drinfeld. Two-dimensional  $l$ -adic representations of the fundamental group of a curve over a finite field and automorphic forms on  $GL(2)$ . *Amer. J. Math.*, 105(1):85–114, 1983.
- [Dri87] V. Drinfeld. Two-dimensional  $l$ -adic representations of the Galois group of a global field of characteristic  $p$  and automorphic forms on  $GL(2)$ . *J. of Soviet Math.*, 36:93–105, 1987.
- [Fal93] G. Faltings. Stable  $G$ -bundles and projective connections. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 2(3):507–568, 1993.
- [FGKV98] E. Frenkel, D. Gaitsgory, D. Kazhdan, and K. Vilonen. Geometric realization of Whittaker functions and the Langlands conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11(2):451–484, 1998.
- [FGV01] E. Frenkel, D. Gaitsgory, and K. Vilonen. Whittaker patterns in the geometry of moduli spaces of bundles on curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 153(3):699–748, 2001.
- [Fre08] E. Frenkel. Ramifications of the geometric Langlands program. In *Representation theory and complex analysis*, volume 1931 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 51–135. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [FT09] E. Frenkel and C. Teleman. Derived geometric Langlands near the opers, 2009. in preparation.
- [FW08] E. Frenkel and E. Witten. Geometric endoscopy and mirror symmetry. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 2(1):113–283, 2008.
- [Gai01] D. Gaitsgory. Construction of central elements in the affine Hecke algebra via nearby cycles. *Invent. Math.*, 144(2):253–280, 2001.
- [Ger66] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformation of rings and algebras. II. *Ann. of Math.*, 84:1–19, 1966.
- [GW06] S. Gukov and E. Witten. Gauge theory, ramification, and the geometric Langlands program, 2006. arXiv.org:hep-th/0612073.
- [Hit87a] N. Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(1):59–126, 1987.
- [Hit87b] N. Hitchin. Stable bundles and integrable systems. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(1):91–114, 1987.
- [HT03] T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus. Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality, and the Hitchin system. *Invent. Math.*, 153(1):197–229, 2003.
- [Hum72] J. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9.
- [Jef94] L. Jeffrey. Extended moduli spaces of flat connections on Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 298(4):667–692, 1994.
- [JYZ07] J. Jost, Y. Yang, and K. Zuo. The cohomology of a variation of polarized Hodge structures over a quasi-compact Kähler manifold. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 16(3):401–434, 2007.
- [KW06] A. Kapustin and E. Witten. Electric-magnetic duality and the geometric Langlands program., 2006. hep-th/0604151.
- [Laf02] L. Lafforgue. Chtoucas de Drinfeld et correspondance de Langlands. *Invent. Math.*, 147(1):1–241, 2002.
- [Lau87] G. Laumon. Correspondance de Langlands géométrique pour les corps de fonctions. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(2):309–359, 1987.
- [Lau88] G. Laumon. Un analogue global du cône nilpotent. *Duke Math. J.*, 57(2):647–671, 1988.
- [Lau90] G. Laumon. Faisceaux automorphes liés aux séries d’Eisenstein. In *Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and  $L$ -functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988)*, volume 10 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 227–281. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [Lau95] G. Laumon. Faisceaux automorphes pour  $GL(n)$ : la première construction de drinfeld, 1995. arXiv.org:alg-geom/9511004.

- [Lau96] G. Laumon. Transformation de Fourier generalisee, 1996. arXiv.org:alg-geom/9603004.
- [Lau03] G. Laumon. Travaux de Frenkel, Gaitsgory et Vilonen sur la correspondance de Drinfeld-Langlands. *Astérisque*, 290:Exp. No. 906, ix, 267–284, 2003. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2001/2002.
- [Moc06] T. Mochizuki. Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles and an application. *Astérisque*, 309:viii+117, 2006.
- [Moc07a] T. Mochizuki. Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor  $D$ -modules. I. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 185(869):xii+324, 2007.
- [Moc07b] T. Mochizuki. Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor  $D$ -modules. II. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 185(870):xii+565, 2007.
- [Moc09] T. Mochizuki. Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles. II. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(1):359–455, 2009.
- [Pol08] A. Polishchuk. Kernel algebras and generalized Fourier-Mukai transforms, 2008. arXiv.org:0810.1542.
- [PR01] A. Polishchuk and M. Rothstein. Fourier transform for  $D$ -algebras. I. *Duke Math. J.*, 109(1):123–146, 2001.
- [Ree56] D. Rees. Valuations associated with ideals. II. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 31:221–228, 1956.
- [Rot96] M. Rothstein. Sheaves with connection on abelian varieties. *Duke Math. J.*, 84(3):565–598, 1996.
- [Sab05] C. Sabbah. Polarizable twistor  $D$ -modules. *Astérisque*, 300:vi+208, 2005.
- [Sco98] R. Scognamillo. An elementary approach to the abelianization of the Hitchin system for arbitrary reductive groups. *Compositio Math.*, 110(1):17–37, 1998.
- [Sim90] C. Simpson. Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(3):713–770, 1990.
- [Sim91] C. Simpson. Nonabelian Hodge theory. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)*, pages 747–756, Tokyo, 1991. Math. Soc. Japan.
- [Sim92] C. Simpson. Higgs bundles and local systems. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 75:5–95, 1992.
- [Sim93] C. Simpson. Some families of local systems over smooth projective varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 138(2):337–425, 1993.
- [Sim97] C. Simpson. The Hodge filtration on nonabelian cohomology. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 217–281. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.