

FIELDS GALOIS-EQUIVALENT TO A LOCAL FIELD OF POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

IDO EFRAT AND IVAN FESENKO

Introduction

A celebrated theorem of Artin and Schreier [AS] characterizes the fields K whose absolute Galois group G_K is isomorphic to that of \mathbb{R} as the real closed fields. In the present paper we consider the analogous problem for non-archimedean local fields of positive characteristic $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}((t))$. We show that a field K with absolute Galois group isomorphic to G_F possesses a Henselian valuation v such that:

- (1) the value group Γ of v satisfies $\Gamma/l \cong \mathbb{Z}/l$ for all prime numbers $l \neq p$;
- (2) the residue field \bar{K} of v has characteristic p ;
- (3) the maximal prime to p Galois group $G_{\bar{K}}(p')$ of \bar{K} is $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}_p$;
- (4) if $\text{char } K = 0$ then $\Gamma = p\Gamma$ and \bar{K} is perfect.

For every positive integer r we construct such fields K of characteristic p with $\Gamma/p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$. Likewise we construct examples with $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $G_{\bar{K}} \not\cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and \bar{K} imperfect.

The similar problem for p -adic fields was answered by Koenigsmann [Kn] and the first named author [E1] (for $p \neq 2$), extending earlier results by Neukirch [N2] and Pop [P1]: the fields K such that $G_K \cong G_F$ for some finite extension F of \mathbb{Q}_p are precisely the p -adically closed fields in the sense of [PR].

Notation

We denote the algebraic, separable, and inseparable closures of a field K by \tilde{K} , K_{sep} , and K_{ins} , respectively. For a positive integer m with $\text{char } K \nmid m$ let μ_m be the group of roots of unity of order dividing m in \tilde{K} . For a prime l with $l \neq \text{char } K$ let $\mu_{l^\infty} = \varinjlim_r \mu_{l^r}$. Given a profinite group G and a prime number l , denote the quotient $\varprojlim_r G/N$, where N ranges over all open normal subgroups of G with G/N abelian (resp., of l -power order, of order prime to l) by $G(\text{ab})$ (resp., $G(l)$, $G(l')$). We define $G(\text{ab}, l)$, $G(\text{ab}, l')$ similarly. For a (Krull) valuation v on K let Γ_v , O_v , and \bar{K}_v be the corresponding value group, valuation ring, and residue field, respectively.

Received February 11, 1999.

Research supported by EPSRC grant GR/M08356.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 11S20, secondary 12J10

1. Galois groups of Henselian fields

We first recall several basic facts about the structure of the decomposition group of (K, v) relative to K_{sep} (see e.g. [Ed], [P2, §1] or [E1, §1] for more details and proofs). For simplicity we assume here that v is Henselian, i.e., the decomposition group is G_K . Let K_{ur} and K_{tr} be the maximal unramified and maximal tamely ramified Galois extensions of (K, v) , respectively. If $p = \text{char } \bar{K}_v > 0$ then $G_{K_{\text{tr}}}$ is the unique p -Sylow subgroup of $G_{K_{\text{ur}}}$. If $\text{char } \bar{K}_v = 0$ then $G_{K_{\text{tr}}} = 1$. There are natural short exact sequences

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K_{\text{ur}}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K) \rightarrow G_{\bar{K}_v} \rightarrow 1$$

$$1 \rightarrow G_{K_{\text{tr}}} \rightarrow G_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K) \rightarrow 1$$

which are split, by [N1] and [KPR], respectively. For a prime number l let $\delta_l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l} \Gamma_v/l$. Then $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K_{\text{ur}}) \cong \prod_{l \neq \text{char } \bar{K}_v} (\varprojlim_{\tau} \mu_{l^r})^{\delta_l}$ as $G_{\bar{K}_v}$ -modules. In particular, if $\Gamma_v \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{char } \bar{K}_v = p > 0$ then $\delta_l = 1$ for all primes l , so the $G_{\bar{K}_v}$ -module $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K_{\text{ur}})$ is $\hat{\mu} = \varprojlim_{(m,p)=1} \mu_m$, and $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K) \cong \hat{\mu} \rtimes G_{\bar{K}_v}$ with the Galois action.

The analogous result for the maximal pro- l Galois group $G_K(l)$ of K is the following: If $l \neq \text{char } \bar{K}_v$ is prime and $\mu_l \subseteq K$ then $G_K(l) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l^{\delta_l} \rtimes G_{\bar{K}_v}(l)$, where $\sigma \in G_{\bar{K}_v}(l)$ acts on $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_l^{\delta_l}$ according to $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \chi_{\bar{K}_v, l}(\sigma)\tau$; here $\chi_{\bar{K}_v, l}: G_{\bar{K}_v}(l) \rightarrow 1 + l\mathbb{Z}_l$ is the pro- l cyclotomic character of \bar{K}_v , induced by the restriction homomorphism $G_{\bar{K}_v}(l) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_{l^\infty}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l^\times$.

Now fix a prime number p . Given a pro- p group H and a cardinal number c let $F_p(H; c)$ be the free H -operator pro- p group on c generators, in the sense of [K1], [MSh]. The following is a modest generalization of the main result of [MSh] (which treats Laurent series fields).

Theorem 1.1. *Let (K, v) be a Henselian discretely valued field of characteristic p and let $c = \max\{\aleph_0, |\bar{K}_v|\}$. Then $G_{K_{\text{tr}}} \cong F_p(\text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K); c)$ as $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K)$ -operator pro- p groups; in particular, $G_K \cong F_p(\text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K); c) \rtimes \text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K)$ with the canonical action.*

When $k = \bar{K}_v$ is perfect, this theorem can be proven using precisely the same argument as in [MSh, Th. 1]. We therefore omit the details. When k is not perfect, one can prove it as follows: Let u be the unique prolongation of v to $L = k_{\text{ins}}K$. The restriction $G_L \rightarrow G_K$ is an isomorphism mapping $G_{L_{\text{ur}}}$, $G_{L_{\text{tr}}}$ onto $G_{K_{\text{ur}}}$, $G_{K_{\text{tr}}}$, respectively. By the result for perfect residue fields, $G_{L_{\text{tr}}} \cong F_p(\text{Gal}(L_{\text{tr}}/L); c)$ as $\text{Gal}(L_{\text{tr}}/L)$ -operator groups. It follows that $G_{K_{\text{tr}}} \cong F_p(\text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K); c)$ as $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{tr}}/K)$ -operator groups, as desired.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $(K_1, v_1), (K_2, v_2)$ be Henselian discretely valued fields of characteristic p , and let \bar{K}_1, \bar{K}_2 be the corresponding residue fields. Suppose that*

$G_{\bar{K}_1} \cong G_{\bar{K}_2}$, that this isomorphism is compatible with the Galois actions on the roots of unity, and that $\max\{\aleph_0, |\bar{K}_1|\} = \max\{\aleph_0, |\bar{K}_2|\}$. Then $G_{K_1} \cong G_{K_2}$.

Proof. We have $\text{Gal}(K_{1,\text{tr}}/K_1) \cong \hat{\mu} \rtimes G_{\bar{K}_1} \cong \hat{\mu} \rtimes G_{\bar{K}_2} \cong \text{Gal}(K_{2,\text{tr}}/K_2)$ with the Galois actions. Now apply Theorem 1.1. \square

Proposition 1.3. *Let (K, v) be a Henselian discretely valued field of characteristic p . Suppose that $|K| = \max\{\aleph_0, |\bar{K}_v|\}$. Let L be a maximal totally tamely ramified extension of (K, v) . Let (E, u) be a Henselian discretely valued field of characteristic p with $\bar{E}_u = L$. Then $G_E \cong G_K$.*

Proof. Let $c = |K| = \max\{\aleph_0, |\bar{K}_v|\}$, let $H = \hat{\mu} \rtimes G_{\bar{K}_v}$ with the Galois action, and let $V = F_p(H; c)$. By Theorem 1.1, $V \cong G_{K_{\text{tr}}}$ and $G_K \cong V \rtimes H$. Since $\tilde{F}_p \subseteq K_{\text{tr}}$, the Galois action of V on $\hat{\mu}$ is trivial. Further, the unique prolongation of v to L has residue field \bar{K}_v . Hence $G_L \cong V \rtimes G_{\bar{K}_v}$, and this isomorphism is compatible with the Galois action on $\hat{\mu}$. Therefore

$$\text{Gal}(E_{\text{tr}}/E) \cong \hat{\mu} \rtimes G_L \cong \hat{\mu} \rtimes (V \rtimes G_{\bar{K}_v}) \cong V \rtimes (\hat{\mu} \rtimes G_{\bar{K}_v}) = V \rtimes H.$$

Now from [MSh, §1, Prop. 1] we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} F_p(V \rtimes H; c) \rtimes (V \rtimes H) &\cong (F_p(H; c) * V) \rtimes H \\ &= (F_p(H; c) * F_p(H; c)) \rtimes H \cong F_p(H; c) \rtimes H = V \rtimes H, \end{aligned}$$

where $*$ denotes free pro- p product. Since $|L| = |K|$, from Theorem 1.1 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} G_E \cong F_p(\text{Gal}(E_{\text{tr}}/E); c) \rtimes \text{Gal}(E_{\text{tr}}/E) &\cong \\ &F_p(V \rtimes H; c) \rtimes (V \rtimes H) \cong V \rtimes H \cong G_K. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

2. Existence of Henselian valuations

Let $K_2^M(E)$ be the second Milnor K -group of the field E , and let $\{\cdot, \cdot\}: E^\times \times E^\times \rightarrow K_2^M(E)$ be the natural symbolic map. The following theorem combines powerful constructions of Ware [Wr], Arason–Elman–Jacob [AEJ] (for $l = 2$), and Hwang–Jacob [HJ] (for $l \neq 2$); see also [E3] and [Kn].

Theorem 2.1. *Let l be a prime number, let E be a field of characteristic $\neq l$, let T be a subgroup of E^\times containing $(E^\times)^l$ and -1 . Suppose that:*

- (i) *For every $x, y \in E^\times$ which are \mathbb{F}_l -linearly independent in E^\times/T one has $\{x, y\} \neq 0$ in $K_2^M(E)$;*
- (ii) *For every $x \in E^\times \setminus T$ and $y \in T \setminus (E^\times)^l$ one has $\{x, y\} \neq 0$ in $K_2^M(E)$.*

Then there exists a valuation u on E such that $(\Gamma_u : l\Gamma_u) \geq (E^\times : T)/l$ and $u(l) \neq 0$. Furthermore, if $\bar{E}_u = \bar{E}_u^l$ then $(\Gamma_u : l\Gamma_u) \geq (E^\times : T)$.

The **rank** of a profinite group is the minimal number (possibly ∞) of topological generators of it.

Proposition 2.2. [E1, Prop. 2.1] *Let l be a prime number and let (E, u) be a valued field such that $\text{char } \bar{E}_u \neq l$ and $G_{\bar{E}_u}(l)$ is infinite. Suppose that*

$$\sup_M \text{rank } G_M(l) < \infty,$$

where M ranges over all finite separable extensions of E . Then (E, u) is Henselian.

Combining the previous two facts, we obtain the following result (which is essentially proven in [E1] for $l = 2$).

Proposition 2.3. *Let l, p be distinct prime numbers and let K be a field of characteristic $\neq l$. Let E_0 be a finite extension of K containing μ_l and containing $\sqrt{-1}$ if $l = 2$. Suppose that for every finite separable extension E of E_0 one has*

$$G_E(l) \cong \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^{p^s} \rangle_{\text{pro-}l},$$

for some $s = s(E) \geq 1$ such that $p^s \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$. Then there exists a Henselian valuation v on K such that $\Gamma_v/l \cong \mathbb{Z}/l$, $\text{char } \bar{K}_v \neq l$, and \bar{K}_v is not algebraically closed.

Proof. For E as above denote $H^i(E) = H^i(G_E(l), \mathbb{Z}/l)$. We consider the cup product $H^1(E) \times H^1(E) \rightarrow H^2(E)$. Let φ_1, φ_2 be an \mathbb{F}_l -linear basis of $H^1(E)$ which is dual to the basis of $G_E(l)/G_E(l)^l[G_E(l), G_E(l)]$ consisting of the images of σ and τ . From the defining relation $\tau^{p^s-1}[\tau, \sigma] = 1$ of $G_E(l)$ we deduce that $\varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2 \neq 0$ [K2, §7.8]. Furthermore, when $l \neq 2$ one has $\varphi_1 \cup \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \cup \varphi_2 = 0$ by the anti-commutativity of \cup . When $l = 2$ we may identify $\varphi_i \cup \varphi_i$ with the class of a quaternion algebra $(a_i, a_i/E)$ in the Brauer group $\text{Br}(E)$; here $a_i(E^\times)^2$ corresponds to φ_i under the Kummer isomorphism $E^\times/(E^\times)^2 \cong H^1(E)$. Since $(a_i, a_i/E) = (a_i, -1/E)$ in $\text{Br}(E)$ and $\sqrt{-1} \in E$ we obtain that $\varphi_i \cup \varphi_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, in this case as well. Consequently, $H^2(E) \cong \wedge^2 H^1(E)$.

By the Kummer theory and the Merkur'ev-Suslin theorem [MSu], this implies that $K_2^M(E)/l \cong \wedge^2(E^\times/l)$ naturally. Hence (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 hold for $T = (E^\times)^l$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l}(E^\times/(E^\times)^l) = \text{rank } G_E(l) = 2$, Theorem 2.1 therefore gives rise to a valuation u on E such that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l}(\Gamma_u/l) \geq 1$ and $\text{char } \bar{E}_u \neq l$.

Furthermore, if $\bar{E}_u = \bar{E}_u^l$ then $\delta_l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l}(\Gamma_u/l) \geq 2$ by the last statement of Theorem 2.1. From the discussion in §1 this would imply $\mathbb{Z}_l^2 \leq G_E(l)$, which is not the case [E1, Lemma 4.1]. We conclude that $G_{\bar{E}_u}(l) \neq 1$. This implies that the latter group is in fact infinite ([B]; note that when $l = 2$, $\sqrt{-1} \in \bar{E}_u$). Proposition 2.2 therefore shows that (E, u) is Henselian.

Now take $E = E_0$, let u be as above, and let $v = \text{Res}_K u$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l}(\Gamma_v/l) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l}(\Gamma_u/l) \geq 1$ [E1, Lemma 1.2] and $\text{char } \bar{K}_v \neq l$. Since the finite extension \bar{E}_u of \bar{K}_v is not algebraically closed, neither is \bar{K}_v . Also, Henselianity goes down in finite extensions, provided that the upper residue field is not separably closed [Eg, Cor. 3.5]. Therefore (K, v) is Henselian. \square

Valuations v, v' are called **comparable** if one of $O_v, O_{v'}$ contains the other.

Proposition 2.4. (Endler–Engler [EE, Prop.]) *Let v, v' be valuations on a field K . Suppose that v is Henselian and that $\bar{K}_{v'}$ is not algebraically closed. Then v, v' are comparable.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(L, w)/(K, v)$ be a Galois extension of Henselian valued fields of degree n . Suppose that the norm homomorphism $N_{L/K}: L^\times \rightarrow K^\times$ is surjective. Then $(\Gamma_v : n\Gamma_v) = (\Gamma_w : \Gamma_v)$.*

Proof. By the Henselianity, one has a well-defined commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^\times & \xrightarrow{w} & \Gamma_w \\ N_{L/K} \downarrow & & n \downarrow \\ K^\times & \xrightarrow{v} & \Gamma_v \end{array}$$

By assumption, the left vertical map is surjective. Hence so is the right vertical map; i.e., $\Gamma_v = n\Gamma_w$. By [E1, Lemma 1.2] again,

$$(\Gamma_v : n\Gamma_v) = (\Gamma_w : n\Gamma_w) = (\Gamma_w : \Gamma_v). \quad \square$$

3. The absolute Galois group of a local field of characteristic p

From now on we fix a local field $F = \mathbb{F}_q((t))$ of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $G = G_F, T = G_{F_{\text{ur}}}$, and $V = G_{F_{\text{tr}}}$, taken with respect to the canonical discrete valuation on F . The group structure of G was described by Koch [K1] (and follows from the general considerations in §1); namely:

- (i) $G = V \rtimes (G/V)$;
- (ii) $T/V \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}_p$;
- (iii) $G/T \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$;
- (iv) $G/V \cong (T/V) \rtimes (G/T)$, where a generator σ of G/T acts on a generator τ of T/V according to the Hasse–Iwasawa relation $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^q$;
- (v) $V \cong F_p(G/V; \aleph_0)$; in particular, V is a free pro- p group on countably many generators [MSh, §1, Lemma 4].

Proposition 3.1.

- (a) T/V intersects non-trivially every non-trivial normal closed subgroup of G/V .
- (b) T intersects non-trivially every non-trivial normal closed subgroup of G .

Proof. (a) We need to show that if L is a Galois extension of F such that $LF_{\text{ur}} = F_{\text{tr}}$ then $L = F_{\text{tr}}$. To this end, denote $L' = L \cap F_{\text{ur}}$. Then $\text{Gal}(F_{\text{tr}}/L') \cong \text{Gal}(F_{\text{tr}}/F_{\text{ur}}) \times \text{Gal}(F_{\text{ur}}/L')$. In particular, $\text{Gal}(F_{\text{tr}}/L')$ is abelian, by (ii) and (iii) above. For each positive integer m which is prime to p choose $t_m \in F_{\text{tr}}$ such that $t_m^m = t$. The abelianity implies that $L'(t_m)/L'$ is normal. Since L'/F is unramified it follows that $\mu_m \subseteq L'$. Conclude that $F_{\text{ur}} = \bigcup_{(m,p)=1} F(\mu_m) \subseteq L'$, whence $L = LF_{\text{ur}} = F_{\text{tr}}$.

(b) follows from (a). □

Lemma 3.2. *Let E be a totally ramified extension of F of prime degree l and let σ be a generator of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$. Let v be the canonical valuation on E and let π be a prime element of E . Let s be the maximal integer such that the s th ramification group of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ is non-trivial. Then:*

- (a) $v((\sigma - 1)(\pi^n)) = s + n$ for every integer n relatively prime to pl ;
- (b) $E/(F + \wp(E))$ is infinite.

Proof. (a) When $l = p$ this is proven in [FV, Ch. III, (1.4)]. Suppose $l \neq p$. Then $s = 0$ [FV, Ch. II, §4.4, Cor. 1] and $\sigma(\pi) = \zeta\pi$ for a primitive l th root of unity ζ . Hence $(\sigma - 1)(\pi^n) = (\zeta^n - 1)\pi^n$. It remains to observe that $v(\zeta^n - 1) = 0$.

(b) In all cases except $l = p = 2$ let I be the set of all integers n such that $n < -s$ and $(pl, n(s + n)) = 1$. When $l = p = 2$ let I be the set of all integers n such that $2 \nmid n$ and $4 \nmid s + n < 0$. Using again [FV, Ch. II, §4.4, Cor. 1] we see that I is always infinite.

We claim that the elements π^n , where $n \in I$, are distinct modulo $F + \wp(E)$. Indeed, suppose that $\pi^n - \pi^{n'} = y + \wp(x)$, with $y \in F$, $x \in E$, $n, n' \in I$, and $n < n'$. By (a),

$$0 > s + n = v((\sigma - 1)(\pi^n - \pi^{n'})) = v(\wp((\sigma - 1)(x))).$$

However, negative elements of $v(\wp(E))$ are divisible by p . Thus, we get a contradiction in all cases except $l = p = 2$.

In the remaining case $l = p = 2$ we obtain $v(x) < 0$ and hence $v((\sigma - 1)(\wp(x))) = 2v((\sigma - 1)(x))$. Since π is a primitive element for the extension E/F , we can write $x = c_0 + c_1\pi$ with $c_0, c_1 \in F$. Then

$$v((\sigma - 1)(x)) = v(c_1) + v((\sigma - 1)(\pi)) = v(c_1) + s + 1,$$

by (a). But $2|v(c_1)$ and $2 \nmid s$ [FV, Ch. III, Prop. 2.3], so $v((\sigma - 1)(x))$ is even. We conclude that $4|s + n$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.3. *V intersects non-trivially every non-trivial normal closed subgroup of G .*

Proof. (Compare [P1, Satz 1.4].) Let H be a non-trivial normal closed subgroup of G and let L be its fixed field. It follows from Proposition 3.1(b) that $LF_{\text{ur}} \neq F_{\text{sep}}$. Hence we can take a finite Galois extension N of F such that $N \not\subseteq LF_{\text{ur}}$. Denote the maximal elementary p -abelian Galois extension of N by $N[p]$. It is a Galois extension of F . Set $K = L \cap N$ and $M = L \cap N[p]$. Then $N \not\subseteq KF_{\text{ur}}$, i.e., the extension N/K has a non-trivial inertia group. Since $\text{Gal}(N/K)$ is solvable, we may therefore find an intermediate field $K \subseteq N_0 \subset N$ such that N/N_0 is a totally ramified extension of prime degree. By Lemma 3.2(b), $N/(N_0 + \wp(N))$ is infinite. Hence so is $N/(K + \wp(N))$.

By the Artin-Schreier theory, the dual of the natural homomorphism $K/\wp(K) \rightarrow N/\wp(N)$ may be canonically identified with the restriction homomorphism $\text{Gal}(N[p]/N) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K[p]/K)$. Since the cokernel $N/(K + \wp(N))$ of

the former homomorphism is infinite, so is the kernel $\text{Gal}(N[p]/K[p]N)$ of the latter homomorphism.

Now the group $\text{Gal}(M/K) \cong \text{Gal}(MN/N)$ is an epimorphic image of $\text{Gal}(N[p]/N)$, hence $M \subseteq K[p]$. It follows that $\text{Gal}(N[p]/MN)$ is infinite. Since it is an elementary abelian p -group, it is not cyclic. Therefore the p -Sylow subgroups of $\text{Gal}(LN[p]/L) \cong \text{Gal}(N[p]/M)$ are not cyclic (note that as $N[p]/F$ is Galois, so are $LN[p]/L$ and $N[p]/M$). It follows that $\text{Syl}_p(G_L)$ is not cyclic. On the other hand, $G_L/(G_L \cap V)$ embeds in $G/V \cong (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, hence its p -Sylow subgroups are cyclic. Conclude that $H \cap V = G_L \cap V \neq 1$, as required. \square

4. The main results

We still fix a local field $F = \mathbb{F}_q((t))$ of characteristic $p > 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a field with $G_K \cong G_F$. There exists a Henselian valuation v on K such that:*

- (a) $(\Gamma_v : l\Gamma_v) = l$ for all primes $l \neq p$;
- (b) $\text{char } \bar{K}_v = p$.

Proof. Fix an isomorphism $\sigma: G_K \rightarrow G_F$. For a separable extension E of K let E' denote the separable extension of F such that $\sigma G_E = G_{E'}$.

Let $l \neq p$ be a prime number. Then $\text{cd}_l(G_K) = \text{cd}_l(G_F) = 2$ [S, II-15, Prop. 12], so $\text{char } K \neq l$ [S, II-4, Prop. 3]. Fix a finite separable extension E_l of K such that E_l, E'_l contain μ_l , and contain $\sqrt{-1}$ if $l = 2$. Then for every finite separable extension E of E_l one has

$$G_E(l) \cong G_{E'}(l) \cong \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^{p^s} \rangle_{\text{pro-}l},$$

for some $s = s(E) \geq 1$ such that $p^s \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ (namely, p^s is the cardinality of the residue field of E' ; see §1). Proposition 2.3 gives rise to a Henselian valuation v_l on K such that $\Gamma_{v_l}/l \cong \mathbb{Z}/l$, $\text{char } \bar{K}_{v_l} \neq l$, and \bar{K}_{v_l} is not algebraically closed.

By Proposition 2.4, the valuations $v_l, l \neq p$, are pairwise comparable. It follows that $\bigcap_{l \neq p} O_{v_l}$ is a Henselian valuation ring on K . Let v be the corresponding valuation on K . For every prime number $l \neq p$ the fact that $O_v \subseteq O_{v_l}$ implies that Γ_{v_l} is an epimorphic image of Γ_v ; hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l}(\Gamma_v/l) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_l}(\Gamma_{v_l}/l) = 1$. Moreover, $\mathbb{Z}_l^2 \not\subseteq G_{E_l}(l)$ [E1, Lemma 4.1]. We conclude as before using [E1, Lemma 1.2] and the considerations of §1 that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_l}(\Gamma_v/l) = 1$, proving (a).

To prove (b), let T_v, V_v be the inertia and ramification groups, respectively, of v in K_{sep}/K . For every prime number $l \neq p$, $\text{char } \bar{K}_v$, part (a) gives $\text{Syl}_l(T_v/V_v) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$. In particular, T_v/V_v is non-trivial. Now the closed normal subgroup $\sigma^{-1}(V)$ of G_K is free pro- p of infinite rank. According to Proposition 3.3 it intersects every non-trivial closed normal subgroup of G_K . Thus $T_v \cap \sigma^{-1}(V) \neq 1$. Since a free pro- p group of rank ≥ 2 does not have non-trivial abelian closed normal subgroups, $T_v \cap \sigma^{-1}(V)$ is non-abelian. Therefore $\text{Syl}_p(T_v)$ is non-abelian, which can happen only when $\text{char } \bar{K}_v = p$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let H be a profinite group such that $\text{cd}_p(H) \leq 1$ and such that $\text{Syl}_l(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$ for all primes $l \neq p$. Then $H(p') \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof. By [S, I-23, Prop. 16] and [FJ, Cor. 20.14], H embeds as a closed subgroup of a free profinite group \hat{F} . Now any closed subgroup of \hat{F} isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_l , $l \neq p$, is mapped bijectively by the canonical projection $\hat{F} \rightarrow \hat{F}(\text{ab}, p')$. Since the induced homomorphism $H \rightarrow \hat{F}(\text{ab}, p')$ breaks through $H(\text{ab}, p')$, any l -Sylow subgroup of H is mapped bijectively onto an l -Sylow subgroup of $H(\text{ab}, p')$. It follows that $H(\text{ab}, p') \cong \prod_{l \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_l \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $\text{cd}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}_p) \leq 1$, the projection $H(p') \rightarrow H(\text{ab}, p')$ has a continuous homomorphic section. Then $H(p')$ and the image of this section have the same l -Sylow subgroups, hence they coincide. Thus $H(p') \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}_p$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let K and v be as in Theorem 4.1 and let $l \neq p$ be a prime number. Then:*

- (a) $G_{\bar{K}_v}(p') \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}_p$.
- (b) For $s \geq 0$, $\mu_{l^s} \subseteq \bar{K}_v(\mu_l)$ if and only if $\mu_{l^s} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q(\mu_l)$.
- (c) If $\mu_l \subseteq \bar{K}_v$ then $\mu_l \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$.
- (d) $\text{Syl}_p(G_{\bar{K}_v})$ is a non-trivial free pro- p group.

Proof. Fix an l -Sylow extension (E_l, v_l) of (K, v) relative to K_{sep} . Denote its residue field by \bar{E}_l . Then $G_{\bar{E}_l} \cong \text{Syl}_l(G_{\bar{K}_v})$. One has $\mu_l \subseteq E_l$ and $\mu_l \subseteq \bar{E}_l$. Also, the l -primary component of Γ_{v_l}/Γ_v is trivial. Hence [E2, Lemma 2.4(b)] and Theorem 4.1(a) give $(\Gamma_{v_l} : l\Gamma_{v_l}) = (\Gamma_v : l\Gamma_v) = l$. Take $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ such that $\text{Im}(\chi_{\bar{E}_l, l}) = 1 + l^s\mathbb{Z}_l$ (where we make the convention $l^\infty = 0$). Then $G_{E_l} \cong \mathbb{Z}_l \rtimes G_{\bar{E}_l}$, where any $\sigma \in G_{\bar{E}_l}$ acts on the generator τ of \mathbb{Z}_l according to $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \chi_{\bar{E}_l, l}(\sigma)\tau$ (see §1). It follows that $G_{E_l}(\text{ab}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_l/l^s) \times G_{\bar{E}_l}(\text{ab})$.

The same analysis holds for F , so we obtain that $G_{F_l}(\text{ab}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_l/l^{s'}) \times \mathbb{Z}_l$, where F_l and s' are defined in a similar manner. Since the residue field \bar{F}_l of F_l is the l -Sylow extension of \mathbb{F}_q , it does not contain μ_{l^∞} . Hence $s' < \infty$. If $s = \infty$ then we would obtain that $G_{\bar{E}_l}(\text{ab}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l/l^{s'}$, which is impossible at positive characteristic. We conclude that $s = s' < \infty$ and $G_{\bar{E}_l}(\text{ab}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$. It follows that \bar{E}_l, \bar{F}_l contain the same roots of unity of l -power order, and $G_{\bar{E}_l} \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$. As $\text{cd}_p(G_{\bar{K}_v}) \leq 1$ [S, II-4, Prop. 3], (a) follows from Lemma 4.2.

To prove (b) it remains to observe that $\mu_{l^s} \subseteq \bar{K}_v(\mu_l)$ if and only if $\mu_{l^s} \subseteq \bar{E}_l$, and likewise for \mathbb{F}_q and \bar{F}_l .

To prove (c) assume that $\mu_l \subseteq \bar{K}_v$ and $\mu_l \not\subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $G_K(l) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_l \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_l$ (§1). On the other hand, $G_F(l) \cong G_{\mathbb{F}_q}(l) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$ [E2, Lemma 2.1], a contradiction.

Finally, we prove (d). By [S, I-37, Cor. 2], $\text{Syl}_p(G_{\bar{K}_v})$ is indeed a free pro- p group. Suppose that it is trivial. Then the maximal pro- p Galois extension of \mathbb{F}_p is contained in $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_p \cap \bar{K}_v$. However, (b) and (c) imply that $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_p \cap \bar{K}_v \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$, a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let K and v be as in Theorem 4.1 and suppose that $\text{char } K = 0$. Then:*

- (a) $\Gamma_v = p\Gamma_v$;
- (b) \bar{K}_v is perfect.

Proof. For any algebraic extension E of $K(\mu_p)$ the p -torsion part of $\text{Br}(E)$ is isomorphic to $H^2(G_E, \mathbb{Z}/p) = H^2(G_{E'}, \mathbb{Z}/p) = 0$ ([S, II-4, Prop. 3]; here E' is as before the extension of F corresponding to E with respect to a fixed isomorphism $\sigma: G_K \rightarrow G_F$). It follows that for every Galois extension M of E of degree p , the norm homomorphism $N_{M/E}: M^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ is surjective (see e.g. [M, Th. 15.7]).

To prove (a), let $E = K(\mu_p)$ and let u be the unique extension of v to E . By Proposition 4.3, \bar{K}_v contains only finitely many roots of unity. Hence so does its finite extension \bar{E}_u . It follows that $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p \bar{E}_u / \bar{E}_u) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Therefore there is an unramified extension (L, w) of (E, u) of degree p ; thus $\Gamma_w = \Gamma_u$. By [E1, Lemma 1.2] and by Lemma 2.5 (for the extension L/E), $(\Gamma_v : p\Gamma_v) = (\Gamma_u : p\Gamma_u) = 1$, as required.

To prove (b), let T_v, V_v be again the inertia and ramification groups, respectively, of v in G_K . By Proposition 3.3, $T_v \cap \sigma^{-1}(V) \neq 1$. From Theorem 4.1(b) we get $p \nmid (T_v : V_v)$. Since V is pro- p , these two facts imply that the pro- p group V_v is non-trivial. Therefore we can take a tower of finite extensions $K(\mu_p) \subseteq E \subset M$ such that M/E is a wildly ramified extension of degree p . Then the residue field extension \bar{M}/\bar{E} is trivial. The surjectivity of $N_{M/E}: M^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ established above implies that $\bar{E} = \bar{M}^p = \bar{E}^p$; i.e., \bar{E} is perfect. Hence so is \bar{K}_v . □

5. Constructions

We conclude by showing that various restrictions made in our main results in §4 are indeed necessary.

Example 5.1. For every positive integer r we construct a Henselian valued field (K_r, u_r) of characteristic p such that $G_{K_r} \cong G_F$ and $\Gamma_{u_r}/p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$.

We first construct inductively countable Henselian discretely valued fields (K_r, v_r) as follows: Let (K_1, v_1) be a Henselization of $\mathbb{F}_q(t_1)$ with respect to the discrete valuation with uniformizer t_1 . Assuming that (K_r, v_r) has already been defined, let L_r be a maximal totally tamely ramified extension of it. Then the (supernatural) degree $[L_r : K_r]$ is prime to p . Let (K_{r+1}, v_{r+1}) be a Henselization of $L_r(t_{r+1})$ with respect to its discrete valuation with uniformizer t_{r+1} . Since both L_r and K_r are countable, Proposition 1.3 implies that $G_{K_{r+1}} \cong G_{K_r}$.

Next we construct the valuations u_r on K_r inductively as follows: Take $u_1 = v_1$. Assuming that u_r has already been defined, let w_r be its unique prolongation to L_r . Let u_{r+1} be the refinement of v_{r+1} such that the residue valuation u_{r+1}/v_{r+1} on L_r is w_r [R]. Since both w_r and v_{r+1} are Henselian, so is u_{r+1} [R, pp. 210–211]. One has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{w_r} \rightarrow \Gamma_{u_{r+1}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{v_{r+1}} \rightarrow 0$$

of ordered abelian groups, and Γ_{w_r} is convex in $\Gamma_{u_{r+1}}$. We obtain an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{w_r}/p \rightarrow \Gamma_{u_{r+1}}/p \rightarrow \Gamma_{v_{r+1}}/p \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the p -primary part of $\Gamma_{w_r}/\Gamma_{u_r}$ is trivial, $\Gamma_{w_r}/p \cong \Gamma_{u_r}/p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$ [E2, Lemma 2.4(b)]. Combining this with $\Gamma_{v_{r+1}}/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, we conclude that $\Gamma_{u_{r+1}}/p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{r+1}$, as desired.

In fact, K_r, L_r embed in a maximal totally tamely ramified extension (M_r, w_r) of the r -dimensional local field $\mathbb{F}_q((t_1)) \cdots ((t_r))$ with its canonical discrete valuation of rank r (see [FV, Appendix B]). By considering the restrictions of w_r to these fields one can obtain an alternative proof that $\Gamma_{u_r}/p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$.

Example 5.2. There exists a Henselian discretely valued field (K, v) of characteristic p such that $G_K \cong G_F$, \bar{K}_v is imperfect, and $G_{\bar{K}_v} \not\cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Indeed, take $(K, v) = (K_2, v_2)$ (with terminology as in Example 5.1). Then $\bar{K}_v = L_1$. Since K_1 is imperfect, so is its separable extension L_1 . According to §1, $G_{L_1} \cong F_p(\hat{\mu} \times_{G_{\mathbb{F}_q}}; \aleph_0) \times_{G_{\mathbb{F}_q}}$. In particular, $\text{Syl}_p(G_{L_1})$ has infinite rank. Conclude that $G_{\bar{K}_v} = G_{L_1} \not\cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Example 5.3. Let (K, v) be a complete discretely valued field. Suppose that $\text{char } \bar{K}_v = p$, $|\bar{K}_v| \leq \aleph_0$, $G_{\bar{K}_v} \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, and \bar{K}_v has the same group of roots of unity as \mathbb{F}_q (e.g., this happens when K is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with residue field \mathbb{F}_q). Let L/K be an arithmetically profinite totally ramified extension (for the definitions see [Wi] or [FV, Ch. III, §5]). In particular, if $[L : K] = \prod_l l^{n(l)}$, then $n(p) = \infty$ and $\sum_{l \neq p} n(l) < \infty$. The theory of fields of norms of Fontaine–Wintenberger [Wi, 3.2.3] implies that $G_L \cong G_{\bar{K}_v((X))}$. By Corollary 1.2, the latter group is isomorphic to G_F . If u is the extension of v to L , then $\Gamma_u = p\Gamma_u$ and $\Gamma_u/l \cong \mathbb{Z}/l$ for $l \neq p$ prime.

Remark 5.4. Let M be an n -dimensional local field such that its canonical valuation of rank n has residue characteristic p (cf. [FV, Appendix B]). From the discussion in §1 it follows that for every prime number $l \neq p$ one has

$$G_M(l) \cong \langle \sigma, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \mid \sigma\tau_i\sigma^{-1} = \tau_i^q, \tau_i\tau_j = \tau_j\tau_i \rangle_{\text{pro-}l}.$$

Now let K be a field such that $G_K \cong G_M$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 4.1 one can show that there is a Henselian valuation v on K such that $(\Gamma_v : l\Gamma_v) = l^n$ for all primes $l \neq p$ and such that $\text{char } \bar{K}_v = p$ or 0 .

Acknowledgement

This research was partly carried out during the first author’s visit in the University of Nottingham in July 1998. He thanks the university for its hospitality.

References

- [AS] E. Artin and O. Schreier, *Eine Kennzeichnung der reell abgeschlossenen Körper*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **5** (1927), 225–231.
- [AEJ] J.K. Arason, R. Elman and B. Jacob, *Rigid elements, valuations, and realization of Witt rings*, J. Algebra **110** (1987), 449–467.
- [B] E. Becker, *Euklidische Körper und euklidische Hüllen von Körpern*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **268–269** (1974), 41–52.
- [E1] I. Efrat, *A Galois-theoretic characterization of p -adically closed fields*, Isr. J. Math. **91** (1995), 273–284.
- [E2] ———, *Pro- p Galois groups of algebraic extensions of \mathbb{Q}* , J. Number Theory **64** (1997), 84–99.
- [E3] ———, *Construction of valuations from K -theory*, Math. Res. Lett., to appear.
- [Ed] O. Endler, *Valuation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
- [EE] O. Endler and A.J. Engler, *Fields with Henselian valuation rings*, Math. Z. **152** (1977), 191–193.
- [Eg] A. Engler, *Fields with two incomparable Henselian valuation rings*, Manuscripta Math. **23** (1978), 373–385.
- [FV] I. Fesenko and S. Vostokov, *Local fields and their extensions: A Constructive Approach*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 121, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [FJ] M. Fried and M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 11, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1986.
- [HJ] Y.S. Hwang and B. Jacob, *Brauer group analogues of results relating the Witt ring to valuations and Galois theory*, Canad. J. Math. **47** (1995), 527–543.
- [K1] H. Koch, *Über die Galoissche Gruppe der algebraischen Abschliessung eines Potenzreihenkörpers mit endlichem konstantenkörper*, Math. Nachr. **35** (1967), 323–327. (German)
- [K2] ———, *Galoissche Theorie der p -Erweiterungen*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1970.
- [Kn] J. Koenigsmann, *From p -rigid elements to valuations (with a Galois-characterisation of p -adic fields)*, with an Appendix by F. Pop, J. Reine Angew. Math. **465** (1995), 165–182.
- [KPR] F.-V. Kuhlmann, M. Pank, and P. Roquette, *Immediate and purely wild extensions of valued fields*, Manuscripta Math. **55** (1986), 39–67.
- [MSh] O.V. Mel'nikov and A.A. Sharomet, *The Galois group of a multidimensional local field of positive characteristic*, Matem. Sb. **180** (1989), 1132–1147 (Russian); English translation in Math. USSR-Sb. **67** (1990), 595–610.
- [MSu] A.S. Merkur'ev and A.A. Suslin, *K -cohomology of Brauer-Severi varieties and the norm residue homomorphism*, Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR. Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), 1011–1046 (Russian); English translation in Math. USSR Izv. **21** (1983), 307–340.
- [M] J. Milnor, *Introduction to algebraic K -theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1971.
- [N1] J. Neukirch, *Zur Verzweigungstheorie der allgemeinen Krullschen Bewertungen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **32** (1968), 207–215.
- [N2] ———, *Kennzeichnung der p -adischen und endlichen algebraischen Zahlkörper*, Invent. Math. **6** (1969), 269–314.
- [P1] F. Pop, *Galoissche Kennzeichnung p -adisch abgeschlossener Körper*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **392** (1988), 145–175.
- [P2] F. Pop, *On Grothendieck's conjecture of birational anabelian geometry*, Ann. Math. **139** (1994), 145–182.

- [PR] A. Prestel and P. Roquette, *Formally p -adic fields*, Lect. Notes Math., 1050, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1984.
- [R] P. Ribenboim, *Théorie des Valuations*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, No. 9 (Été, 1964), Les presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1968.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1965.
- [Wi] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies des corps locaux, applications*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **16** (1983), 59–89.
- [Wr] R. Ware, *Valuation rings and rigid elements in fields*, Canad. J. Math. **33** (1981), 1338–1355.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, P.O. BOX 653, BE'ER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL
E-mail address: efrat@math.bgu.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM, NG7 2RD NOTTINGHAM, ENGLAND
E-mail address: I.Fesenko@maths.nott.ac.uk