Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly Volume 3, Number 2 (Special Issue: In honor of Leon Simon, Part 1 of 2) 481—497, 2007

Perron's Formula and the Prime Number Theorem for Automorphic L-Functions

Jianya Liu ¹ and Yangbo Ye²

Abstract: In this paper the classical Perron's formula is modified so that it now depends no longer on sizes of individual terms but on a sum over a short interval. When applied to automorphic L-functions, this new Perron's formula may allow one to avoid estimation of individual Fourier coefficients, without assuming the Generalized Ramanujan Conjecture (GRC). As an application, a prime number theorem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ is proved unconditionally without assuming GRC, where π and π' are automorphic irreducible cuspidal representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$ and $GL_{m}(\mathbb{Q}_{A}),$ respectively.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11F70, 11M26, 11M41.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Perron's formula gives a formula for a sum of complex numbers $a_n, 1 \leq n \leq x$, in terms of their Dirichlet series

(1.1)
$$
f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}
$$

Received November 10, 2005.

¹Supported in part by China NNSF Grant $#$ 10531060, and by a Ministry of Education Major Grant Program in Sciences and Technology.

²Supported in part by the USA National Security Agency under Grant Numbers MDA904-03- 1-0066 and H98230-06-1-0075, and by a University of Iowa Mathematical and Physical Sciences Funding Program Award. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints notwithstanding any copyright notation herein.

and bounds for individual terms a_n , where here and throughout $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $A(x) > 0$ be non-decreasing such that $a_n \ll A(n)$, and let

(1.2)
$$
B(\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^{\sigma}}
$$

for $\sigma > \sigma_a$, the abscissa of absolute convergence of (1.1). Then the classical Perron's formula (see e.g. Heath-Brown's notes on Titchmarsh [31], p.70) states that, for $b > \sigma_a$,

(1.3)
$$
\sum_{n\leq x} a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} f(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{A(2x)x \log x}{T}\right)
$$

$$
+ O\left(\frac{x^b B(b)}{T}\right) + O\left\{A(N) \min\left(\frac{x}{T|x-N|}, 1\right)\right\},
$$

where N is the integer nearest to x .

When applying (1.3) to the Riemann zeta-function or Dirichlet L-functions, bounds for a_n pose no problem. When applying this formula to other automorphic L-functions, however, bounds for a_n often require an assumption of the Generalized Ramanujan Conjecture (GRC). Examples include a prime number theorem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions (Theorem 2.3 below) recently proved by the authors in [18] under the GRC.

In this paper, we will prove a revised version of Perron's formula (Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 below). Different from the classical (1.3), the new Perron's 2.1 and Coronary 2.2 below). Different from the classical (1.3), the new Perron s
formula produces a formula for $\sum_{n \leq x} a_n$ in terms of a sum of $|a_n|$ over a short interval. While bounding individual Fourier coefficients $|a_{\pi}(n)|$ of an automorphic cuspidal representation is hard and may require GRC, estimation of a sum of $|a_{\pi}(n)|$ can usually be done by the Rankin-Selberg method. The new Perron's formula thus allows us to prove certain results for automorphic L-functions without assuming the GRC.

As an application, we are now able to prove a prime number theorem (Theorem 2.3) unconditionally for Rankin-Selberg L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$, by removing the assumption of GRC in [18]. This prime number theorem has a remainder term of a size which reflects our current knowledge of zero-free regions of $L(s, \pi)$ as in (4.3) and (4.4). We will see that the new Perron's formula allows us to deduce the prime number theorem for $\pi \not\cong \pi'$ from the diagonal case of $\pi \cong \pi'$.

Several authors have already addressed the question of prime number theorem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions in the GL_2 context, and they all faced the problem of bounding Fourier coefficients. Moreno [20] avoided GRC by an averaging technique, while others restricted themselves to the case of holomorphic cusp forms where GRC is known (Ichihara [5]), or to the Selberg class where GRC is assumed (Kaczorowski and Perelli [11]).

We remark that using these prime number theorems one can count primes weighted by Fourier coefficients of automorphic cuspidal representations. This can be regarded as a direct connection between representation theory and prime distribution.

2. Main theorems

The following is a modification of (1.3).

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(s)$ be as in (1.1) and absolutely convergent for $\sigma > \sigma_a$. Let $B(\sigma)$ be as in (1.2). Then, for $b > \sigma_a$, $x \ge 2$, $T \ge 2$, and $H \ge 2$,

(2.1)
$$
\sum_{n \le x} a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} f(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left\{ \sum_{x-x/H < n \le x+x/H} |a_n| \right\}
$$

$$
+ O\left\{ \frac{x^b H B(b)}{T} \right\}.
$$

Taking $H =$ √ T in Theorem 1.1, we deduce the following

Corollary 2.2. With the same notation as in Theorem 2.1,

(2.2)
$$
\sum_{n\leq x} a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} f(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left\{ \sum_{x-x/\sqrt{T} < n \leq x+x/\sqrt{T}} |a_n| \right\}
$$
\n
$$
+ O\left\{ \frac{x^b B(b)}{\sqrt{T}} \right\}.
$$

We remark that Corollary 2.2 can be used to derive the classical prime number theorem. In fact, taking $a_n = \Lambda(n)$, we have

$$
\sum_{x-x/\sqrt{T} < n \le x+x/\sqrt{T}} |a_n| \ll \log x \sum_{x-x/\sqrt{T} < n \le x+x/\sqrt{T}} 1 \ll \frac{x \log x}{\sqrt{T}}.
$$

By (3.10.6) in Titchmarsh [31], for $\sigma > \sigma_a = 1$,

$$
B(\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{\sigma}} \ll \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}.
$$

Therefore, Corollary 2.2 with $b = 1 + 1/\log x$ gives

$$
\sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} \left\{ -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right\} \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left\{ \frac{x \log x}{\sqrt{T}} \right\}.
$$

We can take $T = \exp(\sqrt{\log x})$. The prime number theorem

$$
\sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) = x + O\{x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\}
$$

now follows from the zero-free region of the Riemann zeta-function and a standard α contour-integration argument; here and throughout c denotes a positive constant not necessarily the same at different occurrences.

In order to describe applications of this new Perron's formula to automorphic L-functions, let us recall that for an irreducible unitary cuspidal representation π of $GL_m(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$, the global L-function attached to π is given by products of local factors for $\sigma > 1$ (Godement and Jacquet [4]):

$$
L(s,\pi) = \prod_p L_p(s,\pi_p),
$$

$$
\Phi(s,\pi) = L_\infty(s,\pi_\infty)L(s,\pi),
$$

where

$$
L_p(s, \pi_p) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\pi}(p, j)}{p^s}\right)^{-1},
$$

and

$$
L_{\infty}(s, \pi_{\infty}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \mu_{\pi}(j)).
$$

Here $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2)$, and $\alpha_{\pi}(p, j)$ and $\mu_{\pi}(j)$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, are complex numbers associated with π_p and π_∞ , respectively, according to the Langlands correspondence. Denote by

$$
a_{\pi}(p^k) = \sum_{1 \le j \le m} \alpha_{\pi}(p, j)^k
$$

the Fourier coefficients of π . Then for $\sigma > 1$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{ds}\log L(s,\pi) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)a_{\pi}(n)}{n^s},
$$

where $\Lambda(n)$ is the von Mangoldt function. If π' is an automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_{m}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}})$, we define $L(s,\pi')$, $\alpha_{\pi}(p,i)$, $\mu_{\pi}(i)$, and $a_{\pi}(p^k)$ likewise, for $i = 1, \ldots, m'$. If π and π' are equivalent, then $m = m'$ and $\{\alpha_{\pi}(p, j)\} = \{\alpha_{\pi}(p, i)\}\$ for any p. Hence $a_{\pi}(n) = a_{\pi}(n)$ for any $n = p^k$, when $\pi \cong \pi'.$

The prime number theorem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions has two different cases.

Theorem 2.3. Let π and π' be irreducible unitary cuspidal representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$ and $GL_{m'}(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$, respectively. Assume that at least one of π and π' is $self-contragredient: \pi \cong \tilde{\pi} \text{ or } \pi' \cong \tilde{\pi}'.$ Then

(2.3)
\n
$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) a_{\pi}(n) \bar{a}_{\pi'}(n)
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{cases}\n\frac{x^{1+i\tau_0}}{1+i\tau_0} + O\{x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\} \\
if \pi' \cong \pi \otimes |\det|^{i\tau_0} \text{ for some } \tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}; \\
O\{x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\} \\
if \pi' \ncong \pi \otimes |\det|^{i\tau} \text{ for any } \tau \in \mathbb{R}.\n\end{cases}
$$

Note that Theorem 2.3 is now an unconditional result, improved upon [18]. Previously known unconditional prime number theorems for Rankin-Selberg L-Freviously known unconditional prime number theorems for Rankin-Seiberg *L*-functions include a weighted version $\sum_{n \leq x} (1-n/x) \Lambda(n) a_{\pi}(n) \bar{a}_{\pi'}(n)$ and a special ranctions incrude a weighted version $\sum_{n\leq x}(1-n/x) \Lambda(n)a_{\pi}(n)a_{\pi}(n)a_{\pi}(n)$ and a special case $\sum_{n\leq x} \Lambda(n)|a_{\pi}(n)|^2$, both in Liu, Wang, and Ye [16]. By a standard argument of partial summation, we can deduce from Theorem 2.3 a Mertens theorem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions which is a version of Selberg's orthogonality (Selberg [26] and Ram Murty [22] [23]).

Corollary 2.4. Let π and π' be as in Theorem 2.3. We have

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)a_{\pi}(n)\bar{a}_{\pi'}(n)}{n}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\log x + c_1 + O\{\exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\}}{if \pi' \cong \pi;}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{x^{i\tau_0}}{i\tau_0(1 + i\tau_0)} + c_2 + O\{\exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{x^{i\tau_0}}{i\pi' \cong \pi \otimes |\det|^{i\tau_0} \text{ for some } \tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\times};
$$
\n
$$
c_2 + O\{\exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{x^{i\tau_0}}{i\pi' \cong \pi \otimes |\det|^{i\tau} \text{ for any } \tau \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}}.
$$

Here c_1 and c_2 are constants depending on π and π' :

$$
c_1 = \lim_{s \to 0} \left(-\frac{L'}{L} (s + 1, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}') - \frac{1}{s} \right) - 1, \qquad c_2 = -\frac{L'}{L} (1, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}').
$$

3. Proof of Perron's summation formula

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We begin with the discontinuous integral

(3.1)
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} \frac{y^s}{s} ds = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < y < 1, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } y = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } y > 1. \end{cases}
$$

Denote the right-hand side by $\delta(y)$; the basic idea is to use $\delta(y)$ to pick up terms with $n \leq x$ in the Dirichlet series (1.1). A more convenient form of (3.1) is (see e.g. [1], Lemma in Chapter 17)

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} \frac{y^s}{s} ds = \delta(y) + \begin{cases} O\{y^b \min(1, T^{-1} |\log y|^{-1})\} & \text{if } y \neq 1, \\ O(bT^{-1}) & \text{if } y = 1, \end{cases}
$$

where the O-constant is absolute.

Let N be the integer nearest to x . Suppose first that

$$
(3.2) \t\t |x - N| \gg \frac{x}{T},
$$

so that x is not an integer. We take $y = x/n$, multiply both sides in (1.1) by a_n , and then sum over n , to get

(3.3)
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} f(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds = \sum_{n \le x} a_n + O(R),
$$

where

$$
R = x^{b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^{b}} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{T|\log(x/n)|}\right).
$$

For $H \geq 2$,

(3.4)
$$
R = x^{b} \left\{ \sum_{n \leq x - x/H} + \sum_{x - x/H < n \leq x + x/H} + \sum_{n > x + x/H} \right\}.
$$

In the first sum on the right, we have

$$
\log\frac{x}{n} \ge \log\left(\frac{x}{x - x/H}\right) \gg \frac{1}{H}.
$$

Therefore, the first sum is

$$
\ll \frac{H}{T} \sum_{n \le x - x/H} \frac{|a_n|}{n^b} \ll \frac{H}{T} B(b).
$$

The third sum in (3.4) has the same upper bound. The second sum in (3.4) is

$$
\ll \sum_{x-x/H < n \leq x+x/H} \frac{|a_n|}{n^b} \ll x^{-b} \sum_{x-x/H < n \leq x+x/H} |a_n|,
$$

and (3.4) becomes

$$
R \ll \sum_{x-x/H < n \le x+x/H} |a_n| + \frac{x^b H B(b)}{T}.
$$

This proves the theorem under (3.2).

Now suppose (3.2) is not true, that is $|x-N| \ll x/T$. All goes as before except for the contribution from the term with $n = N$, which can be estimated as

$$
\int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} a_N \left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^s \frac{ds}{s} = a_N \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right\}^s \frac{ds}{s}
$$

$$
= a_N \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{|s|}{T}\right)\right\} \frac{ds}{s} \ll |a_N|.
$$
This proves the theorem.

4. A weighted diagonal prime number theorem

We will use the Rankin-Selberg L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ as developed by Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Shalika [8], Shahidi [27], and Moeglin and Waldspurger [19], where π and π' are automorphic irreducible cuspidal representations of GL_m and $GL_{m'}$, respectively, over $\mathbb Q$ with unitary central characters. This L-function is given by local factors:

(4.1)
$$
L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}') = \prod_p L_p(s, \pi_p \times \tilde{\pi}'_p)
$$

where

$$
L_p(s, \pi_p \times \tilde{\pi}'_p) = \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^{m'} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\pi}(p, j)\bar{\alpha}_{\pi'}(p, k)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.
$$

The Archimedean local factor $L_{\infty}(s, \pi_{\infty} \times \tilde{\pi}'_{\infty})$ is defined by

$$
L_{\infty}(s, \pi_{\infty} \times \tilde{\pi}'_{\infty}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{m'} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(j, k))
$$

where the complex numbers $\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(j, k)$ satisfy the trivial bound

(4.2) Re
$$
(\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(j,k)) > -1.
$$

Denote

$$
\Phi(s,\pi \times \tilde{\pi}') = L_{\infty}(s,\pi_{\infty} \times \tilde{\pi}'_{\infty})L(s,\pi \times \tilde{\pi}').
$$

We will need the following properties of the L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ and $\Phi(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ $\tilde{\pi}'$).

RS1. The Euler product for $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ in (4.1) converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$ (Jacquet and Shalika [9]).

RS2. The complete L-function $\Phi(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ has an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation

$$
\Phi(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}') = \varepsilon(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')\Phi(1-s, \tilde{\pi} \times \pi')
$$

with

$$
\varepsilon(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}') = \tau(\pi \times \tilde{\pi}')Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}^{-s},
$$

where $Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} > 0$ and $\tau(\pi \times \tilde{\pi}') = \pm Q_{\pi \times}^{1/2}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ (Shahidi [27], [28], [29], and [30]).

RS3. Denote $\alpha(g) = |\det(g)|$. When $\pi' \not\cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{i\tau}$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ is holomorphic. When $m = m'$ and $\pi' \cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{i\tau_0}$ for some $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the only poles of $\Phi(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ are simple poles at $s = i\tau_0$ and $1 + i\tau_0$ coming from $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ (Jacquet and Shalika [9] and [10], Moeglin and Waldspurger [19]).

RS4. $\Phi(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ is meromorphic of order one away from its poles, and bounded in vertical strips (Gelbart and Shahidi [3]).

RS5. $\Phi(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ and $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ are non-zero in $\sigma \geq 1$ (Shahidi [27]). Furthermore, it is zero-free in the region

(4.3)
$$
\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{c_3}{\log(Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(|t| + c_4))}, \quad |t| \ge 1,
$$

and at most one exceptional zero in the region

(4.4)
$$
\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{c_3}{\log(Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} c_4)}, \quad |t| \le 1,
$$

for some effectively computable positive constants c_3 and c_4 , if at least one of π and π' is self-contragredient (Moreno [20] [21], Sarnak [25], and Gelbart, Lapid, and Sarnak [2]).

Now we prove a weighted prime number theorem in the diagonal case.

Lemma 4.1. Let π be a self-contragredient automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of GL_m over $\mathbb Q$. Then

$$
\sum_{n\leq x} \left(1-\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n)|a_{\pi}(n)|^2 = \frac{x}{2} + O\{x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\}.
$$

Proof. By **RS1**, we have for $\sigma > 1$,

$$
J(s) := -\frac{d}{ds} \log L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) a_{\pi}(n) \bar{a}_{\pi}(n)}{n^s}.
$$

Note that

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(b)} \frac{y^s}{s(s+1)} ds = \begin{cases} 1 - 1/y \text{ if } y \ge 1, \\ 0 \text{ if } 0 < y < 1, \end{cases}
$$

where (b) means the line $\sigma = b > 0$. Taking $b = 1 + 1/\log x$, we have

$$
\sum_{n \le x} \left(1 - \frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n) |a_{\pi}(n)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(b)} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)} ds
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} + \int_{b-i\infty}^{b-iT} + \int_{b+iT}^{b+i\infty} \right).
$$

The last two integrals are clearly bounded by

$$
\ll \int_T^\infty \frac{x}{t^2} dt \ll \frac{x}{T}.
$$

Thus,

$$
\sum_{n\leq x}\left(1-\frac{n}{x}\right)\Lambda(n)|a_{\pi}(n)|^2=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{b-iT}^{b+iT}J(s)\frac{x^s}{s(s+1)}\ ds+O\left(\frac{x}{T}\right).
$$

By an argument as in [17], we may choose a real number a with $-2 < a < -1$ and a large $T > 0$, and consider the contour

$$
C_1: \quad b \ge \sigma \ge a, \quad t = -T;
$$

\n
$$
C_2: \quad \sigma = a, \quad -T \le t \le T;
$$

\n
$$
C_3: \quad a \le \sigma \le b, \quad t = T.
$$

Note that three poles $s = 1, 0, -1$, some trivial zeros, and certain nontrivial zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi})$ are passed by the shifting of the contour. Also note that $s = 0$ is a double pole. The trivial zeros can be determined by **RS2** and (4.2): $s = -\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j, k)$ with $a < -\text{Re}(\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j, k)) < 1$ and $s = -2 - \mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j, k)$ with $a + 2 < -\text{Re}(\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j,k)) < 1$. Here we have used $-2 < a < -1$. Then we have

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)} ds
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{C_1} + \int_{C_2} + \int_{C_3} \right) + \underset{s=1,0,-1}{\text{Res}} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{a < -\text{Re}(\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j,k)) < 1} \underset{s=-\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j,k)}{\text{Res}} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{a+2 < -\text{Re}(\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j,k)) < 1} \underset{s=-2-\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j,k)}{\text{Res}} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)}
$$
\n(4.5)\n
$$
+ \sum_{|\gamma| \le T} \underset{s=\rho}{\text{Res}} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)}.
$$

By Lemma 4.1(d) of [17], for any large $\tau > 0$ we can choose T in $\tau < T < \tau + 1$ such that, when $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2$,

$$
J(\sigma \pm iT) \ll \log^2(Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}} T),
$$

and hence,

$$
\int_{C_1} \ll \int_a^b \log^2(Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}} T) \frac{x^{\sigma}}{T^2} d\sigma \ll \frac{x \log^2(Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}} T)}{T^2}.
$$

The same upper bound also holds for the integral on C_3 . By Lemma 4.2 in [17] we can choose a so that, when $|t| \leq T$,

$$
J(a+it) \ll 1,
$$

and therefore,

$$
\int_{C_2} \ll \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{x^a}{(|t|+1)^2} dt \ll \frac{1}{x}.
$$

On taking $T \gg \exp(\sqrt{\log x})$, the three integrals on C_1, C_2, C_3 are

(4.6)
$$
\ll x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x}).
$$

The function

$$
J(s)\frac{x^s}{s(s+1)}
$$

has simple poles at $s = 1, -1$, and a double pole at $s = 0$; the residues are $x/2$, $O(x^{-1})$, and $O(\log x)$ respectively. Therefore,

(4.7)
$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=1,0,-1} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)} = \frac{x}{2} + O(\log x).
$$

Near a trivial zero $s = -\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j, k)$ of order l, we can express $J(s)$ as $-l/(s +$ $\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j, k)$) plus an analytic function. The residues at these trivial zeros can therefore be computed similarly to what we have done in (4.7) . By (4.2) , we know that Re $(\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j,k)) \geq 1 - \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. Consequently,

(4.8)
$$
\sum_{a < -\text{Re}(\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j,k)) < 1} \text{Res}_{s = -\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(j,k)} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)} \ll x^{1-\delta},
$$

(4.9)
$$
\sum_{a+2<-Re(\mu_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}}(j,k))<1} \operatorname{Res}_{s=-2-\mu_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}}(j,k)} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)} \ll x^{-1-\delta}.
$$

To compute the residues corresponding to nontrivial zeros, we recall RS4 and RS5, to get

$$
\sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{|\rho(\rho+1)|} < \infty.
$$

Consequently,

$$
\sum_{|\gamma| \le T} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\rho} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)} = -\sum_{|\gamma| \le T} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\rho} \frac{1}{s-\rho} \frac{x^s}{s(s+1)}
$$

$$
\ll \sum_{|\gamma| \le T} \left| \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho(\rho+1)} \right|
$$

$$
= \left(\sum_{|\gamma| \le T \atop \rho \in E} + \sum_{|\gamma| \le T \atop \rho \notin E} \right) \frac{x^{\beta}}{|\rho(\rho+1)|},
$$

where E is the set of exceptional zeros in (4.4). We have $|E| \leq 1$, and hence the sum over $\rho \in E$ is clearly $\ll x^{1-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. By (4.3), the sum over $\rho \notin E$ is

(4.11)
$$
\ll x \exp\left(-c_3 \frac{\log x}{2 \log(Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}} T)}\right) \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{|\rho(\rho + 1)|} \ll x \exp(-c \sqrt{\log x}),
$$

by taking $T = \exp(\sqrt{\log x}) + d$ for some d with $0 < d < 1$. Hence (4.10) is bounded by $x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})$.

Lemma 4.1 then follows by applying $(4.6)-(4.9)$ and (4.11) to (4.5) .

5. Weight removal

Lemma 5.1. Let π be a self-contragredient automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of GL_m over $\mathbb Q$. Then

(5.1)
$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) |a_{\pi}(n)|^2 = x + O\{x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\}.
$$

Proof. The weight $1 - n/x$ can be removed from Lemma 4.1 by a standard argument of de la Vallée Poussin. To this end, let $\Psi(x)$ denote the quantity on the left-hand side of (5.1); then Lemma 4.1 states that

$$
\int_{1}^{x} \Psi(t)dt = \frac{x^2}{2} + O\{x^2 \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\}.
$$

From this,

(5.2)
$$
\frac{1}{h} \int_{x}^{x+h} \Psi(t)dt = x + \frac{h}{2} + O\left\{\frac{x^2}{h} \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\right\}
$$

$$
= x + O\left\{x \exp\left(-\frac{c}{2}\sqrt{\log x}\right)\right\},
$$

where we have chosen

$$
h = x \exp\left(-\frac{c}{2}\sqrt{\log x}\right);
$$

and similarly,

(5.3)
$$
\frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^{x} \Psi(t) dt = x + O\left\{x \exp\left(-\frac{c}{2} \sqrt{\log x}\right)\right\}.
$$

Now the terms in $\Psi(t)$ are non-negative. Therefore,

(5.4)
$$
\frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^{x} \Psi(t) dt \leq \Psi(x) \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_{x}^{x+h} \Psi(t) dt.
$$

By $(5.2)-(5.4)$,

$$
\Psi(x) = x + O\left\{x \exp\left(-\frac{c}{2}\sqrt{\log x}\right)\right\},\,
$$

which gives Lemma 5.1. \Box

Without assuming π to be self-contragredient, we can prove a prime number theorem in Lemma 5.2 by the Tauberian theorems of Landau [15] or Ikehara [6]. Note that the error term in Lemma 5.2 is not as good as that in Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. For any automorphic irreducible cuspidal unitary representation π of GL_m over Q, not necessarily self-contragredient, we have

$$
\sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) |a_{\pi}(n)|^2 \sim x.
$$

Proof. A Tauberian theorem of Ikehara [6] says that, if $f(s)$ is given for $\sigma > 1$ by a Dirichlet series

$$
f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}
$$

with $a_n \geq 0$, and if

$$
g(s) = f(s) - \frac{1}{s-1}
$$

has analytic continuation to $\sigma \geq 1$, then

$$
\sum_{n\leq x} a_n \sim x.
$$

By RS1, RS3, and RS5, we can apply this theorem to

$$
f(s) = -\frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}).
$$

Lemma 5.2 then follows.

6. Proof of the off-diagonal prime number theorem

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Without loss of generality, we suppose π is self-contragredient. When $\pi' \cong \pi$, the theorem reduces to Lemma 5.1. Therefore, it remains to consider two cases:

(i)
$$
\pi' \cong \pi \otimes |\det|^{i\tau_0}
$$
 for some $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$;
(ii) $\pi' \ncong \pi \otimes |\det|^{i\tau}$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

We only treat case (i) in detail; the proof in case (ii) is exactly the same, except that all arguments below concerning τ_0 will disappear.

By Lemma 5.1, we obtain a bound for the short sum

$$
\sum_{x < n \le x+y} \Lambda(n) |a_{\pi}(n)|^2 \ll y
$$

for $y \gg x \exp(-c$ √ $\overline{\log x}$). Remember that π' is not necessarily self-contragredient; nevertheless, Lemma 5.2 gives for $0 < y \leq x$ that

$$
\sum_{x < n \le x+y} \Lambda(n) |a_{\pi'}(n)|^2 \ll \sum_{x < n \le 2x} \Lambda(n) |a_{\pi'}(n)|^2 \ll x.
$$

Let $a_n = \Lambda(n)a_{\pi}(n)\bar{a}_{\pi}(n)$; then for the above y,

$$
\sum_{x < n \le x+y} |a_n| \ll \left\{ \sum_{x < n \le x+y} \Lambda(n) |a_\pi(n)|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{x < n \le x+y} \Lambda(n) |a_{\pi'}(n)|^2 \right\}^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\ll \sqrt{yx}.
$$

Now let $T \gg \exp(\sqrt{\log x})$. Then

(6.1)
$$
\sum_{x-x/\sqrt{T} < n \le x+x/\sqrt{T}} |a_n| \ll \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{T}}\right)x} = \frac{x}{T^{1/4}}.
$$

Still we need an upper bound estimate for $B(\sigma)$. We have

(6.2)
$$
B(\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^{\sigma}} \ll \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)|a_{\pi}(n)|^2}{n^{\sigma}} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)|a_{\pi}(n)|^2}{n^{\sigma}} \right\}^{1/2}.
$$

But by Lemma 5.2, for $1 < \sigma \leq 2$,

$$
\frac{1}{u^{\sigma}} \sum_{n \le u} \Lambda(n) |a_{\pi}(n)|^2 \ll u^{1-\sigma}
$$

and tends to 0 when $u \to \infty$. Consequently,

(6.3)
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)|a_{\pi}(n)|^2}{n^{\sigma}} = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^{\sigma}} d\left\{\sum_{n\leq u} \Lambda(n)|a_{\pi}(n)|^2\right\}
$$

$$
\ll 1 + \sigma \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^{\sigma}} \ll \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}.
$$

Note that (6.3) also holds for π' . Applying (6.3) to both sums on the right side of (6.2), we get for $1 < \sigma \leq 2$ that

(6.4)
$$
B(\sigma) \ll \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}.
$$

The upper bound (6.4) holds for π, π' not necessarily self-contragredient, since it depends only on Lemma 5.2.

Next we apply Corollary 2.2 with $b = 1 + 1/\log x$ and $T \gg \exp(\sqrt{\log x})$ to $a_n = \Lambda(n) a_{\pi}(n) \bar{a}_{\pi}(n)$:

$$
\sum_{n \le x} a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} \left\{ -\frac{L'}{L} (s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}') \right\} \frac{x^s}{s} ds
$$

$$
+ O \left\{ \sum_{x-x/\sqrt{T} < n \le x+x/\sqrt{T}} |a_n| \right\} + O \left\{ \frac{x^b B(b)}{\sqrt{T}} \right\}.
$$

By (6.1) and (6.4) , we get

(6.5)
$$
\sum_{n \le x} a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} \left\{ -\frac{L'}{L} (s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}') \right\} \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\{x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})\}.
$$

The integral in (6.5) can be evaluated by shifting the contour to the left as in §4. Let a with $-2 < a < -1$ and $T > 0$ be as in §4, and define the new contour $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ in the same way as in §4. Three poles $s = 1 + i\tau_0, i\tau_0, 0$, some trivial zeros, and certain nontrivial zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ are passed by the shifting of the contour. The trivial zeros can also be determined similarly to what we have done in the proof of Lemma 4.1: $s = -\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(j,k)$ with $a <$ $-\text{Re}(\mu_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}'}(j,k)) < 1$ and $s = -2 - \mu_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}'}(j,k)$ with $a+2 < -\text{Re}(\mu_{\pi\times\tilde{\pi}'}(j,k)) < 1$.

Similarly to (4.5), we have

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{C_1} + \int_{C_2} + \int_{C_3} \right) + \underset{s=1+i\tau_0, i\tau_0, 0}{\text{Res}} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{a < -\text{Re}(\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(j,k)) < 1} \underset{s=-\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(j,k)}{\text{Res}} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s}
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{a+2 < -\text{Re}(\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(j,k)) < 1} \underset{s=-2-\mu_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(j,k)}{\text{Res}} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s}
$$
\n(6.6)\n
$$
+ \sum_{|\gamma| \le T} \underset{s=\rho}{\text{Res}} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s}.
$$

Applying Lemma 4.1(d) of [17], for any large $\tau > 0$ we can choose T in $\tau <$ $T < \tau + 1$ such that

$$
\int_{C_1} \ll \int_a^b \log^2(Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}T) \frac{x^{\sigma}}{T} d\sigma \ll \frac{x \log^2(Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}T)}{T}.
$$

The same upper bound also holds for the integral on C_3 . By Lemma 4.2 in [17] we can choose a so that

$$
\int_{C_2} \ll \int_{-T}^T \frac{x^a}{|t|+1} dt \ll \frac{\log T}{x}.
$$

Thus, on taking $T \gg \exp(\sqrt{\log x})$, all the three integrals on C_1, C_2, C_3 are

(6.7)
$$
\ll x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x}).
$$

Computing the residues at $s = 1 + i\tau_0$, $i\tau_0$, and 0 respectively, we get

(6.8)
$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=1+i\tau_0, i\tau_0, 0} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s} = \frac{x^{1+i\tau_0}}{1+i\tau_0} + O(1).
$$

The residues at the trivial zeros can be computed similarly to what we have done in (4.8) and (4.9), and the results are again

$$
(6.9) \t\t\t\t\ll x^{1-\delta}.
$$

To compute the residues corresponding to nontrivial zeros, we recall that the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ with $|\gamma| \leq t$ is $O(t \log t)$, and hence

$$
\sum_{|\gamma| \le T} \frac{1}{|\rho|} \ll \log^2 T.
$$

Consequently,

(6.10)
$$
\sum_{|\gamma| \le T} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\rho} J(s) \frac{x^s}{s} = -\sum_{|\gamma| \le T} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\rho} \frac{1}{s-\rho} \frac{x^s}{s}
$$

$$
\ll \sum_{|\gamma| \le T} \left| \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} \right| = \left(\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \rho \in E}} + \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \rho \notin E}} \right) \frac{x^{\beta}}{|\rho|},
$$

where E is the set of exceptional zero in (4.4). Since $|E| \leq 1$, the sum over $\rho \in E$ is again $\ll x^{1-\delta}$, which is the same as in §4. By (4.3), the sum over $\rho \notin E$ is

(6.11)
$$
\ll x \exp\left(-c_3 \frac{\log x}{2 \log(Q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}T)}\right) \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{1}{|\rho|} \ll x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x}),
$$

by taking $T = \exp(\sqrt{\log x}) + d$ for some d with $0 < d < 1$. Hence (6.10) is bounded by $x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})$. Collecting (6.6)-(6.11), we complete the proof of Theorem 2.3. \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Davenport, Multiplicative Number Theory, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin 1980.
- [2] S.S. Gelbart, E.M. Lapid, and P. Sarnak, A new method for lower bounds of L-functions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004), 91-94.
- [3] S.S. Gelbart and F. Shahidi, *Boundedness of automorphic L-functions in vertical strips*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 14 (2001), 79-107.
- [4] R. Godement and H. Jacquet, Zeta functions of simple algebras, Lecture Notes in Math., 260, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [5] Y. Ichihara, The evaluation of the sum over arithmetic progressions for the coefficients of the Rankin-Selberg series. II, in Analytic Number Theory (Beijing/Kyoto, 1999), Dev. Math., 6, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002, 173-182.
- [6] S. Ikehara, An extension of Landau's theorem in the analytic theory of numbers, J. Math. Phys. M.I.T. 10 (1931), 1-12.
- [7] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, Analytic Number Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2004.
- [8] H. Jacquet, I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. Shalika, Rankin-Selberg convolutions, Amer. J. Math., 105 (1983), 367-464.
- [9] H. Jacquet and J.A. Shalika, On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations I, Amer. J. Math., 103 (1981), 499-558.
- [10] H. Jacquet and J.A. Shalika, On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations II, Amer. J. Math., 103 (1981), 777-815.
- [11] J. Kaczorowski and A. Perelli, it On the prime number theorem for the Selberg class, Arch. Math. (Basel), 80 (2003), 255-263.
- [12] H. Kim, Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2 , J. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (2003), 139-183.
- [13] H. Kim and P. Sarnak, Refined estimates towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures, Appendix to Kim [12].
- [14] E. Landau, Über die Anzahl der Gitterpunkte in gewisser Bereichen, (Zweite Abhandlung) Gött. Nach., (1915), 209-243.

- [15] E. Landau, Zwei neue Herleitunggen für die asymptitische Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grenze, Sitz. Ber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, (1908), 746-764.
- [16] Jianya Liu, Yonghui Wang, and Yangbo Ye, A proof of Selberg's orthogonality for automorphic L-functions, Manuscripta Math. **118** (2005), 135-149.
- [17] Jianya Liu and Yangbo Ye, Weighted Selberg orthogonality and uniqueness of factorization of automorphic L-functions, Forum Math. 17 (2005), 493 - 512.
- [18] Jianya Liu and Yangbo Ye, Selberg's orthogonality conjecture for automorphic L-functions, Amer. J. Math. 127 (2005), 837-849.
- [19] C. Moeglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, Le spectre résiduel de $GL(n)$, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., (4) 22 (1989), 605-674.
- [20] C.J. Moreno, Explicit formulas in the theory of automorphic forms, Lecture Notes Math. vol. 626, Springer, Berlin, 1977, 73-216.
- [21] C.J. Moreno, Analytic proof of the strong multiplicity one theorem, Amer. J. Math., 107 (1985), 163-206.
- [22] M. Ram Murty, Selberg's conjectures and Artin L-functions , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 31 (1994), 1-14.
- [23] M. Ram Murty, Selberg's conjectures and Artin L-functions II, Current trends in mathematics and physics, Narosa, New Delhi, 1995, 154–168.
- [24] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, Zeros of principal L-functions and random matrix theory, Duke Math. J., 81 (1996), 269-322.
- [25] P. Sarnak, *Nonvanishing of L-functions on* $\Re(s) = 1$, Contributions to Automorphic Forms, Geometry, and Number Theory, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, 2004, 719-732.
- [26] A. Selberg, Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series, Collected Papers, vol. II, Springer, 1991, 47-63.
- [27] F. Shahidi, On certain L-functions, Amer. J. Math., 103 (1981), 297-355.
- [28] F. Shahidi, Fourier transforms of intertwining operators and Plancherel measures for $GL(n)$, Amer. J. Math., 106 (1984), 67-111.
- [29] F. Shahidi, Local coefficients as Artin factors for real groups, Duke Math. J., 52 (1985), 973-1007.
- [30] F. Shahidi, A proof of Langlands' conjecture on Plancherel measures; Complementary series for p-adic groups, Ann. Math., 132 (1990), 273-330.
- [31] E.C. Titchmarsh, revised by D.R. Heath-Brown, The Theory of the Riemann zeta-function, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.

Jianya Liu

Department of Mathematics, Shandong University Jinan, Shandong 250100 P. R. China E-mail: jyliu@sdu.edu.cn

Yangbo Ye Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa Iowa City, Iowa 52242-1419 USA E-mail: yey@math.uiowa.edu