

A NOTE ON THE GUROV-RESHETNYAK CONDITION

A. A. KORENOVSKYY, A. K. LERNER, AND A. M. STOKOLOS

ABSTRACT. An equivalence between the Gurov-Reshetnyak $GR(\varepsilon)$ and Muckenhoupt A_∞ conditions is established. Our proof is extremely simple and works for arbitrary absolutely continuous measures.

Throughout the paper, μ will be a positive measure on \mathbb{R}^n absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Denote

$$\Omega_\mu(f; Q) = \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q |f(x) - f_{Q,\mu}| d\mu(x), \quad f_{Q,\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q f(x) d\mu(x).$$

Definition 1. We say that a nonnegative function f , μ -integrable on a cube Q_0 , satisfies the Gurov-Reshetnyak condition $GR_\mu(\varepsilon)$, $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, if for any cube $Q \subset Q_0$,

$$(1) \quad \Omega_\mu(f; Q) \leq \varepsilon f_{Q,\mu}.$$

When μ is Lebesgue measure we drop the subscript μ .

This condition appeared in [6, 7]. It is important in Quasi-Conformal Mappings, PDEs, Reverse Hölder Inequality Theory, etc. (see, e.g., [2, 8]). Since (1) trivially holds for all positive $f \in L_\mu(Q_0)$ if $\varepsilon = 2$, only the case $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ is of interest. It was established in [2, 6, 7, 8, 13] for Lebesgue measure and in [4, 5] for doubling measures that if ε is small enough, namely $0 < \varepsilon < c2^{-n}$, the $GR_\mu(\varepsilon)$ implies $f \in L_\mu^p(Q_0)$ with some $p > 1$ depending on ε . The machinery used in the articles mentioned above does not work for $\varepsilon > 1/8$ even in the one-dimensional case.

The one-dimensional improvement of these results was done in [9]. Namely, for any $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ it was proved that $GR(\varepsilon) \subset L_{loc}^p$ where $1 < p < p(\varepsilon)$; moreover a sharp bound $p(\varepsilon)$ for the exponent was discovered. The main tool in [9] is the Riesz Sunrising Lemma which has no multidimensional version since it involves the structure of open sets on a real line.

In the present article using simple arguments we prove that for any $n \geq 1$, $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ and arbitrary absolutely continuous measure μ , the Gurov-Reshetnyak condition $GR_\mu(\varepsilon)$ implies the weighted $A_\infty(\mu)$ Muckenhoupt condition. And conversely, $A_\infty(\mu)$ implies $GR_\mu(\varepsilon_0)$ for some $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 2$.

Received April 20, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B25.

The first author was partially supported by Ukrainian Foundation of Fundamental Research Grant F7/329 - 2001.

In the non-weighted (or doubling) case R.R. Coifman and C. Fefferman [3] have found several equivalent descriptions of the A_∞ property. Recently these descriptions have been transferred by J. Oröbitg and C. Perez [12] to the non-doubling case. For our purposes it will be convenient to define $A_\infty(\mu)$ by the following way.

Definition 2. We say that a nonnegative function f , μ -integrable on a cube Q_0 , satisfies Muckenhoupt condition $A_\infty(\mu)$ if there exist $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ such that for any cube $Q \subset Q_0$,

$$\mu\{x \in Q : f(x) > \beta f_{Q,\mu}\} > \alpha\mu(Q).$$

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.

- (i) Suppose that for some $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ nonnegative function f satisfies the inequality

$$\Omega_\mu(f; Q) \leq \varepsilon f_{Q,\mu}.$$

Then, for $\varepsilon < \lambda < 2$ we have

$$\mu\{x \in Q : f(x) > (1 - \varepsilon/\lambda)f_{Q,\mu}\} \geq (1 - \lambda/2)\mu(Q);$$

- (ii) Suppose that for some $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ nonnegative function f satisfies the inequality

$$\mu\{x \in Q : f(x) > \beta f_{Q,\mu}\} > \alpha\mu(Q).$$

Then

$$\Omega_\mu(f; Q) \leq 2(1 - \alpha\beta)f_{Q,\mu}.$$

Proof. Set $E = \{x \in Q : f(x) > (1 - \varepsilon/\lambda)f_{Q,\mu}\}$, $E^c = Q \setminus E$. Suppose that $\mu(E^c) > 0$ (otherwise part (i) is trivial). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda}f_{Q,\mu} &\leq \inf_{x \in E^c} (f_{Q,\mu} - f(x)) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(E^c)} \int_{E^c} (f_{Q,\mu} - f(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(E^c)} \int_{\{x \in Q : f(x) < f_{Q,\mu}\}} (f_{Q,\mu} - f(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(E^c)} \frac{\mu(Q)}{2} \Omega_\mu(f; Q) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(E^c)} \frac{\mu(Q)}{2} \varepsilon f_{Q,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mu(E^c) \leq (\lambda/2)\mu(Q)$, as required.

To prove the second part, set $E = \{x \in Q : f(x) > \beta f_{Q,\mu}\}$, $E^c = Q \setminus E$. Then $\mu(E^c) \leq (1 - \alpha)\mu(Q)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\mu(f; Q) &= \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} \int_{\{x \in Q: f(x) < f_{Q,\mu}\}} (f_{Q,\mu} - f(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} \int_{\{x \in Q: \beta f_{Q,\mu} < f(x) < f_{Q,\mu}\}} (f_{Q,\mu} - f(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} \int_{E^c} (f_{Q,\mu} - f(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} f_{Q,\mu} \left((1 - \beta)\mu(E) + \mu(E^c) \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} f_{Q,\mu} \left((1 - \beta)\mu(Q) + \beta\mu(E^c) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} f_{Q,\mu} \left((1 - \beta)\mu(Q) + \beta(1 - \alpha)\mu(Q) \right) = 2(1 - \alpha\beta) f_{Q,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved. □

Corollary. The following characterization of $A_\infty(\mu)$ holds:

$$A_\infty(\mu) = \bigcup_{0 < \varepsilon < 2} GR_\mu(\varepsilon).$$

Since $A_\infty(\mu)$ condition is equivalent to the weighted reverse Hölder inequality for some $p > 1$ (cf. [12]), i.e.

$$(2) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q (f(x))^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \leq c \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q f(x) d\mu(x) \quad (Q \subset Q_0),$$

we see that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ a function f satisfying the Gurov-Reshetnyak condition $GR_\mu(\varepsilon)$ belongs to $L^p_\mu(Q_0)$ for some $p > 1$. Observe that such approach (i.e. $GR_\mu(\varepsilon) \Rightarrow A_\infty(\mu) \Rightarrow$ Reverse Hölder) does not give the optimal order of integrability for small ε , though it is known [2, 4] in doubling case that $GR_\mu(\varepsilon) \subset L^{p(\varepsilon)}_\mu(Q_0)$, where $p(\varepsilon) \asymp c_n/\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and this order is sharp. However we will show that part (i) of Theorem 1 allows us to obtain the same order for any measure μ , any $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, and $f \in GR_\mu(\varepsilon)$. We will need the following.

Covering Lemma [10]. *Let E be a subset of Q_0 , and suppose that $\mu(E) \leq \rho\mu(Q_0)$, $0 < \rho < 1$. Then there exists a sequence $\{Q_i\}$ of cubes contained in Q_0 such that*

- (i) $\mu(Q_i \cap E) = \rho\mu(Q_i)$;
- (ii) the family $\{Q_i\}$ is almost disjoint with constant $B(n)$, that is, every point of Q_0 belongs to at most $B(n)$ cubes Q_i ;
- (iii) $E' \subset \cup_j Q_j$, where E' is the set of μ -density points of E .

Recall that the non-increasing rearrangement of f on a cube Q_0 with respect to μ is defined by

$$f_\mu^*(t) = \sup_{E \subset Q_0; \mu(E)=t} \inf_{x \in E} |f(x)| \quad (0 < t < \mu(Q_0)).$$

Denote $f_\mu^{**}(t) = t^{-1} \int_0^t f_\mu^*(\tau) d\tau$.

Theorem 2. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, and $f \in GR_\mu(\varepsilon)$. Then for $\varepsilon < \lambda < 2$, $\rho < 1 - \lambda/2$, and $t \leq \rho\mu(Q_0)$ we have

$$(3) \quad f_\mu^{**}(t) \leq \left(B(n) \frac{\lambda/\rho + 1}{\lambda - \varepsilon} \varepsilon + 1 \right) f_\mu^*(t).$$

Remark. A well-known argument due to Muckenhoupt [11, Lemma 4] shows that (3) implies the reverse Hölder inequality (2) for all $p < 1 + \left(\frac{\lambda - \varepsilon}{B(n)(\lambda/\rho + 1)} \right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Set $E = \{x \in Q_0 : f(x) > f_\mu^*(t)\}$, and apply the Covering Lemma to E and number ρ . We get cubes $Q_i \subset Q_0$, satisfying (i)-(iii). Since $\rho < 1 - \lambda/2$, we obtain from (i) that for each Q_i ,

$$(f\chi_{Q_i})_\mu^*((1 - \lambda/2)\mu(Q_i)) \leq f_\mu^*(t).$$

Hence, by Theorem 1,

$$(4) \quad f_{Q_i, \mu} \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \varepsilon} (f\chi_{Q_i})_\mu^*((1 - \lambda/2)\mu(Q_i)) \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \varepsilon} f_\mu^*(t),$$

and so,

$$(5) \quad \Omega_\mu(f; Q_i) \leq \frac{\varepsilon\lambda}{\lambda - \varepsilon} f_\mu^*(t).$$

Further, by (ii),

$$\sum_i \mu(Q_i \cap E) \leq B(n)\mu(E) \leq B(n)t.$$

Therefore, using a well-known property of rearrangement (see, e.g. [1]) and (4), (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t(f_\mu^{**}(t) - f_\mu^*(t)) &= \int_E (f(x) - f_\mu^*(t)) d\mu(x) = \sum_i \int_{E \cap Q_i} (f(x) - f_\mu^*(t)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_i \int_{E \cap Q_i} (f(x) - f_{Q_i, \mu}) d\mu(x) \\ &+ \sum_i \mu(E \cap Q_i) (f_{Q_i, \mu} - f_\mu^*(t)) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon\lambda}{\lambda - \varepsilon} f_\mu^*(t) \sum_i \mu(Q_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda - \varepsilon} f_\mu^*(t) \sum_i \mu(E \cap Q_i) \\ &\leq B(n) \frac{\lambda/\rho + 1}{\lambda - \varepsilon} \varepsilon t f_\mu^*(t), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result. □

References

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 129. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [2] B. Bojarski, *Remarks on the stability of reverse Hölder inequalities and quasi-conformal mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **10** (1985), 89–94.
- [3] R. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math. **15** (1974), 241–250.
- [4] M. Franciosi, *Weighted rearrangements and higher integrability results*, Studia Math. **92** (1989), 131–139.
- [5] ———, *On weak reverse integral inequalities for mean oscillations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991), 105–112.
- [6] L. G. Gurov, *The stability of Lorentz transformations. Estimates for the derivatives*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **220** (1975), 273–276.
- [7] L. G. Gurov and Yu. G. Reshetnyak, *A certain analogue of the concept of a function with bounded mean oscillation*, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **17** (1976), 540–546.
- [8] T. Iwaniec, *On L^p -integrability in PDEs and quasiregular mappings for large exponents*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **7** (1982), 301–322.
- [9] A. A. Korenovskii, *The connection between mean oscillations and exact exponents of summability of functions*, (Russian) Mat. Sb. **181** (1990), 1721–1727; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. **71** (1992), 561–567.
- [10] J. Mateu, P. Mattila, A. Nicolau, and J. Orobitg, *BMO for nondoubling measures*, Duke Math. J. **102** (2000), 533–565.
- [11] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [12] J. Orobitg and C. Perez, *A_p weights for nondoubling measures in R^n and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 2013–2033.
- [13] I. Wik, *Note on a theorem by Reshetnyak-Gurov*, Studia Math. **86** (1987), 287–290.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, IMEM, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF ODESSA, DVORYANSKAYA, 2, 65026 ODESSA, UKRAINE.

E-mail address: anakor@paco.net

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, 52900 RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL.

E-mail address: aklerner@netvision.net.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, U-9, STORRS, CT 06268, U.S.A.

E-mail address: stokolos@math.uconn.edu