# ON AN EQUIVALENT DEFINITION OF FREE ENTROPY

SERBAN TEODOR BELINSCHI

ABSTRACT. In his second paper on free entropy, Voiculescu suggested five other possible definitions of the entropy of an n-tuple of selfadjoint random variables. We show that two of them are equivalent to the original one.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be *n* selfadjoint random variables in the tracial  $W^*$ - probability space  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_k^{sa}$  the space of  $k \times k$  selfadjoint matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $\operatorname{Tr}_k$  be the (unnormalized) trace on  $\mathcal{M}_k^{sa}$ , and denote by  $\tau_k$  the normalized trace,  $\tau_k = \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Tr}_k$ . Then  $(\mathcal{M}_k^{sa})^n$  becomes a real Hilbert space with the norm

$$||(A_1,\ldots,A_n)||_2 = (\operatorname{Tr}_k(A_1^2 + \cdots + A_n^2))^{1/2}$$

Denote by  $\lambda$  the Lebesgue measure corresponding to this norm (i.e. the Lebesgue measure normalized so that a cube with edges of length one has measure equal to one).

Let  $\varepsilon > 0, m > 1, R > \max\{\|X_1\|, \dots, \|X_n\|\}$ , and let  $\Psi_{m,k} : (\mathcal{M}_k^{sa})^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be defined by

$$\Psi_{m,k}(A_1,\ldots,A_n) = \sum_{q=1}^m \sum_{1 \le i_1,\ldots,i_q \le n} |\tau_k(A_{i_1}\ldots A_{i_q}) - \tau(X_{i_1}\ldots X_{i_q})|.$$

Following Voiculescu's definition of microstate-based free entropy  $\chi(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ , let

$$\Gamma_R(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,k,\varepsilon) = \{ (A_1,\ldots,A_n) \in (\mathcal{M}_k^{sa})^n : \Psi_{m,k}(A_1,\ldots,A_n) < \varepsilon, \\ \|A_j\| \le R \}$$

$$\chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon) = \log \lambda(\Gamma_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon))$$
  

$$\chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, \varepsilon) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} (k^{-2} \chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon) + 2^{-1} n \log k)$$
  

$$\chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0, m \to \infty} \chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, \varepsilon)$$
  

$$\chi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sup_{R > 0} \chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Received November 19, 2002.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46L54; Secondary: 94A17.

In Section 7.1 of [3], two alternative quantities were defined by Voiculescu as follows. Let  $\mathcal{D}_{1,R}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,k,\varepsilon)$  be the set of probability densities f: $(\mathcal{M}_k^{sa})^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$  (i.e.  $\int f d\lambda = 1$ ) so that  $\int f(A_1,\ldots,A_n)\Psi_{m,k}(A_1,\ldots,A_n)d\lambda \leq \varepsilon$ , and  $f(A_1,\ldots,A_n) = 0$  unless  $||A_j|| \leq R$   $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ . Then

$$\chi_R^{(1)}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,k,\varepsilon) = \sup\left\{-\int f\log fd\lambda : f\in\mathcal{D}_{1,R}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,k,\varepsilon)\right\}.$$

 $\chi^{(1)}$  is now defined following the same steps as in the definition of  $\chi$ . One can also define  $\chi_{\infty}$  and  $\chi^{(1)}_{\infty}$  by setting  $R = \infty$  from the beginning, that is, by leaving out the conditions on the norms of the  $A_j$ 's. Since the characteristic function of  $\Gamma_R(X_1, \ldots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon)$  (properly normalized) belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_{1,R}(X_1, \ldots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon)$ , we conclude that

$$\chi_R^{(1)}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,k,\varepsilon) \ge \chi_R(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,k,\varepsilon).$$

It follows that  $\chi \leq \chi^{(1)}$ , and, likewise,  $\chi_{\infty} \leq \chi_{\infty}^{(1)}$ .

It has already been proved in [1] that  $\chi_{\infty} = \chi$ . In this paper we shall prove that  $\chi_{\infty}^{(1)} = \chi_{\infty}$ , which will obviously imply that  $\chi_{\infty}^{(1)} = \chi^{(1)} = \chi = \chi_{\infty}$ . As an immediate consequence, we obtain a new way to define free entropy replacing  $\chi_R(X_1, \ldots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon)$  by:

$$\chi_{\infty}^{(1)}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,k,\varepsilon) = \log \int e^{-s(\Psi_{m,k}(A_1,\ldots,A_n)-\varepsilon)} d\lambda,$$

and continuing as in the original definition. Here s is an appropriate positive number depending on  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, m, \varepsilon$  and k.

### 2. The main result

Given a probability density  $f : \mathbb{R}^p \to [0, +\infty)$ , i.e.  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^p} f(x) d\lambda(x) = 1$ , we denote by  $I(f) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^p} f(x) \log f(x) d\lambda(x)$  the classical entropy of f. A density of special interest is the Gaussian density  $G_{a^2}^{(p)}$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^p$  by  $G_{a^2}^{(p)}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi a^2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} e^{-\frac{\|x\|_2^2}{2a^2}}$ .

We will need the following extension of Shannon's classical inequality (see [2], pp. 55–57).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  be a set of positive Lebesgue measure, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. If  $\varphi$  is a positive continuous function on  $\Omega$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi \geq \varepsilon \lambda(\Omega)$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}([0,\varepsilon))$  is nonempty, and both  $e^{-\varphi}$  and  $\varphi^2 e^{-\varphi}$  are integrable on  $\Omega$ , then there is a number  $s \in (0,\infty)$  depending on  $\varphi$ , p, and  $\varepsilon$  such that M :=

$$\max\left\{I(f): f \ge 0, f = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \int_{\Omega} f(x) d\lambda(x) = 1, \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x) d\lambda(x) = \varepsilon\right\}$$

is attained for  $f = (\int_{\Omega} e^{-s\varphi(x)} d\lambda(x))^{-1} e^{-s\varphi}$  and equals  $\log \int_{\Omega} e^{-s(\varphi(x)-\varepsilon)} d\lambda$ .

Proof. For each s > 0, let  $f_s(x) = (\int_{\Omega} e^{-s\varphi(y)} d\lambda(y))^{-1} e^{-s\varphi(x)}$ . Consider the function  $h: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  given by  $h(s) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) f_s(x) d\lambda(x)$ . Since both  $e^{-\varphi}$  and  $\varphi^2 e^{-\varphi}$  are integrable on  $\Omega$ , we can differentiate under the integral sign, and a straightforward calculation shows that

$$h'(s) = -\int_{\Omega} \left(\varphi(x) - \int_{\Omega} \varphi(y) f_s(y) d\lambda(y)\right)^2 f_s(x) d\lambda(x),$$

so h'(s) < 0, We also have that

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} h(s) = \min_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x),$$

which is strictly less than  $\varepsilon$ , and  $\lim_{s\to 0} h(s)$  equals  $\frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \varphi$  if  $\lambda(\Omega) < \infty$ and infinity if  $\lambda(\Omega) = \infty$ , which are both greater than or equal to  $\varepsilon$ . Hence the existence of s is assured by the monotonicity of h. Moreover, since  $\varphi$  is nonconstant, s is unique with this property.

Let

$$g \in \left\{ f : f \ge 0, \int_{\Omega} f d\lambda = 1, \int_{\Omega} f \varphi d\lambda = \varepsilon \right\}.$$

We may assume that  $g(x) < \infty$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . If  $I(g) = -\infty$ , then it is obvious that  $I(f_s) > I(g)$ . So suppose that  $I(g) > -\infty$ . Consider the function  $\eta$ :  $[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\eta(t) = I((1-t)f_0 + tg)$ . This function is continuous on [0,1], differentiable on [0,1), and twice differentiable on (0,1). Moreover,  $\eta'(t) = -\int_{\Omega} (g - f_s)(\log((1-t)f_s + tg) + 1)$ , and  $\eta''(t) = -\int_{\Omega} \frac{(g - f_s)^2}{(1-t)f_s + tg}$ . (This follows immediatly from the dominated convergence theorem. Indeed,

$$|(g - f_s)(\log((1 - t)f_s + tg) + 1)| \le g + f_s + |(g - f_s)\log((1 - t)f_s + tg)|$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1)$ , and for any compact interval  $K \subset [0, 1)$ ,  $\sup_{t \in K} |(g - f_s) \log((1 - t)f_s + tg)|$  is integrable on  $\Omega$ . Also,

$$\left|\frac{(g-f_s)^2}{(1-t)f_s + tg}\right| \le \frac{1}{t}g + \frac{1}{1-t}f_s$$

for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ , and, of course,  $\sup_{t \in K} |\frac{1}{t}g + \frac{1}{1-t}f_s|$  is integrable on  $\Omega$  for any compact interval  $K \subset (0, 1)$ .)

By direct computation, we obtain that  $\eta'(0) = 0$ . Also,  $\eta'$  is continuous on [0,1), and  $\eta''(t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \in (0,1)$ , so the point t = 0 is a global maximum for  $\eta$ . This proves that  $I(f_s) = M$  and concludes the proof.

**Remark 2.2.** To see how this lemma extends Shannon's inequality, set  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\varphi(x) = ||x||_2^2$ . In this case the extremal density f is  $G_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}$  and  $I(G_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}) = 2^{-1}p\log(2\pi ep^{-1}\varepsilon)$ . Also note that for  $\lambda(\Omega) < +\infty$  and  $\varphi = \varepsilon$ , the extremal density is  $\frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)}\chi_{\Omega}$  and  $I(\frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)}\chi_{\Omega}) = \log \lambda(\Omega)$ .

Now we can prove the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be selfadjoint random variables in a tracial  $W^*$ -probability space  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Then

$$\chi_{\infty}(X_1,\ldots,X_n)=\chi_{\infty}^{(1)}(X_1,\ldots,X_n).$$

Proof. As noted in the introduction,  $\chi_{\infty}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \leq \chi_{\infty}^{(1)}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ , so it is enough to prove the opposite inequality. Let  $m \geq 2$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ be fixed. Let  $V_0 = \{(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in (\mathcal{M}_k^{sa})^n : \Psi_{m,k}(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \leq \varepsilon\}$ , and  $V_1 = (\mathcal{M}_k^{sa})^n \setminus V_0$ . Consider  $f_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\infty}(X_1, \ldots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon^2)$  such that  $I(f_0) =$  $\max\{I(f) : f \in \Gamma_{\infty}^{(1)}(X_1, \ldots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon^2)\}$ , and define  $f_0^{(i)} = c_i^{-1} f_0 \chi_{V_i}$ , where  $c_i = \int_{V_i} f, i = 0, 1$  depend on  $X_1, \ldots, X_n, m, k$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Then we have:

$$\varepsilon^2 \ge \int f_0 \Psi_{m,k} \ge \int_{V_1} f_0 \Psi_{m,k} \ge \varepsilon \int_{V_1} f_0 = \varepsilon c_1,$$

so  $c_1 \leq \varepsilon$ , and  $c_0 \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ .

Since  $f_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\infty}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,k,\varepsilon^2)$ ,

$$\int f_0^{(1)} \Psi_{m,k} = \left( \int_{V_1} f_0 \right)^{-1} \int_{V_1} f_0 \Psi_{m,k} < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{c_1},$$

which implies that  $f_0^{(1)} \in \mathcal{D}_{1,\infty}(X_1, \ldots, X_n; m, k, \frac{\varepsilon^2}{c_1})$ . Now,

$$\begin{split} I(f_0) &= c_0 I(f_0^{(0)}) + c_1 I(f_0^{(1)}) - c_0 \log c_0 - c_1 \log c_1 \\ &\leq c_0 I\left(\frac{1}{|V_0|}\chi_{V_0}\right) + c_1 I(G_{k(C^2 + n\varepsilon^2/c_1)}^{(nk^2)}) - c_0 \log c_0 - c_1 \log c_1 \\ &= c_0\chi_{\infty}(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon) + c_1 \frac{nk^2}{2} \left(\log \frac{2\pi e(C^2 + n\varepsilon^2/c_1)}{n} - \log k\right) \\ (1) &\quad -c_0 \log c_0 - c_1 \log c_1, \end{split}$$

where  $C^2 = \tau (X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2)$ . Here we used Lemma 2.1 in the last inequality. Dividing by  $k^2$  and adding  $\frac{n}{2} \log k$  in (1), we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{k^2} I(f_0) + \frac{n}{2} \log k \leq c_0 \left( \frac{1}{k^2} \chi_{\infty}(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon) + \frac{n}{2} \log k \right) \\ + c_1 \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{2\pi e(C^2 + n\varepsilon^2/c_1)}{n} - \frac{1}{k^2} (c_0 \log c_0 + c_1 \log c_1)$$

As  $k \to \infty$ , this yields

$$\chi_{\infty}^{(1)}(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, \varepsilon^2) \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} c_0 \left( \frac{1}{k^2} \chi_{\infty}(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon) + \frac{n}{2} \log k \right)$$
$$+ \limsup_{k \to \infty} c_1 \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{2\pi e(C^2 + n\varepsilon^2/c_1)}{n}$$

Since  $\lim_{t\to 0, t>0} t \log \frac{2\pi e(C^2 + n\varepsilon^2/t)}{n} = 0$ , the function

$$s(\varepsilon) := \sup\left\{ t \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{2\pi e(C^2 + n\varepsilon^2/t)}{n} : t \in (0, \varepsilon] \right\}$$

does not depend on k and m and tends to zero as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

Hence

$$\chi_{\infty}^{(1)}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,\varepsilon^2) \le \limsup_{k\to\infty} c_0 \left(\frac{1}{k^2}\chi_{\infty}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,k,\varepsilon) + \frac{n}{2}\log k\right) + s(\varepsilon)$$

On the other hand, since  $1 \ge c_0 \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ , we have that

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} c_0 \left( \frac{1}{k^2} \chi_{\infty}(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, k, \varepsilon) + \frac{n}{2} \log k \right) \le \max\left\{ (1 - \varepsilon) \chi_{\infty}(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, \varepsilon), \chi_{\infty}(X_1, \dots, X_n; m, \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

This allows us to conclude that

$$\chi_{\infty}^{(1)}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,\varepsilon^2) \le \max\left\{(1-\varepsilon)\chi_{\infty}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,\varepsilon),\chi_{\infty}(X_1,\ldots,X_n;m,\varepsilon)\right\} + s(\varepsilon).$$

Taking limits when  $m \to \infty$  and  $\varepsilon \to 0$  in the previous inequality, we obtain

$$\chi_{\infty}^{(1)}(X_1,\ldots,X_n)=\chi_{\infty}(X_1,\ldots,X_n).$$

#### Acknowledgement

I wish to thank my advisor Professor Hari Bercovici for the constant help that made this paper possible.

## References

- [1] S. T. Belinschi, H. Bercovici, A property of free entropy, to appear in Pacific J. Math.
- [2] C. E. Shannon, The Mathematical Theory of Communication, The University of Illinois Press, Urbana, IL, 1949.
- [3] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory. II, Invent. Math. 118 (1994), 411-440.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47405, U.S.A. *E-mail address:* sbelinsc@indiana.edu

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ROMANIAN ACADEMY, P.O. BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST, RO-70700, ROMANIA.