

## **$p$ -ADIC FAMILIES AND GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS FOR $\mathrm{GSp}_p(4)$ AND $\mathrm{GL}(2)$**

ANDREI JORZA

ABSTRACT. In this brief paper, we prove local-global compatibility for holomorphic Siegel modular forms with Iwahori level. In previous work, we proved a weaker version of this result (up to a quadratic twist) and one of the goals of this paper is to remove this quadratic twist by different methods, using  $p$ -adic families. We further study the local Galois representation at  $p$  for nonregular holomorphic Siegel modular forms. Then we apply the results to the setting of modular forms on  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$  over a quadratic imaginary field and prove results on the local Galois representation  $\ell$ , as well as crystallinity results at  $p$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $\pi_{\infty}$  is a holomorphic discrete series representation, and such that the functorial lift of  $\pi$  to  $\mathrm{GL}(4)$  (whose existence is guaranteed by Weissauer [25]) is a cuspidal representation. Then for every prime number  $\ell$  there exists a continuous Galois representation  $\rho_{\pi, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(4, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$  such that  $L^S(\pi, \mathrm{spin}, s - \frac{3}{2}) = L^S(\rho_{\pi, \ell}, s)$  for a finite set  $S$  of “bad” primes (cf. [14, 18, 20, 24, 25]; for more details see Section 2).

This paper is concerned, among others, with the local Galois representations  $\rho_{\pi, \ell}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  (via the associated Weil–Deligne representation) when  $p \in S$ , the cases  $p \neq \ell$  and  $p = \ell$  being interrelated.

**Theorem A.** *For  $\pi$  as above, if  $\ell \neq p > 2$  and  $\pi_p$  is Iwahori-spherical, then*

$$\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\pi, \ell}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\mathrm{ss}} \cong \iota \mathrm{rec}(\pi_p \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-3/2})^{\mathrm{ss}}$$

where  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ , and  $\mathrm{rec}$  is the local Langlands correspondence for  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ . If, moreover,  $\pi_p$  is assumed to be tempered or generic, then

$$\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\pi, \ell}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\mathrm{Fr}\text{-ss}} \cong \iota \mathrm{rec}(\pi_p \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-3/2})$$

A previous result of the author’s (Theorem 2.2) obtained the local-global compatibility result of Theorem A potentially up to a quadratic twist, via the doubling method and local converse theorems. We prove Theorem A using a different approach: knowing that local-global compatibility is satisfied up to a potentially quadratic twist allows one to move between the  $p$ -adic and the  $\ell$ -adic Galois representations and the potential quadratic twist is removed using Kisin’s work on crystalline periods on eigenvarieties.

---

Received by the editors November 09, 2011.

We would like to remark that although Theorem A is obtained for Iwahori spherical representations, there are methods to deduce local-global compatibility in general from this setting, using strong base change, which is not yet available for non globally generic representations on  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ . Such a method was successfully used, e.g., by [3], based on the analogous result for Iwahori level representations in [2], the idea being that one can use solvable base change to reduce the ramification to the case of Iwahori level. One does have base change results for  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$  using functorial lifts to  $\mathrm{GL}(4)$ , but they do not suffice since such functorial lifts are not proven to be strong.

One may wonder what the relevance of these arguments is in the context of Arthur's book(s) on functorial transfer between matrix groups. Arthur's functorial transfers do not give a local identification of  $L$ -parameters, but character identities; to get from these character identities to  $L$ -parameters one needs to overcome nontrivial technical difficulties. The proof of Theorem A can be thought of as bypassing these technical difficulties.

The second result of this paper concerns regular algebraic cuspidal representations  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{A}_K)$  where  $K$  is an imaginary quadratic field. Assuming that the central character of  $\pi$  is base changed from  $\mathbb{Q}$ , for a prime  $\ell$  there exists a continuous Galois representation  $\rho_{\pi, \ell} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  such that  $L^S(\pi, s - \frac{1}{2}) = L^S(\rho_{\pi, \ell}, s)$  for a finite set of places  $S$  (cf. [4, 10, 21]). The following theorem is the main result of the author's doctoral thesis [11], and answers a question posed by Andrew Wiles:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\pi$  be as above, and let  $v \notin S$  be a place of  $K$ . If  $v = p$  is inert, assume that the Satake parameters of  $\pi_v$  are distinct; if  $p = v \cdot v^c$  is split, assume that the four Satake parameters of  $\pi_v$  and  $\pi_{v^c}$  are distinct. Then  $\rho_{\pi, p}|_{G_{K_v}}$  is a crystalline representation.*

The condition on the Satake parameters being distinct is structural to the argument; in fact, one doesn't even obtain that the representation is Hodge–Tate without this assumption. In the case when  $\pi$  is ordinary at  $p$ , this result followed from [22].

Our final result concerns the  $\ell$ -adic local representations associated to  $\pi$ :

**Theorem C.** *Let  $\pi$  be as above, and let  $v$  be a place of  $K$  such that  $K_v/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified and  $\pi_v$  (and  $\pi_{v^c}$ , if  $v$  is split) are Iwahori-spherical. Then for  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  we have  $\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\pi, \ell}|_{G_{K_v}})^{\mathrm{ss}} \cong \iota \mathrm{rec}(\pi_v \|^{-1/2})^{\mathrm{ss}}$ .*

We would like to remark that, since strong cyclic base change is available for  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ , one could extend this result in general, assuming that Theorem A is extended to totally real fields. This would require a weak functorial lift from  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$  to  $\mathrm{GL}(4)$  over totally real fields, which should follow from the work of Arthur.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we list previous results for  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$  over  $K$ ; in Section 3 we describe  $p$ -adic families of holomorphic Siegel modular forms, and generic classical points in such families. In Section 4 we deduce information about the local Galois representations for both regular and nonregular holomorphic Siegel modular forms. Finally, in Section 5 we study the local Galois representations attached to regular algebraic cuspidal representations on  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{A}_K)$ .

After the completion of the research presented in this paper the author was made aware of two recent preprints of C.P. Mok, one generalizing the author's results on

families of Siegel modular forms to Siegel–Hilbert modular forms, and another, studying Galois representations attached to Siegel–Hilbert modular forms, whose results at  $\ell = p$  are extensions of the author’s thesis.

### 2. Notations and known results

We begin by recalling some notation. If  $K$  is a number field,  $\mathbb{A}_K$  is the ring of adèles. The group  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$  consists of  $4 \times 4$  matrices such that  $g^t J g = \lambda(g) J$  where  $\lambda(g)$  is the multiplier character and  $J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & I_2 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ -I_2 & & & \end{pmatrix}$ , while  $\mathrm{Sp}(4) = \ker \lambda$ . The spin representation  $\mathrm{spin} : \mathrm{GSp}(4) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(4)$  gives the spin  $L$ -function  $L^S(\pi, \mathrm{spin}, s)$ , while the standard representation  $\mathrm{std} : \mathrm{GSp}(4) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(5)$  gives the standard  $L$ -function  $L^S(\pi, \mathrm{std}, s)$ , the latter being defined at all places, using the doubling method. A Frobenius semisimple Weil–Deligne representation is a pair  $(r, N)$  of a semisimple continuous Galois representation  $r : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  and a nilpotent matrix  $N \in \mathrm{End}(V)$  such that  $r(g) \circ N = |\mathrm{rec}^{-1}(g)|_K N \circ r(g)$ ; given a continuous  $\ell$ -adic Galois representation of  $G_{K_v}$  where  $v \nmid p$  one obtains an associated Weil–Deligne representation via Grothendieck’s  $\ell$ -adic monodromy theorem, while given a continuous  $p$ -adic Galois representation one obtains a Weil–Deligne representation using  $p$ -adic Hodge theory.

Let us now recall the result on the existence of Galois representations attached to Siegel modular forms.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $\pi_{\infty}$  is a holomorphic discrete series representation, and such that the functorial lift of  $\pi$  to  $\mathrm{GL}(4)$  is cuspidal. Then for every prime number  $\ell$  there exists a continuous Galois representation  $\rho_{\pi, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(4, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$  and a finite set  $S$  of places such that  $L^S(\pi, \mathrm{spin}, s) = L^S(\rho_{\pi, \ell}, s)$ . In particular, if  $p \notin S$  then  $\rho_{\pi, \ell}$  is unramified at  $p$ . Moreover,  $\rho_{\pi, p}$  is crystalline at  $p$ , and the characteristic polynomial of  $\Phi_{\mathrm{cris}}$  on  $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(\rho_{\pi, p}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})$  equals the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathrm{Frob}_p$  acting on  $\rho_{\pi, \ell}$  for  $\ell \neq p$ .*

The compatible system of  $\ell$ -adic representations was first constructed by Taylor in [20], where he was able to deduce the theorem for  $S$  of density 0. As stated, the theorem was finalized by Laumon in [14] and Weissauer in [24]. When  $\pi_{\infty}$  is a discrete series representation which is not holomorphic, but such that  $\pi$  is globally generic, the construction of the Galois representation is due to [18]. Weissauer’s results on global  $L$ -packets [25] provides an alternative construction of the Galois representations in the theorem. Finally, the result on  $\Phi_{\mathrm{cris}}$  was first proven by Urban in [22] studying Hecke operators in the boundary of Shimura varieties for  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ , but can also be deduced from Sorensen’s construction.

In [12] we proved that if for  $\ell \neq p > 2$  the local representation  $\pi_p$  is a constituent of an induced representation from the Borel subgroup then local-global compatibility is satisfied up to semisimplification and a quadratic twist.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $\pi$  has a cuspidal lift to  $\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  and such that  $\pi_{\infty}$  is a holomorphic discrete series representation. Let  $p$  be a finite place such that  $\pi_p$  is a subrepresentation of an representation induced from a Borel subgroup. If  $\pi_p$  is assumed to be either tempered or generic, then for every  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$  we have*

$$\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\pi, \ell}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\mathrm{Fr}\text{-ss}} \cong \iota \mathrm{rec}(\pi_p \otimes |\lambda|^{-3/2} \eta)$$

where  $\text{rec}$  is the local Langlands correspondence for  $\text{GSp}(4)$  defined by Gan and Takeda in [9],  $\lambda$  is the multiplier character, and  $\eta$  is either trivial, or a quadratic character. If  $\pi_p$  is not assumed to be tempered or generic, the above equality of Weil–Deligne representations holds up to semisimplification.

This was proven in [12] using local converse theorems for the standard  $\gamma$ -factors for  $\text{Sp}(4)$  arising from the doubling method in conjunction with Casselman’s proof of multiplicity one for  $\text{GL}(2)$  using the global functional equation, as well as Sorensen’s result on local-global compatibility for globally generic Siegel modular forms [18]. The reason the possibly quadratic character  $\eta$  appears is the following: the standard representation loses information about the multiplier character, but we may recover the square of the multiplier character using the determinant. We do not go into the details of the proof of this result, as the proof of Theorem A is via a different method.

If in the cuspidal representation  $\pi$  the infinite component  $\pi_\infty$  is a holomorphic limit of discrete series, one can still associate continuous  $\ell$ -adic Galois representations  $\rho_{\pi,\ell}$  to  $\pi$ ; they were constructed using  $p$ -adic congruences (families) by Taylor [19], obtaining local-global compatibility at unramified primes for  $\ell \neq p$  (at almost all places; the compatibility at all but finitely many places was later completed by Laumon [14] and Weissauer [24]).

Finally, let us recall the existence of Galois representations attached to regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{A}_K)$  where  $K$  is a quadratic imaginary field.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\pi$  be a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{A}_K)$  where  $K$  is a quadratic imaginary field, such that the central character  $\chi_\pi$  has the property that  $\chi_\pi = \chi_\pi^c$ , where  $c$  is complex conjugation. Then for each prime  $\ell$  there exists a continuous Galois representation  $\rho_{\pi,\ell} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  and a finite set  $S$  of places of  $K$  such that if  $v \notin S$  then  $\rho_{\pi,\ell}$  is unramified at  $v$  and  $L^S(\pi, s) = L^S(\rho_{\pi,\ell}, s - 1/2)$ . The finite set  $S$  consists of the infinite places and finite places  $v$  such that either  $K_v/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is ramified, or one of  $\pi_v$  and  $\pi_{v^c}$  is ramified.*

It is useful to summarize the construction of these Galois representations. There are three possibilities. Either  $\pi \otimes \delta \cong \pi$  for some quadratic character  $\delta$ , in which case  $\pi$  is the automorphic induction of a character of the splitting field of  $\delta$ , and the Galois representation and its properties follow from global class field theory; or  $\pi \otimes \nu \cong (\pi \otimes \nu)^c$  for some character  $\nu$ , in which case  $\pi \otimes \nu$  is a base change from  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and the Galois representation and its properties follow from the theory over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; or in the remaining cases, we may use that there is an accidental isomorphism  $(\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{A}_K) \times \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}^\times) / \{(xI_2, N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{A}_K^\times\} \cong \text{GSO}(V_K, \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$  where  $V_K$  is a four dimensional quadratic vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that the signature of  $V_K \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is  $(3, 1)$ . Then for sufficiently many finite order characters  $\mu$  and suitable choices of lifts  $\widehat{\pi \otimes \mu}$  of  $\pi \otimes \mu$  from  $\text{GSO}(V_K, \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\text{GO}(V_K, \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$ , the theta transfer  $\Theta(\widehat{\pi \otimes \mu})$  from  $\text{GO}(V_K, \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\text{GSp}(4, \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$  is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi^\mu$  such that  $\Pi_\infty^\mu$  is a holomorphic limit of discrete series with Harish–Chandra weight  $(k - 1, 0)$ , where  $k \geq 2$  is the integer such that the Langlands parameter of  $\pi_\infty$  is  $z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z^{1-k} & \\ & \bar{z}^{1-k} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then one can recover the Galois representation  $\rho_{\pi,\ell}$  such that for every chosen  $\mu$  one has  $\rho_{\Pi^\mu,\ell} = \text{Ind}_K^\mathbb{Q}(\rho_{\pi,\ell} \otimes \mu)$ . Presupposing the existence of

Galois representations for holomorphic Siegel modular forms, this was achieved by Harris–Soudry–Taylor [10], Taylor [21] and Berger–Harcos [4].

### 3. $p$ -adic Families of holomorphic Siegel modular forms

The proof of Theorem A will require switching from  $\ell$  in Theorem 2.2 to  $p$  and using  $p$ -adic families of holomorphic Siegel modular forms. Rigid analytic families of finite slope overconvergent Siegel modular forms have now been constructed by several methods. The first one, due to Urban ([23] using overconvergent cohomology), works for regular forms, the second, due to the author [11, Chapter 3] generalizes the work of Kisin and Lai in the context of Hilbert modular forms, the third one is due to Andreatta *et al.* [1], and a fourth one is due to (Brinon–Mokrane–Tilouine [5]). In particular, we have [11, Proposition 4.2.6]:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal representation of  $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $\pi_{\infty}$  is a holomorphic (limit of) discrete series of Harish–Chandra parameter  $\kappa$ . Assume also that  $\pi$  has nontrivial invariant under the group  $U_{00}(Np)$ , where  $U_{00}(Np)$  contains matrices  $\equiv \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{Np}$ . Then there exists a one-dimensional rigid analytic neighborhood  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\kappa$  and a rigid family  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $\mathcal{W}$ , parametrizing systems of Hecke eigenvalues attached to finite-slope overconvergent holomorphic Siegel modular forms of level  $\Gamma_{00}(Np)$ . Moreover, there exists an analytic Galois representation  $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})$  and a dense set of classical points  $f_t$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  with weight  $\kappa + p^t(p-1, p-1)$  such that the specialization of  $\rho$  at  $f_t$  is the Galois representation attached to  $f_t$ .*

**Remark.** We would like to remark that the rigid variety in Theorem 3.1 was obtained using  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -exponents of a specific Eisenstein series, in the style of Coleman–Mazur and Kisin–Lai, and thus it is necessarily one-dimensional. Moreover,  $p$ -power exponents of the Hasse invariant times the original Siegel modular form provide the dense set of classical points which, crucially, also are of the same level, since the Hasse invariant has level 1.

**Remark.** The main results of [1, 5] show that the eigenvariety  $\mathcal{E}$  can be extended over a two dimensional rigid neighborhood of  $\kappa$ .

According to the previous remark we observe that if the local representation  $\pi_p$  is an unramified principal series (in other words, if the Siegel modular eigenform in  $\pi$  is old at  $p$ ) then the dense set of classical points  $f_t$  on the eigenvariety contains Siegel modular forms which are old at  $p$ . In effect, this says that the generic classical points converging to  $\pi$  are unramified at  $p$ . We would like a similar statement for any  $\pi_p$  of Iwahori level. Indeed, if  $\pi_p$  is Iwahori then an argument similar to [7, Proposition 6.4.7] shows that the generic *very classical* points on the full eigenvariety will be old at  $p$ .

### 4. Galois representations for Siegel modular forms

Our first application of the existence of the one dimensional eigenvariety described in Theorem 3.1 is to the study of crystallinity of the  $p$ -adic Galois representations attached to nonregular holomorphic Siegel modular forms which are unramified at  $p$ . The following theorem is based on [11, Chapter 4].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $\pi_{\infty}$  is the limit of discrete series representation of Harish–Chandra parameter  $(k, 0)$  and such that the smooth representation  $\pi_p$  of  $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  is an unramified principal series with Satake parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} D_{\mathrm{cris}}(\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}) \geq \#\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ . In particular, if the Satake parameters are distinct, the  $p$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  is crystalline.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be one of the Satake parameters and let  $f_{\alpha}$  be one of the  $p$ -stabilizations of the eigenform  $f$  in  $\pi$ . The form  $f_{\alpha}$  has level  $\Gamma_{00}(Np)$  and is old at  $p$ , and is an eigenform of the  $U_{p,1}$  operator with eigenvalue  $\alpha$ . (To see why such  $p$ -stabilizations exist for Siegel modular forms see [11, pp. 21–22].) Also let  $f_n$  be the holomorphic Siegel modular forms of level  $(k, 0) + p^n(p - 1, p - 1)$  for  $n \gg 0$  appearing in  $fE^{p^n}$ , where  $E$  is the Hasse invariant; let  $f_{\alpha,n}$  be a  $p$ -stabilization of  $f_n$  such that the  $f_{\alpha,n}$  correspond to classical points on the eigencurve converging to  $f_{\alpha}$  in Theorem 3.1.

Then  $f_{\alpha,n}$  will have the same level as  $f_n$  which is unramified at  $p$ . Therefore,  $f_{\alpha,n}$  generates an automorphic representation  $\pi_{\alpha,n}$  which is unramified at  $p$ . But then  $\rho_{\pi_{\alpha,n,p}}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  will be crystalline, and by Theorem 2.1, one may find an eigenvalue  $\alpha_n$  of  $\Phi_{\mathrm{cris}}$  such that  $\alpha_n \equiv \alpha \pmod{p^n}$ . In fact,  $\alpha_n$  is the  $U_{p,1}$ -eigenvalue of  $f_{\alpha,n}$ .

We now make recourse to Kisin’s result on analytically varying crystalline periods on eigenvarieties [13, Corollary 5.15]. The result in question states that, since the infinitely many points  $f_{\alpha,n}$  lie on a one-dimensional rigid variety and are thus dense, the fact that  $\dim D_{\mathrm{cris}}(\rho_{\pi_{\alpha,n,p}}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\Phi_{\mathrm{cris}}=\alpha_n} \geq 1$  implies that  $\dim D_{\mathrm{cris}}(\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\Phi_{\mathrm{cris}}=\alpha} \geq 1$ . Repeating this argument for each of the four Satake parameters will lead to the theorem. □

Our second application is to the study of ramified Galois representations attached to Iwahori level regular holomorphic Siegel modular forms.

**Theorem A.** *For  $\pi$  a cuspidal representation of  $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ , with cuspidal lift to  $\mathrm{GL}(4)$ , and such that  $\pi_{\infty}$  is a holomorphic discrete series, if  $\ell \neq p > 2$  and  $\pi_p$  is Iwahori-spherical, then*

$$\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\pi,\ell}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\mathrm{ss}} \cong \iota \mathrm{rec}(\pi_p \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-3/2})^{\mathrm{ss}}$$

where  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ . If, moreover,  $\pi_p$  is assumed to be tempered or generic, then

$$\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\pi,\ell}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\mathrm{Fr}\text{-ss}} \cong \iota \mathrm{rec}(\pi_p \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-3/2})$$

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_p$  be the smooth Iwahori-spherical representation of  $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  in the restricted tensor product decomposition of the automorphic representation  $\pi$ . The representation  $\pi_p$ , according to the Sally–Tadić classification [17], falls into one of six classes of representations, and is a quotient of an unramified induction from the Borel subgroup. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of  $U_{p,1}$ -eigenvalues of the  $p$ -stabilizations of  $\pi$ , let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of eigenvalues of the crystalline Frobenius acting on  $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})$  and let  $\mathcal{C}'$  be the set of eigenvalues of Frobenius acting on  $\mathrm{rec}(\pi_p \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-3/2})$ . Then in the eigenvariety one may find a dense set of classical points which are unramified at  $p$ , and thus by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 it follows that  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $\pi^g$  be the globally generic cuspidal automorphic form weakly equivalent to  $\pi$  whose existence is guaranteed by Weissauer [25] (and used in the proof of Theorem 2.2).

Then  $\pi_p \cong \pi_p^g \otimes \eta$ . By [3, Theorem A] it follows, since  $\text{WD}(\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\text{Fr-ss}} \cong \iota \text{rec}(\pi_p \otimes |\lambda|^{-3/2}\eta)$  has crystalline periods, that the quadratic character  $\eta$  is unramified. (Note that we are allowed to use the aforementioned result since functorial lifts of regular cuspidal automorphic representations to  $\text{GL}(4)$  are readily Shin-regular.) We only need to treat the case when  $\eta$  is nontrivial, in which case  $\eta(p) = -1$ . From Theorem 2.2 we deduce that if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}'$  then  $\alpha\eta(p) = -\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ .

We will obtain a contradiction by using an explicit description of the sets  $\mathcal{C}'$  and  $\mathcal{S}$ . For the set  $\mathcal{C}'$  we use the explicit description of the reciprocity map for Iwahori-spherical representations, that can be found in [16, Section A.5], as well as [3, Theorem A] (although, since we already know we are using Iwahori-level forms, it would be enough to use [2, Theorem A]). Writing  $E_{ij}$  for the  $4 \times 4$  matrix with 1 at position  $(i, j)$  and 0 elsewhere, let  $N_1 = E_{23}, N_2 = E_{14}, N_3 = N_1 + N_2, N_4 = E_{12} - E_{34}$  and  $N_5 = N_1 + N_4$ . The following is a summary of the classes of Iwahori-spherical representations, the semisimple  $L$ -parameter, and the possible monodromy matrices, contained in [16, Table A.7]:

Class	rec <sup>ss</sup>	$N$
I	$\chi_1\chi_2\sigma, \chi_1\sigma, \chi_2\sigma, \sigma$	0
II	$\chi^2\sigma, \nu^{1/2}\chi\sigma, \nu^{-1/2}\chi\sigma, \sigma$	$0, N_1$
III	$\nu^{1/2}\chi\sigma, \nu^{-1/2}\chi\sigma, \nu^{1/2}\sigma, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma$	$0, N_4$
IV	$\nu^{3/2}\sigma, \nu^{1/2}\sigma, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma, \nu^{-3/2}\sigma$	$0, N_1, N_4, N_5$
V	$\nu^{1/2}\sigma, \nu^{1/2}\xi\sigma, \nu^{-1/2}\xi\sigma, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma$	$0, N_1, N_2, N_3$
VI	$\nu^{1/2}\sigma, \nu^{1/2}\sigma, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma$	$0, N_1, N_3$

The case of class I is treated already in Theorem 2.1. Writing  $s = \sigma(p), c = \chi(p)$  and noting that  $\xi(p) = -1$  the possibilities for the set  $\mathcal{C}'$  are:

Class	Eigenvalues
II	$c^2s, p^{-1/2}cs, s$ or $c^2s, p^{1/2}cs, p^{-1/2}cs, s$
III	$p^{-1/2}cs, p^{-1/2}s$ or $p^{1/2}cs, p^{-1/2}cs, p^{1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s$
IV	$p^{-3/2}s$ or $p^{1/2}s, p^{-3/2}s$ or $p^{3/2}s, p^{-1/2}s, p^{-3/2}s$ or $p^{3/2}s, p^{1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s, p^{-3/2}s$
V	$-p^{-1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s$ or $p^{1/2}s, -p^{-1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s$ or $-p^{1/2}s, -p^{-1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s$ or $p^{1/2}s, -p^{1/2}s, -p^{-1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s$
VI	$p^{-1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s$ or $p^{1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s$ or $p^{1/2}s, p^{1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s, p^{-1/2}s$

To obtain the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $U_{p,1}$ -eigenvalues of  $p$ -stabilizations of  $\pi$  it is enough to compute the Jacquet modules of  $\pi_p$  with respect to the Borel subgroup [11, pp. 21–22]. Such a computation is not readily available in the literature, but, since formation of Jacquet modules is sequential, one may first compute the Jacquet modules with respect to the Siegel parabolic [16, Table A.3] and then use the standard Jacquet module computations for  $\text{GL}(2)$  to obtain the Jacquet modules with respect to the Borel subgroup; the computations are straightforward.

The only class where there exists the possibility that  $\eta$  is nontrivial and  $\mathcal{S} \cup \{-\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{C}'\} \subset \mathcal{C}$  is Vd, in which case the Galois representation is isomorphic to its quadratic twist and so there is nothing to prove.  $\square$

We would like to remark that such methods have been used by Luu [15] in the study of local-global compatibility using deformation arguments.

### 5. Galois representations for $GL(2)$ over quadratic imaginary fields

We will study on the one hand the  $p$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_{\pi,p}$  at places  $v \notin S$ , and on the other hand the  $\ell$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_{\pi,\ell}$  at certain places  $v \in S$ . Theorem B is the main result of the author’s doctoral thesis, and is [11, Theorem 5.3.1].

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\pi$  be a regular algebraic cuspidal representation of  $GL(2, \mathbb{A}_K)$  such that the central character of  $\pi$  is base changed from  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $v \notin S$  be a place of  $K$ . If  $v = p$  is inert, assume that the Satake parameters of  $\pi_v$  are distinct; if  $p = v \cdot v^c$  is split, assume that the four Satake parameters of  $\pi_v$  and  $\pi_{v^c}$  are distinct. Then  $\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_v}}$  is a crystalline representation.*

*Proof.* Let’s start with  $p = v$  inert. Choose  $\mu$  such that  $\mu_v$  is trivial and such that  $\rho_{\pi,p} \otimes \mu \not\cong (\rho_{\pi,p} \otimes \mu)^c$ . Then  $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}}(\rho_{\pi,p} \otimes \mu)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \text{Ind}_{K_v}^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_v}})$ . But  $D_{\text{cris}}^*(\rho_{\Pi^\mu,p}|_{G_{K_p}}) \cong D_{\text{cris}}^*(\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_v}})$  is a four dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space if and only if  $D_{\text{cris}}^*(\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_v}})$  is a two dimensional  $K_v$ -vector space (note that  $K_v/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified since  $v \notin S$ ). Thus it is enough to show that  $\rho_{\Pi^\mu,p}|_{G_{K_v}}$  is crystalline.

Similarly, in the case  $p = v \cdot v^c$  split, choose  $\mu$  such that  $\mu_v$  and  $\mu_{v^c}$  are trivial. Then  $\text{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}}(\rho_{\pi,p} \otimes \mu)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_v}} \oplus \rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_{v^c}}}$ . Then  $D_{\text{cris}}^*(\rho_{\Pi^\mu,p}|_{G_{K_v}}) \cong D_{\text{cris}}^*(\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_v}}) \oplus D_{\text{cris}}^*(\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_{v^c}}})$  is crystalline if and only if both  $\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_v}}$  and  $\rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{K_{v^c}}}$  are crystalline. Again, it is enough to show that  $\rho_{\Pi^\mu,p}|_{G_{K_v}}$  is crystalline.

Let  $\alpha_v$  and  $\beta_v$  be the Satake parameters of  $\pi_v$ . If  $p = v$  is inert then the Satake parameters of  $\Pi_p^\mu$  are  $\pm\sqrt{\alpha_v}, \pm\sqrt{\beta_v}$ , which are all distinct; if  $p = v \cdot v^c$  then the Satake parameters of  $\Pi_p^\mu$  are  $\alpha_v, \beta_v, \alpha_{v^c}, \beta_{v^c}$  which are assumed to be distinct. Therefore, by Theorem 4.1 it follows that  $\rho_{\Pi^\mu,p}|_{G_{K_v}}$  is crystalline.  $\square$

Finally, we apply the local-global compatibility result in Theorem A to the study of the  $\ell$ -adic Galois representations in  $\rho_{\pi,\ell}$  at certain bad places  $v$ .

**Theorem C.** *Let  $\pi$  be as above, and let  $v$  be a place of  $K$  such that  $K_v/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified and  $\pi_v$  (and  $\pi_{v^c}$ , if  $v$  is split) are Iwahori-spherical. Then for  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  we have  $\text{WD}(\rho_{\pi,\ell}|_{G_{K_v}})^{\text{ss}} \cong \iota \text{rec}(\pi_v \|^{-1/2})^{\text{ss}}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $\mu$  as in the proof of Theorem B. Then  $\Pi_p^\mu$  is Iwahori-spherical (this follows in the case of  $p = v \cdot v^c$  split from [8, Theorem A.10 (i,iv,v,vi)], and in the case that  $p = v$  is inert from [8, Theorem A.11 (iii,iv)]). Finally, finding congruences between  $\Pi^\mu$  and regular holomorphic Siegel modular forms of (necessarily) Iwahori level, Theorem A gives  $\text{WD}(\rho_{\Pi^\mu,\ell}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\text{ss}} \cong \iota \text{rec}(\Pi_p \otimes \|^{-3/2})^{\text{ss}}$ , since a limit of unramified characters is an unramified character. Finally, an argument as in [4, Section 6] gives the required local-global compatibility.  $\square$

### 6. Concluding remarks

The proof of Theorems 2.2 and A carry over to totally real fields as long as one assumes functorial transfer from  $GSp(4)$  to  $GL(4)$  over totally real fields; this transfer should follow from the work of Arthur, or alternatively from the work of Wesselman.

In Theorems 2.2 and A one downside is that for representations which are not tempered or generic one gets local-global compatibility up to semisimplification. A



comparison of monodromy operators will likely follow from the program initiated by Caraiani in [6] to prove the Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture for  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ .

As already mentioned, extending Theorem C can be approached using (strong) base change for  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$  as well as patching arguments as in [3].

### Acknowledgments

Parts of these notes are based on the authors thesis, written under the supervision of Andrew Wiles. The author is grateful to him and to Christopher Skinner for their help. The author is also grateful to Martin Luu for discussions that led to the removal of the quadratic twists appearing in the author’s previous result on local-global compatibility, and to Dinakar Ramakrishnan for many helpful conversations, and for his careful reading of these notes.

### References

- [1] F. Andreatta, A. Iovita and V. Pilloni,  *$p$ -adic families of Siegel modular forms*, preprint, 2011.
- [2] T. Barnet-Lamb, T. Gee, D. Geraghty and R. Taylor, *Local-global compatibility for  $\ell = p$ , I*, preprint
- [3] ———, *Local-global compatibility for  $\ell = p$ , II*, preprint.
- [4] T. Berger and G. Harcos,  *$l$ -adic representations associated to modular forms over imaginary quadratic fields*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (no 23) (2007), Art. ID rnm113, 16.
- [5] O. Brinon, A. Mokrane and J. Tilouine, *Tours d’Igusa surconvergentes et familles  $p$ -adiques de formes de Siegel surconvergentes*, preprint
- [6] A. Caraiani, *Local-global compatibility and the action of monodromy on nearby cycles*, arXiv preprint math/1010.2188v1 (2010)
- [7] G. Chenevier, *Familles  $p$ -adiques de formes automorphes pour  $\mathrm{GL}_n$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **570** (2004) 143–217.
- [8] W.T. Gan and A. Ichino, *On endoscopy and the refined Gross-Prasad conjecture for  $(\mathrm{SO}_5, \mathrm{SO}_4)$* , J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **10**(2) (2011), 235–324.
- [9] W.T. Gan and S. Takeda, *The local Langlands conjecture for  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **173**(3) (2011), 1841–1882.
- [10] M. Harris, D. Soudry and R. Taylor,  *$l$ -adic representations associated to modular forms over imaginary quadratic fields. I. Lifting to  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q})$* , Invent. Math. **112**(2) (1993), 377–411.
- [11] A. Jorza, *Crystalline representations for  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$  over quadratic imaginary fields*, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 2010.
- [12] ———, *Galois representations for holomorphic Siegel modular forms*, Math. Ann. **355**(1) (2013), 381–400.
- [13] M. Kisin, *Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine–Mazur conjecture*, Invent. Math. **153**(2) (2003), 373–454.
- [14] G. Laumon, *Fonctions zêtas des variétés de Siegel de dimension trois*, Astérisque (2005), no. 302, 1–66. Formes automorphes. II. Le cas du groupe  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ .
- [15] M. Luu, *Local-global compatibility via deformation theory*, PhD thesis, Princeton University, 2011.
- [16] B. Roberts and R. Schmidt, *Local newforms for  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$* , Springer, 2007, ISBN 354073323X.
- [17] P. Sally and M. Tadic, *Induced representations and classifications for  $\mathrm{GSp}(2, F)$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}(2, F)$* , Mém. Soc. Math. France (NS) No **52**(75) (1993), 133.
- [18] C. Sorensen, *Galois representations attached to Hilbert–Siegel modular forms*, Documenta Mathematica **15** (2010), 623–670.
- [19] R. Taylor, *Galois representations associated to Siegel modular forms of low weight*, Duke Math. J. **63**(2) (1991), 281–332.
- [20] ———, *On the  $l$ -adic cohomology of Siegel threefolds*, Invent. Math. **114**(2) (1993), 289–310.
- [21] ———,  *$l$ -adic representations associated to modular forms over imaginary quadratic fields. II*, Invent. Math. **116**(1–3) (1994), 619–643.

- [22] E. Urban, *Sur les représentations  $p$ -adiques associées aux représentations cuspidales de  $\mathrm{GSp}_4/\mathbb{Q}$* , Astérisque (2005), no. 302, 151–176. Formes automorphes. II. Le cas du groupe  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ .
- [23] —, *Eigenvarieties for reductive groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174**(3) (2011), 1685–1784.
- [24] R. Weissauer, *Four dimensional Galois representations*, Astérisque (2005), no. 302, 67–150. Formes automorphes. II. Le cas du groupe  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ .
- [25] —, *Existence of Whittaker models related to four dimensional symplectic Galois representations*, in Modular forms on Schiermonnikoog, 285–310, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA, CA 91125,  
USA

*E-mail address:* [ajorza@caltech.edu](mailto:ajorza@caltech.edu)