# FIRST CONIVEAU NOTCH OF THE DWORK FAMILY AND ITS $$\operatorname{MIRROR}$$

#### Andre Chatzistamatiou

ABSTRACT. If  $X_{\lambda}$  is a smooth member of the Dwork family over a perfect field k, and  $Y_{\lambda}$  is its mirror variety, then the motives of  $X_{\lambda}$  and  $Y_{\lambda}$  are equal up to effective motives that are in coniveau  $\geq 1$ . If k is a finite field, this provides a motivic explanation for Wan's congruence between the zeta functions of  $X_{\lambda}$  and  $Y_{\lambda}$ .

#### Introduction

Let k be a field. We consider the Dwork family of hypersurfaces  $X_{\lambda}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  defined by the equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} X_i^{n+1} + \lambda X_0 \dots X_n = 0$$

with the parameter  $\lambda \in k$ . The variety  $X_{\lambda}$  is a Calabi-Yau manifold when  $X_{\lambda}$  is smooth. On each member  $X_{\lambda}$  there is a group action by the kernel G of the character  $\mu_{n+1}^{n+1} \to \mu_{n+1}, (\zeta_i) \mapsto \prod_i \zeta_i$ , given by

$$G \times X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}, \quad (\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_n) \cdot (x_0 : \dots : x_n) = (\zeta_0 x_0, \dots, \zeta_n x_n).$$

The quotient  $X_{\lambda}/G$  is a hypersurface with trivial canonical bundle in a toric Fano variety and a singular mirror of  $X_{\lambda}$  [B]. If  $Y_{\lambda}$  is a crepant resolution of  $X_{\lambda}/G$  then  $(X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda})$  provides an example of a mirror pair. Since the birational geometry of  $Y_{\lambda}$  is independent of the choice of the resolution a natural question arises: to compare the birational motives of  $X_{\lambda}$  and  $Y_{\lambda}$ . For a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  the number of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ -rational points modulo  $q^m$  is a birational invariant and D. Wan asked to compare the number of rational points of a mirror pair [W]. In the case of the Dwork family he proved a mirror congruence formula [W, Theorem 1.1]:

$$\#X_{\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \#Y_{\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) \mod q^m$$

for every positive integer m. Fu and Wan studied more general mirror pairs which come from quotient constructions and obtained under certain assumptions on the action of G (see Theorem 3.7) a congruence formula [FW]:

$$(0.0.1) #X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) \mod q^m.$$

The same formula is proved in [BBE, Corollary 6.12] with different assumptions.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. The first theorem compares the motives of  $X_{\lambda}$  and  $Y_{\lambda}$  when  $X_{\lambda}$  is a member of the Dwork family, and provides Wan's congruence formula as a consequence. We also explain what can be expected for general quotient

Received by the editors May 27, 2008.

constructions in §3. In the second theorem we prove a congruence formula for a quotient singularity X/G and a resolution of singularities  $Y \to X/G$ :

$$\#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \#Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) \mod q^m$$

Thus 0.0.1 is sufficient in order to get  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \#Y(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$  modulo  $q^m$ .

We state now our theorems and several consequences. By a motive we understand a pair (X,P) with X a smooth projective variety and  $P \in \operatorname{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  a projector. The morphisms are correspondences in rational coefficients. Note that we work with *effective* motives only. The Lefschetz motive is denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}(-1) := (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1 \times p)$  with  $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . For  $X_\lambda$  the cycle  $P = 1/|G| \sum_{g \in G} \Gamma(g)$ , where  $\Gamma$  denotes the graph, is a projector.

**Theorem.** Let k be a perfect field, and  $n \geq 2$ . We assume that  $\operatorname{char}(k) \nmid n+1$  if the characteristic of k is positive. Let  $X_{\lambda}$  be a smooth member of the Dwork family. Then there are effective motives N, N' such that

$$(X_{\lambda}, id) \cong (X_{\lambda}, P) \oplus N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$$
 and  $(Y_{\lambda}, id) \cong (X_{\lambda}, P) \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$ .

For a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  the eigenvalues of the geometric Frobenius acting on  $H^*_{\text{\'et}}(N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)) = H^*_{\text{\'et}}(N) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l(-1)$  lie in  $q \cdot \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and by using Grothendieck's trace formula this implies Wan's theorem [W, Theorem 1.1]. For  $k = \mathbb{C}$  the theorem of Arapura-Kang on the functoriality of the coniveau filtration  $N^*$  allows us to conclude that

$$\operatorname{gr}_{N^*}^0(H^*(X_\lambda,\mathbb{Q})) \cong \operatorname{gr}_{N^*}^0(H^*(Y_\lambda,\mathbb{Q}))$$

as Hodge structures (see Corollary 2.4).

We now describe our method. We use birational motives in order to reduce to a statement for zero cycles over  $\mathbb{C}$ :  $\mathrm{CH}_0(X_\lambda) = P \circ \mathrm{CH}_0(X_\lambda)$ , i.e. P acts as identity. To prove this we consider, additionally to G, the action of the symmetric group  $S_{n+1}$  acting via permutation of the homogeneous coordinates. The transpositions act as -1 on  $H^0(X_\lambda, \omega_{X_\lambda})$  and the quotients  $X_\lambda/H$  for suitable subgroups H of  $G \rtimes S_{n+1}$  can be shown to be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties. By the theorem of Zhang [Z] these are rationally chain connected, which yields sufficiently many relations for the zero cycles on  $X_\lambda$  to prove the claim.

**Theorem.** Let X be a smooth projective  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety with an action of a finite group G. Let  $\pi: X \to X/G$  be the quotient, and  $f: Y \to X/G$  be a birational map, where Y is a smooth projective variety. Then

$$\#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q.$$

For the proof we use the action of the geometric Frobenius F on étale cohomology. Suppose that  $Z \subset X/G$  is the set where f is not an isomorphism, then F acts on the cohomology with support in Z with eigenvalues in  $q\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ . This is proved by reduction to the case  $\pi^{-1}(Z) \subset X$  via a trace map argument. Counting points with Grothendieck's trace formula yields the result.

# 1. Zero cycles and the first notch of the coniveau

**1.1. Notation.** Let k be a field. By a motive we understand a pair (X, P) with X a smooth projective variety over k and  $P \in \text{Hom}(X, X)$  a projector in the algebra of correspondences. The correspondences are defined to be

$$\operatorname{Hom}(X,Y) = \bigoplus_{i} \operatorname{CH}^{\dim X_{i}}(X_{i},Y),$$

where  $X_i$  are the connected components of X. Here and in the following we use Chow groups with  $\mathbb{Q}$  coefficients. Note that we work with effective motives only.

We simply write  $X = (X, id_X)$  for the motive associated with X. The motives form a category  $\mathcal{M}_k$  with morphism groups

$$\operatorname{Hom}((X,P),(Y,Q))=Q\circ\operatorname{Hom}(X,Y)\circ P\subset\operatorname{Hom}(X,Y).$$

The sum and the product in  $\mathcal{M}$  are defined by disjoint union and product:

$$(X, P) \oplus (Y, Q) = (X \cup Y, P + Q)$$
$$(X, P) \otimes (Y, Q) = (X \times Y, P \times Q)$$

We denote by  $\mathbb{Q}(-1)$  the Lefschetz motive, i.e.  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{Q}(0) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)$ . We set  $\mathbb{Q}(a) := \mathbb{Q}(-1)^{\otimes -a}$  for a < 0 and  $\mathbb{Q}(0) := \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ . If X is connected then

$$\operatorname{Hom}((X,P)\otimes\mathbb{Q}(a),(Y,Q)\otimes\mathbb{Q}(b))=P\circ\operatorname{CH}^{\dim X-a+b}(X\times Y)\circ Q.$$

If M is a motive, we define

$$CH^{i}(M) := Hom(\mathbb{Q}(-i), M), \quad CH_{i}(M) := Hom(M, \mathbb{Q}(-i))$$

for  $i \geq 0$  and  $CH^i(M) = 0 = CH_i(M)$  for i < 0. We have

(1.1.1) 
$$\operatorname{CH}^{i}(M \otimes \mathbb{Q}(a)) = \operatorname{CH}^{i+a}(M), \quad \operatorname{CH}_{i}(M \otimes \mathbb{Q}(a)) = \operatorname{CH}_{i+a}(M)$$

for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $a \leq 0$ . Note that for a motive M = (X, P) with X connected of dimension n the equality  $\mathrm{CH}_i(M) = \mathrm{CH}^{n-i}(M)$  in general doesn't hold.

If  $k \subset L$  is an extension of fields then  $(X, P) \mapsto (X \times_k L, P \times_k L)$  defines a functor

$$(1.1.2) \times_k L: \mathcal{M}_k \to \mathcal{M}_L.$$

The following Proposition is a consequence of the theory of birational motives [KS] due to B. Kahn and R. Sujatha. We include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let k be a perfect field and X be connected.

- (i) A motive M = (X, P) can be written as M ≅ N ⊗ Q(-1) with some motive N if and only if CH<sub>0</sub>(M ×<sub>k</sub> L) = 0 for some field extension L of the function field k(X) of X.
- (ii) There exists an isomorphism  $M \cong N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(a)$  with some motive N and a < 0 if and only if  $CH_i(M \times_k L) = 0$  for all i < -a and all field extensions  $k \subset L$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $M \cong N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$  then  $M \times_k L \cong (N \times_k L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$  and therefore  $CH_0(M \times_k L) = 0$  by 1.1.1.

Suppose now that  $CH_0(M \times_k L) = 0$ . By the same arguments as in [BS, Proposition 1] we have

$$(1.2.1) P \in \operatorname{image} \left( \operatorname{CH}^{\dim D}(X \times D) \xrightarrow{(id \times i)_*} \operatorname{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times X) \right)$$

for some effective (not necessarily irreducible) Divisor  $i:D\to X$ . For the convenience of the reader we recall the proof. It is well-known that

$$CH_0(X \times_k k(X)) \to CH_0(X \times_k L)$$

is injective, and therefore  $\operatorname{CH}_0(M \times_k L) = 0$  implies  $\operatorname{CH}_0(M \times_k k(X)) = 0$ . Let  $\tau$  be the composite

$$\tau: \mathrm{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times X) \to \varinjlim_{U \subset X} \mathrm{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times U) = \mathrm{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times k(X)),$$

where the limit is over all open subsets  $U \subset X$ . It is easy to see that the equality  $0 = (P \times_k k(X)) \circ \tau(\Delta_X) = \tau(P)$  holds, which shows 1.2.1.

Let  $Y \to D$  be an alteration such that Y is regular (and thus smooth), and denote by  $f: Y \to D$   $\xrightarrow{i} X$  the composite. We have  $P = (id_X \times f)_*(Z)$  for a suitable cycle  $Z \in \operatorname{CH}^{\dim Y}(X \times Y)$ . Define  $Q \in \operatorname{End}(Y)$  by  $Q = Z \circ P \circ \Gamma(f)^t$  where  $\Gamma(f)^t \in \operatorname{CH}^{\dim X}(Y \times X)$  is the graph of f. The equality  $\Gamma(f)^t \circ Z = P$  implies  $Q^2 = Q$ . It is easy to check that

$$(Y,Q)\otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1) \xrightarrow{P \circ \Gamma(f)^t} (X,P) \quad (X,P) \xrightarrow{Z \circ P} (Y,Q)\otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$$

are inverse to each other, so that  $(Y,Q)\otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)\cong (X,P)$  as claimed.

- (ii) By induction on a and using (i).
- **1.3.** Motives associated with morphism. Let  $\pi: X \to Z$  be a finite surjective morphism of degree d, where X is connected, smooth and projective, but Z may be singular. The cycle  $X \times_Z X \subset X \times X$  gives a projector  $P = 1/d \cdot [X \times_Z X] \in \operatorname{End}(X)$  and we write  $(X, \pi) := (X, P)$  for the corresponding motive.

If  $\pi: X \to Y$  is a surjective morphism between connected, smooth and projective varieties of the same dimension, then the graph  $\Gamma(\pi)$  of  $\pi$  gives morphisms  $\Gamma(\pi) \in \operatorname{Hom}(Y,X)$  and  $\Gamma(\pi)^t \in \operatorname{Hom}(X,Y)$ . Let d be the degree of  $\pi$ , since  $\Gamma(\pi)^t \circ \Gamma(\pi) = d \cdot i d_Y$  we get an isomorphism  $Y \cong (X, 1/d \cdot \Gamma(\pi) \circ \Gamma(\pi)^t)$ . Thus

$$(Y,Q) \cong (X,1/d \cdot \Gamma(\pi) \circ Q \circ \Gamma(\pi)^t)$$

for every projector Q.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let k be a perfect field. In the diagram



we assume that X,Y are smooth, connected and projective varieties of the same dimension, the morphism  $\pi$  is finite and surjective, and f is birational. The following holds:

- (i) The motive  $(X, \pi)$  is a direct summand in Y.
- (ii) If  $X = (X, \pi) \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$  for some motive N', then

$$Y \cong (X, \pi) \oplus N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$$

for some motive N.

*Proof.* (i) We write S for the unique irreducible component of  $X \times_Z Y$  of dimension  $\dim X$ . Choose an alteration  $g: W \to S$  with W regular, W is smooth since k is perfect.

Via  $g_1 := pr_1 \circ g$  (resp.  $g_2 := pr_2 \circ g$ ) the motives X,  $(X, \pi)$  (resp. Y) are direct summands of W, we write  $P_X, P_{(X,\pi)}, P_Y$  for the corresponding projectors. The inclusion  $(X, \pi)$  factors through Y if and only if  $P_{(X,\pi)} \circ P_Y = P_Y \circ P_{(X,\pi)} = P_{(X,\pi)}$  in  $\operatorname{End}(W)$ . We have

$$\deg(g)^{2} \deg(\pi)^{2} \cdot P_{Y} \circ P_{(X,\pi)} = \Gamma(g_{2}) \circ \Gamma(g_{2})^{t} \circ \Gamma(g_{1}) \circ [X \times_{Z} X] \circ \Gamma(g_{1})^{t}$$

$$= \deg(g) \cdot \Gamma(g_{2}) \circ [S] \circ [X \times_{Z} X] \circ \Gamma(g_{1})^{t}$$

$$= \deg(g) \cdot [W \times_{Z} X] \circ [X \times_{Z} X] \circ \Gamma(g_{1})^{t}$$

$$= \deg(g) \deg(\pi) \cdot \Gamma(g_{1}) \circ [X \times_{Z} X] \circ [X \times_{Z} X] \circ \Gamma(g_{1})^{t}$$

$$= \deg(g)^{2} \deg(\pi)^{2} \cdot P_{(X,\pi)}$$

That  $P_{(X,\pi)} \circ P_Y = P_{(X,\pi)}$  can be proved in the same way. Note that

$$(X,\pi) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(g_1)} W \xrightarrow{\Gamma(g_2)^t} Y$$

does not depend on the choice of W, i.e.  $(X, \pi)$  is in a natural way a direct summand in Y. Indeed, if  $h: W' \to W$  then

$$\Gamma(g_2 \circ h)^t \circ \Gamma(g_1 \circ h) = \Gamma(g_2)^t \circ \Gamma(h)^t \circ \Gamma(h) \circ \Gamma(g_1) = \Gamma(g_2)^t \circ \Gamma(g_1),$$

and for another choice W'' we may find W' dominating W and W''.

(ii) Write  $Y \cong (X, \pi) \oplus M$ . Let  $L \supset k$  be a field extension, we have  $Y \times_k L \cong (X \times_k L, \pi \times_k L) \oplus M \times_k L$ . The map  $S \times_k L \to X \times_k L$  is birational and X is smooth, thus

$$CH_0(S \times_k L) \cong CH_0(X \times_k L) \cong CH_0(X \times_k L, \pi \times_k L).$$

The pushforward  $CH_0(S \times_k L) \to CH_0(Y \times_k L)$  is surjective, and therefore

$$CH_0(Y \times_k L) = CH_0(X \times_k L, \pi \times_k L)$$

and  $CH_0(M \times_k L) = 0$ . According to Proposition 1.2 this shows  $M \cong N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$ .  $\square$ 

**1.5. Coniveau filtration.** Let  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , we work with the singular cohomology in rational coefficients  $H^i(X) := H^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $i \geq 0$ . The coniveau filtration  $N^*H^i(X)$  is defined to be

$$N^pH^i(X):=\bigcup_S \ker\left(H^i(X)\to H^i(X-S)\right),$$

where S runs through all algebraic subsets (maybe reducible) of codimension  $\geq p$ . The coniveau filtration is a filtration of Hodge structures and therefore the graduated pieces  $\operatorname{Gr}_N^p := N^p H^i(X)/N^{p+1} H^i(X)$  inherit a Hodge structure.

By the work of Arapura and Kang [AK, Theorem 1.1] the coniveau filtration is preserved (up to shift) by pushforwards, exterior products and pullbacks. Using resolution of singularities it follows that

$$(1.5.1) \operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{p}: (X, P) \mapsto \operatorname{image}(P: \bigoplus_{i} \operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{p} H^{i}(X) \to \bigoplus_{i} \operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{p} H^{i}(X))$$

is a functor from motives to Hodge structures (for all  $p \geq 0$ ). Note, however, that there is no Kuenneth formula for  $Gr_N^p$ ; even for p = 0 the surjection

$$\bigoplus_{s+t=i} \operatorname{Gr}_N^0 H^s(X) \otimes \operatorname{Gr}_N^0 H^t(Y) \to \operatorname{Gr}_N^0 H^i(X \times Y)$$

is not injective in general. For the fiber product with  $\mathbb{P}^1$  we have

$$N^pH^i(X\times\mathbb{P}^1)=N^pH^i(X)\oplus N^{p-1}H^{i-2}(X)(-1)$$

and therefore

(1.5.2) 
$$\operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{p}(M \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)) = \operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{p-1}(M)(-1) \quad \text{if } p > 0$$
$$\operatorname{Gr}_{N}^{0}(M \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)) = 0$$

for all motives M.

# 2. Application: the Dwork family and its mirror

**2.1.** Let k be a field. We consider the hypersurfaces  $X_{\lambda}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n_k$  defined by the equation

(2.1.1) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} X_i^{n+1} + \lambda \cdot X_0 \cdots X_n = 0$$

with  $\lambda \in k$ , and we assume that n+1 is prime to the characteristic of k.

Let  $G \subset (\mu_{n+1})^{n+1}/\Delta(\mu_{n+1})$   $(\Delta(\mu_n) \cong \mu_{n+1}$  diagonally embedded) be the kernel of the character  $(\zeta_0, \ldots, \zeta_{n+1}) \mapsto \zeta_0 \cdots \zeta_{n+1}$ , then G acts on  $X_{\lambda}$  in the obvious way. We denote by  $\pi: X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}/G$  the quotient map.

As explained in section 1.3 we get a natural map

$$\operatorname{CH}_0(X_\lambda) \to \operatorname{CH}_0(X_\lambda, \pi).$$

Recall that we use the notation from section 1.1. In particular all Chow groups have coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let k be a field. We assume that  $\operatorname{char}(k) \nmid n+1$  if  $\operatorname{char}(k) > 0$ . If  $n \geq 2$  and  $X_{\lambda}$  is smooth, then the map

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(X_\lambda) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X_\lambda, \pi)$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* The projector for  $(X_{\lambda}, \pi) \subset X_{\lambda}$  is  $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \Gamma(g)$ . Therefore the statement is equivalent to

$$\sum_{g \in G} g_*(a) = |G| \cdot a$$

for every  $a \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X_\lambda)$ .

1. case:  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . For n = 2 the quotient map  $\pi : X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}/G$  is an isogeny of elliptic curves, and therefore the statement is true.

Consider  $\mu_{n+1} \cong H \subset G$  with  $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta, \zeta^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1)$ , and  $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(X_{\lambda})$  defined by  $\tau^*(X_0) = X_1, \tau^*(X_1) = X_0$ , and  $\tau^*(X_i) = X_i$  otherwise. We have  $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \tau \subset \mathbb{Z}$ 

 $\operatorname{Aut}(X_{\lambda})$  and claim that  $X_{\lambda}/(H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \tau)$  is rational. Indeed, for the open set  $U_{\lambda} = \{X_n \neq 0\} \subset X_{\lambda}$  we compute

$$U_{\lambda}/(H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\tau) \cong \operatorname{Spec}(k[\sigma_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, v]/I) \cong \operatorname{Spec}(k[x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, v]),$$

with  $I = (\sigma_1 + x_2^{n+1} + \dots + x_{n-1}^{n+1} + \lambda \cdot v \cdot x_2 \dots x_{n-1})$ . Here, the coordinates are defined to be  $x_i := X_i/X_n$ ,  $v = x_0 \cdot x_1$ , and  $\sigma_1 = x_0^{n+1} + x_1^{n+1}$ . Since rational varieties are rationally chain connected we conclude that

(2.2.1) 
$$\sum_{g \in H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\tau} \Gamma(g) \cdot a = 2(n+1) \cdot \deg(a) \cdot [p]$$

for every  $a \in CH_0(X_\lambda)$  and some closed point  $p \in X_\lambda \cap \{X_0 = X_1 = 0\}$  (p exists since n > 2).

Next, if  $\zeta \in \mu_{n+1} \cong H$  then  $(\zeta, \tau) \in H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \tau$  has order 2, and we consider the quotient  $q: X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}/(\zeta, \tau)$  by the action of  $(\zeta, \tau)$ . We claim that  $X_{\lambda}/(\zeta, \tau)$  is rationally chain connected.

The fixpoint set F is

$$F = \{X_0 - \zeta X_1 = 0\} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd,}$$
  
$$F = \{X_0 - \zeta X_1 = 0\} \cup \{[1 : -\zeta^{-1} : 0 : \dots : 0]\} \text{ if } n \text{ is even.}$$

Let  $H = \{X_0 - \zeta X_1 = 0\} \subset F$  be the hyperplane section. One verifies that H is smooth if and only if  $X_{\lambda}$  is smooth, and for every point  $x \in H$  there are coordinates  $y, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}$  such that y is a local equation for H with  $(\zeta, \tau)^*y = -y$  and the  $x_i$  are invariant. Thus  $y^2, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}$  are local coordinates for the quotient which is therefore smooth in the points q(H). So that  $X_{\lambda}/(\zeta, \tau)$  is smooth if n is odd, and  $X_{\lambda}/(\zeta, \tau)$  has an isolated quotient singularity in  $q([1:-\zeta^{-1}:0:\cdots:0])$  if n is even.

In both cases,  $2K_{X_{\lambda}/(\zeta,\tau)}$  is Cartier and  $2K_{X_{\lambda}/(\zeta,\tau)}\cong \mathcal{O}(-q(H))$  (the isomorphism comes from an invariant form in  $H^0(X_{\lambda},\omega_{X_{\lambda}}^{\otimes 2})=H^0(X_{\lambda},\omega_{X_{\lambda}}^{\otimes 2})^{(\zeta,\tau)}$ ). We have  $q^*(\mathcal{O}(q(H)))=\mathcal{O}(2H)$  and therefore  $\mathcal{O}(q(H))$  is ample. If n is odd then the Theorem of Campana, Kollár, Miyaoka, Mori ([C],[KMM]) implies that  $X_{\lambda}/(\zeta,\tau)$  is rationally chain connected. If n is even, then  $X_{\lambda}/(\zeta,\tau)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety with log terminal singularities and we may use the Theorem of Zhang [Z] to prove the claim.

We conclude that

$$(2.2.2) a + \Gamma((\zeta, \tau))(a) = 2 \operatorname{deg}(a)[p]$$

for every  $a \in CH_0(X_\lambda)$  and  $p \in X_\lambda \cap \{X_0 = X_1 = 0\}$ . Using 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 we see

(2.2.3) 
$$\sum_{g \in H} \Gamma(g)(a) = \sum_{g \in H \times \mathbb{Z}/2\tau} \Gamma(g)(a) - \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{n+1}} \Gamma((\zeta, \tau))(a)$$
$$= 2(n+1) \deg(a)[p] - \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{n+1}} (2 \deg(a)[p] - a) = (n+1)a.$$

Of course, for the subgroups  $\mu_{n+1} \cong H_i \subset G$  defined by  $\zeta \mapsto (1, \dots, 1, \zeta, \zeta^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1)$  where  $\zeta$  is put in the *i*-th position, the same conclusion 2.2.3 holds. Now, the equality

$$\sum_{g \in G} \Gamma(g) = \left(\sum_{g \in H_0} \Gamma(g)\right) \circ \cdots \circ \left(\sum_{g \in H_{n-2}} \Gamma(g)\right)$$

proves the claim.

2. case: char(k) = 0. It is a well-known fact that if  $k_0 \subset k$  is a subfield and  $X = X_0 \times_{k_0} k$  then the pullback map

$$(2.2.4) CH0(X0) \to CH0(X)$$

is injective (without the assumption on  $\operatorname{char}(k)$ ). The variety  $X_{\lambda}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \subset k$ , and every zero cycle can be defined over a subfield  $k_0 \subset k$  which is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ . By fixing an embedding  $\sigma: k_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ , we reduce to the case  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .

3. case:  $\operatorname{char}(k) = p \neq 0$ . Again, since 2.2.4 is injective, we may assume that k is algebraically closed. Let W be the Witt vectors of k; W is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k and quotient field K with  $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$ . Choose a lift  $\tilde{\lambda} \in W$  of  $\lambda$ , and let  $X_{\lambda,W} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_W$  be the variety  $\sum_{i=0}^n X_i^{n+1} + \tilde{\lambda} X_0 \cdots X_n = 0$ . The specialization map

$$sp: \mathrm{CH}_0(X_{\lambda,W} \otimes_W K) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X_{\lambda})$$

from [F, §20.3] is surjective, because W is complete (and therefore  $X_{\lambda,W}(W) \to X_{\lambda}(k)$  is surjective). Since  $\operatorname{char}(k) \nmid n+1$  we have

$$\mu_{n+1}(k) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftarrow} \mu_{n+1}(W) \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mu_{n+1}(K),$$

and the same statement holds for G. Now the compatibility of sp with pushforwards [F, Proposition 20.3] proves the claim.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let k be a perfect field. We assume  $\operatorname{char}(k) \nmid n+1$  if  $\operatorname{char}(k) > 0$ . Let  $X_{\lambda}$  be a smooth member of the Dwork family for  $n \geq 2$ . If  $\pi: X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}/G$  is the quotient of the G-action (see 2.1) and  $Y_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}/G$  is a resolution of singularities, then

$$X_{\lambda} \cong (X_{\lambda}, \pi) \oplus N'_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1), \quad Y_{\lambda} \cong (X_{\lambda}, \pi) \oplus N_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$$

for some motives  $N'_{\lambda}$  and  $N_{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* By construction of  $(X_{\lambda}, \pi)$  in 1.3 we have  $X_{\lambda} \cong (X_{\lambda}, \pi) \oplus M_{\lambda}$  with some motive  $M_{\lambda}$ . In view of Lemma 2.2 we know that

$$\operatorname{CH}_0(X_\lambda \times_k L) = \operatorname{CH}_0((X_\lambda \times_k L, \pi \times_k L)) = \operatorname{CH}_0((X_\lambda, \pi) \times_k L)$$

for all field extensions  $k \subset L$ , and thus  $\operatorname{CH}_0(M_\lambda \times_k L) = 0$ . Proposition 1.2 implies that  $M_\lambda \cong N'_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$  for some  $N'_\lambda$ , and Proposition 1.4 proves the claim.

Corollary 2.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3.

(i) If  $k = \mathbb{C}$  then there is an isomorphism of Hodge structures

$$\operatorname{Gr}_N^0 H^*(X_\lambda, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \operatorname{Gr}_N^0 H^*(Y_\lambda, \mathbb{Q}).$$

(ii) If  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ , the finite field with q elements, then for all  $m \ge 1$ :

$$\#X_{\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \#Y_{\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) \mod q^m.$$

*Proof.* (i) By 1.5.2.

(ii) If N is a motive (over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ) then the eigenvalues of the Frobenius acting on  $H^*_{\text{\'et}}(N\otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1))=H^*_{\text{\'et}}(N)\otimes \mathbb{Q}_l(-1)$  lie in  $q\cdot \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Now the claim follows from Grothendieck's trace formula.

#### 3. Conjectures

**3.1.** For  $k = \mathbb{C}$  the Hodge structure of a variety X can be recovered from the associated motive. For an effective motive N we know  $h^{i,0}(N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)) = 0$  for all i, so that if

$$(3.1.1) \hspace{1cm} X\cong X'\oplus N'\otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1), \quad Y\cong X'\oplus N\otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1),$$
 then  $h^{i,0}(X)=h^{i,0}(Y).$ 

**3.2.** Now consider the setting

$$X$$

$$\downarrow^{\pi}$$

$$X/G \longleftrightarrow Y$$

where X is a smooth projective variety with an action of a finite group G, and Y is a resolution of singularities of the quotient X/G. Since X/G has only quotient singularities we know that  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^i(X/G, \mathcal{O}_{X/G})$  for all i. The map  $\mathcal{O}_{X/G} \to \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$  is split by  $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^*$  and we obtain

$$H^{i}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X})^{G} = H^{i}(X/G, \mathcal{O}_{X/G}) = H^{i}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}), \text{ for all } i.$$

Therefore 3.1.1 can only be expected if the following holds:

$$(3.2.1) Hi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = Hi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^G.$$

**3.3.** On the other hand, the Bloch conjectures on a filtration of the Chow group of zero cycles which is controlled by the Hodge structure (see  $[V, \S 23.2]$  for a precise statement) predict

$$\pi: \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{CH}_0(X/G) = \mathrm{CH}_0(X,\pi)$$

whenever 3.2.1 holds, and thus  $X \cong (X, \pi) \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$  (in the notation of 1.3). Now, Proposition 1.4 yields 3.1.1 with  $X' = (X, \pi)$ . So that the Bloch conjectures imply the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.4.** Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k of  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$ , and let G be a finite group acting on X with  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^G$  for all i. If Y is a resolution of singularities of the quotient  $\pi: X \to X/G$ , then there are (effective) motives N, N' such that

$$X \cong (X, \pi) \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1), \quad Y \cong (X, \pi) \oplus N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1).$$

Unfortunately little is known concerning the Bloch conjecture.

**3.5.** Let us consider monomial deformations of the degree d Fermat hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , and  $G \subset \mu_d^{n+1}$ . The condition 3.2.1 holds only for  $d \leq n+1$  (or  $G=\{1\}$ ). Therefore there is no generalisation of Theorem 2.3 to degree d>n+1. In the case d< n+1,  $X_{\lambda}$  and  $Y_{\lambda}$  are rationally connected, and thus  $\operatorname{CH}_0(X_{\lambda})=\mathbb{Q}=\operatorname{CH}_0(Y_{\lambda})$ . We obtain

$$X_{\lambda} \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1), \quad Y_{\lambda} \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1).$$

from Proposition 1.2.

**3.6.** For a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  we don't know the correct assumptions for Conjecture 3.4. However, the assertion implies a congruence formula for the number of rational points:

$$(3.6.1) #X(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv #Y(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q.$$

The work of Fu and Wan provides a congruence formula for the number of rational points of X and X/G.

**Theorem 3.7** ([FW]). Let X be a smooth projective variety over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Suppose X has a smooth projective lifting  $\mathcal{X}$  over the Witt ring  $W = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that the W-modules  $H^r(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^s_{\mathcal{X}/W})$  are free. Let G be a finite group of W-automorphisms acting on X. Suppose G acts trivially on  $H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$  for all i. Then for any natural number k, we have the congruence

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \equiv \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \pmod{q^k}.$$

By extending the theory of Witt vector cohomology to singular varieties, Berthelot, Bloch and Esnault were able to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.8** ([BBE, Corollary 6.12]). Let X be a proper scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and G a finite group acting on X so that each orbit is contained in an affine open subset of X. If |G| is prime to p, and if the action of G on  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is trivial for all i, then

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q.$$

In the next section we prove that

$$\#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q$$

if X is a smooth projective variety and Y is a resolution of singularities of X/G. So that with the assumptions of 3.7 or 3.8 we obtain the congruence formula 3.6.1.

### 4. Congruence formula

**4.1.** In this section we fix a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic p, and an algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$  of k. For a separated scheme X of finite type over k we work with the étale cohomology groups  $H^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  (resp. étale cohomology groups with support  $H^i_{Z_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  for  $Z \subset X$ ) with  $\ell$  a prime number  $\neq p$ , and  $X_{\bar{k}} = X \times_k \bar{k}$ . They are finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector spaces, and the Galois group  $G_k = \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  acts continuously on them.

We denote by  $F \in G_k$  the geometric Frobenius, F acts on  $H^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$  with eigenvalues that are algebraic integers. If X is proper then we have Grothendieck's trace formula

$$#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{i} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(F, H^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)).$$

**4.2.** Let V be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -vector space, and  $F: V \to V$  a linear map. Fix an algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ . The vector space  $\overline{V} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$  decomposes into the generalised eigenspaces of F:

$$\bar{V} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}} \bar{V}_{\lambda},$$

i.e.  $\bar{V}_{\lambda}$  is the maximal subspace such that F acts with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . For every  $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} = \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$  we get  $g(\bar{V}_{\lambda}) = \bar{V}_{g(\lambda)}$ , and we obtain a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}} \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \cdot \lambda} \bar{V}_{\lambda} \right)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}},$$

where  $\lambda$  runs through all orbits of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ , and  $\lambda'$  through all conjugates of  $\lambda$ . We write

$$V_{\lambda} = \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda' \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \cdot \lambda} \bar{V}_{\lambda}\right)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}}, \quad V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}} V_{\lambda}.$$

Let W be another finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -vector space with a linear operation  $F:W\to W$ . If  $\phi:V\to W$  is a linear map which commutes with the action of F then

$$\phi(V_{\lambda}) \subset W_{\lambda}$$

for every  $\lambda \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ .

Now, we fix an integer  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and we assume that all eigenvalues of F are algebraic integers, i.e.  $V_{\lambda} = 0$  if  $\lambda \notin G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  where  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$  is the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ . We note that the subset  $q\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$  has an induced action by  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$ , and we define the slope < 1 resp. slope  $\ge 1$  part of V to be

$$V^{<1}:=\bigoplus_{\lambda\not\in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}\backslash q\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}V_\lambda,\quad V^{\geq 1}:=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}\backslash q\bar{\mathbb{Z}}}V_\lambda.$$

We obtain a decomposition

$$V = V^{<1} \oplus V^{\geq 1}$$

with F action on  $V^{<1}$  and  $V^{\geq 1}$ , and the decomposition is functorial for linear maps that commute with the F-operation.

For étale cohomology and q = |k| we thus get for all i a functorial decomposition

$$\begin{split} H^i(X_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell) &= H^i(X_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{<1} \oplus H^i(X_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{\geq 1}, \\ \text{resp.} \quad H^i_{Z_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell) &= H^i_{Z_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{<1} \oplus H^i_{Z_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{\geq 1}. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 4.3.** If X is smooth and  $Z \subset X$  is a closed subset of codimension  $\geq 1$  then

$$H^i_{Z_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{<1} = 0$$
 for all  $i$ .

*Proof.* [E1, Lemma 2.1], [E2, §2.1].

In other words all eigenvalues of the Frobenius on  $H^i_{Z_{\bar{k}}}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$  lie in  $q \cdot \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ . It is not difficult to extend this lemma to the case when X has quotient singularities.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let X be a smooth and quasi-projective variety, and let G be a finite group acting on X. If  $\pi: X \to X/G$  is the quotient and  $Z \subset X/G$  is a closed subset of codimension  $\geq 1$  then

$$H_{Z_{\bar{k}}}^{i}((X/G)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{<1} = 0$$
 for all  $i$ .

*Proof.* We write  $Y = \pi^{-1}(Z)$  which is a closed subset of X of codimension  $\geq 1$ . Note that  $(X/G)_{\bar{k}} = X_{\bar{k}}/G$ , i.e.  $\pi_{\bar{k}} : X_{\bar{k}} \to (X/G)_{\bar{k}}$  is the quotient for the G action on  $X_{\bar{k}}$ . The composite of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \subset \pi_{\bar{k}*}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$  with

$$\sum_{g \in G} g^* : \pi_{\bar{k}*} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \to \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$$

is multiplication by |G|. Since

$$H_{Z_{\bar{k}}}^i((X/G)_{\bar{k}}, \pi_{\bar{k}*}\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = H_Y^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

we get

$$H_{Z_{\bar{k}}}^i((X/G)_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_Y^i(X_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^G,$$

and this map is compatible with the Frobenius action. Now, Lemma 4.3 implies the statement.  $\hfill\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.5.** Let X be a smooth projective  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety with an action of a finite group G. Let  $\pi: X \to X/G$  be the quotient, and  $f: Y \to X/G$  be a birational map, where Y is a smooth projective variety. Then

$$\#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q.$$

*Proof.* Let U be an open (dense) subset of X/G such that  $f^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} U$  is an isomorphism. Write  $Z = (X/G) \setminus U$  and  $Z' = Y \setminus f^{-1}(U)$ . We consider the map of long exact sequences

Here all maps commute with the action of the Frobenius. By using Lemma 4.4 we get

$$\begin{split} H^i(Y_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{<1} & \xrightarrow{\cong} H^i(f^{-1}(U)_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{<1} \\ & \uparrow \\ & \downarrow \\ H^i((X/G)_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{<1} & \xrightarrow{\cong} H^i(U_{\bar{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{<1}. \end{split}$$

This implies

$$H^i(Y_{\bar k},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{<1} \cong H^i((X/G)_{\bar k},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{<1} \quad \text{for all } i.$$

With Grothendieck's trace formula we obtain

$$\#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) - \#X/G(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_i (-1)^i \left( \text{Tr}(F, H^i(Y_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))^{\geq 1} - \text{Tr}(F, H^i((X/G)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))^{\geq 1} \right).$$

The right-hand side is a number in  $\mathbb{Z} \cap q\overline{\mathbb{Z}} = q\mathbb{Z}$ , which proves the congruence.  $\square$ 

Remark 4.6. It seems that the fibre  $f^{-1}(x)$  of a point  $x \in (X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q)$  satisfies the congruence

$$#f^{-1}(x)(\mathbb{F}_q) = 1 \mod q.$$

Of course this would imply the statement of Theorem 4.5.

# Acknowledgments

I thank Y. André for drawing my attention to D. Wan's work and for helpful suggestions. I thank D.C. Cisinski, F. Déglise and K. Rülling for very helpful comments. This paper is written during a stay at the École normale supérieure which is supported by a fellowship within the Post-Doc program of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). I thank the École normale supérieure for its hospitality.

#### References

- [AK] Arapura, D.; Kang, S.-J. Functoriality of the coniveau filtration. Canad. Math. Bull. **50** (2007), no. 2, 161–171.
- [B] Batyrev, V. Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties. J. Algebraic Geom. 3 (1994), no. 3, 493–535.
- [BS] Bloch, S.; Srinivas, V. Remarks on correspondences and algebraic cycles. Amer. J. Math. 105 (983), no. 5, 1235–1253.
- [BBE] Berthelot, P.; Bloch S.; Esnault, H. On Witt vector cohomology for singular varieties. Compos. Math. 143 (2007), no. 2, 363–392.
- [C] Campana, F. Connexité rationelle des variétés de Fano. Ann. Sci. E.N.S. 25 (1992), 539–545.
- [E1] Esnault, H. Varieties over a finite field with trivial Chow group of 0-cycles have a rational point. Invent. Math. 151 (2003), no. 1, 187–191.
- [E2] Esnault, H. Deligne's integrality theorem in unequal characteristic and rational points over finite fields. With an appendix by Pierre Deligne and Esnault. Ann. of Math. (2) 164 (2006), no. 2, 715–730.
- [FW] Fu, L.; Wan, D. Mirror congruence for rational points on Calabi-Yau varieties. Asian J. Math. 10 (2006), no. 1, 1–10.
- [F] Fulton, W. Intersection theory. Second edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [KS] Kahn B., Sujatha R. Birational motives. arXiv:0902.4902v1, K-theory preprint 923: February 27, 2009.
- [KMM] Kollár J., Miyaoka Y., Mori S. Rational Connectedness and Boundedness of Fano Manifolds. J. Diff. Geom. 36 (1992), 765–769.
- [V] Voisin C. Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe. Cours Spécialisés, 10. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.
- [W] Wan, D. Mirror symmetry for zeta functions. With an appendix by C. Douglas Haessig. AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 38, Mirror symmetry. V, 159–184, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Z] Zhang, Q. Rational connectedness of log Q-Fano varieties. J. Reine Angew. Math. 590 (2006), 131–142.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY URL: http://www.uni-due.de/~bm0065

E-mail address: a.chatzistamatiou@uni-due.de