# NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN ARBITRARY DOMAINS : THE FUJITA-KATO SCHEME

### Sylvie Monniaux

ABSTRACT. Navier-Stokes equations are investigated in a functional setting in 3D open sets  $\Omega$ , bounded or not, without assuming any regularity of the boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . The main idea is to find a correct definition of the Stokes operator in a suitable Hilbert space of divergence-free vectors and apply the Fujita-Kato method, a fixed point procedure, to get a local strong solution.

### 1. Introduction

Since the pioneering work by Leray [3] in 1934, there have been several studies on solutions of Navier-Stokes equations

$$(NS) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + \nabla \pi + (u \cdot \nabla)u &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad ]0, T[\times \Omega, \\ \text{div } u &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad ]0, T[\times \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad ]0, T[\times \partial \Omega, \\ u(0) &= u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Fujita and Kato [2] in 1964 gave a method to construct so called mild solutions in smooth domains  $\Omega$ , producing local (in time) smooth solutions of (NS) in a Hilbert space setting. These solutions are global in time if the initial value  $u_0$  is small enough in a certain sense. The case of non smooth domains has been studied by Deuring and von Wahl [1] in 1995 where they considered domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with Lipschitz boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . They found local smooth solutions using results contained in Shen's PhD thesis [4]. Their method does not cover the critical space case as in [2]. One of the difficulty there was to understand the Stokes operator, and in particular its domain of definition.

In Section 2, we give a "universal" definition of the Stokes operator, for any domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  (Definition 2.4). In Section 3, we construct a mild solution of (NS) with a method similar to Fujita-Kato's [2] (Theorem 3.5) for initial values  $u_0$  in the critical space  $D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$ . We show in Section 4 that this mild solution is a strong solution, *i.e.* (NS) is satisfied almost everywhere.

### 2. The Stokes operator

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The space

$$L^{2}(\Omega)^{3} = \{ u = (u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}); u_{i} \in L^{2}(\Omega), i = 1, 2, 3 \}$$

Received by the editors November 15, 2005.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35Q10, 76D05; Secondary 35A15.

endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \overline{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\Omega} u_i \ \overline{v_i}$$

is a Hilbert space. Define

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\nabla p; p \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ with } \nabla p \in L^2(\Omega)^3\};$$

the set  $\mathcal{G}$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\Omega)^3$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}^{\perp} = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega)^3; \langle u, \nabla p \rangle = 0, \ \forall p \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ with } \nabla p \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \right\}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{H}$ , endowed with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a Hilbert space. We have the following Hodge decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega)^3 = \mathcal{H} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\perp}}{\oplus} \mathcal{G}.$$

We denote by  $\mathbb{P}$  the projection from  $L^2(\Omega)^3$  onto  $\mathcal{H} : \mathbb{P}$  is the usual Helmoltz projection. We denote by J the canonical injection  $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)^3 : J' = \mathbb{P}(J')$  being the adjoint of J and  $\mathbb{P}J$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let now  $\mathscr{D}(\Omega)^3 = \mathscr{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega)^3$  and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ u \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)^3 ; \operatorname{div} u = 0 \}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathscr{D}(\Omega)^3$ . We denote by  $J_0 : \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{D}(\Omega)^3$  the canonical injection :  $J_0 \subset J$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}_1$  be the adjoint of  $J_0 : \mathbb{P}_1 = J'_0 : \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)^3 \to \mathcal{D}'$ . We have  $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{P}_1$ . The following theorem characterizes the elements in ker  $\mathbb{P}_1$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (de Rham). Let  $T \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)^3$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_1 T = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . Then there exists  $S \in (\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_c(\Omega))'$  such that  $T = \nabla S$ . Conversely, if  $T = \nabla S$  with  $S \in (\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_c(\Omega))'$ , then  $\mathbb{P}_1 T = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ .

We denote by  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$  the closure of  $\mathscr{D}(\Omega)^3$  with respect to the scalar product  $(u, v) \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle_1 = \langle u, v \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_i u, \partial_i v \rangle$ . By Sobolev embeddings, we have  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3 \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)^3$ . Define

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{H} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)^3.$$

The space  $\mathcal{V}$  is a closed subspace of  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$ ; endowed with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a Hilbert space.

**Proposition 2.2.** The space  $\mathcal{V}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{H}$  be in the orthogonal of  $\mathcal{V}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{H}$ , *i.e.* 

(2.1) 
$$\langle u, v \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{V}$$

Since  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{V}$ , (2.1) implies also

$$\langle u, v \rangle = 0$$
 for all  $v \in \mathcal{D}$ .

It means that u, viewed as an element of  $\mathcal{D}'$ , is 0. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a distribution  $S \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)'$  such that  $Ju = \nabla S$ . Since  $Ju \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ , so is  $\nabla S$  and therefore,  $u = \mathbb{P}Ju = \mathbb{P}\nabla S = 0$ . The canonical injection  $\tilde{J}: \mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)^3$  is the restriction of J to  $\mathcal{V}$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  the adjoint of  $\tilde{J}$ : since  $\tilde{J}$  is the restriction of J to  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\mathcal{V}'$ . On  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$  we define now the form a by  $a(u,v) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_i \tilde{J}u, \partial_i \tilde{J}v \rangle$ : a is a bilinear, symmetric,  $\delta + a$  is a coercive form on  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$  for all  $\delta > 0$ , then defines a bounded self-adjoint operator  $A_0: \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$  by  $(A_0 u)(v) = a(u,v)$  with  $\delta + A_0$  invertible for all  $\delta > 0$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** For all  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $A_0 u = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(-\Delta_D^{\Omega})\tilde{J}u$ , where  $\Delta_D^{\Omega}$  denotes the Dirichlet-Laplacian on  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$ .

*Proof.* For all  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$ , we have

$$(A_{0}u)(v) \stackrel{(1)}{=} a(u,v) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \langle \partial_{i}\tilde{J}u, \partial_{i}\tilde{J}v \rangle$$
$$\stackrel{(3)}{=} \langle (-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega})\tilde{J}u, \tilde{J}v \rangle_{H^{-1},H_{0}^{1}}$$
$$\stackrel{(4)}{=} \langle \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(-\Delta_{D}^{\Omega})\tilde{J}u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{V}',\mathcal{V}}.$$

The first two equalities come from the definition of  $A_0$  and a. The third equality comes from the definition of the Dirichlet-Laplacian on  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$  and the fact that for  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\tilde{J}v = v$ . The last equality is due to  $\tilde{J}'\varphi = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{V}'$  for all  $\varphi \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$ . This shows that  $A_0u$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(-\Delta_D^\Omega)\tilde{J}u$  are two continuous linear forms on  $\mathcal{V}$  which coïncide on  $\mathcal{V}$ , they are then equal.

**Definition 2.4.** The operator A defined on its domain  $D(A) = \{u \in \mathcal{V}; A_0 u \in \mathcal{H}\}$  by  $Au = A_0 u$  is called the Stokes operator.

**Theorem 2.5.** The Stokes operator is self-adjoint in  $\mathcal{H}$ , generates an analytic semigroup  $(e^{-tA})_{t>0}$ ,  $D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathcal{V}$  and satisfies

$$D(A) = \{ u \in \mathcal{V} ; \exists \pi \in (\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega))' : \nabla \pi \in H^{-1}(\Omega) \text{ and } -\Delta u + \nabla \pi \in \mathcal{H} \}$$
  
$$Au = -\Delta u + \nabla \pi.$$

Remark 2.6. Since  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3 \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)^3$ , it is clear by interpolation and dualization that  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  maps  $L^p(\Omega)^3$  to  $D(A^s)'$  for  $\frac{6}{5} \leq p \leq 2, \ 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s = -\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2p}$ . Since A is self-adjoint, one has  $(\delta + A_0)^{-s}D(A^s)' = \{(\delta + A_0)^{-s}u; u \in D(A^s)'\} = \mathcal{H}$ . In particular,  $(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}}\mathbb{P}_1$  maps  $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)^3$  into  $\mathcal{H}$ .

#### 3. Mild solution to the Navier-Stokes system

Let T > 0.

Define the following Banach space

$$\mathcal{E}_{T} = \left\{ u \in \mathscr{C}([0,T]; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})) \cap \mathscr{C}^{1}(]0,T]; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})) \right.$$
  
such that 
$$\sup_{0 < s < T} \|s^{\frac{1}{4}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{0 < s < T} \|sA^{\frac{1}{4}}u'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} = \sup_{0 < s < T} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}}u(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{0 < s < T} \|s^{\frac{1}{4}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{0 < s < T} \|sA^{\frac{1}{4}}u'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be defined by  $\alpha(t) = e^{-tA}u_0$  where  $u_0 \in D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$ . Then  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_T$ . Indeed, it is clear that  $\alpha \in \mathscr{C}([0,T]; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}}))$ . We also have that  $t^{\frac{1}{4}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}\alpha(t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}}A^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{-tA}A^{\frac{1}{4}}u_0$ is bounded on (0,T) since  $(e^{-tA})_{t\geq 0}$  is an analytic semigroup. Moreover, one has  $\alpha'(t) = -Ae^{-tA}u_0$  which yields to  $tA^{\frac{1}{4}}\alpha'(t) = -tAe^{-tA}A^{\frac{1}{4}}u_0$  continuous on [0,T], bounded in  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_T$ , we define now

$$\Phi(u,v)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\right) \left((u(s)\cdot\nabla)v(s) + (v(s)\cdot\nabla)u(s)\right) ds, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

**Notation 3.1.** Let X, Y be Banach spaces. For a bounded linear operator  $S : X \to Y$ , we denote by  $||S||_{\mathscr{L}(X;Y)}$  the norm of S, *i.e.* 

$$||S||_{\mathscr{L}(X;Y)} = \sup\{||Sx||_Y ; \forall x \in X \text{ with } ||x||_X \le 1\}.$$

If X = Y, we adopt the notation  $||S||_{\mathscr{L}(X)}$  instead of  $||S||_{\mathscr{L}(X;Y)}$ . For a bilinear operator  $B: X \times X \to Y$ , we denote by  $||B||_{\mathscr{L}(X \times X;Y)}$  the norm of B, *i.e.* 

 $||B||_{\mathscr{L}(X \times X;Y)} = \sup\{||B(x,x')||_Y ; \forall x, x' \in X \text{ with } ||x||_X \le 1 \text{ and } ||x'||_X \le 1\}.$ 

**Notation 3.2.** For  $u, v \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ , we denote by  $u \otimes v$  the matrix defined by

$$(u \otimes v)_{i,j} = u_i v_j, \quad 1 \le i, j \le 3.$$

Remark 3.3. If u, v are sufficiently smooth vector fields such that div u = 0, then

$$\operatorname{div}(u \otimes v) := \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i(u_i v) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} u_i \partial_i v = (u \cdot \nabla) v.$$

**Proposition 3.4.** The transform  $\Phi$  is bilinear, symmetric, continuous from  $\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T$  to  $\mathcal{E}_T$  and the norm of  $\Phi$  is independent of T.

*Proof.* The fact that  $\Phi$  is bilinear and symmetric is clear. Moreover,  $\Phi(u, v) = e^{-\cdot A} * f$ , where f is defined by

$$f(s) = (-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbb{P}})((u(s)\cdot\nabla)v(s) + (v(s)\cdot\nabla)u(s)), \quad s \in [0,T].$$

For  $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_T$ , it is clear that  $(u(s) \cdot \nabla)v(s) + (v(s) \cdot \nabla)u(s) \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)^3$  and therefore  $(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}}f(s) \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\sup_{0 < s < T} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}}f(s) \|_{\mathcal{H}} \le c \| u \|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \| v \|_{\mathcal{E}_T}$ . We have then

$$\Phi(u,v) = e^{-\cdot A} * f = (\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\cdot A} * ((\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}}\Phi(u,v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}}(\delta+A)^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{-(t-s)A}\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H})}\|(\delta+A_{0})^{-\frac{1}{4}}f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}ds\\ &\leq c\left(\int_{0}^{t}\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\ ds\right)\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\\ &\leq c\left(\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\ d\sigma\right)\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\\ &\leq c\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}.\end{aligned}$$

Continuity with respect to  $t \in [0,T]$  of  $t \mapsto A^{\frac{1}{4}} \Phi(u,v)(t)$  is clear once we have proved the boundedness. We also have

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi(u,v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\delta+A)^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{-(t-s)A}\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H})}\|(\delta+A_{0})^{-\frac{1}{4}}f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}ds\\ &\leq c\left(\int_{0}^{t}\frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\,ds\right)\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\\ &\leq ct^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1}{(1-\sigma)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\,d\sigma\right)\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\\ &\leq ct^{-\frac{1}{4}}\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}}.\end{split}$$

Continuity with respect to  $t \in [0, T]$  is clear once we have proved the boundedness. To prove the last part of the norm of  $\Phi(u, v)$  in  $\mathcal{E}_T$ , we first write f, using Notation 3.2 and Remark 3.3, in the following form

$$f(s) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\right) \operatorname{div} \left(u(s) \otimes v(s) + v(s) \otimes u(s)\right), \quad s \in [0, T].$$

We have then for  $s \in ]0, T[$ 

$$f'(s) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\right) \text{ div } \left(u'(s) \otimes v(s) + u(s) \otimes v'(s) + v'(s) \otimes u(s) + v(s) \otimes u'(s)\right).$$

For all  $s \in [0, T]$  we have

$$s^{\frac{5}{4}} \|u'(s) \otimes v(s)\|_{2} \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \|su'(s)\|_{3} \|s^{\frac{1}{4}}v(s)\|_{6} \\ \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \|sA^{\frac{1}{4}}u'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|s^{\frac{1}{4}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}v(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}},$$

where the first inequality comes from the fact that  $L^3 \cdot L^6 \hookrightarrow L^2$ , the second comes from the inclusions  $D(A^{\frac{1}{4}}) \hookrightarrow L^3(\Omega)^3$  and  $D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)^3$  and the third inequality follows directly from the definition of the space  $\mathcal{E}_T$ . Of course the same occurs for the other three terms  $u(s) \otimes v'(s)$ ,  $v'(s) \otimes u(s)$  and  $v(s) \otimes u'(s)$ . Therefore, since  $A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ maps  $\mathcal{V}'$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ , we obtain

$$\sup_{0 < s < T} \|s^{\frac{5}{4}} (\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le c \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}.$$

We have

$$\Phi(u,v)(t) = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sA} f(t-s) ds + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-s)A} f(s) ds \quad t \in ]0, T[,$$

and therefore

$$\Phi(u,v)'(t) = e^{-\frac{t}{2}A}f(\frac{t}{2}) + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (\delta+A)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-sA}(\delta+A_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}f'(t-s)ds$$
$$+ \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} -A(\delta+A)^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{-(t-s)A}(\delta+A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}}f(s)ds,$$

which yields

$$\begin{split} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}}\Phi(u,v)'(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}} \left\| (\delta+A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}}f(\frac{t}{2}) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} + c \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{5}{4}}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &+ c \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{5}{4}}} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{t} \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\sigma}{(1-\sigma)^{\frac{5}{4}} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}. \end{split}$$

This last inequality ensures that  $\Phi(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}_T$  whenever  $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_T$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** For all  $u_0 \in D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$ , there exists T > 0 such that there exists a unique  $u \in \mathcal{E}_T$  solution of  $u = \alpha + \Phi(u, u)$  on [0, T]. This function u is called the mild solution to the Navier-Stokes system.

*Proof.* Let T > 0. Since  $\Phi : \mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T \to \mathcal{E}_T$  is bilinear continuous, it suffices to apply Picard fixed point theorem, as in [2]. The sequence in  $\mathcal{E}_T(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  defined by  $v_0 = \alpha$ as first term and

$$v_{n+1} = \alpha + \Phi(v_n, v_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

converges to the unique solution  $u \in \mathcal{E}_T$  of  $u = \alpha + \Phi(u, u)$  provided  $||A^{\frac{1}{4}}u_0||_{\mathcal{H}}$  is small enough  $(\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} < \frac{1}{4\|\Phi\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T;\mathcal{E}_T)}})$ . In the case where  $\|A^{\frac{1}{4}}u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  is not small (that is, if  $\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \geq \frac{1}{4\|\Phi\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T;\mathcal{E}_T)}})$  then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $u_{0,\varepsilon} \in D(A)$  such that  $||A^{\frac{1}{4}}(u_0 - u_{0,\varepsilon})||_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon$ . If we take as initial value  $u_{0,\varepsilon} \in D(A)$ , we have

$$\|\alpha_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{E}_{T}} \leq cT^{\frac{3}{4}} \|Au_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \xrightarrow[T \to 0]{} 0$$

Therefore, we can find T > 0 such that  $\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} < \frac{1}{4\|\Phi\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T; \mathcal{E}_T)}}$ .

#### 4. Strong solutions

Let u be the mild solution to the Navier-Stokes system. We show in this section that u in fact satisfies the equations of the Navier-Stokes system in an  $L^p$ -sense (for a suitable p). To begin with, we know that  $u \in \mathcal{E}_T$  and satisfies

$$u = \alpha + \Phi(u, u) = \alpha + e^{-\cdot A} * \varphi(u),$$

where  $\varphi(u) = -\tilde{\mathbb{P}}((u \cdot \nabla)u)$  and we have  $\|t^{\frac{1}{2}}(u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t)\|_{\frac{3}{2}} \leq c\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^2$ . Therefore, we get

(4.1) 
$$u(0) = \alpha(0) = u_0,$$

(4.2) 
$$\operatorname{div} u(t) = 0 \text{ in the } L^2 - \text{ sense for } t \in ]0, T[,$$

and

$$u' + Au = f$$
 in  $\mathscr{C}(]0, T[; \mathcal{V}')$ .

which means that for all  $t \in [0, T[$ ,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(u'(t) - \Delta_D^{\Omega} u(t) + (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t)) = 0$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1, there exists  $(-\pi)(t) \in (\mathscr{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega))'$  such that  $\nabla \pi(t) \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$ and

(4.3) 
$$\nabla(-\pi)(t) = u'(t) - \Delta_D^{\Omega} u(t) + (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t)$$

and we have for 0 < t < T

$$-\Delta_D^{\Omega} u(t) + \nabla \pi(t) = -u'(t) - (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t) \in L^3(\Omega)^3 + L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)^3.$$

The equation (4.3), together with (4.1) and (4.2), give the usual Navier-Stokes equations which are fulfilled in a strong sense (a.e.) where we consider the expression  $-\Delta u + \nabla \pi$  undecoupled.

## References

- P. Deuring, W. von Wahl. Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes system in Lipschitz bounded domains. Math. Nachr. 171 (1995) 111–148.
- [2] H. Fujita, T. Kato. On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 16 (194) 269–315.
- [3] J. Leray. Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. Acta Math. J. 63 (1934) 193-248.
- [4] Z. Shen. Boundary value problems for parabolic Lamé systems and a nonstationary linearized system of Navier-Stokes equations in Lipschitz cylinders. Amer. J. Math. 113 (1991) 293–373.

LATP UMR 6632, Case cour A, Faculté des sciences de Saint-Jérôme, Université Paul Cézanne (Aix-Marseille 3), 13397 Marseille Cédex 20, France

E-mail address: sylvie.monniaux@univ.u-3mrs.fr