

Critical Kähler toric metrics for the invariant first eigenvalue

ROSA SENA-DIAS

In [LS] it is shown that the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian restricted to the space of invariant functions on a toric Kähler manifold (i.e. λ_1^T , the invariant first eigenvalue) is an unbounded function of the toric Kähler metric. In this note we show that, seen as a function on the space of toric Kähler metrics on a fixed toric manifold, λ_1^T admits no analytic critical points. We also show that on S^2 , the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian restricted to the space of S^1 -equivariant functions of any given integer weight admits no critical points.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and let λ_1 denote the first eigenvalue of the Beltrami-Laplace operator on M . If we assume that M is of dimension 2 and has volume 1 it is well known by a theorem of Yang-Yau (see [YY]) that λ_1 is a bounded function of the metric g on M . One can ask if there is a Riemannian metric which achieves

$$\text{Sup}\{\lambda_1(g) \mid g \text{ is a Riemannian metric, } \text{vol}(g) = 1\}.$$

For S^2 , this metric is known to be the Fubini-Study metric. In [N], Nadirashvili studies the same problem for \mathbb{T}^2 . He defines the notion of λ_1 -critical metric which is roughly speaking a critical point for the function $\lambda_1(g)$. Note that λ_1 is not a differentiable function of g in general so this definition requires some care. We will say more on this ahead. In higher dimensional Riemannian manifolds El Soufi-Ilias, generalising a result of Nadirashvili, prove the following characterisation of λ_1 -critical metrics

Theorem 1.1 (El Soufi-Ilias, Nadirashvili). *A Riemannian metric g on M is critical for λ_1 iff g admits a set of eigenfunctions $\{f_a, a = 0, \dots, N\}$*

This work was partially supported by FCT/Portugal through project PTDC/MAT-GEO/1608/2014.

for $\lambda_1(g)$ such that $F = (f_0, \dots, f_N)$ embeds M into S^N , with $g = F^*g_{FS}$ and $F(M)$ minimal in S^N .

Therefore λ_1 -critical metrics yield minimal submanifolds of spheres.

We are interested in the more symmetric case when (M, g) admits an isometric group action by a group G . In [CDE], Colbois-Dryden-El Soufi introduce the notion of λ_1^G -critical invariant metrics where λ_1^G is the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian restricted to G -invariant eigenfunctions. Again this notion is subtle as λ_1^G is not in general a differentiable function of the invariant metric but it is analogous to the notion introduced by Nadi-rashvili. They prove the following theorem

Theorem 1.2 (Colbois-Dryden-El Soufi). *If G has dimension greater than 1 then M admits no G -invariant metric which is critical for λ_1^G .*

Given a group character χ it is easy to generalize the above notions to the setting of χ -equivariant functions. These are functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy $f(h \cdot x) = \chi(h)f(x)$, for all $x \in M$, $h \in G$. We have a notion of equivariant first eigenvalue λ_1^χ and λ_1^χ -critical metric.

More specifically we are interested in the case of toric manifolds. These are symplectic manifolds (M^{2n}, ω) admitting a Hamiltonian \mathbb{T}^n -action. Symplectic toric manifolds always admit a large family of compatible integrable \mathbb{T}^n -invariant complex structures thus they carry several Kähler structures (see [G], [A]). In fact for a fixed ω , toric Kähler structures in the class $[\omega]$ are very well understood and are parametrised by a subset of the set of continuous functions on the moment polytope of $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}^n)$ which we denote by $\text{Spot}(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}^n)$ and which we will describe carefully in the next section. We want to think of $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$ as a function on Spot . That is, we want to consider only toric Kähler metrics in the class $[\omega]$. Because we are not considering all \mathbb{T}^n invariant functions the results in [CDE] do not apply to our setting (except in dimension 2).

There has recently been an interest in considering spectral problems in the realm of Kähler geometry. In [AJK] the authors define λ_1 -extremal Kähler metric on a Kähler manifold as being those which are critical for λ_1 restricted to the space of Kähler metrics in a given class. In [PP] the authors study metrics which are λ_1 -extremal among *invariant* Kähler metrics for generalized flag manifolds. Because we are considering $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$, these results also do not apply to our problem.

We will define an analogous notion of criticality in our setting. More specifically given a toric Kähler manifold we are looking for torus invariant

Kähler metrics which are critical for $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$. In this note our goal is prove the following theorems

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(M, \omega, g, \mathbb{T}^n)$ be a toric Kähler manifold. Then, there are no analytic toric Kähler structures compatible with ω and in the class $[\omega]$ which are critical for $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$.*

Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, k corresponds to an S^1 -character. We will prove the following

Theorem 1.4. *Let k be an integer. There are no λ_1^k critical S^1 -invariant metrics on S^2 .*

When $k = 1$ this is a consequence of the Colbois-Dryden-El Soufi theorem from above.

We would like be able to remove the analyticity assumption. It is know due to results of Morrey (see [Mo]) that solutions to elliptic systems of PDE's whose coefficients are analytic have analytic solution if any. We will see that critical toric Kähler metrics and their eigenfunctions for the smallest eigenvalue are solutions to a system of PDE's whose coefficients are analytic. Unfortunately the system is not elliptic.

This paper is organised in the following way: in Section 2 we give some background on λ_1 -critical metrics and on toric Kähler geometry, in Section 3 we use the techniques developed to deal with criticality in the Riemannian case and adapt them to our setting so as to extract a useful characterisation of $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$ -critical metrics. We then use this characterisation to derive our main theorems in Section 4. The last section is somewhat independent of the rest of the paper. There, we show that there is an obvious system of PDEs that is satisfied the pair toric Kähler metric/corresponding eigenfunctions but the system is nowhere elliptic.

2. Background

2.1. λ_1 -critical metrics

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. To fix conventions our Laplacian is given by $\Delta = d^*d$ and has positive eigenvalues. In coordinates x_i on M write $g = g_{ij}dx_i \otimes dx_j$. The Laplacian of a function f on M is given by

$$(1) \quad \Delta f = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d\varpi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sqrt{d\varpi} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

where g^{ij} denote the entries of the inverse of the matrix $\{g_{ij}\}$ and $d\varpi = \det g_{ij}$. The smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian is called first eigenvalue and is denoted by $\lambda_1(M, g)$. If we fix M , then λ_1 can be seen as a function on the space of all Riemannian metrics on M . It is not a differentiable function of g but it is Lipschitz. In fact given a one-parameter family of Riemannian metrics on M , g_t with $g_0 = g$ and analytic in t , if $\lambda_1(g)$ is a multiple eigenvalue, then λ_1 may become non-differentiable at g . Despite this, there is an integer N no larger than the multiplicity of $\lambda_1(g)$, real valued functions $\Lambda_{0,t}, \dots, \Lambda_{N,t}$ and one parameters families of functions on M $f_{0,t}, \dots, f_{N,t}$ satisfying

$$\Delta f_{l,t} = \Lambda_{l,t} f_{l,t}, \quad l = 0, \dots, N$$

and such that $\lambda_1(g_t) = \min\{\Lambda_{l,t}, l = 1, \dots, N\}$ so that the function $\lambda_1(g_t)$ has a right and left derivative

$$\frac{d\lambda_1(g_t)}{dt}(0^+) = \min \left\{ \frac{d\Lambda_{l,t}}{dt}(0), l = 0, \dots, N \right\}$$

$$\frac{d\lambda_1(g_t)}{dt}(0^-) = \max \left\{ \frac{d\Lambda_{l,t}}{dt}(0), l = 0, \dots, N \right\}$$

Definition 2.1. The metric g is λ_1 -critical if for any 1-parameter family of metrics g_t analytic in t

$$\frac{d\lambda_1(g_t)}{dt}(0^-) \cdot \frac{d\lambda_1(g_t)}{dt}(0^+) < 0.$$

(see [N] and [AI] for more details).

2.2. Toric Geometry

We will try to be brief and assume some familiarity with the subject. For more details see [G] and [A].

Definition 2.2. A Kähler manifold (M, ω, g) where ω is a symplectic form and g is a Riemannian metric is said to be toric if it admits an isometric, Hamiltonian \mathbb{T}^n -action.

In this case there is a moment map associated to the action $\phi : M \rightarrow (\text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n))^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ and the moment map image P is a convex polytope of a

special type (a Delzant polytope). In particular it can be written in the form

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \nu_k - c_k > 0, k = 1, \dots, d\}$$

and at every vertex, there is an $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation taking a neighbourhood of that vertex into a neighbourhood of 0 in

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_k > 0, k = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

There is an open dense set in M which we denote by M^0 where \mathbb{T}^n acts freely and there is an equivariant symplectomorphism $\psi : M^0 \rightarrow P \times \mathbb{R}^n$ whose first factor is given by the moment map ϕ . Here the \mathbb{T}^n -action on $P \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by the usual \mathbb{T}^n -action on the second factor. Said differently, there are \mathbb{T}^n -equivariant Darboux coordinates (x, θ) on M^0 . We refer to these as action-angle coordinates.

Given a polytope in \mathbb{R}^n of Delzant type one can construct from it a toric Kähler manifold M_P in a canonical manner (see [G]). It was shown by Delzant that in fact P determines (M, ω) up to symplectomorphism. Abreu showed there is an effective way to parametrize all compatible \mathbb{T}^n -invariant Kähler metrics.

Definition 2.3. Let P be a Delzant polytope. A function $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P)$ is called a symplectic potential if

- Hess s is positive definite,
- $s - \sum_{k=1}^d (x \cdot \nu_k - c_k) \log(x \cdot \nu_k - c_k)$ is smooth on \bar{P} ,
- Hess s when restricted to each face of P is positive definite.

We denote the set of all such functions by $\text{Spot}(P)$.

One can associate to each $s \in \text{Spot}(P)$ a Kähler structure g_s whose corresponding Kähler metric in action-angle coordinates can be written as

$$(s)_{ij} dx_i \otimes dx_j + (s)^{ij} d\theta_i \otimes d\theta_j.$$

In fact it can be shown that all toric Kähler structures arise this way. The Kähler structure constructed in [G] is called the Guillemin Kähler structure. Its symplectic potential is

$$s_G = \sum_{k=1}^d (x \cdot \nu_k - c_k) \log(x \cdot \nu_k - c_k) - (x \cdot \nu_k - c_k).$$

We make use of the following very elementary fact:

Fact 1. *Smooth \mathbb{T}^n -invariant functions on a toric Kähler manifold M are in 1 to 1 correspondence with smooth functions on the closure of the moment polytope, \bar{P} of M .*

Proof. We denote the space of smooth \mathbb{T}^n -invariant functions by $\mathcal{C}_T^\infty(M)$. Denote the moment map for the \mathbb{T}^n -action by ϕ . Given an invariant function F on M , set f to be $f(x) = F(\phi^{-1}(x))$. This is well defined because $\phi(p) = \phi(q)$ implies p and q are in the same \mathbb{T}^n -orbit and F is invariant. Conversely given $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P)$, we define $F = f \circ \phi$. □

Similarly we have:

Fact 2. *Continuous \mathbb{T}^n -equivariant complex functions on a toric Kähler manifold M are in 1 to 1 correspondence with continuous complex functions on the closure of the moment polytope \bar{P} of M that vanish on ∂P*

Proof. Characters in \mathbb{T}^n can be identified with elements in \mathbb{Z}^n . Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we denote the space of continuous k -equivariant functions by $\mathcal{C}_k(M)$.

We start by noting that if $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is k -equivariant for $k \neq 0$ then F vanishes on points with non trivial isotropy. Let F be equivariant. If p is a point where \mathbb{T}^n does not act freely i.e. if $\phi(p) \in \partial P$ then for $e^{i\theta}$ non-trivial in the stabiliser group of p , $F(e^{i\theta}p) = F(p) = e^{i\theta} F(p)$ so that $F(p) = 0$.

Let $\psi : M^0 \rightarrow P \times \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the action-angle coordinates map. If f is a function on P , we define a k -equivariant function on M^0 by setting $F \circ \psi^{-1}(x, \theta) = f(x)e^{ik \cdot \theta}$. If f vanishes on ∂P we can extend F by continuity to M to be zero on $M \setminus M^0$. Conversely, given F k -equivariant, define f on P by $f(x) = F \circ \psi^{-1}(x, 0)$ and extend by 0 to the boundary. As we have seen F vanishes on $M \setminus M^0$ and $\phi(M \setminus M^0) = \partial P$ so that f is continuous on ∂P . □

2.3. Equivariant spectrum on toric manifolds

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with an isometric G -action. Let χ be a group character and let $\mathcal{C}^\chi(M)$ denote the set of continuous χ -equivariant functions.

$$\mathcal{C}^\chi(M) = \{F \in \mathcal{C}(M, \mathbb{C}) : F(h \cdot p) = \chi(h)F(p), \forall h \in G\}.$$

The Laplacian induced from g commutes with the G -action because G acts by isometries hence it restricts to $\mathcal{C}^\chi(M) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ for any given character of the group G .

Definition 2.4. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with an isometric G -action. The χ -equivariant first eigenvalue is the smallest eigenvalue of $\Delta|_{\mathcal{C}^\chi(M) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)}$ i.e.

$$\lambda_1^\chi(M, g, G) = \text{Inf} \left\{ \frac{\int_M |dF|^2 d\varpi_g}{\int_M |F|^2 d\varpi_g}, F \in \mathcal{C}^\chi(M) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(M), \int_M F = 0 \right\}.$$

When χ is the trivial character we often write $\lambda_1^\chi = \lambda_1^G$.

We will be using these notions in the setting of Toric Kähler manifolds and we will think of λ_1^k as a function of the symplectic potential inducing the Kähler metric i.e. given $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}^n)$ symplectic toric with moment polytope P and given $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we consider

$$\lambda_1^k : \text{Spot}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

and its variations.

Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, if F is k -equivariant, it can be written in action-angle coordinates as $f(x)e^{ik \cdot \theta}$ so that from equation (1) we have

$$(2) \quad \Delta F = -e^{ik \cdot \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(s^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) - f k_i k_j s_{ij} \right)$$

Note that because (x, θ) are Darboux coordinates $d\varpi = 1$. The space of k -equivariant eigenfunctions for λ_1^k , which we denote by E_1^k , (or $E_1^\mathbb{T}$ if $k = 0$ in the invariant case) can be identified with a subset of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(P)$. Namely, if $k \neq 0$

$$E_1^k \simeq \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P) : \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(s^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) - f k^t \text{Hess}(s) k = -\lambda_1^k f, f = 0 \text{ in } \partial P \right\}$$

and

$$E_1^\mathbb{T} \simeq \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P) : \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(s^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) = -\lambda_1^\mathbb{T} f \right\}.$$

In the invariant case, we often identify $f \in \mathcal{C}(P)$ with the associated eigenfunction on M i.e. we confuse f with $f \circ \phi$ and we write Δf to mean $-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(s^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right)$.

3. Critical λ_1^T, λ_1^k metrics

In this section we fix a toric symplectic manifold $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}^n)$ with moment polytope P . The first goal is to define critical metrics for the invariant/equivariant first eigenvalue. This is almost exactly a repetition of Subsection 2.1. To avoid the repetition and give a more unified treatment of the equivariant extremization problem and the classical extremization problem, we could have used the framework developed by Macbeth in [Ma]. This would involve showing that the measure described in the main theorem there is of a special type because the spaces E_1^k and E_1^T are finite dimensional.

Instead we will go through the argument in Subsection 2.1 again. We want to define critical values for $\lambda_1^k : \text{Spot}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ but as in the Riemannian case discussed in Subsection 2.1 $\lambda_1^k : \text{Spot}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is not a differentiable function at all points. Given a one parameter family in $\text{Spot}(P)$ with $s_0 = s$ and analytic in t , there are real valued functions $\Lambda_{0,t}, \dots, \Lambda_{N,t}$ and one parameter families of functions on P , $f_{0,t}, \dots, f_{N,t}$ satisfying

$$\Delta f_{l,t} + f_{l,t} k^t \text{Hess}(s)k = \Lambda_{l,t} f_{l,t}, \quad k = 0, \dots, N.$$

and such that $\lambda_1^k(s_t) = \min\{\Lambda_{l,t}, l = 1, \dots, N\}$ so that the function λ_1^k has a right and left derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\lambda_1^k(s_t)}{dt}(0^+) &= \min \left\{ \frac{d\Lambda_{l,t}}{dt}(0), l = 0, \dots, N \right\} \\ \frac{d\lambda_1^k(s_t)}{dt}(0^-) &= \max \left\{ \frac{d\Lambda_{l,t}}{dt}(0), l = 0, \dots, N \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. The symplectic potential s is λ_1^k -critical if for any 1-parameter family of symplectic potentials s_t , analytic in t ,

$$\frac{d\lambda_1^k(s_t)}{dt}(0^-) \cdot \frac{d\lambda_1^k(s_t)}{dt}(0^+) < 0.$$

Setting δs to be $\frac{ds}{dt}(0)$, we write $d\lambda_1^k(f_t, \delta s) = \frac{d\Lambda_{l,t}}{dt}(0)$. In fact, we can define $d\lambda_1^k(f, \delta s)$ for any $f \in E_1^k$ as follows. Consider the Riemannian metrics corresponding to $s_t = s + t\delta s$ for t sufficiently small. For each such t , $E_1^k(s_t)$ is the first k -equivariant eigenspace. We extend f to a one parameter family f_t such that $f_t \in E_1^k(s_t)$ and let Λ_t be the eigenvalue corresponding to f_t .

$$d\lambda_1^k(f, \delta s) = \frac{d\Lambda_t}{dt}(0).$$

As we will see ahead this does not actually depend on f_t but only on f . In fact the same phenomenon occurs in the non equivariant case of Subsection 2.1 and is a manifestation of something more general that is explained and exploited in [Ma].

We now use the toric framework to calculate $d\lambda_1^k(f, \delta s)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(M, \omega, \mathbb{T}^n)$ be toric with moment polytope P . Let $s \in \text{Spot}(P)$. Given $\delta s \in C^\infty(P)$ such that δs and $d\delta s$ vanish on ∂P and $f \in C^\infty(P)$ corresponding to an eigenfunction of the Laplacian associated to s ,*

$$d\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f, \delta s) = - \int_P \frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_l s^{jr} f_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \delta s dx,$$

where we write f_r for $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_r}$. If furthermore $f = 0$ on ∂P then

$$d\lambda_1^k(f, \delta s) = \int_P \left(-\frac{\partial^2 \text{Re}(s^{il} f_l s^{jr} \bar{f}_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + k^t \text{Hess} |f|^2 k \right) \delta s dx.$$

Proof. Consider the path $s_t = s + t\delta s$ in $\text{Spot}(P)$, the corresponding path of Riemannian metrics on M which we denote by g_t and a path f_t in $\mathcal{C}(P)$ corresponding to a path of eigenfunctions in $E_1^{\mathbb{T}}(g_t)$, the eigenspace for the smallest invariant eigenfunction for the Laplacian associated with g_t , such that $f_0 = f$. We have $\Delta_t f_t = \lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}} f_t$. We want to calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f_t, g_t).$$

We may assume that $\int_P f_t^2 dx = 1$ for all t and taking derivatives this implies $\int_P f \dot{f} dx = 0$ where $\dot{f} = \frac{df_t}{dt}$. The quantity $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f_t, g_t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_P |df_t|_{g_t}^2 dx &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_P (\partial f_t)^t \text{Hess}^{-1}(s_t) \partial f_t dx \\ &= - \int_P (\partial f)^t \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \frac{d\text{Hess}(s_t)}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \partial f dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_P (\partial \dot{f})^t \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \partial f dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - \int_P (\partial f)^t \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \text{Hess}(\delta s) \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \partial f_t dx \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_M \langle d(f \circ \phi), d(f \circ \phi) \rangle dx \\
 &= - \int_P (\partial f)^t \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \text{Hess}(\delta s) \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \partial f_t dx \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_M \dot{f} \circ \phi \Delta(f \circ \phi) dx \\
 &= - \int_P (\partial f)^t \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \text{Hess}(\delta s) \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \partial f_t dx + 2\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}} \int_P \dot{f} f dx \\
 &= - \int_P (\partial f)^t \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \text{Hess}(\delta s) \text{Hess}^{-1}(s) \partial f_t dx \\
 &= - \int_P \left(s^{il} f_l s^{jr} f_r \right) (\delta s)_{ij} dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used ϕ to mean the moment map for the torus action on M . The conditions that s and ds vanish on ∂P ensure that we can integrate the above by parts without picking up boundary terms and hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f_t, g_t) = - \int_P \frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_l s^{jr} f_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (\delta s) dx,$$

as claimed. The k -equivariant case is similar.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \lambda_1^k(f_t, g_t) \\
 &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_P |d(e^{i k \cdot \theta} f_t)|_{g_t}^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_P \left(\text{Re} \left((\partial f_t)^t \text{Hess}^{-1}(s_t) \partial \bar{f}_t \right) + |f_t|^2 k^t \text{Hess}(s_t) k \right) dx \\
 &= \int_P \left(- \text{Re}(s^{il} f_l s^{jr} \bar{f}_r) + |f|^2 k_i k_j \right) (\delta s)_{ij} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}(f_t, g_t) = \int_P \left(- \frac{\partial^2 \text{Re}(s^{il} f_l s^{jr} \bar{f}_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + k^t \text{Hess} |f|^2 k \right) \delta s dx.$$

□

We are now ready to prove our main characterisation of $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$ -critical metrics in this section.

Proposition 3.3. *In the same setting as above, the symplectic potential s is λ_1^k -critical iff for all $\delta s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{P})$ there are functions on P , $\{f_0, \dots, f_N\}$, corresponding to k -equivariant eigenfunctions in $E_1^k(s)$ and $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N \in [0, 1]$ satisfying*

$$\sum_{a=1}^N \alpha_a \left(\left(\frac{\partial^2 \operatorname{Re}(s^{il} f_{a,l} s^{jr} \bar{f}_{a,r})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) - k^t \operatorname{Hess} |f_a|^2 k \right) = 0.$$

Again this lemma has an analogous counterpart in the classical critical first eigenvalue problem and it is a manifestation of a more general phenomenon which is treated in [Ma]. To use Macbeth’s results in our setting, we would need to prove that the measure described in the main theorem there is of a special type (the relevant fact being that $E_1^k(s)$ is finite dimensional). We have chosen to derive the results so as to be self-contained.

Proof. The condition that s is critical can be rewritten as

$$s \text{ is critical} \iff \forall \delta s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{P}), \exists f, h \in E_1^k(s) : d\lambda_1^k(f, \delta s) < 0 < d\lambda_1^k(h, \delta s).$$

Now fix $\delta s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{P})$ and consider $d\lambda_1^k(\cdot, \delta s)$ as a function on the finite dimensional vector space $E_1^k(s)$. By restriction to the sphere in $E_1^k(s)$ with respect to the \mathcal{L}^2 norm we see that

$$s \text{ is critical} \implies \forall \delta s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{P}), \exists f \in E_1^k(s), \int_P |f|^2 dx = 1 : d\lambda_1^k(f, \delta s) = 0.$$

The relevant thing to note is that multiplying f by a fixed constant changes $d\lambda_1^k(f, \delta s)$ by multiplication by a positive constant. Now assume that δs and its derivatives vanish along ∂P so that from the previous lemma

$$d\lambda_1^k(f, \delta s) = \int_P \left(-\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_l s^{jr} \bar{f}_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) + k^t \operatorname{Hess} |f|^2 k \right) \delta s dx.$$

We set

$$Q_s(f) = -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_l s^{jr} \bar{f}_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) + k^t \operatorname{Hess} |f|^2 k,$$

so that

$$d\lambda_1^k(f, \delta s) = \int_P Q_s(f) \delta s dx.$$

If s is critical

$$\forall \delta s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{P}), \delta s, d(\delta s) = 0 \text{ on } \partial P, \exists f \in E_1^k(s) : \int_P |f|^2 = 1, \int_P Q_s(f) \delta s = 0.$$

We want to prove that 0 is the convex hull generated by $\{Q_s(f), f \in E_1^k(s)\}$. Let \mathcal{K} be this convex hull. Suppose $0 \notin \mathcal{K}$. By the Hahn-Banach separation theorem applied in $\mathcal{L}_k^2(M)$ (the \mathcal{L}^2 completion of the space of k -equivariant functions on M which we are identifying with a subspace of $\mathcal{L}^2(P)$) there is μ , a linear bounded functional on $\mathcal{L}_k^2(M)$ such that $\mu|_{\mathcal{K}} > 0$. By Riesz's representation theorem there is $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(P)$ such that

$$\mu(h) = \int_P \beta h dx > 0, \forall h \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Suppose that β and its first order derivatives vanish on ∂P . Then because s is critical there is $f \in E_1^k(s)$ with \mathcal{L}^2 -norm equal to 1 such that

$$\int_P Q_s(f)\beta = 0,$$

but by assumption $\int_P Q_s(f)\beta = \mu(Q_s(f)) > 0$ because $Q_s(f) \in \mathcal{K}$ and we get a contradiction. But since β (or its first order derivatives) may not vanish on ∂P , we need a slight modification of the above argument. Consider the smooth bump function ρ_ϵ which is identically equal to 1 on $P \setminus \mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\partial P)$ where $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\partial P)$ denotes a tubular neighbourhood of radius ϵ of ∂P . Let β_ϵ denote $\rho_\epsilon \beta$. Then because s is critical, there is $f_\epsilon \in E_1^k(s)$ with \mathcal{L}^2 -norm equal to 1 such that

$$\int_P Q_s(f_\epsilon)\beta_\epsilon = 0,$$

and $\int_P |f_\epsilon|^2 = 1$. Now $\{f_\epsilon\}$ is bounded and contained in a finite dimensional space so that it admits a convergent subsequence. Let $f \in E_1^k(s)$ be the limit. Because the subsequence converges in that finite dimensional subspace, $Q_s(f_\epsilon)$ converges to $Q_s(f)$ in the same subsequence. The sequence β_ϵ also converges a.e. to β so that $Q_s(f_\epsilon)\beta_\epsilon$ has a subsequence that converges a.e. to $Q_s(f)\beta$. On the other hand for that subsequence $|Q_s(f_\epsilon)\beta_\epsilon| \leq C\beta$ for some constant C . This is because in the subsequence there is bound on the \mathcal{L}^∞ -norm of $Q_s(f_\epsilon)$. By the bounded convergence theorem

$$\int_P Q_s(f_\epsilon)\beta_\epsilon \rightarrow \int_P Q_s(f)\beta = 0.$$

But $\int_P Q_s(f)\beta = \mu(Q_s(f)) > 0$ because $Q_s(f) \in \mathcal{K}$ and we get a contradiction. We conclude that $0 \in \mathcal{K}$ and the proposition follows. \square

4. Proof the of main Theorems 1.4, 1.3

The idea is to exploit the characterisation given in Proposition 3.3 for critical toric Kähler metrics to conclude that such metrics do not exist.

4.1. The proof of theorem 1.4

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be fixed. Under the right normalisation, (S^2, ω_{FS}, S^1) is a toric symplectic manifold with moment polytope $] - 1, 1[$. Any S^1 -invariant metric on S^2 is described by a symplectic potential $s \in \text{Spot}(] - 1, 1[)$. From Proposition 3.3 if it is critical for λ_1^k then there are function $\{f_0, \dots, f_N\}$ and $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N \in [0, 1]$ satisfying

$$(3) \quad \left(\frac{f'_a}{s''} \right)' = (-\lambda + k^2 s'') f_a$$

and

$$\sum_{a=0}^N \alpha_a \left(\left| \frac{f'_a}{s''} \right|^2 - k^2 |f_a|^2 \right)'' = 0.$$

As the α_a are all positive (and smaller than 1) they can be absorbed into the f_a 's at the cost of loosing the normalisation for $\int_P |f_a|^2 dx$'s. We write

$$\sum_{a=0}^N \left(\left| \frac{f'_a}{s''} \right|^2 - k^2 |f_a|^2 \right)'' = 0.$$

Now

$$\sum_{a=0}^N \left(\left| \frac{f'_a}{s''} \right|^2 - k^2 |f|^2 \right)' = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\left(\frac{f'_a}{s''} \right)' \frac{\bar{f}'_a}{s''} - k^2 f'_a \bar{f}'_a \right)$$

and replacing in Equality (3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=0}^N \left(\left| \frac{f'_a}{s''} \right|^2 - k^2 |f|^2 \right)' &= 2 \sum_{a=0}^N \operatorname{Re} \left((-\lambda + k^2 s'') f_a \frac{\bar{f}'_a}{s''} - k^2 f'_a \bar{f}'_a \right) \\ \sum_{a=0}^N \left(\left| \frac{f'_a}{s''} \right|^2 - k^2 |f|^2 \right)' &= -2\lambda \sum_{a=0}^N \frac{\operatorname{Re}(f_a \bar{f}'_a)}{s''}. \end{aligned}$$

This then implies that

$$\sum_{a=0}^N \frac{\operatorname{Re}(f_a \bar{f}'_a)}{s''}$$

is constant. Because $\frac{1}{s''}$ vanishes at 1 and -1 , this is actually zero and $\sum_{a=0}^N \operatorname{Re}(f_a \bar{f}'_a) = 0$ so that $\sum_{a=0}^N |f_a|^2$ is constant. We look at two cases separately:

- In the case where $k \neq 0$, the f_a all vanish at 1 and -1 and so $\sum_{a=0}^N |f_a|^2 = 0$ so that $f_a = 0$ for all a ; a contradiction.
- In the case when $k = 0$ we may assume that the f_a are real. We have

$$\sum_{a=0}^N \left(\left(\frac{f'_a}{s''} \right)^2 \right)'' = 2 \sum_{a=0}^N \left(\left(\frac{f'_a}{s''} \right)'' \frac{f'_a}{s''} + \left(\left(\frac{f'_a}{s''} \right)' \right)^2 \right) = 0$$

and replacing Equality (3) for $k = 0$ again we find that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= 2 \sum_{a=0}^N \left((-\lambda f_a)' \frac{f'_a}{s''} + \left(\left(\frac{f'_a}{s''} \right)' \right)^2 \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{a=0}^N -\lambda \frac{(f'_a)^2}{s''} + \lambda^2 f_a^2, \end{aligned}$$

and $\sum_{a=0}^N \frac{(f'_a)^2}{s''} = \lambda \sum_{a=0}^N f_a^2$ and hence it is constant. But, because $\frac{1}{s''}$ vanishes at 0, $\sum_{a=0}^N \frac{(f'_a)^2}{s''} = 0$ and each f'_a vanishes which is also a contradiction. \square

4.2. Proof of theorem 1.3

We start with a useful calculation.

Lemma 4.1. *In the same context as above, let f be an invariant eigenfunction for the eigenvalue λ of the Laplacian on toric Kähler manifold with symplectic potential s then*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_l s^{jr} f_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \lambda^2 f^2 + 2\lambda \partial f^t (\operatorname{Hess} s)^{-1} \partial f \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Tr} (D((\operatorname{Hess} s)^{-1} \partial f))^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_l s^{jr} f_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial (s^{il} f_l)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial (s^{jr} f_r)}{\partial x_j} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_l)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} s^{jr} f_r \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial (s^{il} f_l)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial (s^{jr} f_r)}{\partial x_i} \\ &= (\lambda f)(\lambda f) + 2 \frac{\partial(-\lambda f)}{\partial x_j} s^{jr} f_r + \frac{\partial (s^{il} f_l)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial (s^{jr} f_r)}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \lambda^2 f^2 - 2\lambda \partial f^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f + \frac{\partial (s^{il} f_l)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial (s^{jr} f_r)}{\partial x_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Where we have used the fact that

$$\frac{\partial (s^{il} f_l)}{\partial x_j} = -\lambda f.$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial (s^{il} f_l)}{\partial x_j} = [D ((\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f)]_{ij}$$

and the result follows. □

As a result of this calculation and of Proposition 3.3 it follows that the symplectic potential s is $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$ -critical iff for all $\delta s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{P})$ there are functions on $P \{f_0, \dots, f_N\}$ corresponding to invariant eigenfunctions in $E_1^{\mathbb{T}}(s)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{a=1}^N (\lambda^2 f_a^2 - 2\lambda \partial f_a (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a + \text{Tr} (D(\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a^2)) = 0.$$

We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$ -critical metric on a toric Kähler manifold. We are going to derive a contradiction from this assumption. Let P denote the moment polytope of our toric Kähler manifold. Assume without loss of generality that 0 is a vertex of P and that P is standard at 0 . We can always achieve this applying an $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation which will lift to an equivariant diffeomorphism taking critical symplectic potentials for $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$ to taking critical symplectic potentials for $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$.

We start by showing that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{a=1}^N (\lambda^2 f_a^2 - 2\lambda \partial f_a (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a + \text{Tr} (D((\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a)^2)) = 0$$

implies that $f_a(0) = 0, \forall a = 0, \dots, N$. The above relation holds at $x = 0$. Now $(\text{Hess } s)^{-1}(0) = 0$ and we are going to show that

$$\text{Tr} (D(\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a)^2(0) = \sum_{a=1}^N |\partial f_a|^2(0).$$

It will then follows that $f_a(0), \partial f_a(0) = 0, \forall a = 0, \dots, N$. Because $s \in \text{Spot}(P)$, there is $v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{P})$ such that

$$s = s_G + v \quad \text{and}$$

$$s_G = \sum_{k=1}^d (x \cdot \nu_k - c_k) \log(x \cdot \nu_k - c_k) - (x \cdot \nu_k - c_k)$$

where

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \nu_l - c_l > 0, l = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\text{Hess } s_G = \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{\nu_l \nu_l^t}{x \cdot \nu_l - c_l}.$$

Because P is standard at zero $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n\}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n so that

$$\text{Hess } s_G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{x_m} \end{pmatrix} + A$$

where A is smooth on a neighbourhood of 0. Hence, on a neighbourhood of 0, there is a smooth B such that

$$\text{Hess } s = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{x_n} \end{pmatrix} + B.$$

So

$$(7) \quad (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} = \text{Diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \text{Diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n)B\text{Diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \dots$$

and therefore writing $\partial_l f = f_l, l = 1, \dots, n$

$$(\text{Hess } s)^{-1}\partial f = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 f_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n f_n \end{pmatrix} + O(2)$$

where for any positive integer $l, O(l)$ denotes a function which vanishes to order at least l at zero i.e. a function which is bounded by $C||x||^l$ on some neighbourhood of zero for some constant C . Hence

$$D((\text{Hess } s)^{-1}\partial f) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(x_1 f_1) & \cdots & x_1 f_{1n} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_n f_{1n} & \cdots & \partial_n(x_n f_n) \end{pmatrix} + O(1)$$

where $f_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$\text{Tr}(D((\text{Hess } s)^{-1}\partial f))^2 = \sum_{l=1}^n (f_l + x_l f_{ll})^2 + \sum_{l,r=1, l \neq r}^n x_l x_r f_{lr}^2 + O(1).$$

In particular $\text{Tr}(D((\text{Hess } s)^{-1}\partial f))^2(0) = \sum_{l=1}^n (f_l)^2(0) = |\partial f|^2(0)$ as claimed.

Next we want to prove that if we assume that $f_a = O(l)$ for all $a = 0, \dots, N$ and some integer $l > 1$ then in fact $f_a = O(l + 1)$. Consider the equality

$$\sum_{a=0}^N (\lambda^2 f_a^2 - 2\lambda \partial f_a (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a + \text{Tr}(D(\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a)^2) = 0.$$

- Because $f_a = O(l)$ it follows that $\lambda^2 \sum_{a=0}^N f_a^2 = O(2l)$.
- It follows from Equation (7) that $(\text{Hess } s)^{-1} = O(1)$ and since $\partial f_a = O(l - 1), \lambda \sum_{a=0}^N \partial f_a (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a = O(2l - 1)$.
- As for $\sum_{a=0}^N \text{Tr}(D(\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a)^2$, to study its asymptotic behaviour near 0 we essentially need to retrace the steps in the above analysis

taking into account that $f_a = O(l)$. If $f = O(l)$ then

$$(\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 f_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n f_n \end{pmatrix} + O(l + 1)$$

$$D((\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(x_1 f_1) & \cdots & x_1 f_{1n} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_n f_{1n} & \cdots & \partial_n(x_n f_n) \end{pmatrix} + O(l),$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_1(x_1 f_1) & \cdots & x_1 f_{1n} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_n f_{1n} & \cdots & \partial_n(x_n f_n) \end{pmatrix} = O(l - 1),$$

so that

$$\text{Tr} (D((\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f))^2 = \sum_{l=1}^n (f_l + x_l f_{ll})^2 + \sum_{l,r=1, l \neq r}^n x_l x_r f_{lr}^2 + O(2l - 1).$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^N \left((f_{a,l} + x_l f_{a,ll})^2 + \sum_{l,r=1}^n x_l x_r f_{a,lr}^2 \right) \\ &= - \sum_{a=0}^N \text{Tr} (D((\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a))^2 + O(2l - 1), \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Equation (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=0}^N \text{Tr} (D((\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a))^2 &= \sum_{a=0}^N -\lambda^2 f_a^2 + 2\lambda \partial f_a (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a \\ &= O(2l) + O(2l - 1) \\ &= O(2l - 1), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sum_{a=0}^N \left((f_{a,l} + x_l f_{a,ll})^2 + \sum_{l,r=1}^n x_l x_r f_{a,lr}^2 \right) = O(2l - 1),$$

when in fact, a priori, this expression only needs to be $O(2l - 2)$. Consider the analytic expansion of f_a around 0. We have $f_a = P_a + O(l + 1)$ where P_a is a homogeneous polynomial of order l . Therefore

$$\sum_{a=0}^N \left((\partial_l(x_l P_{a,l}))^2 + \sum_{l,r=1}^n x_l x_r P_{a,lr}^2 \right)$$

must be a polynomial of order $2l - 1$. Let $v = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a generic vector in $\{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1, \dots, x_n > 0\}$ then

$$t^{2l-2} \sum_{a=0}^N \left((\partial_l(x_l P_{a,l}))^2(v) + \sum_{l,r=1}^n x_l x_r P_{a,lr}^2(v) \right)$$

must be of order at least $2l - 1$ in t so that

$$\sum_{a=0}^N \left((\partial_l(x_l P_{a,l}))^2(v) + \sum_{l,r=1}^n x_l x_r P_{a,lr}^2(v) \right) = 0$$

and so because all terms in the sum are non negative they must vanish. We conclude that $\partial_l(x_l P_{a,l}) \equiv 0$ and $P_{a,lr} \equiv 0$ so that P_a must be constant for all $a = 0, \dots, N$. Because P_a is of degree greater than 1 then it actually must vanish so that $f_a = O(l + 1)$ as claimed.

Since we have proved that $f_a = O(1)$ and $f_a = O(k) \implies f_a = O(k + 1)$ it follows that all derivatives of f_a vanish at zero for all $a = 0, \dots, N$. At this point we use the analyticity hypothesis. Because our Riemannian metric is analytic, the eigenfunctions for its Laplace operator are analytic as well. This follows from elliptic regularity. We may then conclude that all $f_a \equiv 0$ which is impossible. No critical metric exists. \square

5. Concluding remarks

We would like to be able to use the equations that we derived from the $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$ -criticality on the metric and the corresponding eigenfunctions to conclude that both metric and eigenfunctions are analytic. The symplectic potential of a $\lambda_1^{\mathbb{T}}$ -critical metric and its eigenfunction satisfy the following system of

PDE's for function on P

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(s^{ij} \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_j} \right) = \lambda_1^T f_a, \forall a = 0, \dots, N \\ \sum_{a=0}^N \frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_{a,l} s^{jr} f_{a,r})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 0. \end{cases}$$

This can be written in the form $F(x, s, f, \partial s, \partial f, \dots) = 0$ for an analytic function F (here we write $f = (f_0, \dots, f_N)$). It would follow from a result of Morrey (see [Mo]) that if this system is elliptic in some suitable sense then its solutions are analytic. In fact the system is not elliptic. We will prove this here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 5.1. *The system (8) is nowhere elliptic.*

Proof. This is essentially a matter of chasing through the definition of ellipticity. See [Mo] for more details. Writing $F = (F_0, \dots, F_N, F_{N+1})$ with

$$\begin{cases} F_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(s^{ij} \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_j} \right) - \lambda_1^T f_a, \forall a = 0, \dots, N \\ F_{N+1} = \sum_{a=0}^N \frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_{a,l} s^{jr} f_{a,r})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \end{cases}$$

we essentially want to calculate $\det DF$. We start by calculating each partial derivative. We set $f_{N+1} = s$ and below we will omit the dependence of F on variables that are fixed.

1) Given $a = 0, \dots, N$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F_a(f_a + tv) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(s^{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) - \lambda_1^T v,$$

so that

$$L_{aa}(x, D) = D_i s^{ij} D_j = D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} D,$$

where we have used the notation in [Mo].

2) Also given $a, b < N + 1$ distinct

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F_a(f_b + tv) = 0, \quad a \neq b,$$

so that

$$L_{ab}(x, D) = 0, \quad a \neq b.$$

3) Now given $a < N + 1$ the derivative of F_a with respect to s is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} F_a(s + tv) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(s^{il} v_{lr} s^{rj} \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

and

$$L_{aN+1}(x, D) = -D_i s^{il} D_l D_r s^{rj} \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_j} = -D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} D D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a.$$

4) As for the derivative of F_{N+1} with respect to f_a for $a < N + 1$

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} F_{N+1}(f_a + tv) = 2 \frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_{a,l} s^{jr} v_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{N+1,a}(x, D) &= 2D_i D_j s^{il} f_{a,l} s^{jr} D_r \\ &= 2D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} D D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a. \end{aligned}$$

5) Last, we calculate the derivative of F_{N+1} with respect to s

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} F_{N+1}(s + tv) = -2 \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 (s^{iq} v_{qp} s^{pl} f_{a,l} s^{jr} f_{a,r})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{N+1N+1}(x, D) &= -2 \sum_{a=0}^N D_i D_j s^{iq} D_q D_p s^{pl} f_{a,l} s^{jr} f_{a,r} \\ &= -2D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} D \sum_{a=0}^N (D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a)^2. \end{aligned}$$

To sum up

	$\frac{d}{dt} _{t=0} F_a(f_b + tv)$	$L_{ab}(x, D)$
$a = b < N + 1$	$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(s^{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) - \lambda_1^T v$	$D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} D$
$a, b < N + 1, a \neq b$	0	0
$a < N + 1, b = N + 1$	$-2 \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 (s^{il} f_{a,l} s^{jr} v_r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$	$-2D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} D D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a$
$a = b = N + 1$	$-2 \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 (s^{iq} v_{qp} s^{pl} f_{a,l} s^{jr} f_{a,r})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$	$-2D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} D \sum_{a=0}^N (D^t (\text{Hess } s)^{-1} \partial f_a)^2$

The system is elliptic iff

$$\det DF := \det(L_{ij}(x, D))_{i,j=0}^{N+1} \neq 0, \forall D \neq 0$$

Now DF is given by $D^t(\text{Hess } s)^{-1}D$ times

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & -D^t(\text{Hess } s)^{-1}\partial f_0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & -D^t(\text{Hess } s)^{-1}\partial f_N \\ 2D^t(\text{Hess } s)^{-1}\partial f_0 & \cdots & 2D^t(\text{Hess } s)^{-1}\partial f_N & -2\sum_{a=0}^N (D^t(\text{Hess } s)^{-1}\partial f_a)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix above is clearly singular at all points as its last line is a linear combination of the previous N lines. \square

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Christine Breiner and Heather Macbeth for many illuminating conversations about λ_1 -critical metrics.

References

- [A] M. Abreu, *Kähler geometry of toric manifolds in symplectic coordinates*, in: *Symplectic and Contact Topology: Interactions and Perspectives* (eds. Y. Eliashberg, B. Khesin and F. Lalonde), Fields Institute Communications **35**, American Mathematical Society (2003), 1–24.
- [AJK] V. Apostolov, D. Jakobson, and G. Konkarev, *An extremal eigenvalue problem in Kähler geometry*, *Conformal and Complex Geometry in Honor of Paul Gauduchon*, *J. Geo. Phys.* **91** (2015), 108–116.
- [BLY] J. P. Bourguignon, P. Li, and S.-T. Yau, *Upper bound for the first eigenvalue of algebraic submanifolds*, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **69** (1994), 199–207.
- [CDE] B. Colbois, E. Dryden, and A. El Soufi, *Extremal G -invariant eigenvalues of the Laplacian of G -invariant metrics*, *Math. Z.* **258** (2008), no. 1, 29–41.
- [AI] A. El Soufi and S. Ilias, *Riemannian manifolds admitting isometric immersions by their first eigenfunctions*, *Pacific J. Math.* **195** (2000), no. 1, 91–99.

- [G] V. Guillemin, *Kähler structures on toric varieties*, J. Diff. Geom. **40** (1994), 285–309.
- [LS] E. Legendre and R. Sena-Dias, *Toric aspects of the first eigenvalue*, to be published in J. Geom. Anal (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-017-9908-y>.
- [Ma] H. Macbeth, *Conformal classes realizing the Yamabe invariant*, to be published in Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnx123>.
- [Mo] C. Morrey, *On the analyticity of the solutions of analytic non-linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations. Part I. Analyticity in the interior*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 198–218.
- [N] N. Nadirashvili, *Berger’s isoperimetric problem and minimal immersions of surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 5, 877–897.
- [PP] F. Panelli and F. Podestà, *On the first eigenvalue of invariant Kähler metrics*, Math. Z. **281** (2015), no. 1-2, 471–482.
- [YY] P. Yang; S.-T. Yau, *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **7** (1980), no. 1, 55–63.

CENTRO DE ANÁLISE MATEMÁTICA, GEOMETRIA E SISTEMAS DINÂMICOS
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO
AV. ROVISCO PAIS, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: rsenadias@math.ist.utl.pt

RECEIVED NOVEMBER 3, 2017

ACCEPTED SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

