

Local Fano-Mori contractions of high nef-value

MARCO ANDREATTA AND LUCA TASIN

Let X be a variety with terminal singularities of dimension n . We study local contractions $f : X \rightarrow Z$ supported by a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor of the type $K_X + \tau L$, where L is an f -ample Cartier divisor and $\tau > 0$ is a rational number. Equivalently, f is a Fano-Mori contraction associated to an extremal face in $\overline{NE(X)}_{K_X + \tau L = 0}$. We prove that, if $\tau > (n - 3) > 0$, the general element $X' \in |L|$ is a variety with at most terminal singularities. We apply this to characterize, via an inductive argument, some birational contractions as above with $\tau > (n - 3) \geq 0$.

1. Introduction

Let X be a variety with at most log terminal singularities of dimension n ; let $f : X \rightarrow Z$ be a local contraction on X (see Section 2). Assume that f is an adjoint contraction supported by a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor of the type $K_X + \tau L$, where L is an f -ample Cartier divisor and τ is a positive rational number (Definition 2.2). Equivalently, f is a Fano-Mori contraction associated to an extremal face in $\overline{NE(X)}_{K_X + \tau L = 0}$ (Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.3). These maps naturally arise in the context of the minimal model program.

The description and the classification of such contractions $f : X \rightarrow Z$ are often obtained by an inductive procedure, the so-called Apollonius method: it consists in finding a "good" element $X' \in |L|$ (that is an element of the linear system $|L|$ with good singularities), studying by induction the properties of $f|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow Z'$ and then lifting them to $f : X \rightarrow Z$. The first step, i.e. the proof of the existence of good elements in $|L|$, is a long lasting and delicate problem; the following is a result in this direction.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$, L and τ be as above; assume that X has terminal singularities and $\tau > (n - 3) > 0$. Let $X' \in |L|$ be a general divisor. Then X' is a variety with at most terminal singularities and $f|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow f(X') =: Z'$ is a local contraction supported by $K_{X'} + (\tau - 1)L'$, where $L' := L|_{X'}$ (i.e. f' is again a Fano-Mori contraction).*

The next two results are proved by induction, applying Theorem 1.1. If $n = 3$, then part A of the following Theorem is the main result of [12].

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$, L and τ be as above; assume also that X is terminal and \mathbb{Q} -factorial and that $\tau > (n - 3) \geq 0$.*

- A) *Assume that f is birational and contracts a prime divisor to a point. For $i = 1, \dots, n - 3$, let $H_i \in |L|$ be a general divisor and set $X'' = \cap H_i$. Then X'' is a threefold with terminal singularities and $f'' : X'' \rightarrow Z''$ is a divisorial contraction of an irreducible \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor $E'' \subset X''$ to a point $p \in Z''$. Assume that p is smooth in Z'' . Then f is a weighted blow-up of a smooth point with weight $(1, a, b, c, \dots, c)$, where a, b are positive integers, $(a, b) = 1$, c is the positive integer such that $L = f^*f_*L - cE$ and $ab|c$.*
- B) *Let E be the exceptional locus of f . Assume that X has only points of index 1 and 2 and that each component of E has dimension $(n - 2)$ (in particular f is a birational small contraction). Then $\tau = \frac{2n-5}{2}$, E is irreducible, it is contracted to a point and $(E, L|_E) = (\mathbb{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}(1))$.*

Fano-Mori contractions of nef-value $\tau > (n - 2)$ are classified, see [3] and [4]. In [4] we also describe divisorial contractions of nef-value $\tau > (n - 3)$ such that the exceptional locus is not contracted to a point. The above Theorem is a further step towards a classification in the case $(n - 2) \geq \tau > (n - 3)$.

2. Notation

We use notations and definitions which are standard in the Minimal Model Program, they are compatible with the ones in the books [18] and [19].

In particular a *log pair* (X, D) consists of a normal variety X together with an effective Weil \mathbb{Q} -divisor $D = \sum d_i D_i$ on X such that $K_X + D$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of (X, D) , then we can write

$$K_Y + \mu_*^{-1}D = \mu^*(K_X + D) + \sum_{E_i \text{ exceptional}} a(E_i, X, D)E_i.$$

We define the *discrepancy* of (X, D) as

$$\text{discrep}(X, D) := \inf_E \{a(E, X, D) : E \text{ is an exceptional divisor over } X\}.$$

We say that (X, D) is terminal, resp. canonical, klt (or Kawamata log terminal), plt, lc (or log canonical) if $\text{discrep}(X, D)$ is > 0 , resp. ≥ 0 , > -1 and $\lfloor D \rfloor = 0$, > -1 , ≥ -1 .

If $D = 0$, then the notions klt and plt coincide and X is called log terminal (lt).

The *log canonical threshold* of a log pair (X, D) is defined as

$$\text{lct}(X, D) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{Q} : (X, tD) \text{ is log canonical}\}.$$

A subvariety $W \subset X$ is called a *lc centre* for (X, D) if there is a log resolution $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ and an irreducible exceptional divisor E on Y such that $a(E, X, D) = -1$ and $\mu(E) = W$. The set of all the lc centres is denoted by $\text{CLC}(X, D)$. Note that if $W_1, W_2 \in \text{CLC}(X, D)$ and W is an irreducible component of $W_1 \cap W_2$, then $W \in \text{CLC}(X, D)$; in particular, there exist minimal elements in $\text{CLC}(X, D)$. An lc centre W is called *isolated* if for any log resolution $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ and any exceptional divisor E on Y such that $a(E, X, D) = -1$, we have $\mu(E) = W$.

Let T be a normal projective variety over \mathbb{C} and $n = \dim T$. A *contraction* is a surjective morphism $\varphi : T \rightarrow S$ with connected fibres onto a normal variety S . We take a contraction $\varphi : T \rightarrow S$ and we fix a non trivial fibre F of f ; take an open affine set $Z \subset S$ such that $f(F) \in Z$.

Let $X := f^{-1}(Z)$; then $f : X \rightarrow Z$ will be called a *local contraction around F* , or simply a local contraction; eventually shrinking Z , we can assume that $\dim F \geq \dim F'$ for every fibre F' of f .

We assume that f is *projective*, that is we assume the existence of f -ample Cartier divisors L . We will also assume that X has log terminal, or milder type, singularities.

Definition 2.1. We will say that a local projective contraction $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is *Fano-Mori (F-M)* if $-K_X$ is f -ample.

Fano-Mori contractions are associated to extremal faces of the polyhedral part of the Mori-Kleiman cone $\overline{\text{NE}(X)}_{K_X < 0} = \{[C] \in \overline{\text{NE}(X)} : K_X.C < 0\}$ in the vector space $N_1(X)$ generated by 1-cycles modulo numerical equivalence. In particular the contraction contracts exactly all the curves contained in the associated face. If the associated face has dimension 1 (a ray) the contraction is called *elementary*.

Definition 2.2. We will say that a local projective contraction $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is an *adjoint contraction supported by $K_X + \tau L$* if there is a $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}$ such

that $K_X + \tau L \sim_f \mathcal{O}_X$, where L is an f -ample Cartier divisor (\sim_f stays for numerical equivalence over f).

Remark 2.3. Any F-M contraction $f : X \rightarrow Z$, once we fix a f -ample Cartier divisors L , is an adjoint contraction. To see this we define the *nef-value* of the pair $(f : X \rightarrow Z, L)$ as $\tau_f(X, L) := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : K_X + tL \text{ is } f\text{-nef}\}$. By the rationality theorem of Kawamata (Theorem 3.5 in [18]), $\tau(X, L)$ is a rational non-negative number and therefore f is an adjoint contraction supported by $K_X + \tau L$. Viceversa any adjoint contraction with positive τ is clearly a F-M contraction.

All through the paper, although not further specified, we will be in the following set up:

- (\star) X is a variety with at most log terminal singularities, $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is an adjoint contraction (Definition 2.2), local around a (non trivial) fibre F and supported by $K_X + \tau L$, where L is an f -ample Cartier divisor and τ is a rational number.

We will denote by E the exceptional locus of f and by $Bs|L|$ the relative base locus of L , i.e. the support of the cokernel of the natural map $f^*f_*L \rightarrow L$. Clearly $Bs|L| \subset E$.

Weighted projective spaces and weighted blow-up, under some conditions on the weights, are special Fano-Mori contractions. For a detailed treatment of weighted blow-ups we refer to Section 10 of [18] or Section 3 of [4]; here we just fix our notation.

Let $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $a_i > 0$ and $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$.

We denote by $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ the *weighted projective space* with weight (a_1, \dots, a_k) .

Let $X = \mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $p = (0, \dots, 0) \subset X$. Consider the rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ given by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1^{a_1} : \dots : x_n^{a_n})$. The *weighted blow-up* of $p \in X$ of weight σ is defined as the closure \overline{X} in $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of the graph of φ , together with the morphism $\pi : \overline{X} \rightarrow X$ given by the projection on the first factor. The map π is birational and contracts an exceptional irreducible divisor $E \cong \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ to p . For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the σ -weighted ideal of degree d as $I_{\sigma, d} := (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : \sum_{j=1}^n s_j a_j \geq d)$.

We have the following characterization: $\overline{X} = \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} I_{\sigma, d})$ (see [4]).

A criterium to check that the singularities of \overline{X} are terminal can be find in [23, Theorem 4.11]: for instance if $\sigma = (1, a, b, c, \dots, c)$, where $(a, b) = 1$ and $ab|c$, then \overline{X} has terminal singularities.

3. Existence of good sections

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 and we provide a collection of technical results which could be useful by themselves (see Proposition 3.3).

We start with a non-vanishing lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$ be as in Section 2 (\star). Let $D \sim_f \beta L$ be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that (X, D) is lc and let $W \in CLC(X, D)$ be a minimal centre. Assume that $\tau - \beta > -1$, or that $\tau - \beta \geq -1$ if f is birational; assume also that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $\dim W \leq 2$,
- (ii) $\dim W \geq 3$ and $\tau - \beta > \dim W - 3$.

Then $H^0(W, L|_W) \neq 0$.

Proof. By subadjunction formula (see Theorem 1.2 of [10]), there is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor D_W such that (W, D_W) is klt and

$$K_W + D_W \sim (K_X + D)|_W \sim -(\tau - \beta)L|_W.$$

If $\dim W \leq 2$, then we conclude by Theorem 3.1 of [14].

If $\dim W \geq 3$, then (W, D_W) is a log Fano variety of index $i(W, D_W) > \dim W - 3$ and the result follows by the main Theorem of [1]. \square

The next is the first step to prove the existence of a good element in the linear system $|L|$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$ be as in Section 2 (\star). Let $D \sim_f \beta L$ be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that (X, D) is lc and let $W \in CLC(X, D)$ be a minimal centre. Assume that $\tau - \beta > -1$ or that $\tau - \beta \geq -1$ if f is birational; assume also that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $\dim W \leq 2$,
- (ii) $\dim W \geq 3$ and $\tau - \beta > \dim W - 3$.

Then there exists a section of $|L|$ not vanishing identically on W .

Proof. By a tie-breaking technique (see the discussion 1.15 in [22]), we may assume that W is an isolated lc centre and hence $I_W = \mathcal{J}(D)$, where I_W is the ideal sheaf of W and $J(D)$ is the multiplier ideal of D (see Lemma 2.19

of [8]). Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L) \otimes \mathcal{I}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W(L|_W) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $L - (K_X + D) \sim_f (1 + \tau - \beta)L$ is f -nef and big, we can apply Nadel vanishing [19, Thm. 9.4.17] to obtain that

$$H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(W, L|_W)$$

is surjective. The result follows now by Lemma 3.1. \square

The next proposition collects a series of useful technical results.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$ be as in Section 2 (\star).*

- 1 ([5, Theorem 5.1]) *Assume that either $\dim F < \tau + 1$, if f is of fibre type, or $\dim F \leq \tau + 1$, if f is birational. Then L is relatively base-point free (i.e. $Bs|L| = \emptyset$).*
- 2 *If $\tau > -1$ and $\dim F < \tau + 3$, then there exists a section of $|L|$ not vanishing identically along F .*
- 3 *Assume that $\dim F < \tau + 3$, F is irreducible, and that either $\tau > 0$, if f is of fibre type, or $\tau \geq 0$, if f is birational. Then the general element of $|L|$ is a variety with lt singularities. If $\dim F < \tau + 2$, then the same holds without the assumption that F is irreducible.*
- 4 *Assume $\tau > 0$ and $n - 3 < \tau$. Then $\dim Bs|L| \leq 1$.*
- 5 *Assume $\dim F < \tau + 3$, F irreducible and $\tau \geq 1$. Let $S \in |L|$ be a general element. If X has canonical singularities, then S has canonical singularities. If X has terminal singularities, then S has terminal singularities, except possibly when $\tau = 1$ and f is of fibre-type. If $\dim F < \tau + 2$, then the same holds without the assumption that F is irreducible.*
- 6 *Assume that $\dim F < \tau + 3$, F is irreducible and $\tau > 0$ if f is of fibre type or $\tau \geq 0$ if f is birational. If X has canonical Gorenstein singularities, then the general element of $|L|$ has canonical singularities.*
- 7 *Assume that $\dim F = \tau + 3$, F is irreducible and $\tau > 0$ if f is of fibre type or $\tau \geq 0$ if f is birational. If there exists a section of L not vanishing along F and X has canonical Gorenstein singularities, then the general element of $|L|$ has canonical singularities.*

Remark 3.4. Point 1 is the main result of [5]. Points 2 and 3 are generalisations of Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.3 in [22]. Points 4, 5 and 6 are generalizations of results in [21] and [22]. Point 7 is the analogous of [9, Thm. 1.1] in the relative set-up.

At the Points 3 and 6 of Proposition 3.3 the assumption $\tau > 0$ if f is of fiber type is necessary, as the following trivial example shows. Let E be a smooth elliptic curve and D an ample line bundle with a base point (i.e. $D = p$). Consider $X = E \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ for $m \geq 0$ and $L = D \boxtimes (-2K_{\mathbb{P}^m})$. This is an adjoint contraction of fibre-type with $\tau = 0$ for which the conclusions of Points 3 and 6 do not hold. Similar examples can be constructed for point 7.

Counter-examples for the statement in the point 5 for $\tau = 1$ and f of fiber type were given by Mella; in [21] he actually classified all terminal Mukai 3-folds Y such that the general element of $| -K_Y |$ is not smooth. Taking $X := Y \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ for $m \geq 0$ and $L = -(K_Y \boxtimes 2K_{\mathbb{P}^m})$, we get examples of fibre-type contractions (not necessarily to a point) with $\tau = 1$ which do not satisfy the conclusions of Point 5.

Proof of Proposition 3.3.2. Let $\{h_i\} \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ be general functions vanishing at $f(F)$ such that (X, D) is not lc, where $D = \sum f^*(h_i)$. Let $\gamma = \text{lct}(X, D)$ and let $W \in CLC(X, \gamma D)$ be a minimal lc centre; by the general choice of h_i outside $f(F)$, we can assume that $W \subset F$. Note that $\gamma D \sim_f 0$ and that, by assumption, $\dim W \leq \dim F < \tau + 3$. Therefore by Corollary 3.2 there exists a section of $|L|$ not vanishing identically on W and thus on F . \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3.3. We start proving that $Bs|L|$ has codimension at least two. Assume by contradiction that there exists an irreducible component $V \subset Bs|L|$ of dimension $n - 1$.

Suppose first that $V \subset F$. Let $H \in |L|$ be a general element and set $c = \text{lct}(X, H)$. If $c < 1$, then $LCC(X, cH) \subset Bs|L|$; consider a minimal lc centre $W \in CLC(X, cH)$. By Proposition 3.3.2, $W \subsetneq F$. If F is irreducible, then $\dim W \leq \dim F - 1 < \tau + 2$. If F is not irreducible, then $\dim W \leq \dim F < \tau + 2$ by hypothesis. Therefore by Corollary 3.2 there exists a section of $|L|$ not vanishing identically on W , thus on $Bs|L|$, which is a contradiction. If $c = 1$, then $V \subset Bs|L|$ is an lc centre of (X, H) and, by Proposition 3.3.2, $V \subsetneq F$. Since $\dim V = n - 1$, f is a contraction to a point. Therefore, by assumptions, we have $\tau > 0$. We can conclude again by Corollary 3.2.

Assume now that V is not contained in any fibre of f and consider h_1, \dots, h_d general functions on Z , where $d := \dim f(V) > 0$. Set $X_{h_i} = f^*h_i$

and $X' = \cap X_{h_i}$. Note that $\dim X' = n - d$. By vertical slicing ([5, Lemma 2.5]), we get a local contraction $f' : X' \rightarrow Z'$, supported by $K_{X'} + \tau L'$ where $L' = L|_{X'}$ and there exists an irreducible component V' of $V \cap X' \subset Bs|L'|$ (actually, by Bertini, $V \cap X'$ is irreducible if it has positive dimension) such that $\dim V' = n - d - 1$ and $V' \subset F'$, where F' is a fibre of f' . Note that if f' is of fiber type also f is of fiber type, therefore in this case τ is positive by assumption. We are in the situation of the previous step and we can reach a contradiction.

We now prove that the general element of $|L|$ has lt singularities. Let $S \in |L|$ be general element; by Bertini Theorem (see [11, Thm. 6.3]) and the fact that $Bs|L|$ has codimension at least two, we see that S is irreducible and generically reduced. Assume by contradiction that S has singularities worse than log terminal. Then, by Proposition 7.5.1 of [16], (X, S) is not plt.

Assume first that $\tau > 0$. Set $\gamma = lct(X, S) \leq 1$ and consider a minimal lc centre $W \in CLC(X, \gamma S)$ such that $W \subset Bs|L|$ (such a center exists by Bertini Theorem, see for instance [1, Lemma 5.1]). We want to show that there is a section of $|L|$ not vanishing identically on W , obtaining in this way a contradiction.

As above, via a vertical slicing argument, we may assume $W \subset F$. In fact, let $d = \dim f(W)$. Consider h_1, \dots, h_d general functions on Z . Set $X_{h_i} = f^*h_i$ and $X' = \cap X_{h_i}$. By vertical slicing ([5, Lemma 2.5]), we get a local contraction $f' : X' \rightarrow Z'$ around a fibre F' , supported by $K_{X'} + \tau L'$ where $L' = L|_{X'}$. Let $S' \in |L'|$ be general. Since each X_{h_i} is general and intersects W , we have that $LLC(X', \gamma S') \subset W \cap X' \subset F'$ and the claim is proved.

By Proposition 3.3.2, $W \subsetneq F$. If F is irreducible, then $\dim W \leq \dim F - 1 < \tau + 2$. If F is not irreducible, then $\dim W \leq \dim F < \tau + 2$ by hypothesis. If $\dim W \geq 3$, then $\tau - \gamma > \dim W - 3 \geq 0$ and we can apply point (ii) of Corollary 3.2. If $\dim W \leq 2$, then the contradiction follows by point (i) of Corollary 3.2.

Assume now that $\tau = 0$ and f is not of fibre-type. Let $H = \varepsilon f^*(h)$, where h is a general function on Z vanishing at $f(F)$ and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Set $D = S + H$ and $\delta = lct(X, D) < 1$. We can consider a minimal centre $W \in CLC(X, \delta D)$ and reason as before. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3.4. If $\dim F \leq (n - 2)$ then 3.3.4 follows from the main Theorem of [5], as quoted in 3.3.1. Assume that $F \geq (n - 1)$, then the result follows by the next Lemma. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that X has log terminal singularities, $\tau > 0$ and $\dim F = n - 1 < \tau + 2$. Then $\dim Bs|L| \leq 1$.*

Proof. The proof of the Lemma is by induction on $n \geq 3$. We have proved above that $|L|$ has no fixed components, therefore the lemma is true for $n \leq 3$.

Assume $n > 3$. Let $X' \in |L|$ general. Since $|L|$ has no fixed component, by Bertini we get that X' does not contain any irreducible component of F (and that it is irreducible and reduced). Moreover, by Proposition 3.3.3, we have that X' is log terminal. Hence, by horizontal slicing ([5, Lemma 2.6]), $f : X' \rightarrow Z'$ is a contraction supported by $K_{X'} + (\tau - 1)L|_{X'}$ around a fibre $F' = F \cap X'$. It also follows that $\dim Bs|L| \leq \dim Bs|L'|$, because any section of L' lifts to a section of L by [5, Lemma 2.6.1]. By induction, we are done. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3.5. Let S be a general element of $|L|$; by Proposition 3.3.3, S has lt singularities. Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of the pair (X, S) and of the base locus of $|L|$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*S &= \bar{S} + \sum_i r_i E_i \\ K_Y &= \mu^*K_X + \sum_i a_i E_i \\ K_Y + \bar{S} &= \mu^*(K_X + S) + \sum_i (a_i - r_i) E_i \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{S} = \mu_*^{-1}S$ is the strict transform of S and $|\bar{S}|$ is basepoint free. Moreover, $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_i \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu(E_i) \subset Bs|L|$.

Assume that S has not canonical singularities (resp. terminal singularities); after reordering we can assume that $a_0 < r_0$ (resp. $a_0 \leq r_0$). Since S is generic, by Bertini we can assume that $\mu(E_i) \subset Bs|L|$, for all i such that $r_i > 0$.

Let $D = S + S_1$, where S_1 is another generic section in $|L|$; note that μ is a log resolution also for the pair (X, D) . Let $r_0^1 \geq 1$ be the multiplicity of S_1 at the centre of valuation associated to E_0 . Then (X, D) is not LC since $a_0 + 1 < r_0 + r_0^1$ (resp. $a_0 + 1 \leq r_0 + r_0^1$). Let $\gamma = \text{lct}(X, D) \leq 1$ and $W \in CLC(X, \gamma D)$ be a minimal lc centre. Now we can reason as in the proof of Proposition 3.3.3. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3.6. In the notation of the proof of Proposition 3.3, assume by contradiction that S is not canonical. Then $a_i - r_i < 0$ for some

i ; since a_i and r_i are integers, we get $a_i - r_i \leq -1$ and hence (X, S) is not plt. Set $\gamma = \text{lct}(X, S) \leq 1$ and let $W \in CLC(X, \gamma S)$ be minimal lc centre. Now, as in the proof above, we derive a contradiction. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3.7. If f is a contraction to a point, then the result is exactly [9, Thm. 1.1], so assume that f is not a contraction to a point. Let $S \in |L|$ be general and assume by contradiction that S is not canonical. Then (X, S) is not plt. Let $H = \varepsilon f^*(h)$, where h is a general function on Z vanishing at $f(F)$ and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Set $D = S + H$ and $\delta = \text{lct}(X, D) < 1$. We can consider a minimal centre $W \in CLC(X, \delta D)$ and reason as in the proof above. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The fact that X' is terminal follows by Proposition 3.3.5. The fact that $f|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow Z'$ is a local contraction supported by $K_{X'} + (\tau - 1)L'$ follows by the so called horizontal slicing ([5, Lemma 2.6]). \square

4. Lifting of contractions

Let X be a terminal variety of dimension $n \geq 4$ and let $f : X \rightarrow Z$ be a local contraction supported by $K_X + \tau L$ such that $\tau > n - 3$; assume that f contracts a prime \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor E to a smooth point $p \in Z$.

By Theorem 1.1 the general $X' \in |L|$ has terminal singularities and $f' = f|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow Z'$ is a divisorial contraction to $p \in Z'$. Since $f_* L$ is a Cartier divisor let c be a positive integer c such that $f^* f_* L = L + cE$.

Lemma 4.1. *In the situation above, assume that p is smooth in Z' and that f' is a weighted blow-up of type $(1, a, b, c, \dots, c)$, where c appears $(n - 4)$ times. Then f is also a weighted blow-up of type $(1, a, b, c, \dots, c)$, where c appears $(n - 3)$ times.*

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_n local coordinates for p ; we may also assume that $f_*(X') = \{x_n = 0\}$.

Note that $\mathcal{O}_X(-cE)$ is f -ample and that the map f is proper; so we have that

$$X = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{d \geq 0} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-dcE)).$$

Using the notation of Section 2, we need to prove that

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-dcE) = \left(x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : s_1 + s_2a + s_3b + \sum_{j=4}^n cs_j \geq dc \right).$$

The proof is by induction on $d \geq 0$.

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-L - dcE) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-dcE) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dcE) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that

$$-L - dcE \sim_f -(d-1)cE \sim_f K_X + \left(n - 3 + d - 1 + \frac{a+b}{c} \right) L,$$

Hence, pushing down to Z the above exact sequence and applying the relative Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing, we have

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)cE) \xrightarrow{x^*} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-dcE) \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dcE) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since by assumption f' is a weighted blow of type $(1, a, b, c, \dots, c)$, we have

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dcE) = \left(x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{s_{n-1}} : s_1 + s_2a + s_3b + \sum_{j=4}^{n-1} cs_j \geq dc \right),$$

where $s_j \in \mathbb{N}$. By induction on d , we can also assume that

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)cE) = \left(x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : s_1 + s_2a + s_3b + \sum_{j=4}^n cs_j \geq (d-1)c \right),$$

the case $d = 0$ being trivial.

Let $g = x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} \in f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-dcE)$ be a monomial. If $s_n \geq 1$ then g , looking at the sequence (4.1), comes from $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)cE)$ by the multiplication by x_n ; therefore

$$s_1 + s_2a + s_3b + \sum_{j=4}^{n-1} s_j c + s_n c \geq (d-1)c + s_n c \geq dc.$$

If $s_n = 0$, then $g \in f_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dcE)$ and so

$$s_1 + s_2a + s_3b + \sum_{j=4}^n s_j c = s_1 + s_2a + s_3b + \sum_{j=4}^{n-1} s_j c \geq dc.$$

The non-monomial case follows immediately. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.A. Let $H_i \in |L|$ be general divisors for $i = 1, \dots, n - 3$. By Theorem 1.1, for any i , H_i is a variety with terminal singularities and the morphism $f_i = f|_{H_i} : H_i \rightarrow f(H_i) =: Z_i$ is a local contraction supported by $K_{H_i} + (\tau - 1)L|_{H_i}$. Since Z is terminal and \mathbb{Q} -factorial (see [18, Corollary 3.36] and [18, Corollary 3.43]), then the Z_i 's are \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors on Z .

For any $t = 0, \dots, n - 3$ define $Y_t = \cap_{i=1}^{n-3-t} H_i$ and $g_t = f|_{Y_t} : Y_t \rightarrow g_t(Y_t) =: W_t$; in particular $Y_{n-3} = X$, $g_{n-3} = f$ and $W_{n-3} = Z$. Let, as in the statement of the Theorem, $X'' = Y_0$ and $f'' = g_0$.

By induction on t , applying Theorem 1.1, one sees that, for any $t = 0, \dots, n - 4$, Y_t is terminal and $g_t = f|_{Y_t} : Y_t \rightarrow W_t$ is a Fano Mori contraction. Therefore W_t is a terminal variety (by [18, Corollary 3.43]) and it is a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor in W_{t+1} , because intersection of \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors (by construction $W_t = \cap_{i=1}^{n-3-t} Z_i$). Therefore by [20, Lemma 1.7], and by induction on t , it follows that p is a smooth point in W_t , for all t .

Set $L_t := L|_{W_t}$. Since $Bs|L_t|$ has dimension at most 1 by Proposition 3.3.4, by Bertini's theorem (see [11, Thm. 6.3]) $E_t := Y_t \cap E$ is a prime divisor. E_t is the intersection of \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors and hence it is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

Therefore $f'' : X'' \rightarrow Z''$ is a divisorial contraction from a 3-fold X'' with terminal singularities, which contracts a prime \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor $E'' := E_0$ to a point $p \in Z''$, which we assume to be smooth. By [12] we know then that f'' is a blow-up of type $(1, a, b)$ (note that in [12] the \mathbb{Q} -factoriality of the domain is not needed, see also [13, Thm. 1.9]).

We conclude by induction on t applying Lemma 4.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2.B. We first show that E is contracted to a point. By [2, Theorem 2.1] $\dim f(E) \leq 1$. Since $\dim E = n - 2$ and the non-Gorenstein locus of X has codimension 3, if $\dim f(E) = 1$ then there is a fiber which is not contained in the non-Gorenstein locus; by [6, Lemma 2.1] we get a contradiction. (See the following Remark 4.3 for a further analysis).

By the rationality theorem, [15, Theorem 4.1.1], we have $2\tau = \frac{u}{v}$ where $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \leq 2(n - 1)$. Therefore we have :

$$n - 3 < \tau = \frac{u}{2v} \leq \frac{n - 1}{v}.$$

If $n = 4$ this gives $v = 1$ and $u = 3$ or $v = 2$ and $u = 5$. If $n > 4$ we can have only $v = 1$ and $u = 2n - 5$.

We want to exclude the case $n = 4$ and $\tau = 5/4$. Assume by contradiction that $4K_X + 5L$ is a supporting divisor for f and set $H = 2K_X + 3L$. Then H is an ample Cartier divisor such that

$$2K_X + 5H = 3(4K_X + 5L).$$

This implies that $2K_X + 5H$ is also a supporting divisor for f and that $5/2 = \tau(X, H)$, which is impossible because in dimension 4 birational contractions with nef-value greater than 2 are divisorial (see [4]).

By [5, Theorem 5.1] we can suppose that L is globally generated. Pick $(n - 3)$ general members $H_i \in |L|$ ($1 \leq i \leq n - 3$) and let $X' = \cap H_i$ be the scheme intersection. By Theorem 1.1 X' is a 3-fold with terminal singularities and, by horizontal slicing ([5, Lemma 2.6]), the restricted morphism $f' := f|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow Z'$ is a small contraction supported by $K_{X'} + (\tau - n + 3)L|_{X'}$ with exceptional locus $C = (\cap H_i) \cap E$. Note also that X' has terminal singularities and has index at most 2, in fact $2K_{X'} = 2(K_X + (n - 3)L)|_{X'}$ is Cartier.

Small contractions on a 3-fold with terminal 2-factorial singularities are classified in [17, Theorem 4.2]. In particular this gives that C is irreducible and isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 and $-K_{X'} \cdot C = \frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore also E is irreducible. Moreover, $\tau = \frac{2n-5}{2}$ implies $L|_{X'} \cdot C = 1$ and thus $L|_E^{n-2} = 1$.

By [2, Thm. 2.1] we have that E is normal and $\Delta(E, L) = 0$; by the classification of varieties with Δ -genus equal to zero, we get that $(E, L) = (\mathbb{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}(1))$. \square

Example 4.2. We construct a family of examples of small contractions as in Theorem 1.2.B. We follow a construction via GIT as explained in [24] and further in [7]. Our examples are just higher dimensional versions of the examples of point (4) of the main theorem in [7], to which we refer for more details.

Fix $n \geq 3$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, y_2, z$ be coordinates on \mathbb{C}^{n+2} and consider the diagonal action of \mathbb{C}^* on \mathbb{C}^{n+2} with weights $(1, 2, \dots, 2, -1, -1, 0)$, that is for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we have $x_1 \mapsto \lambda x_1, x_i \mapsto \lambda^2 x_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n - 1, y_j \mapsto \lambda^{-1} y_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $z \mapsto z$.

Let

$$f = x_1 y_1 + (x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}) y_2^2 + z^k$$

with $k \geq 0$ and consider the hypersurface $A : \{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$. In the notation of [7], we are considering an action of type $(1, 2, \dots, 2, -1, -1, 0; 0)$.

Setting $B^- = A \cap \{x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 0\}$ and $B^+ = A \cap \{y_1 = y_2 = 0\}$ we can define $X = A // \mathbb{C}^*$, $X^- = A^- // \mathbb{C}^*$ and $X^+ = A^+ // \mathbb{C}^*$ to obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^- & \dashrightarrow & X^+ \\ & f^- \searrow & \swarrow f^+ \\ & X & \end{array}$$

It is not difficult to check that this construction gives a flip $X^- \dashrightarrow X^+$ with exceptional loci $E^- = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, \dots, 2) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ and $E^+ = \mathbb{P}^1$. Since $K_{X^-} \sim \mathcal{O}(2n - 5)$ we obtain that the contraction f^- is supported by $2K_{X^-} + (2n - 5)L$, where $L = \mathcal{O}(2)$. Finally, note that the singular locus of X^+ is of the form $\mathbb{C}^{n-3} \times P$ where

$$P = 0 \in (x_1y_1 + y_2^2 + z^k)/\mathbb{Z}_2(1, 1, 1, 0)$$

is a $cA/2$ singularity.

Remark 4.3. Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$, L and τ be as in Theorem 1.2. . Assume also that $\dim E \leq n - 3$ (in particular f is small). It follows by [2, Theorem 2.1(II.ii)] and [6, Lemma 2.1] that E is irreducible, it is contained in the non-Gorenstein locus of X , is contracted to a point and $(E, L|_E) = (\mathbb{P}^{n-3}, \mathcal{O}(1))$.

Acknowledgements

We thank H. Ahmadinezhad, P. Cascini and M. Mella for helpful conversations. We are also grateful to the referee for having suggested some improvements of the paper. We are partially funded by the italian grants PRIN and GNSAGA-INDAM; the second author was funded by the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for part of the development of this project.

References

- [1] F. Ambro, *Ladders on Fano varieties*, J. Math. Sci. (New York) **94** (1999), no. 1, 1126–1135. Algebraic geometry, 9.
- [2] M. Andreatta, *Some remarks on the study of good contractions*, Manuscripta Math. **87** (1995), no. 3, 359–367.
- [3] M. Andreatta, *Minimal model program with scaling and adjunction theory*, Internat. J. Math. **24** (2013), no. 2, 1350007, 13.
- [4] M. Andreatta and L. Tasin, *Fano-Mori contractions of high length on projective varieties with terminal singularities*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (2014), no. 1, 185–196.
- [5] M. Andreatta and J. A. Wiśniewski, *A note on nonvanishing and applications*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), no. 3, 739–755.
- [6] M. C. Beltrametti, A. Höring, and C. Novelli, *Fano Varieties with Small Non-klt Locus*, International Mathematics Research Notices (2014).

- [7] G. Brown, *Flips arising as quotients of hypersurfaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 1, 13–31.
- [8] A. Corti, A.-S. Kaloghiros, and V. Lazić, *Introduction to the minimal model program and the existence of flips*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **43** (2011), no. 3, 415–418.
- [9] E. Floris, *Fundamental divisors on Fano varieties of index $n - 3$* , Geom. Dedicata **162** (2013) 1–7.
- [10] O. Fujino and Y. Gongyo, *On canonical bundle formulas and subadjunctions*, Michigan Math. J. **61** (2012), no. 2, 255–264.
- [11] J.-P. Jouanolou, Théorèmes de Bertini et applications, Vol. 42 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA (1983), ISBN 0-8176-3164-X.
- [12] M. Kawakita, *Divisorial contractions in dimension three which contract divisors to smooth points*, Invent. Math. **145** (2001), no. 1, 105–119.
- [13] M. Kawakita, *General elephants of three-fold divisorial contractions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 2, 331–362 (electronic).
- [14] Y. Kawamata, *On effective non-vanishing and base-point-freeness*, Asian J. Math. **4** (2000), no. 1, 173–181. Kodaira’s issue.
- [15] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, in Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Vol. 10 of Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 283–360, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1987).
- [16] J. Kollar, *Singularities of pairs*, in Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, Vol. 62 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 221–287, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997).
- [17] J. Kollar and S. Mori, *Classification of three-dimensional flips*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 3, 533–703.
- [18] J. Kollar and S. Mori, Birational geometry of algebraic varieties, Vol. 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998), ISBN 0-521-63277-3.
- [19] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, Vol. 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2004), ISBN 3-540-22534-X.
- [20] M. Mella, *Adjunction theory on terminal varieties*, in Complex analysis and geometry (Trento, 1995), Vol. 366 of Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 153–164, Longman, Harlow (1997).

- [21] M. Mella, *Existence of good divisors on Mukai varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **8** (1999), no. 2, 197–206.
- [22] M. Mella, *On del Pezzo fibrations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **28** (1999), no. 4, 615–639.
- [23] M. Reid, *Young person’s guide to canonical singularities*, in Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Vol. 46 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 345–414, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1987).
- [24] M. Reid, *What is a flip?* Notes from Colloquium talk, University of Utah (1992).

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÁ DI TRENTO
I-38050, POVO (TN), ITALY

E-mail address: marco.andreatta@unitn.it

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF BONN
ENDENICHER ALLEE 60 D-53115, BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: tasin@math.uni-bonn.de

RECEIVED OCTOBER 21, 2014