LATTICES WITHOUT SHORT CHARACTERISTIC VECTORS

MARK GAULTER

ABSTRACT. All the lattices here under discussion here are understood to be integral unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattices in \mathbb{R}^n . A characteristic vector of a lattice L is a vector $w \in L$ such that $v \cdot w \equiv |v|^2 \pmod{2}$ for every $v \in L$. Elkies has considered the minimal (squared) norm of the characteristic vectors in a unimodular lattice. He showed that any unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice in \mathbb{R}^n has characteristic vectors of norm $\leq n$; he also proved that of all such lattices, only the standard lattice \mathbb{Z}^n has no characteristic vectors of norm < n (Math Research Letters 2, 321-326). He then asked "For any k > 0, is there \mathcal{N}_k such that every integral unimodular lattice all of whose characteristic vectors have norm $\geq n - 8k$ is of the form $L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some lattice L_0 of rank at most \mathcal{N}_k ?" (Math Research Letters 2, 643-651). He solved this question in the case k = 1, showing that $\mathcal{N}_1 = 23$ suffices; here I determine values for \mathcal{N}_2 and \mathcal{N}_3 .

1. Introduction

A \mathbb{Z} -lattice is a free module of finite rank over \mathbb{Z} . Given a \mathbb{Z} -lattice L, let $B : L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a symmetric bilinear form and $q : L \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by q(x) = B(x, x) the corresponding quadratic form. Throughout this paper we will assume that q is positive definite. This enables us to embed L in \mathbb{R}^n , with $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ the standard inner product and $q(\cdot)$ the corresponding (squared) norm. A characteristic vector of L is an element w such that $B(v, w) \equiv q(v) \pmod{2}$ for every $v \in L$. Characteristic vectors are known to exist in any unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice L, and in this case they constitute a coset of 2L in L. If L has rank n, all the characteristic elements have norm congruent to $n \pmod{8}$ (see [B]; or see Chapter V of [S]).

Noam Elkies has considered the minimal norm of the characteristic vectors in a unimodular lattice. In [E1], Elkies shows that any positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice of rank n has characteristic vectors of norm $\leq n$; he also proves that of all such lattices, only the standard lattice \mathbb{Z}^n has no characteristic vectors of norm strictly less than n. Then in [E2], he begins a programme of showing that a positive definite unimodular lattice whose minimal characteristic vectors have norm close to n are in some sense close to \mathbb{Z}^n . More precisely, he shows that every such lattice whose characteristic vectors all have norm $\geq n-8$ is of the form $L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some L_0 of rank ≤ 23 . He then asks: "For any k > 0, is there \mathcal{N}_k such that every integral [positive definite] unimodular lattice all of whose characteristic vectors have norm $\geq n - 8k$ is of the form $L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for

Received January 15, 1998.

some lattice L_0 of rank at most \mathcal{N}_k ?" Elkies goes on to comment: "Even the case k = 2 appears difficult."

In this paper, we first obtain upper bounds on the number of characteristic vectors of minimal norm s and on the number of characteristic vectors of norm s + 8; then we apply a theorem of Hecke to settle the cases k = 2 and k = 3 of Elkies' problem.

2. Notation

We will largely follow the notation of [O'M]. Also, for a given lattice L, we define:

$$\chi = \chi_L := \{ v \in L : B(x, v) \equiv q(x) \pmod{2}, \forall x \in L \}$$

$$\chi_t = \chi_t(L) := \{ v \in \chi_L : q(v) = t \}$$

$$s = s(L) := \min_{v \in \chi_L} \{ q(v) \}.$$

Thus χ_s denotes the set of shortest characteristic vectors of the lattice L under discussion. Finally, for any set \mathcal{A} , define $|\mathcal{A}|$ to be the cardinality of \mathcal{A} .

3. A bound on the number of shortest characteristic vectors

Throughout this section, L denotes a positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice of rank n. We will find bounds on $|\chi_s|$ and $|\chi_{s+8}|$. The characteristic elements of L constitute a coset of 2L in L, so if $v_1, v_2 \in \chi_L$ then $v_1 + v_2 \in 2L$. If v_1, v_2 have the same norm, we can say more:

Lemma 3.1. Let v_1, v_2 be characteristic elements of L with $q(v_1) = q(v_2) = t$. Then

$$q\left(\frac{v_1+v_2}{2}\right) \le t$$

with equality if and only if $v_1 = v_2$.

Proof. This is because a ball in Euclidean space is strictly convex.

Lemma 3.2. Fix $w \in \chi_s$. Define the map $\phi_w : \chi_s \to L/2L$ by

$$\phi_w(v) := \frac{v - w}{2} + 2L.$$

Then ϕ_w is injective.

Proof. Suppose $\phi_w(v_1) = \phi_w(v_2)$. Then $\frac{v_1 - v_2}{2} \in 2L$, from which we see

$$\frac{v_1 + v_2}{2} = v_2 + \frac{v_1 - v_2}{2} \in \chi_L.$$

Therefore

$$q\left(\frac{v_1+v_2}{2}\right) \ge s.$$

But $v_1, v_2 \in \chi_s$, so by Lemma 3.1 we have $q(\frac{v_1+v_2}{2}) \leq s$. Thus we have equality, and by applying Lemma 3.1 again we see $v_1 = v_2$, as required.

Lemma 3.2 gives us an injective function from χ_s into a group of order 2^n . This proves the following:

Corollary 3.3. The number of shortest characteristic vectors of a positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice of dimension n is at most 2^n .

This result is the best possible, as the following example shows. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{Z}^n . Then the characteristic vectors are those of the form $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e_j$ with all the λ_j odd. In particular, the shortest characteristic vectors are the vectors of the form $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e_j$ with each $\lambda_j \in \{\pm 1\}$; there are 2^n such vectors.

Now we shall find an upper bound on the number of characteristic vectors of norm s + 8. This bound must be at least $n2^n$, for the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n has $n2^n$ such vectors. (These are the vectors $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e_j$ with one $\lambda_j = \pm 3$ and all other $\lambda_j \in \{\pm 1\}$.)

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $w \in \chi_{s+8}$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}_w := \{ v \in \chi_{s+8} : w - v \in 4L \}.$$

If $n \neq 15$ then $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq n$; if n = 15 then $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq 16$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq n+1$, and then to show that equality can hold only when n = 15.

(a) Proof of the inequality $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq n+1$. Write

(1)

$$w = x_1 + 2l_1$$

$$w = x_2 + 2l_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$w = x_{m+1} + 2l_{m+1}$$

in as many different ways as possible with $x_i \in \chi$ and $B(x_i, l_i) = 0$ for each *i*. The list is finite because *q* is positive definite.

Claim: $|\mathcal{C}_w| = m + 1$. Given $v \in \mathcal{C}_w$, let $x = \frac{v+w}{2}$ and $l = \frac{w-v}{4}$. (So w = x + 2l and v = x - 2l.) Then

$$x = w + \frac{v - w}{2} \in w + 2L = \chi.$$

But the equality q(v) = q(w) then yields q(x - 2l) = q(x + 2l), from which B(x, l) = 0. This gives an injective map from \mathcal{C}_w to rows of the list (1). Thus $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq m + 1$.

On the other hand, if $w = x_i + 2l_i$, then we assert that $x_i - 2l_i \in \mathcal{C}_w$; this vector is characteristic and in the same coset of L/4L as w, and $q(w) = q(x_i - 2l_i)$. If $x_i - 2l_i = x_j - 2l_j$ then $w - 4l_i = w - 4l_j$ and so each expression for w yields a different element of \mathcal{C}_w . Thus $|\mathcal{C}_w| = m + 1$ as claimed.

MARK GAULTER

Having established this claim, to prove part (a) we need only show that $m \leq n$. One of our expressions for w in (1) will be w + 0. So without loss of generality, suppose $l_{m+1} = 0$. The proof will proceed by showing l_1, \dots, l_m are linearly independent.

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have $q(x_i) + 4q(l_i) = s + 8$. Since x_i is characteristic, it follows that $q(l_i) = 2$ and $q(x_i) = s$. Suppose $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Because $x_i - 2l_j \in \chi$ we know $q(x_i - 2l_j) \geq s$. Hence, because $q(x_i) = s$, we have

$$B(x_i, l_j) \le q(l_j) = 2.$$

We also know $l_i \neq l_j$, since the expressions in (1) are different. So $q(l_i - l_j) > 0$ and therefore $B(l_i, l_j) \leq 1$. But

$$B(x_i, l_j) + 2B(l_i, l_j) = B(w, l_j) = B(x_j + 2l_j, l_j) = 4.$$

Thus $B(x_i, l_j) = 2$ and $B(l_i, l_j) = 1$ whenever $1 \le i < j \le m$.

We are now ready to prove that l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m are linearly independent. For suppose

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i l_i = 0$$

with $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then for each $k \leq m$ we have $B(\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i l_i, l_k) = 0$, and hence

$$A_m \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_m \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

where A_m is the $m \times m$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But det $A_m = m + 1$, and hence A_m is invertible over \mathbb{Q} . Therefore $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_m = 0$, which proves the claim.

Therefore $m \leq \dim \mathbb{Q}L = n$ and so $|\mathcal{C}_w| \leq n+1$ as required.

(b) Suppose $|\mathcal{C}_w| = n + 1$; we will show that n = 15.

As in the proof of part (a), write $w = x_i + 2l_i$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, with the x_i distinct elements of χ_s , and $B(x_i, l_i) = 0$ for each *i*. Then the set $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Q}L$, and $q(l_i) = 2$ for each *i*.

Write $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i l_i$ with $\nu_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Recall that $B(x_1, l_1) = 0$ and $B(x_1, l_i) = 2$ for $2 \le i \le n$. Thus

$$A_n \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \nu_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solving this for ν_1, \dots, ν_n yields $\nu_1 = -2(\frac{n-1}{n+1})$ and $\nu_2 = \dots = \nu_n = \frac{4}{n+1}$ and hence

$$x_1 = \frac{2}{n+1} \left[-(n-1)l_1 + 2(l_2 + \dots + l_n) \right]$$

from which we find

$$q(x_1) = 8\left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $(n-1, n+1) \leq 2$, it follows that (n+1)|16. So $n \in \{1, 3, 7, 15\}$. But x_1 was characteristic, so $q(x_1) \equiv n \pmod{8}$. This happens only for n = 15.

Corollary 3.5. Let *L* be a positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice of rank *n*. If $n \neq 15$ then *L* has at most $n2^n$ characteristic elements of length s + 8. If *L* has rank 15 then there are at most 2^{19} such elements.

Proof. Regardless of the rank of L, the elements of χ form a coset of L/2L. Therefore χ consists of precisely 2^n cosets of L/4L. Pick an element w_k of norm s + 8 from each coset of L/4L that contains such an element. Then

$$\chi_{s+8} = \bigcup_k \mathcal{C}_{w_k}.$$

If $n \neq 15$, Lemma 3.4 tells us there are no more than n elements in each C_{w_k} . Thus there can be no more than $n2^n$ elements of χ_{s+8} .

If n = 15, Lemma 3.4 tells us there are no more than 16 elements of χ_{s+8} in each C_{w_k} . Thus there can be no more than $16 \cdot 2^{15} = 2^{19}$ elements of χ_{s+8} .

Remark. In fact if n = 15, calculations involving theta series show that there are at most 15×2^{15} characteristic elements of length s + 8.

4. The main result

In the first part this section, we largely follow the notation of [E2]. Let H be the complex upper half plane: the set of complex numbers with strictly positive imaginary part. Define the theta series of the lattice L to be

$$\theta_L(t) := \sum_{v \in L} e^{\pi i \, q(v) \, t}$$

for any $t \in H$. Then

$$\theta_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k e^{\pi i k t},$$

where N_k is the number of times L represents k. Now let w be any characteristic vector of L and define

$$\theta_L'(t) := \sum_{v \in L + \frac{w}{2}} e^{\pi i \, q(v) \, t} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k' e^{\pi i k t/4},$$

where N'_k is the number of characteristic vectors of norm k. In [E1], Elkies relates these series by the identity

(2)
$$\theta_L\left(\frac{-1}{t}+1\right) = \left(\frac{t}{i}\right)^{n/2} \theta'_L(t).$$

The n/2 power refers to the *n*th power of the principal square root.

Hecke has proved that if L is a unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice, then θ_L is a modular form of weight $\frac{n}{2}$ and can be expressed as a weighted-homogeneous polynomial $P_L(\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}, \theta_{E_8})$ in the modular forms $\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and θ_{E_8} of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and 4 repectively (see Theorem 7, Chapter 7 of [CS] and the remark that follows it). Here, $\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and θ_{E_8} are the theta series of the lattices \mathbb{Z} and E_8 . Specifically

$$\theta_{\mathbb{Z}} = 1 + 2(e^{\pi i t} + e^{4\pi i t} + e^{9\pi i t} + \cdots)$$

and

$$\theta_{E_8} = 1 + 240 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^3 e^{2\pi i k t}}{1 - e^{2\pi i k t}} = 1 + 240 e^{2\pi i t} + 2160 e^{4\pi i t} + \cdots$$

We can express

$$P_L(X,Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \lambda_k X^{n-8k} Y^k$$

with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, l \leq \left[\frac{n}{8}\right]$ and $\lambda_l \neq 0$ and so we may write

(3)
$$\theta_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^l \lambda_k \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-8k}(t) \theta_{E_8}^k(t)$$

with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, l \leq [\frac{n}{8}]$ and $\lambda_l \neq 0$. Combining this with equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta'_L(t) &= \left(\frac{i}{t}\right)^{n/2} \theta_L \left(-\frac{1}{t} + 1\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^l \lambda_k \left[\left(\frac{i}{t}\right)^{(n-8k)/2} \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-8k} \left(-\frac{1}{t} + 1\right) \right] \left[\left(\frac{i}{t}\right)^{4k} \theta_{E_8}^k \left(-\frac{1}{t} + 1\right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^l \lambda_k \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\prime n-8k}(t) \theta_{E_8}^{\prime k}(t) \\ &= P_L(\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\prime}, \theta_{E_8}^{\prime}). \end{aligned}$$

But E_8 is an even lattice, hence 0 is one of its characteristic vectors. Thus $\theta_{E_8} = \theta'_{E_8}$. So we have

(4)
$$\theta'_L = P_L(\theta'_{\mathbb{Z}}, \theta_{E_8}).$$

Because the characteristic vectors of \mathbb{Z} (viewed as a lattice of rank one) are the odd integers, we have

$$\theta'_{\mathbb{Z}} = 2(e^{\pi i t/4} + e^{9\pi i t/4} + \cdots)$$

Expanding the polynomial in equation (4) now gives

$$\theta_L'(t) = \lambda_l 2^{n-8l} e^{(n-8l)\pi it/4} + (2^8 \lambda_{l-1} + (n+232l)\lambda_l) 2^{n-8l} e^{(n-8l+8)\pi it/4} + \cdots,$$

where λ_l and λ_{l-1} are as in equation (3). Since θ'_L encodes the number of characteristic vectors of each norm, we can deduce that if θ_L is expressed as in equation (3) then

(5)
$$\begin{cases} s = n - 8l \\ |\chi_s| = \lambda_l 2^{n-8l} \\ |\chi_{s+8}| = (2^8 \lambda_{l-1} + (n+232l)\lambda_l) 2^{n-8l}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.1. Let L be a positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice. Then its theta series $\theta_L(t)$ is a modular form of weight $\frac{n}{2}$ and can be expressed as a weighted-homogeneous polynomial $P_L(\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}, \theta_{E_8})$ in the modular forms $\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and θ_{E_8} of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and 4 respectively. Here $\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and θ_{E_8} are the theta series of the lattices \mathbb{Z} and E_8 . Further, if we write

(6)
$$P_L(X,Y) = \sum_{k=0}^l \lambda_k X^{n-8k} Y^k$$

then $\lambda_l \leq 2^{8l}$.

Proof. In light of Hecke's theorem, the only new information here is the bound on λ_l . Express $P_L(X, Y)$ as in equation (6). Then there are $\lambda_l 2^{n-8l}$ shortest characteristic vectors. But Corollary 3.3 states that there are at most 2^n such vectors. Thus $\lambda_l \leq 2^{8l}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let L be an n-dimensional positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice that does not represent 1. Suppose further that the shortest characteristic vectors of L have norm n - 16. Then

$$\left|\chi_s\right| = 2^{n-24}(2n^2 - 46n + N_2)$$

(Recall that N_2 is the number of times L represents 2.)

Proof. The shortest characteristic vectors of L have norm n - 16; thus

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_L(t) &= \lambda_0 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(t) + \lambda_1 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-8}(t) \theta_{E_8}(t) + \lambda_2 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-16}(t) \theta_{E_8}^2(t) \\ &= \lambda_0 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(t) + \lambda_1 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}^{n-8} \perp E_8}(t) + \lambda_2 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}^{n-16} \perp E_8 \perp E_8}(t). \end{aligned}$$

We know how many times each of the numbers 0, 1 and 2 are represented by the lattices \mathbb{Z}^n , $\mathbb{Z}^{n-8} \perp E_8$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n-16} \perp E_8 \perp E_8$. So we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_L(t) &= 1 + 0e^{\pi i t} + N_2 e^{2\pi i t} + \cdots \\ &= \lambda_0 \left(1 + 2\binom{n}{1} e^{\pi i t} + 2^2\binom{n}{2} e^{2\pi i t} + \cdots \right) \\ &+ \lambda_1 \left(1 + 2\binom{n-8}{1} e^{\pi i t} + \left(2^2\binom{n-8}{2} + 240 \right) e^{2\pi i t} + \cdots \right) \\ &+ \lambda_2 \left(1 + 2\binom{n-16}{1} e^{\pi i t} + \left(2^2\binom{n-16}{2} + 480 \right) e^{2\pi i t} + \cdots \right). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the simultaneous equations

$$\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$$

$$2n\lambda_0 + 2(n-8)\lambda_1 + 2(n-16)\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$2n(n-1)\lambda_0 + (2(n-8)(n-9) + 240)\lambda_1 + (2(n-16)(n-17) + 480)\lambda_2 = N_2.$$

Upon solving these equations, we find

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{2n^2 - 46n + N_2}{256}.$$

The observations (5) now tell us

$$\chi_s| = 2^{n-24}(2n^2 - 46n + N_2)$$

as claimed.

Theorem 4.3. Let L be a positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice of rank n. Suppose further that the shortest characteristic vectors of L have norm n - 16. Then $L = L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some sublattice L_0 of rank ≤ 2907 .

Proof. We may assume L does not represent 1 and prove that $n \leq 2907$. By Corollary 3.3, we know there are at most 2^n shortest characteristic vectors. But Lemma 4.2 tells us L has exactly $2^{n-24}(2n^2 - 46n + N_2)$ shortest characteristic vectors. So

$$2^{n-24}(2n^2 - 46n + N_2) \le 2^n.$$

Hence

(7)
$$2n^2 - 46n + N_2 \le 2^{24}.$$

But $N_2 \ge 0$, hence $2n^2 - 46n \le 2^{24}$ and so the integer *n* cannot exceed 2907.

Lemma 4.4. Let L be an n-dimensional positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice that does not represent 1, and assume that the shortest characteristic vectors of L have norm n - 24. Then

$$|\chi_{n-16}| = (2n^2 - 46n + N_2)2^{n-24} + (n-72)|\chi_{n-24}|.$$

Proof. Since the shortest characteristic vectors of L have norm n - 24, we may write

$$\theta_L(t) = \lambda_0 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(t) + \lambda_1 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-8}(t) \theta_{E_8}(t) + \lambda_2 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-16}(t) \theta_{E_8}^2(t) + \lambda_3 \theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n-24}(t) \theta_{E_8}^3(t).$$

Forming three simultaneous equations exactly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we discover

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{3N_3 + 160N_2 - 5568n - 6N_2n + 308n^2 - 4n^3}{2^{12}}$$
$$\lambda_3 = \frac{-3N_3 - 144N_2 + 4832n + 6N_2n - 276n^2 + 4n^3}{3 \times 2^{12}}.$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_2 = -3\lambda_3 + \frac{2n^2 - 46n + N_2}{2^8}$$

and from the observations (5), we can express the number of characteristic vectors of length n - 16 in terms of the number of shortest characteristic vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_{n-16}| &= (2^8 \lambda_2 + (n+696)\lambda_3) 2^{n-24} \\ &= (2n^2 - 46n + N_2) 2^{n-24} + (n-72)(\lambda_3 2^{n-24}) \\ &= (2n^2 - 46n + N_2) 2^{n-24} + (n-72)|\chi_{n-24}| \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

Theorem 4.5. Let L be a positive definite unimodular \mathbb{Z} -lattice of rank n. Suppose further that the shortest characteristic vectors of L have norm n - 24. Then $L = L_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some sublattice L_0 of rank ≤ 8 388 630.

Proof. We may assume L does not represent 1 and prove that the rank of L is at most 8 388 630.

The hypotheses imply $n \neq 15$. So Corollary 3.5 (b) tells us there can be no more than $n2^n$ second shortest characteristic vectors. So by Lemma 4.4,

$$(2n^2 - 46n + N_2)2^{n-24} + (n-72)|\chi_{n-24}| \le n2^n.$$

We may assume that $n \ge 72$ and we know that the number of shortest characteristic vectors is positive. So

$$(2n^2 - 46n + N_2)2^{n-24} < n2^n.$$

Rearranging,

(8)
$$2n^2 - (46 + 2^{24})n + N_2 < 0.$$

Next notice that $N_2 \ge 0$. So inequality (8) implies *n* can be no larger than 8 388 630.

5. Remarks

I do not claim to have found the best possible bounds for \mathcal{N}_2 or \mathcal{N}_3 . However, if \mathcal{N}_k exists, we can see $\mathcal{N}_k \geq 23k$ as follows. Consider the lattice

$$L_k := \perp_{i=1}^k O_{23}$$

whose components are all copies of the 23-dimensional shorter Leech lattice O_{23} (see, for example, [CS], 179). In [E2], Elkies notes that O_{23} has shortest characteristic vectors of norm 15. From this it follows that L_k is a 23k-dimensional lattice with shortest characteristic vectors of norm 23k - 8k.

It appears that my method of bounding the number of short characteristic vectors does not yield \mathcal{N}_k for $k \geq 4$. So Elkies' question remains open for $k \geq 4$.

Finally, by Construction A of ([CS], 137), we notice that if $k \leq 3$, there is an n_k such that every binary self-dual code whose shadow has minimal norm $\geq \frac{(n-8k)}{2}$ is of the form $C_0 \oplus z^r$ for some code C_0 of length at most n_k .

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my Ph.D. adviser, Larry Gerstein, for his continuing guidance and support.

References

- [B] F. van der Blij, An invariant of quadratic forms mod 8, Indag. Math. 21 (1959), 291–293.
- [CS] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, Sphere packings, lattices and groups, Springer, New York (1988).
- [E1] N. D. Elkies, A characterization of the \mathbb{Z}^n lattice, Math Res. Lett. 2 (1995), 321–326.
- [E2] _____, Lattices and codes with long shadows, Math Res. Lett. 2 (1995), 643–651.
- [O'M] O. T. O'Meara, An introduction to quadratic forms, Springer, New York (1973).
 [S] J.-P. Serre, A course in arithmetic, Springer, New York (1973).

DEPT. OF MATH., UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, USA *E-mail address*: gaulter@math.ucsb.edu