

Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly
Volume 7, Number 4
(*Special Issue:*
In memory of Eckart Viehweg)
1585—1598, 2011

Finiteness of Subfamilies of Calabi-Yau n -Folds over Curves with Maximal Length of Yukawa-Coupling

Kefeng Liu, Andrey Todorov, Shing-Tung Yau and Kang Zuo

Dedicated to the memory of E. Viehweg

Abstract: We give a simplified and more algebraic proof of the finiteness of the families of Calabi-Yau n -folds with non-vanishing of Yukawa-coupling over a fixed base curve and with fixed degeneration locus. We also give a generalization of this result. Our method is variation of Hodge structure and poly-stability of Higgs bundles.

Keywords: Calabi-Yau manifolds, finiteness, variation of Hodge structure and Yukawa-coupling.

1. Introduction

In this short note, we give a simplified proof of the main theorem in [LYZ03], namely the set of subfamilies of Calabi-Yau n -folds with non-vanishing Yukawa-coupling over the fixed base curve and with fixed degeneration locus is finite. The method is based on variation of Hodge structure and poly-stability of Higgs bundles. We hope this approach will be more understandable to algebraic geometers, particularly to people working in Hodge theory. A sketch of this simplified proof was communicated by the last named author to C. Peters in the several e-mail exchanges with him (see [Pe10]). We thank him for very helpful discussions on

Received: Oct. 19, 2010; Revised: Dec. 14, 2010.

The last named author was supported by SFB/Transregio 45 Periods, Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic of Algebraic Varieties of the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft).

the main theorem in [LTYZ03].

Note that if we consider the subset of sub-families passing through the maximal nilpotent degeneration locus, the finiteness of such families was proved by Zhang Yi in his PhD thesis [Zh04]. However, in general a rigid family does not need passing through the maximal nilpotent degeneration locus.

Very recently J. C. Rohde [rohde09] and later A. Garbagnati and B. Van Geemen [Gar-Gee09] have found some universal families of Calabi-Yau 3-folds without maximal nilpotent degeneration locus. Moreover the Yukawa-coupling vanishes. To cover more general cases, in this note we also formulate a more general criterion for the rigidity of sub-families of those universal families with vanishing Yukawa-coupling. We use the notion of the length of Yukawa coupling attached to a subfamily introduced in [VZ03] and show that the set of subfamilies of Calabi-Yau n -folds over the fixed base curve and with fixed degeneration locus, whose Yukawa-coupling have the same length as the length of the universal family, is finite. We note that a subfamily with non-vanishing Yukawa-coupling is non-isotrivial.

Acknowledgments: This work was done while the last named author was visiting Center of Mathematical Sciences at Zhejiang University and East China Normal University. He would like to thank both institutions' financial support and the hospitality. We would like to thank the referee for careful reading and helpful comments.

2. Universal Family over Moduli Space with Level N -Structure

Let $\mathcal{M}_h(\mathbb{C})$ denote the set of isomorphic classes of minimal polarized manifolds F with fixed Hilbert polynomial h , and let \mathcal{M}_h be the corresponding moduli functor, i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}_h(U) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (f : V \rightarrow U, \mathcal{L}); f \text{ smooth and} \\ (f^{-1}(u), \mathcal{L}|_{f^{-1}(u)}) \in \mathcal{M}_h(\mathbb{C}), \text{ for all } u \in U \end{array} \right\}$$

By Viehweg [V95] there exists a quasi-projective coarse moduli scheme M_h for \mathcal{M}_h . Fixing a projective manifold \bar{U} and the complement U of a normal crossing

divisor, we want to consider

$$\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi : (\bar{U}, U) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_h, M_h) \text{ induced} \\ \text{by non - isotrivial families } f : X \rightarrow U \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since M_h is just a coarse moduli scheme, it is not clear whether \mathbf{H} has a scheme structure. However, since we are considering here the moduli space of polarized Calabi-Yau n -folds, all $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$ admit locally injective Torelli map for the Hodge structure on $H^n(F, \mathbb{Z})$. Hence by Theorem 10.3, f) in [Popp77](see also [Sz98]) there exists a fine moduli scheme M_h^N with a sufficiently large level structure N on $H^n(F, \mathbb{Z})$, which is finite over M_h when any automorphism which acts trivially on $H^n(F, \mathbb{Z})$ is the trivial automorphism. Recently Rhode constructed CY manifolds with a non-trivial group of automorphisms, which preserve the polarization class and acts trivially on $H^n(F, \mathbb{Z})$. We proved in [LTYZ03] that any non trivial automorphism γ that acts trivially on $H^n(F, \mathbb{Z})$ can be extended to a non-trivial automorphism on all of the fibres of the Kuranishi family. Then the moduli spaces constructed in [Popp77](see also [Sz98]) are fine moduli space of polarized CY manifold with a fixed automorphism that preserve the polarization and acts trivially on $H^n(F, \mathbb{Z})$.

By abuse of notations, we will replace \mathcal{M}_h by the moduli functor of polarized manifolds with a level N structure and with a fixed automorphism that preserve the polarization and acts trivially on $H^n(F, \mathbb{Z})$ and choose some smooth compactification \bar{M}_h , such that $\bar{M}_h \setminus M_h =: S$ is a normal crossing divisor.. Then $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}$ parameterizes all morphisms from $\varphi : (\bar{U}, U) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_h, M_h)$, hence it is a scheme. Moreover there exists a universal family $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U} \times U$.

3. Boundedness of Families of Polarized Manifolds

Theorem 1. *Assume $\dim \bar{U} = 1$, then $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}$ is of finite type.*

Theorem 1 is known since long time for many cases and due to many people: the case of family of curves with no singular is due to Parshin. Arakelov prove the finiteness for any families of curves of genus at least two and abelian varieties of dimension two over a curve. Faltings showed $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}$ that has a positive dimension for families of Abelian varieties of dimension 16 or bigger. See [Fa83].

Yau [Yau78] proved a generalization of Schwarz lemma which implies the Arakelov inequalities and also that Arakelov equality holds for a family of curves

of genus bigger or equal to two if and only if the VHS split. This result was obtained earlier by Viehweg and K. Zuo using the technique of Higgs bundles. The Schwarz lemma of Yau was used by Jost-Yau [JY93] to give another proof of Falting's theorem in [Fa83]. C. Peters [Pe90] has proven the boundedness for general period maps. Recently this kind of boundedness result has been generalized to polarized manifolds without assuming the local injectivity of Torelli map. The case of surfaces of general type is due to Bedulev-Viehweg [BV00], the case of surfaces of Kodaira dimension ≤ 1 is due to Oguiso-Viehweg [OV01] and the case the semi positive canonical line bundle is due do Viehweg-Zuo [VZ02]. Very recently, Kovace and Lieblich [KL06] have shown the boundedness for canonically polarized manifolds over higher dimensional bases.

As the case of Calabi-Yau manifolds is a sub-case of the semi-positive canonical line bundle, for reader's convenience we reproduce the proof for Theorem 1, which can be found in ([VZ02], Theorem 6.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.: Viehweg [V95] has constructed a series of nef invertible sheaves λ_ν on M_h such that λ_ν are ample for $\nu \gg 1$ and are natural in the sense $\varphi^* \lambda_\nu = \det f_* \omega_{X/U}^\nu$ for $\varphi : U \rightarrow M_h$ induced by a family $f : X \rightarrow U$. He has shown furthermore [V06] that λ_ν extends to nef invertible sheaves $\bar{\lambda}_\nu$ on \bar{M}_h which are again natural in the sense that if $\varphi : \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{M}_h$ is induced by a semi stable family $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{U}$ then

$$\varphi^* \bar{\lambda}_\nu = \det \bar{f}_* \omega_{\bar{X}/\bar{U}}^\nu.$$

For a point $(\varphi : (\bar{U}, U) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_h, M_h)) \in \mathbf{H}_{\bar{U}, U}$, let $f : X \rightarrow U$ denote the pull-back of the universal family via φ . Assume $\dim \bar{U} = 1$ and let $S := \bar{U} \setminus U$, $s := \#S$, F the generic fibre of f and $n := \dim F$ then one finds the Arakelov inequality for f [VZ01] and [VZ02]

$$\deg(\varphi^* \bar{\lambda}_\nu) \leq \nu \cdot \text{rank} f_* \omega_{X/U}^\nu \cdot (n \cdot \deg \Omega_{\bar{U}}^1(\log S) + s) \cdot e(\omega_F^\nu),$$

where $e(\omega_F^\nu)$ is the holomorphic Euler characteristic of ω_F^ν . Fixing a $\nu \gg 1$, then $\bar{\lambda}_\nu$ is nef on \bar{M}_h , ample on M_h and $\deg(\varphi^* \bar{\lambda}_\nu)$ is particularly bounded above by a number independent of φ . Hence $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U}, U}$ is of finite type. Theorem 1 is proved.

4. Higgs Bundles and Yukawa-Couplings Attached to Families, Rigidity and Finiteness

Let \mathbb{V} denote the local system $R^n f_{univ*} \mathbb{Z}_X$ attached to the universal family $f_{univ} : X \rightarrow M_h$. Then the local monodromies around each component of S are quasi-unipotent. By taking another finite cover of \bar{M}_h , which is étale over M_h we may assume the local monodromies around all components of S are unipotent. \mathbb{V} carries a polarized \mathbb{Z} -variation of Hodge structure of weight- n with the Hodge filtration

$$F^n \subset \dots \subset F^0 = \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_U =: V,$$

satisfying the Griffiths's transversality. The grading $F^p/F^{p+1} =: E^{p,n-p}$ with the projection Gauss-Manin connection $\theta^{p,q} : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p-1,q+1} \otimes \Omega^1_{\bar{M}_h}$ defines the correspondence between \mathbb{V} and its Higgs bundle

$$(E := \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^{p,q}, \theta := \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \theta^{p,q}), \quad \theta \wedge \theta = 0.$$

The following properties are known

$$E^{p,q} \simeq R^q f_{univ*} \Omega^p_{X/M_h},$$

and the Higgs map

$$\theta^{p,q} : R^q f_{univ*} \Omega^p_{X/M_h} \rightarrow R^{q+1} f_{univ*} \Omega^{p-1}_{X/M_h} \otimes \Omega^1_{\bar{M}_h}$$

coincides with the Kodaira-Spencer maps of f_{univ} on $R^q f_{univ*} \Omega^p_{X/M_h}$. By Deligne (E, θ) admits a canonical extension, such that the extended θ takes the value in $\Omega^1_{\bar{M}_h}(\log S)$. The extension is denoted again by (E, θ) .

For a point $(\varphi : (\bar{U}, U) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_h, M_h)) \in \mathbf{H}_{\bar{U}, U}$, let $f : X \rightarrow U$ denote the pull-back of the universal family via φ . Then the pull-back $\varphi^*(\mathbb{V})$ is the VHS \mathbb{V}_f attached to f . Let (E_f, θ_f) be the Higgs bundle corresponding to \mathbb{V}_f , then $E_f = \varphi^* E$, and θ_f is equal to $d\varphi(\theta)$ defined as

$$d\varphi(\theta) : E_f \xrightarrow{\varphi^* \theta} E_f \otimes \varphi^* \Omega^1_{\bar{M}_h}(\log S) \xrightarrow{d\varphi} E_f \otimes \Omega^1_{\bar{U}}(\log S)$$

Definition 1. The n -times iterated Kodaira-Spencer map

$$\theta_f^n : E_f^{n,0} \xrightarrow{\theta_f^{n,0}} E_f^{n-1,1} \otimes \Omega^1_U \xrightarrow{\theta_f^{n-1,1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\theta_f^{1,n-1}} E_f^{0,n} \otimes S^n \Omega^1_{\bar{U}}(\log S)$$

is called the Yukawa-coupling θ_f^n attached to the family $f : X \rightarrow U$. We will say that Yukawa-coupling attached to f does not vanish, if $\theta_f^n \neq 0$.

In general, following [VZ03] we consider the l -times iterated Kodaira-Spencer map attached to the family $f : X \rightarrow U$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$.

$$\theta_f^l : E_f^{n,0} \xrightarrow{\theta_f^{n,0}} E_f^{n-1,1} \otimes \Omega_U^1 \xrightarrow{\theta_f^{n-1,1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\theta_f^{n-l+1,l-1}} E_f^{n-l,l} \otimes S^l \Omega_U^1(\log S).$$

Definition 2. *The length of Yukawa-coupling attached to a family $f : X \rightarrow U$ is the maximal l such that $\theta_f^l \neq 0$. This number is an invariant of the family $f : X \rightarrow U$ and will be denoted by $l(\theta_f)$.*

Remark 1. *The length of Yuwawa-coupling attached to a sub family can be in general smaller than the Yuwawa-coupling attached to the universal family.*

The length of the universal family of Calabi-Yau quintic hyper surfaces in P^4 is equal to 3. By [VZ03] there do exist sub-families of Calabi-Yau quintic hyper surfaces with the length of Yukawa-coupling equal to 1, or 2.

Very recently Rohde [rohde09] has found a universal family of Calabi-Yau 3-folds over a complex ball quotient, which does not have maximal nilpotent degeneration points. (see also [Gar-Gee09]). Moreover the length of the Yukawa-coupling of this universal family is equal to 1.

Definition 3. *We will say that the family $f : X \rightarrow U$ has Yukawa-coupling with maximal length if $l(\theta_f) = l(\theta_{f_{univ}})$.*

Theorem 2. *Assume θ_f^n attached to a family $f : X \rightarrow U$ does not vanish, then f is rigid, i.e. the component of $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}$ containing $\varphi : (\bar{U}, U) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_h, M_h)$ induced by $f : X \rightarrow U$ is zero-dimensional.*

Regarding the existence of universal families of Calabi-Yau n -folds whose Yukawa-coupling does vanish, i.e. the length of the Yukawa-coupling is smaller than n we have the following corresponding criterion for the rigidity.

Theorem 3. *Assume that the length of the Yukawa-coupling attached to a family $f : X \rightarrow U$ is equal to the length of the Yukawa-coupling attached the universal family, then f is rigid.*

Theorem 2 combined together with Theorem 1 on the boundedness of $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}$ imply

Theorem 4. *Assume $\dim U = 1$, then the subset*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}^0 := \{(\varphi : (\bar{U}, U) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_h, M_h)) \in \mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U} \mid \theta_f^n \neq 0\} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}$$

is finite.

Theorem 3 combined with Theorem 1 imply a generalization of Theorem 4

Theorem 5. *Assume $\dim U = 1$, then the subset*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}^0 := \{(\varphi : (\bar{U}, U) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_h, M_h)) \in \mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U} \mid l(\theta_f) = l(\theta_{f_{univ}})\} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}$$

is finite.

As a corollary from Theorem 5 we have

Theorem 6. *If $l(\theta_{f_{univ}}) = 1$ (for example, J. C. Rohde's universal family) then $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}$ is finite.*

5. Decomposition of (E_f, θ_f) Induced by Infinitesimal Deformations and Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

The universal logarithmic Higgs bundle

$$\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_{\bar{M}_h}^1(\log S)$$

attached to the universal family $f_{univ} : X \rightarrow M_h$ tautologically defines the universal Higgs map

$$\theta : T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S) \rightarrow \text{End}(E),$$

which coincides with the differential of the period map of the universal family. By the Griffiths's transversality θ is of Hodge type $(-1,1)$, i.e. for any local vector field v of $T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S)$ then $\theta_v(E^{p,q}) \subset E^{p-1,q+1}$.

A non-trivial infinitesimal deformation of the map

$$\varphi : (\bar{U}, U) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_h, M_h)$$

with the fixed (\bar{U}, U) is given by a non-zero section

$$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{U}} \xrightarrow{t} \varphi^* T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S),$$

which does not factor through $d\varphi : T_{\bar{U}}(-\log S) \rightarrow \varphi^* T_{\bar{M}_h}$ (see [Ho74]).

The condition that t does not factor through $T_{\bar{U}}(-\log S)$ is satisfied automatically here. Since U carries the non-trivial polarized VHS \mathbb{V}_f , $\bar{U} \setminus S$ is a hyperbolic curve [VZ01]. Hence $\deg T_{\bar{U}}(-\log S) < 0$.

The composition of t with the pull-back of the universal Higgs map

$$\varphi^* \theta_t : \mathcal{O}_{\bar{U}} \xrightarrow{t} \varphi^* T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S) \xrightarrow{\varphi^* \theta} \text{End}(E_f)$$

corresponds to the induced infinitesimal deformation of the period map of the family $f : X \rightarrow U$.

Lemma 1. i) $\varphi^* \theta_t$ is an endomorphism of the Higgs bundle (E_f, θ_f) .

ii) Let $K := \ker(\varphi^* \theta_t) \subset E_f$. Then K is a Higgs subbundle and there exists a decomposition of the Higgs bundle (E_f, θ_f)

$$(E_f, \theta_f) = (K, \theta_f|_K) \oplus (K^\perp, \theta_f|_{K^\perp}),$$

where K^\perp is orthogonal to K w.r.t the Hodge metric.

Proof of Lemma 1: We give two proofs for i). First proof of **i)**: By Theorem 3.2, (i) in [Pe90] $\varphi^* \theta_t$ is induced by a flat endomorphism

$$\alpha : \mathbb{V}_f \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_f \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

of Hodge type $(-1,1)$, i.e. $\alpha \in \text{End}(V_f)$, commutes with the Gauss-Manin connection, and $\alpha(F_f^p) \subset F_f^{p-1}$. The induced endomorphism by α on the gradings $E = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_f^{p,q}$ coincides with $\varphi^* \theta_t$. Note that the Higgs map θ_f is defined by the projection of the Gauss-Manin connection of the gradings, the commutativity of α with the Gauss-Manin connection implies the commutativity of $\varphi^* \theta_t$ with θ_f , i.e., $\varphi^* \theta_t$ is an endomorphism of Higgs bundles

$$\varphi^* \theta_t : (E_f, \theta_f) \rightarrow (E_f, \theta_f).$$

The second proof of **i)** goes back to [Z00], and is much simpler. We need to show $\varphi^* \theta_t$ commutes with θ_f .

Consider the universal Higgs map on \bar{M}_h

$$\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_{\bar{M}_h}^1(\log S), \quad \theta \wedge \theta = 0 \text{ in } \text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega_{\bar{M}_h}^2(\log S).$$

If e_1, \dots, e_m is a local basis of $\Omega_{\bar{M}_h}^1(\log S)|_W$ over some open set W , then

$$\theta = \sum \theta_i e_i,$$

where θ_i are endomorphisms of $E|_W$. The condition $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ means that θ_i commutes with one another. Given a local vector field

$$v = \sum c_i e_i^* \in T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S)|_W,$$

the image of v under the map

$$\theta : T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S)|_W \rightarrow \text{End}(E|_W)$$

defines an endomorphism

$$\theta_v = \sum c_i \theta_i : E_W \rightarrow E_W,$$

then θ_v commutes with

$$\theta : E_W \rightarrow E_W \otimes \Omega_{\bar{M}_h}^1(\log S)|_W.$$

Pulling back this commutativity via φ , we see that $\varphi^* \theta_t$ commutes with $\varphi^* \theta$, hence commutes with $d\varphi(\theta) = \theta_f$. \square

As for **ii**) By [Si90], Section 4, it is known that the Hodge metric on $(E_f, \theta_f)|_U$ is Hermitian-Yang-Mills and by [Si90], Theorem 5, the canonical extended Higgs bundle, (E_f, θ_f) is Higgs poly-stable of slope zero. By i) $\varphi^* \theta_t$ is an endomorphism of (E_f, θ_f) , $\ker(\varphi^* \theta_t) (= K)$ and $\text{im}(\varphi^* \theta_t)$ are Higgs sub sheaves.

Applying the Higgs-poly-stability to K and $\text{im}(\varphi^* \theta_t)$ one finds

$$\deg K \leq 0, \quad \deg \text{im}(\varphi^* \theta_t) \leq 0.$$

Since

$$\deg K + \deg \text{im}(\varphi^* \theta_t) = \deg(E_f) = 0,$$

$\deg K = 0$. Again by applying the Higgs poly-stability on K , K defines a splitting

$$(E_f, \theta_f) = (K, \theta_f|_K) \oplus (K^\perp, \theta_f|_{K^\perp}),$$

such that K^\perp is orthogonal to K w.r.t. the Hodge metric. **ii**) is complete. \square

Lemma 2. *i)* $E_f^{0,n} \subset K$. *ii)* $E_f^{n,0} \subset K^\perp$.

Proof of Lemma 2: Since $\varphi^*\theta_t$ is of Hodge type $(-1,1)$,

$$\varphi^*\theta_t(E_f^{0,n}) \subset E_f^{-1,n+1} = 0.$$

i) is proven. \square

As for ii). We note first that the Kodaira-Spencer map

$$\theta : T_{M_h} \otimes E^{n,0} \rightarrow E^{n-1,1}$$

for the universal family of Calabi-Yau manifolds is an isomorphism. Hence, particularly, the sheaf morphism $\varphi^*\theta_t : E_f^{n,0} \rightarrow E_f^{n-1,1}$ is injective.

Write any local section

$$s \in E_f = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_f^{p,q}$$

in the form

$$s = \sum_{p+q=n} s^{p,q},$$

then $\varphi^*\theta_t$ maps $s^{p,q}$ into $E_f^{p-1,q+1}$. Hence if $\varphi^*\theta_t(s) = 0$, then all $\varphi^*\theta_t(s^{p,q}) = 0$. Thus, the injectivity of $\varphi^*\theta_t$ on $E_f^{n,0}$ implies that $s^{n,0} = 0$ if $s \in K$, i.e.

$$K \subset E_f^{n-1,1} \oplus \dots \oplus E_f^{0,n}.$$

Since the Hodge decomposition

$$E_f = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_f^{p,q}$$

is orthogonal w.r.t. the Hodge metric is orthogonal to K . The proof of ii) is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2: Assume there is a point in $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{U},U}$ corresponding to a map

$$\varphi : (\bar{U}, U) \rightarrow (\bar{M}_h, M_h)$$

induced by $f : X \rightarrow U$ such that $\theta_f^n \neq 0$ and is non-rigid. Then by Lemma 5 a non-trivial infinitesimal deformation of φ induces a decomposition

$$(E_f, \theta_f) = (K, \theta_f|_K) \oplus (K^\perp, \theta_f|_{K^\perp}).$$

By lemma 6

$$E_f^{0,n} \subset K, \quad E_f^{n,0} \subset K^\perp.$$

Since K^\perp is θ_f -invariant,

$$\theta_f^n(E^{n,0}) \subset K^\perp \otimes S^n(\Omega_{\bar{U}}^1(\log S)).$$

On the other hand, $\theta_f^n(E_f^{n,0}) \subset E_f^{0,n} \otimes S^n(\Omega_{\bar{U}}^1(\log S))$, which is contained in

$$K \otimes S^n(\Omega_{\bar{U}}^1(\log S)).$$

Since $K \cap K^\perp = \{0\}$, $\theta_f^n(E^{n,0}) = 0$. A contradiction to the assumption $\theta_f^n \neq 0$. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. \square

The proof of Theorem 3 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2. The only difference is that Lemma 2 has to be reformulated in the following form:

We denote

$$K^{n-l,l} := \text{Ker}(\theta_{f_{univ}} : E^{n-l,l} \rightarrow E^{n-l-1,l+1} \otimes \Omega_{\bar{M}_h}^1(\log S)).$$

Lemma 3. *i)* $\varphi^*K^{n-l,l} \subset K$. *ii)* $E^{n,0} \subset K^\perp$.

Proof of Lemma 3: The proof of part ii) of Lemma 3 is exactly the same as the proof of part ii) Lemma 2. So we need to prove only part i). The definition of $K^{n-l,l}$ implies

$$\varphi^*\theta_{f_{univ}} : \varphi^*T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S) \otimes \varphi^*K^{n-l,l} \rightarrow 0$$

and since $t : \bar{U} \rightarrow \varphi^*T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S)$, we have

$$\varphi^*\theta_t(\varphi^*K^{n-l,l}) = \varphi^*\theta_{f_{univ}}(t \otimes \varphi^*K^{n-l,l}) = 0.$$

This shows i). \square

Proof of Theorem 3: Since $l(\theta_{f_{univ}}) = l$, we have $\theta_{f_{univ}}^{l+1} = 0$. This implies

$$\theta_{f_{univ}}^l(S^l T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S) \otimes E^{n,0}) \subset K^{n-l,l}.$$

Pulling back this inclusion to \bar{U} via $\varphi : \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{M}_h$ and by part i) of Lemma 3 one obtains

$$\theta_f^l(S^l T_{\bar{U}}(-\log S) \otimes E_f^{n,0}) \subset \varphi^*\theta_{f_{univ}}^l(S^l T_{\bar{M}_h}(-\log S) \otimes E^{n,0}) \subset \varphi^*K^{n-l,l} \subset K.$$

On the other hand, since K^\perp is θ_f -invariant and $E_f^{n,0} \subset K^\perp$

$$\theta_f^i(S^i T_{\bar{U}}(-\log S) \otimes E^{n,0}) \subset K^\perp$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$. For $i = l$ this inclusion together with the inclusion above implies that

$$\theta_f^l(S^l T_{\bar{U}}(-\log S) \otimes E^{n,0}) = 0$$

which contradicts to $\theta_f^l \neq 0$. The proof of Theorem 3 is complete. \square

Remark 2. *In the proof of the above theorems on the rigidity, what we need is the existence of a polarized complex VHS over the moduli space such that the first Hodge bundle is a line bundle and the first Higgs map is an isomorphism. Such type VHS is called Calabi-Yau like VHS. In [VZ03] one takes d -th cyclic covers of P^n ramified along smooth hyper surfaces of degree $d \geq n + 1$. The VHS of middle dimension cohomology on the universal family of those d -th cyclic covering manifolds decomposed into direct sum of eigenspaces of the Galois action. One checks that one of those eigenspaces is indeed a Calabi-Yau like VHS. In this way, all theorems in our note hold true for subfamilies in the universal family of hyper surfaces in P^n of degree $d \geq n + 1$.*

REFERENCES

- [BV00] E. BEDULEV AND E. VIEHWEG: On the Shafarevich conjecture for surfaces of general type over function fields. *Invent. Math.* 139, (2000), 603-615.
- [De87] P. DELIGNE: Un theoreme de finitude pour la monodromie. *Discrete Groups in Geometry and Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math. 67, (1987), 1-19.
- [Fa83] G. FALTINGS: Arakelov's Theorem for Abelian Varieties. *Invent. Math.* 73, (1983), 337-348.
- [Gar-Gee09] A. GARBAGNATI AND B. VAN GEEMEN: The Picard-Fuchs equation of a family of Calabi-Yau three folds without maximal unipotent monodromy. *Math.AG/0903440v1*
- [Ho74] E. HORIKAWA On deformations of holomorphic maps II. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* Vol. 26, No. 4, (1974), 647-667.
- [JY93] J. JOST AND S.-T. YAU: Harmonic Mappings and Algebraic Varieties Over Function Fields. *Am. J. of Math.* 115, (1993), 1197-1227.
- [KL06] S. KOVACS AND M. LIEBLICH: Boundedness of families of canonically polarized manifolds: a higher dimensional analogue of Shafarevich conjecture. *MathAG/0611672*.
- [LTYZ03] K. LIU, A. TODOROV, S.-T. YAU, AND K. ZUO: Shafarevich's conjecture for CY manifolds. I. *Q. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1(1):28-67, 2005.
- [OV01] K. OGUISO AND E. VIEHWEG: On the isotriviality of families of elliptic surfaces. *J. Alg. Geom.* 10, (2001), 569-598.
- [Popp77] H. POPP: Moduli theory and classification theory of algebraic varieties. *Lect. Notes in Math.* 620 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977

- [Pe90] C.A. PETERS: Rigidity for variation of Hodge structure and Arakelov-type finiteness theorems. *Compositio Math.* 75 (1990) 113-126.
- [Pe10] C.A. PETERS: Rigidity, Past and Present. *Ramanujan Mathematical Society's Lecture Notes Series No. 10*, (2010) 529-548.
- [rohde09] J.C. ROHDE: Maximal automorphisms of Calabi-Yau manifolds versus maximally unipotent monodromy. *Manuscripta Math.* 131 (2010) 459-474.
- [Si90] C. SIMPSON: Harmonic bundles on non compact curves *J. of AMS* 3, (1990), 713-770.
- [Si90] C. SIMPSON: Higgs bundles and local systems. *IHES* 75 (1992) 5-95.
- [Sz98] B. SZENDROI: Some finiteness results for Calabi-Yau threefolds. *ArXiv: alg-geom/9708011v3*.
- [V95] E. VIEHWEG: Quasi-projective Moduli for Polarized Manifolds. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik*, 3. Folge 30 (1995), Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.
- [V06] E. VIEHWEG: Compactifications of smooth Families and of moduli spaces of polarized manifolds. *Math.AG/0605093*
- [VZ01] E. VIEHWEG AND K. ZUO: On the isotriviality of families of projective manifolds over curves. *J. of Alg. Geom.* 10, (2001), 781-799.
- [VZ02] E. VIEHWEG AND K. ZUO: Base spaces of non-isotrivial families of smooth minimal models. In: *Complex Geometry (Collection of Papers dedicated to Hans Grauert)*, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (2002), 279-328.
- [VZ03] E. VIEHWEG AND K. ZUO: Complex multiplication, Griffiths-Yukawa couplings, and rigidity for families of hypersurfaces. *J. Algebraic Geom.* 14 (2005), no. 3, 481-528.
- [Yau78] S-T. YAU: A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds. *Am. J. of Math.* 100 (1978),197-203.
- [Zh04] Y. ZHANG: Rigidity for families of polarized Calabi-Yau varieties. *J. Differential Geom.* 68 (2004), no. 2, 185-222.
- [Z00] K. ZUO: On the negativity of kernels of Kodaira-Spencer maps on Hodge bundles and applications. *Asian J. of Math.* 4, (200), 270-302.

Kefeng Liu
UCLA, Department of Mathematics
Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
and Center of Mathematical Sciences
Zhejiang University
E-mail: liu@math.ucla.edu

Andrey Todorov
UC, Department of Mathematics
Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Institute of Mathematics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
E-mail: todorov@ucsc.edu

Shing-Tung Yau
Harvard University
Department of Mathematics
Cambridge, Mass. 02138
E-mail: yau@math.harvard.edu

Kang Zuo
University Mainz
Department of Mathematics
Mainz 55099
E-mail: zuok@uni-mainz.de