

Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly  
Volume 8, Number 1  
(*Special Issue: In honor of*  
*F. Thomas Farrell and Lowell E. Jones, Part 1 of 2*)  
175—197, 2012

## Assembly Maps for Group Extensions in $K$ -Theory and $L$ -Theory With Twisted Coefficients

Ian Hambleton, Erik K. Pedersen and David Rosenthal

**Abstract:** In this paper we show that the Farrell-Jones isomorphism conjectures are inherited in group extensions for assembly maps in  $K$ -theory and  $L$ -theory with twisted coefficients.

**Keywords:** algebraic  $K$ -theory, quadratic forms, assembly maps.

### INTRODUCTION

Under what assumptions are the Farrell-Jones isomorphism conjectures inherited by group extensions or subgroups? We will formulate a version of the standard conjectures (see Farrell-Jones [10]) with twisted coefficients in an additive category, and then study these questions via the continuously controlled assembly maps of [11, §7]. A formulation using the Davis-Lück assembly maps [9] has already been given by Bartels and Reich [4], and applied there to show inheritance by subgroups. Recall that the Farrell-Jones conjecture in algebraic  $K$ -theory asserts that certain “assembly” maps

$$H_n^G(E_{\mathcal{VC}}G; \mathbb{K}_R) \rightarrow K_n(RG)$$

---

Received January 21, 2008.

Partially supported by NSERC grant A4000 and NSF grant DMS 9104026. The authors also wish to thank the SFB 478, Universität Münster, for hospitality and support.

are isomorphisms, for a given ring  $R$ , and all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Here the space  $E_{\mathcal{VC}}G$  is the universal  $G$ -CW-complex for  $G$ -actions with virtually cyclic isotropy, and the left-hand side denotes equivariant homology with coefficients in the non-connective  $K$ -theory spectrum for the ring  $R$ .

**Theorem A.** *Let  $N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} K$  be a group extension, where  $N \triangleleft G$  is a normal subgroup, and  $K$  is the quotient group. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category with  $G$ -action. Suppose that*

- (i) *The group  $K$  satisfies the Farrell-Jones conjecture in algebraic  $K$ -theory, with twisted coefficients in any additive category with  $K$ -action.*
- (ii) *Every subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$  as a subgroup, with virtually cyclic quotient, satisfies the Farrell-Jones conjecture in algebraic  $K$ -theory, with twisted coefficients in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Then the group  $G$  satisfies the Farrell-Jones conjecture in algebraic  $K$ -theory, with twisted coefficients in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

This is a special case of a more general result (see Theorem 4.7). The same statement holds for algebraic  $L$ -theory as well, where the coefficient categories are additive categories with involution. The corresponding result for the Baum-Connes conjecture was obtained by Oyono-Oyono [12], and our proof follows the outline given there. One of the main points is that the most effective methods known for proving the standard Farrell-Jones conjectures (for particular groups  $G$ ) also work for the twisted coefficient versions (compare [1], [3], [6], [7], [15], [16], and [17]). An immediate corollary to Theorem A is the following.

**Corollary** (Corollary 4.10). *The Farrell-Jones conjecture with twisted coefficients is true for  $G_1 \times G_2$  if and only if it is true for  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ , and every product  $V_1 \times V_2$ , where  $V_1 \leq G_1$  and  $V_2 \leq G_2$  are virtually cyclic subgroups.*

The fibered isomorphism conjecture of Farrell and Jones [10] for a group  $G$  and a ring  $R$  asserts that for every group homomorphism,  $\phi: H \rightarrow G$ , the assembly map for  $H$  relative to the family generated by the subgroups  $\phi^{-1}(V)$ ,  $V \subset G$  virtually cyclic, is an isomorphism. This conjecture implies the Farrell-Jones conjecture and has better inheritance properties. For example, the fibered version of our Theorem A is also true (see, for example, [2, Section 2.3]). The following

result shows that the Farrell-Jones conjecture with twisted coefficients implies the Fibered Farrell-Jones conjecture.

**Theorem B.** *Suppose that  $\phi: H \rightarrow G$  is a group homomorphism. Then the Farrell-Jones conjecture for  $G$ , with twisted coefficients in any  $G$ -category, implies that the assembly map for  $H$  relative to the family generated by the subgroups  $\phi^{-1}(V)$ ,  $V \subset G$  virtually cyclic, is an isomorphism with twisted coefficients in any  $H$ -category.*

The corresponding result for the Davis-Lück assembly maps was obtained by Bartels-Reich [4], who also pointed out a number of applications of the assembly map with twisted coefficients, including the study the  $K$ - and  $L$ -theory of twisted group rings (see also Example 4.8 and Example 4.9 below). One can check as in [11] that those assembly maps are equivalent to the continuously controlled assembly maps used in this paper.

## 1. ASSEMBLY VIA CONTROLLED CATEGORIES

The controlled categories of Pedersen [13], Carlsson-Pedersen [6], [8] are our main tool for identifying various different assembly maps. We will recall the definition of these categories, and then the usual assembly maps are obtained by applying functors

$$H: G\text{-CW-Complexes} \rightarrow \text{Spectra}$$

as described in [11]. We will extend the earlier definitions in order to allow an additive category as coefficients, instead of just working with modules over a ring  $R$ . A formulation for assembly maps with coefficients in the setting of [9] has already been given in [4]. Following the method of [11], one can check that the two different descriptions give the same assembly maps.

Let  $G$  be any discrete group, and let  $X$  be a  $G$ -CW complex (we will use a left  $G$ -action). Subspaces of the form  $G \cdot D \subset X$ , with  $D$  compact in  $X$ , are called  $G$ -compact subspaces of  $X$ . More generally, a subspace whose closure has this form is called relatively  $G$ -compact. A resolution of  $X$  is a pair  $(\bar{X}, p)$ , where  $\bar{X}$  is a free  $G$ -CW complex and  $p: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is a continuous  $G$ -equivariant map, such that for every  $G$ -compact set  $G \cdot D \subset X$  there exists a  $G$ -compact set  $G \cdot \bar{D} \subset \bar{X}$  such that  $p(G \cdot \bar{D}) = G \cdot D$ . The notion of resolution comes from [13], and was

developed further in [1, §3]. The original example was  $\overline{X} = G \times X$ , with the diagonal  $G$ -action and first factor projection.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category with involution, and suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a right  $G$ -action compatible with the involution. This is a collection of covariant functors  $\{g^*: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \forall g \in G\}$ , such that  $(g \circ h)^* = h^* \circ g^*$  and  $e^* = id$ . We require that the functors  $g^*$  commute with the involution  $*$ :  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  (an involution is a contravariant functor with square the identity).

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(Z, X)$  be a  $G$ -CW pair, where  $X$  is a closed  $G$ -invariant subspace. Let  $Y = Z - X$ , and fix a resolution  $p: \overline{Z} \rightarrow Z$ , whose restriction to  $Y$  is denoted  $\overline{Y}$ . The category  $\mathcal{D}(Z, X; \mathcal{A})$  has objects  $A = (A_y)$  consisting of a collection of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ , indexed by  $y \in \overline{Y}$ , and morphisms  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  consisting of collections  $\phi = (\phi_y^z)$  of morphisms  $\phi_y^z: A_y \rightarrow B_z$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , indexed by  $y, z \in \overline{Y}$ , satisfying:

- (i) the support  $\{y \in \overline{Y} \mid A_y \neq 0\}$  is *locally finite* in  $\overline{Y}$ , and relatively  $G$ -compact in  $\overline{Z}$ .
- (ii) for each morphism  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ , and for each  $y \in \overline{Y}$ , the set  $\{z \mid \phi_y^z \neq 0 \text{ or } \phi_z^y \neq 0\}$  is finite.
- (iii) the morphisms  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  are *continuously controlled* at  $X \subset Z$ . For every  $x \in X$ , and for every  $G_x$ -invariant neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $Z$ , there is a  $G_x$ -invariant neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  in  $Z$  so that  $\phi_y^z = 0$  and  $\phi_z^y = 0$  whenever  $p(y) \in (Y - U)$  and  $p(z) \in (V \cap U \cap Y)$ .

If  $X = \emptyset$ , we use the shorter notation  $\mathcal{D}(Z; \mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{D}(Z, \emptyset; \mathcal{A})$ , and in this case the continuous control condition (iii) on morphisms is vacuous. If  $S$  is a discrete left  $G$ -set, we denote by  $\mathcal{D}_l(S \times Z, S \times X; \mathcal{A})$  the subcategory where the morphisms are  $S$ -level-preserving:  $\phi_{(s,y)}^{(s',z)} = 0$  if  $s \neq s' \in S$ , for any  $y, z \in Y$ .

The category  $\mathcal{D}(Z, X; \mathcal{A})$  is an additive category with involution, where the dual of  $A$  is given by  $(A^*)_y = A_y^*$  for all  $y \in \overline{Y}$ . It depends functorially on the pair  $(Z, X)$  of  $G$ -CW complexes. The actions of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $Z$  induce a right  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{D}(Z, X; \mathcal{A})$ . For  $g \in G$ , we set  $(gA)_y = g^* A_{gy}$  and  $(g\phi)_y^z = g^*(\phi_{gy}^{gz})$ . The fixed subcategory will be denoted  $\mathcal{D}^G(Z, X; \mathcal{A})$ . If  $G = \{e\}$  is the trivial group, we use the notation  $\mathcal{D}^0(Z, X; \mathcal{A})$ . We have not included the resolution  $(\overline{Z}, p)$  in the notation, because two different resolutions give  $G$ -equivalent categories (see

[1, Prop. 3.5]). We can compare these fixed subcategories to the equivariant category  $\mathcal{B}_G(Z, X; R)$  defined in [11, §7].

**Lemma 1.2.** *There is an equivalence of categories  $\mathcal{B}_G(Z, X; R) \simeq \mathcal{D}^G(Z, X; \mathcal{A})$ , when  $\mathcal{A}$  is the category of finitely-generated free  $R$ -modules.*

*Proof.* We define a functor  $F: \mathcal{D}^G(Z, X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G(Z, X; R)$  by sending an object  $A$  to the free  $R$ -module  $F(A)_y = \bigoplus_{g \in G_y} A_{(g,y)}$ , for all  $y \in Y$ , with the obvious reference map to  $Y$ . Similarly, for a morphism  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ , we define  $F(\phi)_y^z = (\phi_{g,y}^{g',z})_{g,g' \in G}$ , for all  $y, z \in Y$ . The verification that this definition makes sense will be left to the reader.

Conversely, we can define a functor  $F': \mathcal{B}_G(Z, X; R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(Z, X; \mathcal{A})$  on objects by decomposing an object  $A = (A_y)$  of  $\mathcal{B}_G(Z, X; R)$  as  $A_y = \bigoplus_{g \in G_y} (A_y)_g$ , since  $(A_y)_g$  is a finitely-generated free  $RG_y$ -module. Now we let  $F'(A)_{(g,y)} = (A_y)_g$ , for all  $y \in Y, g \in G$ , and on morphisms by letting  $F'(\phi)_{g,y}^{g',z} = \phi_{gy}^{g'z}$ . Again the verifications will be left to the reader (technically we should work with a category equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}_G(Z, X; R)$ , in which the objects are based: each  $A = R[T]$ , where  $T$  is a free  $G$ -set, and  $T$  is equipped with a reference map to  $X \times [0, 1]$ ).  $\square$

For applications to assembly maps, we will let  $X$  be a  $G$ -CW complex and  $Z = X \times [0, 1]$  so that  $Y = X \times [0, 1]$ . The category just defined will be denoted

$$\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1], X \times 1; \mathcal{A}) .$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})_\emptyset$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})$  with objects  $A$  such that the intersection with the closure

$$\text{supp}(A) = \overline{\{(x, t) \in \overline{X} \times [0, 1] \mid A_{(x,t)} \neq 0\}} \cap (X \times 1)$$

is the empty set.

**Example 1.3.** If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the additive category of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules, then  $\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})_\emptyset$  is equivalent to the category of finitely generated free  $RG$ -modules, for any  $G$ -CW complex  $X$ .

The quotient category will be denoted  $\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}$ , and we remark that this is a germ category (see [11, §7], [14], [6]). The objects are the same as in  $\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})$  but morphisms are identified if they agree close to  $\overline{X} = \overline{X} \times 1$  (i.e. on the complement of a neighbourhood of  $\overline{X} \times 0$ ). Here is a useful remark.

**Lemma 1.4** ([11]). *Let  $S$  be a discrete left  $G$ -set. The forgetful functor*

$$\mathcal{D}_l^G(S \times X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(S \times X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}$$

*is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* In the germ category, every morphism has a representative which is level-preserving with respect to projection on  $S$ .  $\square$

The category  $\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}$  is an additive category with involution, and we obtain a functor  $G\text{-CW-Complexes} \rightarrow \text{AddCat}^-$ . The results of [5, 1.28, 4.2] now show that the functors  $F^\lambda: G\text{-CW-Complexes} \rightarrow \text{Spectra}$  defined by

$$(1.5) \quad F_G^\lambda(X; \mathcal{A}) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}) \\ \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}) \end{cases},$$

where  $\lambda = \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$  or  $\lambda = \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$  respectively, are  $G$ -homotopy invariant and  $G$ -excisive.

We can now extend the definition of the assembly maps to allow coefficients in any additive category with  $G$ -action.

**Definition 1.6.** We define the *continuously controlled assembly map with coefficients in  $\mathcal{A}$*  to be the map  $F_G^\lambda(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow F_G^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{A})$ .

From the methods of [11], the continuously controlled assembly map with coefficients is homotopy equivalent to the assembly map with coefficients constructed in [4]. The most important example to consider is when  $X = E_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{C}}G$ , in which case the *Farrell-Jones conjecture with coefficients* asserts that this assembly map is an equivalence. Given a discrete group  $G$ , a family of subgroups  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $G$ , and coefficients  $\mathcal{A}$ , we will refer to

$$F_G^\lambda(E_{\mathcal{F}}G; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow F_G^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{A})$$

as the  $(G, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -assembly map.

By applying  $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$  or  $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$  to the sequence of additive categories (with involution):

$$\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})_\emptyset \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}$$

we obtain a fibration of spectra [6]. As in [11], we have the following description for the assembly map.

**Theorem 1.7** ([11, §7]). *The continuously controlled assembly map*

$$F_G^\lambda(X; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow F_G^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{A})$$

*is homotopy equivalent to the connecting map*

$$\lambda(\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}) \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}\lambda(\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})_\emptyset)$$

for  $\lambda = \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$  or  $\lambda = \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$ .

See [11, §2] for the definition of homotopy equivalent functors from

$$G\text{-CW-Complexes} \rightarrow \text{Spectra},$$

and [9, 5.1] for the result that any functor  $E: \mathbf{Or}(G) \rightarrow \text{Spectra}$  out of the orbit category of  $G$  may be extended uniquely (up to homotopy) to a functor  $E_\%: G\text{-CW-Complexes} \rightarrow \text{Spectra}$  which is  $G$ -homotopy invariant and  $G$ -excisive. This will be our method for comparing functors. The *orbit category*  $\mathbf{Or}(G)$  is the category with objects  $G/K$ , for  $K$  any subgroup of  $G$ , and the morphisms are  $G$ -maps.

## 2. CHANGE OF COEFFICIENTS

We will need some ‘change of coefficient’ properties for the categories defined in the last section. The first three properties are essentially just translations of [4, Proposition 2.8] into our language. The corresponding versions for additive categories with involution are needed to apply these change of coefficient functors to  $L$ -theory.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $K$  and  $G$  be groups,  $\mathcal{A}$  an additive category with commuting right  $K$  and  $G$ -actions, and  $S$  a  $K$ - $G$  biset. Then, the category  $\mathcal{D}^K(S; \mathcal{A})$  has a right  $G$ -action via  $(g \cdot A)_y = g^* A_{yg^{-1}}$  and  $(g \cdot \phi)_y^z = g^* \phi_{yg^{-1}}^{zg^{-1}}$ , for all  $y, z \in \bar{S}$ . We will mostly use the level-preserving subcategory  $\mathcal{D}_l^K(S; \mathcal{A})$ .

If  $T$  is a left  $G$ -set, and  $S$  is a transitive  $K$ - $G$  biset (meaning that  $K \backslash S / G$  is a point), we define a  $K \times G$ -action on  $S \times T$  by the formula  $(k, g) \cdot (s, t) := (ksg^{-1}, gt)$  for all  $(k, g) \in K \times G$  and all  $(s, t) \in S \times T$ . This action is used in the statements below.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a left  $G$ -set, and  $S$  be a transitive  $K$ - $G$  biset. Then there is an additive functor*

$$F: \mathcal{D}_I^{K \times G}(S \times T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_I^G(T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A}))$$

which induces an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{D}_I^{K \times G}(S \times T; \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_I^G(T; \mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A})) .$$

*Proof.* We will take the standard resolutions  $\bar{S} = K \times S$ , with elements denoted  $(k, s)$ , for  $k \in K$  and  $s \in S$ , and  $\bar{T} = G \times T \times [0, 1]$ , with elements denoted  $(g, t)$ , for  $g \in G$  and  $t \in T \times [0, 1]$ . Therefore

$$\bar{S} \times \bar{T} = K \times G \times S \times T \times [0, 1]$$

is a resolution for  $S \times T \times [0, 1]$ . We define the functor

$$F: \mathcal{D}_I^{K \times G}(S \times T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_I^G(T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A}))$$

on objects by setting  $B = F(A)_{(g,t)}$  in  $\mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A})$  as the object  $B = (B_{(k,s)})$  with  $B_{(k,s)} = A_{(k,g,s,t)}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We use a similar formula for morphisms:

$$\left( F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \right)_{(k,s)}^{(k',s')} = \phi_{(k,g,s,t)}^{(k',g',s',t')}$$

The proof that this is a well-defined functor is given in Section 5, where step (5''') of the argument depends on the assumption that  $S$  is a transitive  $K$ - $G$  biset.

Since  $\mathcal{D}_I^{K \times G}(S \times T; \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_I^{K \times G}(S \times T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})_\emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{D}_I^G(T; \mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A})) \simeq \mathcal{D}_I^G(T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A}))_\emptyset$ , the functor  $F$  induces an additive functor

$$F: \mathcal{D}_I^{K \times G}(S \times T; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_I^G(T; \mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A})).$$

On this subcategory, we define an inverse additive functor

$$F': \mathcal{D}_I^G(T; \mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A})) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_I^{K \times G}(S \times T; \mathcal{A})$$

on objects by setting  $F'(B)_{(k,g,s,t)} = (B_{(g,t)})_{(k,s)}$ , and a similar formula for morphisms:

$$F'(\phi)_{(k,g,s,t)}^{(k',g',s',t')} = \left( \phi_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \right)_{(k,s)}^{(k',s')}$$

It is easy to check that  $F'$  is a well-defined functor. The functors  $F$  and  $F'$  are inverses, so give an equivalence of categories.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $G$  and  $K$  be groups, and  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category with commuting right  $K$  and  $G$ -actions,. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}^{K \times G}(\bullet; \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{D}^G(\bullet; \mathcal{D}^K(\bullet; \mathcal{A})) .$$

*Proof.* We substitute  $S = \bullet$  and  $T = \bullet$  in the statement above. Note that morphisms are automatically level-preserving in this case.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $K$  and  $G$  be groups,  $\mathcal{A}$  an additive category with commuting right  $K$  and  $G$ -actions, and  $S$  a transitive  $K$ - $G$  biset. Then, for any  $G$ -CW complex  $X$ , the functors*

$$F_{K \times G}^\lambda(S \times X; \mathcal{A})$$

and

$$F_G^\lambda(X; \mathcal{D}_l^K(S; \mathcal{A}))$$

are homotopy equivalent, where  $\lambda = \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$  or  $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$ . Here  $K \times G$  acts on  $S \times X$  by the formula  $(k, g) \cdot (x, s) := (ksg^{-1}, gx)$ .

*Proof.* By [9, 5.1] it is enough to show that the two functors are  $G$ -homotopy invariant,  $G$ -excisive, and homotopy equivalent when restricted to the orbit category  $\mathbf{Or}(G)$ . For the first two properties, we apply [5, 1.28, 4.2]. For the last property, we follow the method of [11, §8]. Let  $T = G/H$  and consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}_l^{K \times G}(S \times T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})_\emptyset & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_l^{K \times G}(S \times T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_l^{K \times G}(S \times T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0} \\ \simeq \downarrow F & & \downarrow F & & \downarrow F \\ \mathcal{D}_l^G(T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_l^K(S; \mathcal{A}))_\emptyset & \simeq & \mathcal{D}_l^G(T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_l^K(S; \mathcal{A})) & \simeq & \mathcal{D}_l^G(T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_l^K(S; \mathcal{A}))^{>0} \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the additive functors of Lemma 2.2. We apply  $\lambda = \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$  or  $\lambda = \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$  to obtain fibrations of spectra. Note that  $\lambda$  applied to either of the middle two categories gives a spectrum with trivial homotopy groups (by an Eilenberg swindle). Therefore the first and third vertical maps induce a homotopy equivalence of spectra. Since the level-preserving condition is automatic on the germ categories, we are done.  $\square$

The next property allows us to divide out a normal subgroup in suitable circumstances.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category with right  $G$ -action such that  $N$  acts trivially. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -CW complex such that  $N$  acts freely on  $X$ . Then there is an additive functor*

$$\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{G/N}(N \backslash X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})$$

*which induces an isomorphism on  $K$ -theory after taking germs away from the empty set.*

*Proof.* We will construct a functor  $F = F_2 \circ F_1$  inducing this isomorphism in two steps. First, we have a functor  $F_1: \mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(N \backslash X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})$ , which is the identity on objects and morphisms. The continuous control condition measured in  $X$  is stronger than the continuous control condition measured in  $N \backslash X$ , so this is well-defined. This functor induces a homotopy invariant and  $G$ -excisive functor

$$F_1: \mathcal{D}^G(G/H \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(N \backslash G/H \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}$$

for  $X = G/H$ , and an equivalence  $\mathcal{D}^G(G/H; \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{D}^G(N \backslash G/H; \mathcal{A})$ . Therefore  $F_1$  induces isomorphisms on  $K$ -theory after taking germs away from the empty set (as in the proof of Lemma 2.4). Secondly, there is a functor

$$F_2: \mathcal{D}^G(N \backslash X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{G/N}(N \backslash X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})$$

defined on objects by  $F_2(A)_{(gN, \bar{y})} = A_{(g, \bar{y})}$ , where  $\bar{y} \in N \backslash X \times [0, 1]$ . We define the functor on morphisms by  $F_2(\phi)_{(gN, \bar{y})}^{(g'N, \bar{y}')} = \phi_{(g, \bar{y})}^{(g', \bar{y}')}$ . This is well-defined by  $G$ -invariance of the objects and morphisms in the domain, and the continuous control conditions on morphisms agree since both are measured in  $N \backslash X$ . We also have an inverse functor  $F_2'$  defined by  $F_2'(A)_{(e, \bar{y})} = A_{(eN, \bar{y})}$  on objects, extended by  $G$ -equivariance, and similarly for morphisms. It follows that  $F_2$  is an equivalence of categories.  $\square$

In the next statement, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an additive  $G$ -category, we denote by  $\text{Res}_H \mathcal{A}$  the same category considered as an  $H$ -category under restriction to a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . The following is ‘‘Shapiro’s Lemma’’ in our setting.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category with  $G$ -action, and  $X$  be an  $H$ -CW complex. There is an additive functor*

$$\mathcal{D}^H(X \times [0, 1]; \text{Res}_H \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(G \times_H X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})$$

*which induces an equivalence of categories after taking germs.*

*Proof.* This proposition is proven in [1, Proposition 8.3] in the case where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the category of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules. The same proof works for any coefficient category once the functor  $\text{Ind}: \mathcal{D}^H(X \times [0, 1]; \text{Res}_H \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(G \times_H X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})$  is defined for general  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{D}^H(X \times [0, 1]; \text{Res}_H \mathcal{A})$ . Then

$$\text{Ind}: \mathcal{D}^H(X \times [0, 1]; \text{Res}_H \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(G \times_H X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})$$

is defined by  $\text{Ind}(A)_{[g,y]} = (g^{-1})^* A_y$ , and  $\text{Ind}(\phi)_{[g,y]}^{[g',y']}$  is  $(g^{-1})^* \phi_y^{g^{-1}g'y'}$  if  $g^{-1}g' \in H$ , and is zero otherwise. The inverse of this functor on the corresponding germ categories is induced by the inclusion  $i: X \rightarrow G \times_H X$ . That is,  $\text{Ind}^{-1}(M)_y = M_{i(y)}$  and  $\text{Ind}^{-1}(\psi)_y^{y'} = \psi_{i(y)}^{i(y')}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** The equivalences given in these three properties are natural with respect to equivariant maps  $X \rightarrow X'$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an additive category with involution, one can check that the above properties continue to hold in this context. This is needed for applications to the  $L$ -theory assembly maps.

### 3. ASSEMBLY AND SUBGROUPS

The properties of the continuously controlled categories given so far lead to a formal statement about assembly and subgroups. This is just our version of [4, Proposition 4.2]. If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  is an additive  $H$ -category, we denote  $\text{Ind}_H^G \mathcal{A} := \mathcal{D}_l^H(G; \mathcal{A})$  considered as a  $G$ -category by using the  $H$ - $G$  biset structure of  $G$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow X'$  be a  $G$ -equivariant map between  $G$ -CW complexes. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category with  $H$ -action. Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^H(\text{Res}_H X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>\emptyset} & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{D}^H(\text{Res}_H X' \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>\emptyset} \\ \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq \\ \mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \text{Ind}_H^G \mathcal{A})^{>\emptyset} & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{D}^G(X' \times [0, 1]; \text{Ind}_H^G \mathcal{A})^{>\emptyset} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4 with  $K = H$  and  $S = G$ , we have

$$\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \text{Ind}_H^G \mathcal{A})^{>\emptyset} \simeq \mathcal{D}^{H \times G}(G \times X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>\emptyset}$$

where  $1 \times G$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{A}$  in the right-hand side. Finally,

$$\mathcal{D}^{H \times G}(G \times X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0} \simeq \mathcal{D}^H(\text{Res}_H X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}$$

by applying Lemma 2.5 to  $H \times G$  with  $N = G$ . Note that  $G$  acts freely on  $G \times X$ , with quotient isomorphic to  $\text{Res}_H X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subgroups of  $G$ . Suppose that the  $K$ -theory or  $L$ -theory  $(G, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$ -assembly map is an isomorphism (respectively injection or surjection) for every additive coefficient category  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $G$ -action. Then the  $(H, \mathcal{F}|_H, \mathcal{A})$ -assembly map is an isomorphism (respectively injection or surjection) for any additive coefficient category  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $H$ -action.*

*Proof.* Just substitute  $X = E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  and  $X' = \bullet$  in the diagram above.  $\square$

In particular, this says that the Farrell-Jones conjecture with coefficients is stable under taking subgroups. These ideas can be extended further to obtain a version of the fibered isomorphism conjecture.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\phi: H \rightarrow G$  be a group homomorphism, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subgroups of  $G$ . If the  $K$ -theory or  $L$ -theory assembly map for  $G$  relative to the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism (respectively injective or surjective), with twisted coefficients in any additive  $G$ -category, then the assembly map for  $H$  relative to the pull-back family  $\phi^*\mathcal{F} = \{K \leq H \mid \phi(K) \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is an isomorphism (respectively injection or surjection), with twisted coefficients in any additive  $H$ -category.*

*Proof.* The proof is the same as for Proposition 3.1 using  $X = E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  and  $X' = \bullet$ , with the action of  $H$  on  $S = G$  and on  $X$  defined via  $\phi$ , and  $\text{Res}_{\phi} X = E_{\phi^*\mathcal{F}}G$ .  $\square$

#### 4. ASSEMBLY FOR EXTENSIONS

In [12] the Baum-Connes conjecture for topological  $K$ -theory is shown to pass to extensions. We show that there is a similar statement for algebraic  $K$ - and  $L$ -theory.

The proof outline used in [12] has two main steps, which we now translate into our setting. In the first step we use a discrete transitive right  $G$ -set  $S$ , which can be expressed as a single orbit  $S = \{s\} \cdot G$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -CW complex,  $S = \{s\} \cdot G$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive  $G$ -category with involution. Then there is an additive functor*

$$\mathcal{D}^{G_s}(\mathrm{Res}_{G_s} X \times [0, 1]; \mathrm{Res}_{G_s} \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_l^0(S; \mathcal{A}))^{>0}$$

which induces a homotopy equivalence of spectra after applying  $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$  or  $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$ . This equivalence is natural with respect to maps  $X \rightarrow X'$  of  $G$ -CW complexes.

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.6,

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{D}^{G_s}(\mathrm{Res}_{G_s} X \times [0, 1]; \mathrm{Res}_{G_s} \mathcal{A})^{>0}) \simeq \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{D}^G(G \times_{G_s} X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}).$$

Since  $G \times_{G_s} X$  is  $G$ -equivariantly homeomorphic to  $(G_s \backslash G) \times X = S \times X$ , via the map  $[g, x] \mapsto (Hg^{-1}, gx)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{D}^G(G \times_{G_s} X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0} \cong \mathcal{D}^G(S \times X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0},$$

where  $S \times X$  has the usual left  $G$ -action  $g \cdot (s, x) = (sg^{-1}, gx)$ . Finally, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{D}^G(S \times X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A})^{>0}) \simeq \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}(\mathcal{D}^G(X \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_l^0(S; \mathcal{A}))^{>0}).$$

The same proof works if we replace  $\mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$  by  $\mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.2.** Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow K$  be a surjection of groups, and  $V \subset K$  be a subgroup. We consider  $S = K$  as a right- $(G \times V)$ -set via the transitive action  $k \cdot (g, v) := \pi(g)^{-1}kv$ , where  $g \in G$ ,  $v \in V$ , and  $k \in K$ . Let  $X$  be a  $(G \times K)$ -CW complex, and let  $V' \subset G \times V$  denote the stabilizer subgroup of  $e \in K$ . Notice that  $V' \cong \pi^{-1}(V)$ , since  $\pi(g)^{-1}v = e$  implies  $g \in \pi^{-1}(v)$ . By Proposition 4.1, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{V'}^\lambda(X; \mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & F_{V'}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ F_{G \times V}^\lambda(X; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) & \longrightarrow & F_{G \times V}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) \end{array}$$

for  $\lambda = \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$  or  $\lambda = \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$ , which shows that the lower assembly map is a homotopy equivalence of spectra whenever the upper map is an equivalence.

**Remark 4.3.** In the proof of Theorem A, we will be using Example 4.2 with  $X = E_{\mathcal{F}_G} G \times E_{\mathcal{F}_K} K$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_G$  is a family of subgroups of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{F}_K$  is a family of subgroups of  $K$  such that  $\pi(H) \in \mathcal{F}_K$  for every  $H \in \mathcal{F}_G$ . If  $V \in \mathcal{F}_K$ , then the map  $E_{\mathcal{F}_G} G \times E_{\mathcal{F}_K} K \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{F}_G} G \times \bullet$  is a  $G \times V$ -equivariant homotopy equivalence.

Therefore, it is a  $V'$ -equivariant homotopy equivalence. Since  $V' \cong \pi^{-1}(V)$ , we have the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{\pi^{-1}(V)}^\lambda(E_{\mathcal{F}_G}G; \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{a} & F_{\pi^{-1}(V)}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ F_{G \times V}^\lambda(X; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) & \xrightarrow{b} & F_{G \times V}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) \end{array}$$

where  $X = E_{\mathcal{F}_G}G \times E_{\mathcal{F}_K}K$ .

If  $V = K$ , then  $G \cong V' \subset G \times K$  and  $G$  acts on  $X = E_{\mathcal{F}_G}G \times E_{\mathcal{F}_K}K$  via this isomorphism. Since we are assuming that  $\pi(H) \in \mathcal{F}_K$  for every  $H \in \mathcal{F}_G$ ,  $X$  is a model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}_G}G$ . Thus, we have the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_G^\lambda(E_{\mathcal{F}_G}G; \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{c} & F_G^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ F_{G \times K}^\lambda(X; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) & \xrightarrow{d} & F_{G \times K}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) \end{array}$$

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be discrete groups, and let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be  $G_1$ - and  $G_2$ -CW complexes, respectively. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $G_1 \times G_2$ -additive category with involution. The *partial assembly map*,

$$\mu^{G_1, G_2}: F_{G_1 \times G_2}^\lambda(X_1 \times X_2; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow F_{G_2}^\lambda(X_2; \mathcal{D}^{G_1}(\bullet; \mathcal{A})),$$

is the map induced by the second factor projection  $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \bullet \times X_2$ , composed with the homotopy equivalence from Lemma 2.4 with  $S = \bullet$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *The partial assembly map is natural in the control spaces and involution invariant.*  $\square$

Now the second step of the proof outline gives a criterion for the partial assembly map to be an equivalence.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $G$  and  $K$  be groups, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an additive  $G \times K$ -category. Let  $\mathcal{F}_K$  be a family of subgroups of  $K$ . Let  $X_1$  be a  $G$ -CW complex and  $X_2$  be a  $K$ -CW complex with isotropy in  $\mathcal{F}_K$ . Suppose that*

$$F_{G \times V}^\lambda(X_1 \times \bullet; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow F_{G \times V}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{B})$$

*is a homotopy equivalence for all subgroups  $V \in \mathcal{F}_K$ . Then the partial assembly map*

$$\mu^{G, K}: F_{G \times K}^\lambda(X_1 \times X_2; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow F_K^\lambda(X_2; \mathcal{D}^G(\bullet; \mathcal{B}))$$

is also an equivalence for  $\lambda = \mathbb{K}^{-\infty}$  or  $\lambda = \mathbb{L}^{-\infty}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X_2 = K/V$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{F}_K$ . Then, by Shapiro's Lemma (Proposition 2.6),

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{G \times V}^\lambda(X_1 \times \bullet; \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\mu^{G,V}} & F_V^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{D}^G(\bullet; \mathcal{B})) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ F_{G \times K}^\lambda(X_1 \times K/V; \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\mu^{G,K}} & F_{G \times K}^\lambda(K/V; \mathcal{D}^G(\bullet; \mathcal{B})) \end{array}$$

and the upper map is an equivalence by assumption, since  $F_V^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{D}^G(\bullet; \mathcal{B})) \simeq F_{G \times V}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{B})$ . Both the functors  $H(X_2) := F_{G \times K}^\lambda(X_1 \times X_2; \mathcal{B})$  and  $H'(X_2) := F_K^\lambda(X_2; \mathcal{D}^G(\bullet; \mathcal{B}))$  are homotopy-invariant and  $K$ -excisive functors from  $K$ -CW complexes to spectra. Since  $H(K/V) \simeq H'(K/V)$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{F}_K$ , we conclude that  $H(X_2) \simeq H'(X_2)$  for all  $K$ -CW complexes with isotropy in  $\mathcal{F}_K$ .  $\square$

The following is our main result about extensions:

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} K$  be a group extension, where  $N \triangleleft G$  is a normal subgroup, and  $K$  is the quotient group. Let  $\mathcal{F}_G$  be a family of subgroups of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  an additive category with right  $G$ -action. Let  $\mathcal{F}_K$  be a family of subgroups of  $K$  such that  $\pi(H) \in \mathcal{F}_K$  for every  $H \in \mathcal{F}_G$ . Suppose that for every  $V \in \mathcal{F}_K$  the  $(\pi^{-1}(V), \mathcal{F}_G|_{\pi^{-1}(V)}, \mathcal{A})$ -assembly map in algebraic  $K$ -theory is an isomorphism, and that for every additive category  $\mathcal{B}$  with right  $K$ -action the  $(K, \mathcal{F}_K, \mathcal{B})$ -assembly map in algebraic  $K$ -theory is injective (resp. surjective). Then the  $(G, \mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{A})$ -assembly map in algebraic  $K$ -theory is injective (resp. surjective).*

*The same statement holds for algebraic  $L$ -theory as well.*

**Example 4.8.** Suppose that  $N$  is finite normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then the Farrell-Jones conjecture with twisted coefficients holds for  $G$  if it holds for  $K = G/N$ .

**Example 4.9.** Suppose that  $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow K \rightarrow 1$  is a group extension, and  $\mathcal{F}_G$  and  $\mathcal{F}_K$  both denote the family of finite subgroups of their respective groups. Then the conclusions of Theorem 4.7 hold provided that the assembly map is injective (resp. surjective) for  $K$  and for every subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$  as a subgroup of finite index.

*The Proof of Theorem 4.7.* Let  $X = E_{\mathcal{F}_G}G \times E_{\mathcal{F}_K}K$ . Let  $V \in \mathcal{F}_K$  be given. By Remark 4.3, we have a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{\pi^{-1}(V)}^\lambda(E_{\mathcal{F}_G}G; \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{a} & F_{\pi^{-1}(V)}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ F_{G \times V}^\lambda(X; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) & \xrightarrow{b} & F_{G \times V}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})$ , and note that the upper map  $a$  is an equivalence by assumption, since  $\text{Res}_{\pi^{-1}(V)} E_{\mathcal{F}_G}G$  is a universal space for the family  $\mathcal{F}_G|_{\pi^{-1}(V)}$ . Hence, the lower map  $b$  is also an equivalence. By Proposition 4.6, we have the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{G \times K}^\lambda(X; \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{d} & F_{G \times K}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{B}) \\ \mu^{G,K} \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ F_K^\lambda(E_{\mathcal{F}_K}K; \mathcal{D}^G(\bullet; \mathcal{B})) & \xrightarrow{e} & F_K^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{D}^G(\bullet; \mathcal{B})) \end{array}$$

By assumption, the map  $e$  is injective (resp. surjective), which implies that  $d$  is injective (resp. surjective).

Using Remark 4.3 again, we have the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_G^\lambda(E_{\mathcal{F}_G}G; \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{c} & F_G^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ F_{G \times K}^\lambda(X; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) & \xrightarrow{d} & F_{G \times K}^\lambda(\bullet; \mathcal{D}_l^0(K; \mathcal{A})) \end{array}$$

Therefore, the assembly map  $c$  is injective (resp. surjective).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.10.** *The Farrell-Jones conjecture with twisted coefficients is true for  $G_1 \times G_2$  if and only if it is true for  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ , and every product  $V_1 \times V_2$ , where  $V_1 \leq G_1$  and  $V_2 \leq G_2$  are virtually cyclic subgroups.*

*Proof.* By our main result applied to the projection  $G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_2$ , we may assume that  $G_2$  is virtually cyclic. Similarly, we may assume that  $G_1$  is virtually cyclic. Thus, we are reduced to knowing the conjecture for products  $V_1 \times V_2$  of virtually cyclic subgroups of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively.  $\square$

**Remark 4.11.** A product  $V_1 \times V_2$  of virtually cyclic subgroups can be further reduced to the basic cases  $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z} \times D_\infty$  and  $D_\infty \times D_\infty$  after quotients by finite normal subgroups.

## 5. THE PROOF OF LEMMA 2.2

We will check the details of Lemma 2.2, which asserts that there is an additive functor

$$F: \mathcal{D}_I^{K \times G}(S \times T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_I^G(T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A}))$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (F(A))_{(g,t)}(k,s) &:= A_{(k,g,s,t)} \\ \left( F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \right)_{(k,s)}^{(k',s')} &:= \phi_{(k,g,s,t)}^{(k',g',s',t')}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathcal{A}$  is an additive category with commuting right  $K$  and  $G$ -actions,  $T$  a left  $G$ -set and  $S$  a transitive  $K$ - $G$  biset. The group  $K \times G$  acts on  $S \times T$  by the formula  $(k, g) \cdot (s, t) := (ksg^{-1}, gt)$ . Recall the notation  $(k, s)$  for elements of  $K \times S$ , and  $(g, t)$  for elements of  $G \times T \times [0, 1]$ . We will let  $\epsilon: T \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T$  denote the projection map. Notice that  $\phi_{(k,g,s,t)}^{(k',g',s',t')} = 0$  unless  $s = s'$  and  $\epsilon(t) = \epsilon(t')$ , since the morphisms  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  in the domain category are assumed to be level-preserving. The free  $(K \times G)$ -space

$$\overline{S} \times \overline{T} = K \times G \times S \times T \times [0, 1]$$

is a resolution for  $S \times T \times [0, 1]$ . The proof that  $F$  is a functor is done in the following steps.

(1).  $F(\phi \circ \psi) = F(\phi) \circ F(\psi)$ . Since

$$\left( F(\phi) \circ F(\psi) \right)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} = \sum_{(g'',t'')} F(\phi)_{(g'',t'')}^{(g',t')} \circ F(\psi)_{(g,t)}^{(g'',t'')}$$

we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( (F(\phi) \circ F(\psi))_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \right)_{(k,s)}^{(k',s')} &= \left( \sum_{(g'',t'')} F(\phi)_{(g'',t'')}^{(g',t')} \circ F(\psi)_{(g,t)}^{(g'',t'')} \right)_{(k,s)}^{(k',s')} \\
&= \sum_{(g'',t'')} \left( F(\phi)_{(g'',t'')}^{(g',t')} \circ F(\psi)_{(g,t)}^{(g'',t'')} \right)_{(k,s)}^{(k',s')} \\
&= \sum_{(g'',t'')} \sum_{(k'',s'')} \phi_{(k'',g'',s'',t'')}^{(k',g',s',t')} \circ \psi_{(k,g,s,t)}^{(k'',g'',s'',t'')} \\
&= (\phi \circ \psi)_{(k,g,s,t)}^{(k',g',s',t')} \\
&= \left( F(\phi \circ \psi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \right)_{(k,s)}^{(k',s')}
\end{aligned}$$

(2).  $F(A)_{(g,t)}$  is an object of  $\mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A})$ , for every  $(g, t) \in G \times T \times [0, 1)$ .

(2').  $F(A)_{(g,t)}$  is  $K$ -invariant. For each  $h \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(h^*(F(A)_{(g,t)}))_{(k,s)} &= h^*((F(A)_{(g,t)})(hk,hs)) \\
&= h^*(A_{(hk,g,hs,t)}) \\
&= (h^*A)_{(k,g,s,t)} \\
&= A_{(k,g,s,t)} \\
&= (F(A)_{(g,t)})_{(k,s)}
\end{aligned}$$

(2''). The support of  $F(A)_{(g,t)}$  is  $K$ -compact in  $K \times S$ .

Since a discrete  $K$ -set is  $K$ -compact if and only if its image under the quotient map is finite, we need to show that  $K \setminus \text{supp}(F(A)_{(g,t)})$  is finite. Let  $p$  be the projection map from  $K \times G \times S \times T \times [0, 1)$  to  $K \times G \times S \times T$ ,  $M = p(\text{supp}(A))$ , and  $N = p(\text{supp}(A) \cap K \times \{g\} \times S \times \{t\}) \subset M$ . Consider the following commutative diagram, in which  $f(k', g', s', t') = (k', s'g')$ ,  $m_g(k, s) = (k, sg^{-1})$ , and the vertical arrows are quotient maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K \times G \times S \times T & \xrightarrow{f} & K \times S & \xrightarrow{m_g} & K \times S \\
\downarrow q_{K \times G} & & \downarrow q_K & & \downarrow q_K \\
(K \times G) \setminus (K \times G \times S \times T) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & K \setminus (K \times S) & \xrightarrow{\bar{m}_g} & K \setminus (K \times S)
\end{array}$$

Since  $M$  is discrete and  $(K \times G)$ -compact,  $q_{K \times G}(M)$  is finite. Since  $N \subset M$ ,  $q_{K \times G}(N)$  is also finite. Therefore,  $(\bar{m}_g \circ \bar{f} \circ q_{K \times G})(N) = (q_K \circ m_g \circ f)(N) = q_K(\text{supp}(F(A)_{(g,t)}))$  is finite.

(3).  $F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')}$  is a morphism of  $\mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A})$ , for every  $(g, t), (g', t') \in G \times T \times [0, 1]$ .

(3').  $F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')}$  is  $K$ -invariant. The proof is similar to the proof of (2').

(3''). Fix  $(k, s) \in K \times S$ . Then, the following set is finite:

$$P = \left\{ (k', s') \in K \times S \mid \left( F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \right)_{(k,s)}^{(k',s')} \neq 0 \text{ or } \left( F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \right)_{(k',s')}^{(k,s)} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

The sets  $\left\{ (k', g', s', t') \in K \times G \times S \times T \times [0, 1] \mid \phi_{(k,g,s,t)}^{(k',g',s',t')} \neq 0 \right\}$  and  $\left\{ (k', g, s', t) \in K \times G \times S \times T \times [0, 1] \mid \phi_{(k',g,s',t)}^{(k,g,s,t)} \neq 0 \right\}$  are finite and their union projects onto  $P$ .

(3''').  $F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')}$  is level preserving in  $S$ . This is because  $\phi$  is level-preserving in  $S \times T$ .

(4).  $F(A)$  is an object of  $\mathcal{D}_I^G(T \times [0, 1]; \mathcal{D}_I^K(S; \mathcal{A}))$ .

(4').  $F(A)$  is  $G$ -invariant. For each  $\gamma \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma^*(F(A))_{(g,t)})_{(k,s)} &= (\gamma^*(F(A)_{(\gamma g, \gamma t)}))_{(k,s)} \\ &= \gamma^*((F(A)_{(\gamma g, \gamma t)})_{(k, s\gamma^{-1})}) \\ &= \gamma^*(A_{(k, \gamma g, s\gamma^{-1}, \gamma t)}) \\ &= (\gamma^*A)_{(k, g, s, t)} \\ &= A_{(k, g, s, t)} \\ &= (F(A)_{(g,t)})_{(k,s)} \end{aligned}$$

(4''). The support of  $F(A)$  is relatively  $G$ -compact in  $G \times T \times [0, 1]$ .

Let  $p: K \times G \times S \times T \times [0, 1] \rightarrow G \times T \times [0, 1]$  be the projection map. Since  $\text{supp}(A)$  is relatively  $(K \times G)$ -compact and  $p(\text{supp}(A)) = \text{supp}(F(A))$ ,  $\text{supp}(F(A))$  is relatively  $G$ -compact in  $G \times T \times [0, 1]$ .

(4'''). The support of  $F(A)$  is locally finite in  $G \times T \times [0, 1]$ .

Let  $(g, t) \in \text{supp}(F(A))$  be given. We must find an open neighborhood  $U \subset G \times T \times [0, 1)$  of  $(g, t)$  such that  $U \cap \text{supp}(F(A)) = \{(g, t)\}$ . Let

$$L = \{(k, s) \in K \times S \mid (k, g, s, t) \in \text{supp}(A)\}.$$

From **(1'')**, we know that  $L$  is  $K$ -compact. That is,  $L = K \cdot (K_0 \times S_0)$ , where  $K_0 \subset K$  and  $S_0 \subset S$  are finite sets. Since  $\text{supp}(A)$  is locally finite in  $K \times G \times S \times T \times [0, 1)$ , for each  $(k_i, s_i) \in K_0 \times S_0$ , there is a neighborhood  $U_i \subset T \times [0, 1)$  of  $t$ , such that

$$(\{k_i\} \times \{g\} \times \{s_i\} \times U_i) \cap \text{supp}(A) = \{(k_i, g, s_i, t)\}.$$

Thus, for each  $(k, s) \in L$ , there is an  $i$ , such that

$$(\{k\} \times \{g\} \times \{s\} \times U_i) \cap \text{supp}(A) = \{(k, g, s, t)\}.$$

Therefore, if we let  $U = \{g\} \times (\cap_i U_i)$ , then  $U \cap \text{supp}(F(A)) = \{(g, t)\}$ .

**(5)**.  $F(\phi)$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{D}_l^G(T \times [0, 1); \mathcal{D}_l^K(S; \mathcal{A}))$ .

**(5')**.  $F(\phi)$  is  $G$ -invariant. The proof is similar to the proof of **(3')**.

**(5'')**. Fix  $(g, t) \in G \times T \times [0, 1)$ . Then, the following set is finite

$$\left\{ (g', t') \in G \times T \times [0, 1) \mid F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \neq 0 \text{ or } F(\phi)_{(g',t')}^{(g,t)} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

As we saw in **(2'')**,  $\text{supp}(A) \cap K \times \{g\} \times S \times \{t\}$  is  $K$ -compact. Therefore, it is contained in  $K \cdot (K_0 \times \{g\} \times S_0 \times \{t\})$ , for some finite subsets  $K_0 \in K$  and  $S_0 \in S$ . Notice that by  $K$ -equivariance,  $F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \neq 0$  if and only if there exists an  $s_0 \in S_0$ ,  $k_0 \in K_0$  and  $k' \in K$  such that  $\phi_{(k_0, g, s_0, t)}^{(k', g', s, t')} \neq 0$ . But for each  $k_0 \in K_0$  and each  $s_0 \in S_0$ , there are only finitely many  $k' \in K$ ,  $g' \in G$  and  $t' \in T \times [0, 1)$  such that  $\phi_{(k_0, g, s_0, t)}^{(k', g', s_0, t')} \neq 0$ . Therefore, there are only finitely many  $g' \in G$  and  $t' \in T \times [0, 1)$  such that  $F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} \neq 0$ . A similar argument shows that there are only finitely many  $g' \in G$  and  $t' \in T \times [0, 1)$  such that  $F(\phi)_{(g',t')}^{(g,t)} \neq 0$ .

**(5''')**.  $F(\phi)$  is continuously controlled in  $T \times [0, 1)$ .

Let  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{D}_l^{K \times G}(S \times T \times [0, 1); \mathcal{A})$ . Let  $(x_0, 1) \in T \times [0, 1]$  and a  $G_{x_0}$ -invariant neighborhood  $U \subset T \times [0, 1]$  of  $(x_0, 1)$  be given. We must find a  $G_{x_0}$ -invariant neighborhood  $V \subset T \times [0, 1]$  of  $(x_0, 1)$ , such that  $F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')} = 0 = F(\phi)_{(g',t')}^{(g,t)}$  whenever  $(g, t) \in G \times V$  and  $(g', t') \notin G \times U$ .

By definition,  $\left(F(\phi)_{(g,t)}^{(g',t')}\right)_{(k,s)}^{(k',s)} = \phi_{(k,g,s,t)}^{(k',g',s,t')}$ . Let  $s_0 \in S$  with  $K \cdot s_0 \cdot G = S$ , and let  $H \leq K \times G$  be the stabilizer subgroup of  $s_0$ . We will identify  $G \times T \times [0, 1]$  with the level  $\{s_0\} \times G \times T \times [0, 1]$ . Notice that the intersection of  $\text{supp}(A)$  with  $K \times G \times \{s_0\} \times T \times [0, 1)$  is contained in,

$$\bigcup_{(a,b) \in H} a \cdot K_0 \times b \cdot G_0 \times \{s_0\} \times b \cdot T_0 \times [0, 1),$$

where  $K_0 \subset K$ ,  $G_0 \subset G$  and  $T_0 \subset T$  are finite sets. This holds since  $\text{supp}(A)$  is relatively  $(K \times G)$ -compact and any element of  $(K \times G) - H$  will move  $s_0$  to another level in  $S$ .

Suppose that  $\phi_{(k,g,s,t)}^{(k',g',s,t')} \neq 0$  for some  $k \in K$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $t \in U$ . Then we can write  $\tau s \gamma^{-1} = s_0$ , for some  $\tau \in K$  and some  $\gamma \in G$ . By equivariance,  $\phi_{(\tau k, \gamma g, s_0, \gamma t)}^{(\tau k', \gamma g', s_0, \gamma t')} \neq 0$ . For this to happen,  $(\tau k, \gamma g, s_0, \gamma t) \in \text{supp}(A)$ . This implies that there exists  $(a, b) \in H$  such that

$$(\tau k, \gamma g, s_0, \gamma t) \in a \cdot K_0 \times b \cdot G_0 \times \{s_0\} \times b \cdot T_0 \times [0, 1),$$

which is equivalent to saying that

$$(a^{-1} \tau k, b^{-1} \gamma g, s_0, b^{-1} \gamma t) \in K_0 \times G_0 \times \{s_0\} \times T_0 \times [0, 1)$$

In particular,  $b^{-1} \gamma t \in b^{-1} \gamma U \cap (T_0 \times [0, 1))$ .

Since  $T_0$  is finite, there are only finitely many elements of  $G$ , say  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r\}$ , such that  $g_i U \cap (T_0 \times [0, 1)) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\gamma = b g_i$  for some  $(a, b) \in H$  that fixes  $s_0$  and some  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

Since  $\phi$  is continuously controlled at  $g_i \cdot (x_0, 1)$  along  $S \times T \times 1$ , there is a neighborhood  $V_i \subset T \times [0, 1]$  of  $(x_0, 1)$  such that  $\phi_{(k,g,s_0,g_i t)}^{(k',g',s_0,g_i t')} = 0$  if  $t \in V_i$  and  $t' \notin U$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

Let  $V = \bigcap_i V_i$ . Then, if  $t \in V$  and  $t' \notin U$ , we have

$$\phi_{(a^{-1} \tau k, g_i g, s_0, g_i t)}^{(a^{-1} \tau k', g_i g', s_0, g_i t')} = 0$$

and hence

$$0 = \phi_{(\tau k, b g_i g, s_0, b g_i t)}^{(\tau k', b g_i g', s_0, b g_i t')} = \phi_{(\tau k, \gamma g, s_0, \gamma t)}^{(\tau k', \gamma g', s_0, \gamma t')} = \phi_{(k, g, s, t)}^{(k', g', s, t')}$$

by equivariance of the morphisms, and the relations  $\gamma = b g_i$ ,  $s_0 = \tau s \gamma^{-1}$ . A similar argument shows that  $F(\phi)_{(g',t')}^{(g,t)} = 0$  if  $t \in V$  and  $t' \notin U$ . Therefore  $F(\phi)$  is continuously controlled along  $T \times 1$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bartels, F. T. Farrell, L. E. Jones, and H. Reich, *On the isomorphism conjecture in algebraic K-theory*, *Topology* **43** (2004), 157–213.
- [2] A. Bartels, W. Lück, and H. Reich, *On the Farrell-Jones conjecture and its applications*, *J. Topol.* **1** (2008), 57–86.
- [3] A. Bartels and H. Reich, *On the Farrell-Jones conjecture for higher algebraic K-theory*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), 501–545 (electronic).
- [4] ———, *Coefficients for the Farrell-Jones conjecture*, *Adv. Math.* **209** (2007), 337–362.
- [5] M. Cárdenas and E. K. Pedersen, *On the Karoubi filtration of a category*, *K-theory* **12** (1997), 165–191.
- [6] G. Carlsson and E. K. Pedersen, *Controlled algebra and the Novikov conjectures for K- and L-theory*, *Topology* **34** (1995), 731–758.
- [7] ———, *Čech homology and the Novikov conjectures*, *Math. Scand.* **82** (1998), 5–47.
- [8] G. Carlsson, E. K. Pedersen, and W. Vogell, *Continuously controlled algebraic K-theory of spaces and the Novikov conjecture*, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 169–182.
- [9] J. F. Davis and W. Lück, *Spaces over a category and assembly maps in isomorphism conjectures in K- and L-theory*, *K-Theory* **15** (1998), 201–252.
- [10] F. T. Farrell and L. E. Jones, *Isomorphism conjectures in algebraic K-theory*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1993), 249–297.
- [11] I. Hambleton and E. K. Pedersen, *Identifying assembly maps in K- and L-theory*, *Math. Ann.* **328** (2004), 27–57.
- [12] H. Oyono-Oyono, *Baum-Connes conjecture and extensions*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **532** (2001), 133–149.
- [13] E. K. Pedersen, *Continuously controlled surgery theory*, *Surveys on surgery theory*, Vol. 1, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 307–321.
- [14] E. K. Pedersen and C. Weibel, *K-theory homology of spaces*, *Algebraic Topology*, (Arcata, 1986), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1370, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 346–361.
- [15] D. Rosenthal, *Splitting with continuous control in algebraic K-theory*, *K-Theory* **32** (2004), 139–166.
- [16] ———, *Continuous control and the algebraic L-theory assembly map*, *Forum Math.* **18** (2006), 193–209.
- [17] D. Rosenthal and D. Schütz, *On the algebraic K- and L-theory of word hyperbolic groups*, *Math. Ann.* **332** (2005), 523–532.

Ian Hambleton

Department of Mathematics & Statistics

McMaster University

Hamilton, ON L8S 4K1, Canada.

E-mail: ian@math.mcmaster.ca

Erik K. Pedersen  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Copenhagen  
DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark.  
E-mail: erik@math.ku.dk

David Rosenthal  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
St. John's University  
Jamaica, NY 11439, USA.  
E-mail: rosenthd@stjohns.edu