LATTICES WITHOUT SHORT CHARACTERISTIC VECTORS

Mark Gaulter

Abstract. All the lattices here under discussion here are understood to be integral unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattices in \mathbb{R}^n . A *characteristic vector* of a lattice L is a vector $w \in L$ such that $v \cdot w \equiv |v|^2 \pmod{2}$ for every $v \in L$. Elkies has considered the minimal (squared) norm of the characteristic vectors in a unimodular lattice. He showed that any unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice in \mathbb{R}^n has characteristic vectors of norm $\leq n$; he also proved that of all such lattices, only the standard lattice \mathbb{Z}^n has no characteristic vectors of norm $\langle n \rangle$ (*Math Research Letters* 2, 321-326). He then asked "For any $k > 0$, is there \mathcal{N}_k such that every integral unimodular lattice all of whose characteristic vectors have norm $\geq n - 8k$ is of the form $L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some lattice L_0 of rank at most \mathcal{N}_k ?" (*Math Research Letters* 2, 643-651). He solved this question in the case $k = 1$, showing that $\mathcal{N}_1 = 23$ suffices; here I determine values for \mathcal{N}_2 and \mathcal{N}_3 .

1. Introduction

A Z-lattice is a free module of finite rank over Z. Given a Z-lattice *L*, let $B: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a symmetric bilinear form and $q: L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $q(x) = B(x, x)$ the corresponding quadratic form. Throughout this paper we will assume that *q* is positive definite. This enables us to embed L in \mathbb{R}^n , with $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ the standard inner product and $q(\cdot)$ the corresponding (squared) norm. A *characteristic vector* of *L* is an element *w* such that $B(v, w) \equiv q(v) \pmod{2}$ for every $v \in L$. Characteristic vectors are known to exist in any *unimodular* Z-lattice *L*, and in this case they constitute a coset of 2*L* in *L*. If *L* has rank *n*, all the characteristic elements have norm congruent to *n* (mod 8) (see [B]; or see Chapter V of [S]).

Noam Elkies has considered the minimal norm of the characteristic vectors in a unimodular lattice. In [E1], Elkies shows that any positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice of rank *n* has characteristic vectors of norm $\leq n$; he also proves that of all such lattices, only the standard lattice \mathbb{Z}^n has no characteristic vectors of norm strictly less than *n*. Then in [E2], he begins a programme of showing that a positive definite unimodular lattice whose minimal characteristic vectors have norm close to *n* are in some sense close to \mathbb{Z}^n . More precisely, he shows that every such lattice whose characteristic vectors all have norm $\geq n-8$ is of the form $L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some L_0 of rank ≤ 23 . He then asks: "For any $k > 0$, is there \mathcal{N}_k such that every integral [positive definite] unimodular lattice all of whose characteristic vectors have norm $\geq n - 8k$ is of the form $L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for

Received January 15, 1998.

some lattice L_0 of rank at most \mathcal{N}_k ?" Elkies goes on to comment: "Even the case $k = 2$ appears difficult."

In this paper, we first obtain upper bounds on the number of characteristic vectors of minimal norm *s* and on the number of characteristic vectors of norm $s + 8$; then we apply a theorem of Hecke to settle the cases $k = 2$ and $k = 3$ of Elkies' problem.

2. Notation

We will largely follow the notation of [O'M]. Also, for a given lattice *L*, we define:

$$
\chi = \chi_L := \{ v \in L : B(x, v) \equiv q(x) \pmod{2}, \forall x \in L \}
$$

$$
\chi_t = \chi_t(L) := \{ v \in \chi_L : q(v) = t \}
$$

$$
s = s(L) := \min_{v \in \chi_L} \{ q(v) \}.
$$

Thus χ_s denotes the set of shortest characteristic vectors of the lattice *L* under discussion. Finally, for any set A, define $|\mathcal{A}|$ to be the cardinality of A.

3. A bound on the number of shortest characteristic vectors

Throughout this section, *L* denotes a positive definite unimodular *Z*-lattice of rank *n*. We will find bounds on $|\chi_s|$ and $|\chi_{s+8}|$. The characteristic elements of *L* constitute a coset of 2*L* in *L*, so if $v_1, v_2 \in \chi_L$ then $v_1 + v_2 \in 2L$. If v_1, v_2 have the same norm, we can say more:

Lemma 3.1. Let v_1, v_2 be characteristic elements of *L* with $q(v_1) = q(v_2) = t$. Then

$$
q\left(\frac{v_1+v_2}{2}\right) \le t
$$

with equality if and only if $v_1 = v_2$.

Proof. This is because a ball in Euclidean space is strictly convex.

Lemma 3.2. Fix $w \in \chi_s$. Define the map $\phi_w : \chi_s \to L/2L$ by

$$
\phi_w(v) := \frac{v - w}{2} + 2L.
$$

Then ϕ_w is injective.

Proof. Suppose $\phi_w(v_1) = \phi_w(v_2)$. Then $\frac{v_1 - v_2}{2} \in 2L$, from which we see

$$
\frac{v_1 + v_2}{2} = v_2 + \frac{v_1 - v_2}{2} \in \chi_L.
$$

Therefore

$$
q\left(\frac{v_1+v_2}{2}\right) \ge s.
$$

But $v_1, v_2 \in \chi_s$, so by Lemma 3.1 we have $q(\frac{v_1+v_2}{2}) \leq s$. Thus we have equality, and by applying Lemma 3.1 again we see $v_1 = v_2$, as required. \Box

 \Box

Lemma 3.2 gives us an injective function from χ_s into a group of order 2^n . This proves the following:

Corollary 3.3. The number of shortest characteristic vectors of a positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice of dimension *n* is at most 2^n .

This result is the best possible, as the following example shows. Let ${e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{Z}^n . Then the characteristic vectors are those of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j e_j$ with all the λ_j odd. In particular, the shortest characteristic vectors are the vectors of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j e_j$ with each $\lambda_j \in {\pm 1}$; there are 2^n such vectors.

Now we shall find an upper bound on the number of characteristic vectors of norm $s + 8$. This bound must be at least $n2^n$, for the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n has $n2^n$ such vectors. (These are the vectors $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j e_j$ with one $\lambda_j = \pm 3$ and all other $\lambda_j \in \{\pm 1\}$.)

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $w \in \chi_{s+8}$. Define

$$
\mathcal{C}_w := \{ v \in \chi_{s+8} : w - v \in 4L \}.
$$

If $n \neq 15$ then $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq n$; if $n = 15$ then $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq 16$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq n+1$, and then to show that equality can hold only when $n = 15$.

(a) Proof of the inequality $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq n+1$. Write

(1)
\n
$$
w = x_1 + 2l_1
$$
\n
$$
w = x_2 + 2l_2
$$
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
\n
$$
w = x_{m+1} + 2l_{m+1}
$$

in as many different ways as possible with $x_i \in \chi$ and $B(x_i, l_i) = 0$ for each *i*. The list is finite because *q* is positive definite.

Claim: $|\mathcal{C}_w| = m + 1$. Given $v \in \mathcal{C}_w$, let $x = \frac{v+w}{2}$ and $l = \frac{w-v}{4}$. (So $w = x + 2l$ and $v = x - 2l$.) Then

$$
x=w+\frac{v-w}{2}\in w+2L=\chi.
$$

But the equality $q(v) = q(w)$ then yields $q(x - 2l) = q(x + 2l)$, from which $B(x, l) = 0$. This gives an injective map from \mathcal{C}_w to rows of the list (1). Thus $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq m+1.$

On the other hand, if $w = x_i + 2l_i$, then we assert that $x_i - 2l_i \in C_w$; this vector is characteristic and in the same coset of $L/4L$ as *w*, and $q(w) = q(x_i - 2l_i)$. If $x_i - 2l_i = x_j - 2l_j$ then $w - 4l_i = w - 4l_j$ and so each expression for *w* yields a different element of \mathcal{C}_w . Thus $|\mathcal{C}_w| = m + 1$ as claimed.

356 MARK GAULTER

Having established this claim, to prove part (a) we need only show that $m \leq n$. One of our expressions for *w* in (1) will be $w + 0$. So without loss of generality, suppose $l_{m+1} = 0$. The proof will proceed by showing l_1, \dots, l_m are linearly independent.

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have $q(x_i) + 4q(l_i) = s + 8$. Since x_i is characteristic, it follows that $q(l_i) = 2$ and $q(x_i) = s$. Suppose $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$. Because $x_i - 2l_j \in \chi$ we know $q(x_i - 2l_j) \geq s$. Hence, because $q(x_i) = s$, we have

$$
B(x_i, l_j) \le q(l_j) = 2.
$$

We also know $l_i \neq l_j$, since the expressions in (1) are different. So $q(l_i - l_j) > 0$ and therefore $B(l_i, l_j) \leq 1$. But

$$
B(x_i, l_j) + 2B(l_i, l_j) = B(w, l_j) = B(x_j + 2l_j, l_j) = 4.
$$

Thus $B(x_i, l_j) = 2$ and $B(l_i, l_j) = 1$ whenever $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

We are now ready to prove that l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m are linearly independent. For suppose

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i l_i = 0
$$

with $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then for each $k \leq m$ we have $B\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i l_i, l_k\right) = 0$, and hence

$$
A_m \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_m \end{pmatrix} = 0
$$

where A_m is the $m \times m$ matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

But det $A_m = m + 1$, and hence A_m is invertible over Q. Therefore $\mu_1 = \mu_2 =$ $\cdots = \mu_m = 0$, which proves the claim.

Therefore $m \leq \dim \mathbb{Q}L = n$ and so $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq n+1$ as required.

(b) Suppose $|\mathcal{C}_w| = n + 1$; we will show that $n = 15$.

As in the proof of part (a), write $w = x_i + 2l_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, with the x_i distinct elements of χ_s , and $B(x_i, l_i) = 0$ for each *i*. Then the set $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$ is a basis for QL , and $q(l_i) = 2$ for each *i*.

Write $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i l_i$ with $\nu_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Recall that $B(x_1, l_1) = 0$ and $B(x_1, l_i) = 2$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Thus

$$
A_n \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \nu_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Solving this for ν_1, \dots, ν_n yields $\nu_1 = -2(\frac{n-1}{n+1})$ and $\nu_2 = \dots = \nu_n = \frac{4}{n+1}$ and hence

$$
x_1 = \frac{2}{n+1} \big[-(n-1)l_1 + 2(l_2 + \dots + l_n) \big]
$$

from which we find

$$
q(x_1) = 8\left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Since $(n-1, n+1) \leq 2$, it follows that $(n+1)|16$. So $n \in \{1, 3, 7, 15\}$. But x_1 was characteristic, so $q(x_1) \equiv n \pmod{8}$. This happens only for $n = 15$. \Box

Corollary 3.5. Let L be a positive definite unimodular Z-lattice of rank *n*. If $n \neq 15$ then *L* has at most $n2^n$ characteristic elements of length $s + 8$. If *L* has rank 15 then there are at most 2^{19} such elements.

Proof. Regardless of the rank of *L*, the elements of χ form a coset of $L/2L$. Therefore χ consists of precisely 2^n cosets of $L/4L$. Pick an element w_k of norm $s + 8$ from each coset of $L/4L$ that contains such an element. Then

$$
\chi_{s+8} = \bigcup_k \mathcal{C}_{w_k}.
$$

If $n \neq 15$, Lemma 3.4 tells us there are no more than *n* elements in each C_{w_k} . Thus there can be no more than $n2^n$ elements of χ_{s+8} .

If $n = 15$, Lemma 3.4 tells us there are no more than 16 elements of χ_{s+8} in each C_{w_k} . Thus there can be no more than $16 \cdot 2^{15} = 2^{19}$ elements of χ_{s+8} . \Box

Remark. In fact if $n = 15$, calculations involving theta series show that there are at most 15×2^{15} characteristic elements of length $s + 8$.

4. The main result

In the first part this section, we largely follow the notation of [E2]. Let *H* be the complex upper half plane: the set of complex numbers with strictly positive imaginary part. Define the theta series of the lattice *L* to be

$$
\theta_L(t) := \sum_{v \in L} e^{\pi i \, q(v) \, t}
$$

for any $t \in H$. Then

$$
\theta_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k e^{\pi i kt},
$$

where N_k is the number of times L represents k . Now let w be any characteristic vector of *L* and define

$$
\theta_L'(t):=\sum_{v\in L+\frac{w}{2}}e^{\pi i\,q(v)\,t}=\sum_{k=0}^\infty N_k' e^{\pi ikt/4},
$$

where N'_{k} is the number of characteristic vectors of norm k . In [E1], Elkies relates these series by the identity

(2)
$$
\theta_L \left(\frac{-1}{t} + 1 \right) = \left(\frac{t}{i} \right)^{n/2} \theta'_L(t).
$$

The *n/*2 power refers to the *n*th power of the principal square root.

Hecke has proved that if *L* is a unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice, then θ_L is a modular form of weight $\frac{n}{2}$ and can be expressed as a weighted-homogeneous polynomial $P_L(\theta_Z, \theta_{E_8})$ in the modular forms θ_Z and θ_{E_8} of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and 4 repectively (see Theorem 7, Chapter 7 of [CS] and the remark that follows it). Here, $\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and θ_{E_8} are the theta series of the lattices $\mathbb Z$ and E_8 . Specifically

$$
\theta_{\mathbb{Z}} = 1 + 2(e^{\pi i t} + e^{4\pi i t} + e^{9\pi i t} + \cdots)
$$

and

$$
\theta_{E_8} = 1 + 240 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^3 e^{2\pi i k t}}{1 - e^{2\pi i k t}} = 1 + 240 e^{2\pi i t} + 2160 e^{4\pi i t} + \cdots
$$

We can express

$$
P_L(X,Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \lambda_k X^{n-8k} Y^k
$$

with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, l \leq \left[\frac{n}{8}\right]$ and $\lambda_l \neq 0$ and so we may write

(3)
$$
\theta_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^l \lambda_k \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-8k}(t) \theta_{E_8}^k(t)
$$

with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, l \leq \left[\frac{n}{8}\right]$ and $\lambda_l \neq 0$. Combining this with equation (2), we have

$$
\begin{split} \theta'_{L}(t) &= \left(\frac{i}{t}\right)^{n/2} \theta_{L} \left(-\frac{1}{t} + 1\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{l} \lambda_{k} \left[\left(\frac{i}{t}\right)^{(n-8k)/2} \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-8k} \left(-\frac{1}{t} + 1\right) \right] \left[\left(\frac{i}{t}\right)^{4k} \theta_{E_{8}}^{k} \left(-\frac{1}{t} + 1\right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{l} \lambda_{k} \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\prime n-8k}(t) \theta_{E_{8}}^{\prime k}(t) \\ &= P_{L}(\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\prime}, \theta_{E_{8}}^{\prime}). \end{split}
$$

But *E*⁸ is an even lattice, hence 0 is one of its characteristic vectors. Thus $\theta_{E_8} = \theta'_{E_8}$. So we have

(4)
$$
\theta'_L = P_L(\theta'_\mathbb{Z}, \theta_{E_8}).
$$

Because the characteristic vectors of $\mathbb Z$ (viewed as a lattice of rank one) are the odd integers, we have

$$
\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}' = 2(e^{\pi i t/4} + e^{9\pi i t/4} + \cdots).
$$

Expanding the polynomial in equation (4) now gives

$$
\theta'_{L}(t) = \lambda_{l} 2^{n-8l} e^{(n-8l)\pi it/4} + (2^8 \lambda_{l-1} + (n+232l)\lambda_{l}) 2^{n-8l} e^{(n-8l+8)\pi it/4} + \cdots,
$$

where λ_l and λ_{l-1} are as in equation (3). Since θ'_L encodes the number of characteristic vectors of each norm, we can deduce that if θ_L is expressed as in equation (3) then

(5)
$$
\begin{cases} s = n - 8l \\ |\chi_s| = \lambda_l 2^{n-8l} \\ |\chi_{s+8}| = (2^8 \lambda_{l-1} + (n+232l)\lambda_l) 2^{n-8l} .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Let L be a positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice. Then its theta series $\theta_L(t)$ is a modular form of weight $\frac{n}{2}$ and can be expressed as a weightedhomogeneous polynomial $P_L(\theta_Z, \theta_{E_8})$ in the modular forms θ_Z and θ_{E_8} of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and 4 respectively. Here $\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and θ_{E_8} are the theta series of the lattices \mathbb{Z} and *E*8. Further, if we write

(6)
$$
P_L(X,Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \lambda_k X^{n-8k} Y^k
$$

then $\lambda_l \leq 2^{8l}$.

Proof. In light of Hecke's theorem, the only new information here is the bound on λ_l . Express $P_L(X, Y)$ as in equation (6). Then there are $\lambda_l 2^{n-8l}$ shortest characteristic vectors. But Corollary 3.3 states that there are at most 2^n such vectors. Thus $\lambda_l \leq 2^{8l}$. \Box

Lemma 4.2. Let L be an *n*-dimensional positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice that does not represent 1. Suppose further that the shortest characteristic vectors of *L* have norm $n - 16$. Then

$$
|\chi_s| = 2^{n-24} (2n^2 - 46n + N_2)
$$

(Recall that N_2 is the number of times L represents 2.)

Proof. The shortest characteristic vectors of *L* have norm $n - 16$; thus

$$
\theta_L(t) = \lambda_0 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(t) + \lambda_1 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-8}(t) \theta_{E_8}(t) + \lambda_2 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-16}(t) \theta_{E_8}^2(t)
$$

= $\lambda_0 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(t) + \lambda_1 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}^{n-8} \perp E_8}(t) + \lambda_2 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}^{n-16} \perp E_8 \perp E_8}(t).$

We know how many times each of the numbers 0, 1 and 2 are represented by the lattices \mathbb{Z}^n , $\mathbb{Z}^{n-8} \perp E_8$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n-16} \perp E_8 \perp E_8$. So we have that

$$
\theta_L(t) = 1 + 0e^{\pi it} + N_2 e^{2\pi it} + \cdots
$$

= $\lambda_0 \left(1 + 2 \binom{n}{1} e^{\pi it} + 2^2 \binom{n}{2} e^{2\pi it} + \cdots \right)$
+ $\lambda_1 \left(1 + 2 \binom{n-8}{1} e^{\pi it} + \left(2^2 \binom{n-8}{2} + 240 \right) e^{2\pi it} + \cdots \right)$
+ $\lambda_2 \left(1 + 2 \binom{n-16}{1} e^{\pi it} + \left(2^2 \binom{n-16}{2} + 480 \right) e^{2\pi it} + \cdots \right).$

This yields the simultaneous equations

$$
\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1
$$

$$
2n\lambda_0 + 2(n - 8)\lambda_1 + 2(n - 16)\lambda_2 = 0
$$

$$
2n(n - 1)\lambda_0 + (2(n - 8)(n - 9) + 240)\lambda_1 + (2(n - 16)(n - 17) + 480)\lambda_2 = N_2.
$$

Upon solving these equations, we find

$$
\lambda_2 = \frac{2n^2 - 46n + N_2}{256}.
$$

The observations (5) now tell us

$$
|\chi_s| = 2^{n-24} (2n^2 - 46n + N_2)
$$

 \Box

as claimed.

Theorem4.3. Let *L* be a positive definite unimodular Z-lattice of rank *n*. Suppose further that the shortest characteristic vectors of *L* have norm $n - 16$. Then $L = L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some sublattice L_0 of rank ≤ 2907 .

Proof. We may assume L does not represent 1 and prove that $n \leq 2907$. By Corollary 3.3, we know there are at most $2ⁿ$ shortest characteristic vectors. But Lemma 4.2 tells us *L* has exactly $2^{n-24}(2n^2 - 46n + N_2)$ shortest characteristic vectors. So

$$
2^{n-24}(2n^2 - 46n + N_2) \le 2^n.
$$

Hence

(7)
$$
2n^2 - 46n + N_2 \le 2^{24}.
$$

But $N_2 \geq 0$, hence $2n^2 - 46n \leq 2^{24}$ and so the integer *n* cannot exceed 2907. \Box

Lemma 4.4. Let *L* be an *n*-dimensional positive definite unimodular Z-lattice that does not represent 1, and assume that the shortest characteristic vectors of *L* have norm $n - 24$. Then

$$
|\chi_{n-16}| = (2n^2 - 46n + N_2)2^{n-24} + (n-72)|\chi_{n-24}|.
$$

Proof. Since the shortest characteristic vectors of *L* have norm $n - 24$, we may write

$$
\theta_L(t) = \lambda_0 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(t) + \lambda_1 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-8}(t) \theta_{E_8}(t) + \lambda_2 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-16}(t) \theta_{E_8}^2(t) + \lambda_3 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-24}(t) \theta_{E_8}^3(t).
$$

Forming three simultaneous equations exactly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we discover

$$
\lambda_2 = \frac{3N_3 + 160N_2 - 5568n - 6N_2n + 308n^2 - 4n^3}{2^{12}}
$$

$$
\lambda_3 = \frac{-3N_3 - 144N_2 + 4832n + 6N_2n - 276n^2 + 4n^3}{3 \times 2^{12}}.
$$

Therefore

$$
\lambda_2 = -3\lambda_3 + \frac{2n^2 - 46n + N_2}{2^8}
$$

and from the observations (5), we can express the number of characteristic vectors of length $n - 16$ in terms of the number of shortest characteristic vectors:

$$
|\chi_{n-16}| = (2^8 \lambda_2 + (n + 696)\lambda_3) 2^{n-24}
$$

= $(2n^2 - 46n + N_2) 2^{n-24} + (n - 72)(\lambda_3 2^{n-24})$
= $(2n^2 - 46n + N_2) 2^{n-24} + (n - 72)|\chi_{n-24}|$

as claimed.

Theorem4.5. Let *L* be a positive definite unimodular Z-lattice of rank *n*. Suppose further that the shortest characteristic vectors of *L* have norm $n - 24$. Then $L = L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some sublattice L_0 of rank ≤ 8 388 630.

Proof. We may assume *L* does not represent 1 and prove that the rank of *L* is at most 8 388 630.

The hypotheses imply $n \neq 15$. So Corollary 3.5 (b) tells us there can be no more than $n2^n$ second shortest characteristic vectors. So by Lemma 4.4 ,

$$
(2n2 - 46n + N2)2n-24 + (n - 72)|\chi_{n-24}| \le n2n.
$$

We may assume that $n \geq 72$ and we know that the number of shortest characteristic vectors is positive. So

$$
(2n^2 - 46n + N_2)2^{n-24} < n2^n.
$$

Rearranging,

(8)
$$
2n^2 - (46 + 2^{24})n + N_2 < 0.
$$

 \Box

Next notice that $N_2 \geq 0$. So inequality (8) implies *n* can be no larger than 8 388 630. \Box

5. Remarks

I do not claim to have found the best possible bounds for \mathcal{N}_2 or \mathcal{N}_3 . However, if \mathcal{N}_k exists, we can see $\mathcal{N}_k \geq 23k$ as follows. Consider the lattice

$$
L_k := \perp_{i=1}^k O_{23}
$$

whose components are all copies of the 23-dimensional shorter Leech lattice O_{23} (see, for example, $[CS]$, 179). In $[E2]$, Elkies notes that O_{23} has shortest characteristic vectors of norm 15. From this it follows that L_k is a 23*k*-dimensional lattice with shortest characteristic vectors of norm 23*k* − 8*k*.

It appears that my method of bounding the number of short characteristic vectors does not yield \mathcal{N}_k for $k \geq 4$. So Elkies' question remains open for $k \geq 4$.

Finally, by Construction A of ([CS], 137), we notice that if $k \leq 3$, there is an n_k such that every binary self-dual code whose shadow has minimal norm $\geq \frac{(n-8k)}{2}$ is of the form $C_0 \oplus z^r$ for some code C_0 of length at most n_k .

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my Ph.D. adviser, Larry Gerstein, for his continuing guidance and support.

References

- [B] F. van der Blij, *An invariant of quadratic forms mod* 8, Indag. Math. **21** (1959), 291– 293.
- [CS] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, Springer, New York (1988).
- [E1] N. D. Elkies, A characterization of the \mathbb{Z}^n lattice, Math Res. Lett. 2 (1995), 321–326.
- [E2] , *Lattices and codes with long shadows*, Math Res. Lett. **2** (1995), 643–651.
- [O'M] O. T. O'Meara, *An introduction to quadratic forms*, Springer, New York (1973).

[S] J.-P. Serre, *A course in arithmetic*, Springer, New York (1973).

Dept. of Math., University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA *E-mail address*: gaulter@math.ucsb.edu