Mathematical Research Letters **4**, 773–776 (1997)

AN APPLICATION OF THE RESULTANT TO OSCILLATORY INTEGRALS WITH POLYNOMIAL PHASE

Daniel M. Oberlin

Fix $n = 2, 3, \dots$ and let $p(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j t^j$ be a polynomial with real coefficients. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. This paper is concerned with estimates of the form

$$\left| \int_I e^{ip(t)}\, dt \right| \le M(a_1, \dots, a_n), \tag{1}$$

where $M(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is independent of I. Let $M_0(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be the supremum over all I of the left hand side of (1). If $q(t) = p(st)$ for $s > 0$, then

$$\int_a^b e^{iq(t)}\, dt = s^{-1} \int_{as}^{bs} e^{ip(t)}\, dt.$$

It follows that the homogeneity condition

$$M(sa_1, s^2 a_2, \dots, s^n a_n) = s^{-1} M(a_1, \dots, a_n), \qquad s > 0, \tag{2}$$

is satisfied if $M(a_1, \dots, a_n) = M_0(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Our interest here is in estimates (1) for which this natural equality (2) holds.

If $1 \le j_1 < j_2 \le n$ and the derivatives $p^{(j_1)}$ and $p^{(j_2)}$ have no common zero in $\mathbb{R}$, then van der Corput's lemma ([S], p. 332) shows that

$$\left| \int_I e^{i\lambda p(t)}\, dt \right| \tag{3}$$

$O(|\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{j_2}})$ as the real parameter λ tends to infinity. We would like our estimates (1) to recover such information, with bounds on the relevant "big oh" constants as well. The paper [O2] contains such estimates in case either $n \le 4$ or $j_2 = n-1$. (The case $j_2 = n$ is covered by van der Corput's lemma.) Here we obtain, for each n and $1 \le j_1 < j_2 \le n$, an estimate (1) with right hand side $M(a_1, \dots, a_n) = M_{j_1 j_2 n}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ satisfying (2). If $p^{(j_1)}$ and $p^{(j_2)}$ have no common complex zero then $M_{j_1 j_2 n}(\lambda a_1, \dots, \lambda a_n)$ is $O(|\lambda|^{-(2n-j_1-j_2-1)/(n^2-j_1 j_2-n)})$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$

Received May 1, 1997.
Partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

when $a_n \neq 0$. If $j_2 < n-1$ this is weaker than the desired estimate of $O(|\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{j_2}})$ for (3), even with the stronger hypothesis of no common complex, as opposed to real, zero. Still, our estimates here recover Theorem 1 and Corollary 3 of [O2] as special cases and, more importantly to our purposes, provide an extension valid for polynomials of degree n of that Corollary 3. (Corollary 3 of [O2] furnishes a Fourier transform estimate required for the proof of a convolution theorem dealing with measures on the curve (t, t^2, t^3, t^4) in $\mathbb{R}^4$ - see [O1]. Its extension is the first step in our attempt to extend the work of [O1] to the n-dimensional setting.)

We begin by recalling the definition of the resultant of two polynomials. If $q(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{j} b_\ell t^\ell$ and $r(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} c_\ell t^\ell$ are polynomials, their resultant $R = R(q,r)$ is the polynomial in the variables b_ℓ and c_ℓ defined by the determinant of the $(j+k)$ by $(j+k)$ matrix:

$$\begin{array}{c} k\left\{\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right. \\ j\left\{\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right. \end{array}
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
b_j & b_{j-1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & b_0 & & & \\
 & b_j & b_{j-1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & b_1 & b_0 & & \\
 & & b_j & b_{j-1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & b_1 & b_0 & \\
 & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
c_k & c_{k-1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & c_0 & & & & & \\
 & c_k & c_{k-1} & \cdot & \cdot & c_1 & c_0 & & & & \\
 & & c_k & c_{k-1} & \cdot & \cdot & c_1 & c_0 & & & \\
 & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot
\end{array}\right].$$

Thus if $n = 2, 3, \dots$, if $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq n$, and if $p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j t^j$, then $R(p^{(j_1)}, p^{(j_2)})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2n - j_1 - j_2$ in $a_1, \dots, a_n$. It is clear that if

$$P = P_{j_1 j_2 n}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{R(p^{(j_1)}, p^{(j_2)})}{a_n},$$

then P is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2n - j_1 - j_2 - 1$ in $a_1, \dots, a_n$. These polynomials P play a central role in our estimate.

Theorem. *With n, j_1, and j_2 as above and $P = P_{j_1 j_2 n}$, there is a constant C, depending only on n, j_1, and j_2, such that if $p(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j t^j$ (with $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$) then, for every interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\left| \int_I e^{ip(t)}\, dt \right| \leq \frac{C}{|P(a_1, \dots, a_n)|^{\frac{1}{n^2 - j_1 j_2 - n}}}. \tag{4}$$

As we will see, the polynomial P has the anisotropic homogeneity property

$$P(sa_1, s^2 a_2, \dots, s^n a_n) = s^{n^2 - j_1 j_2 - n} P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \tag{5}$$

so that (2) holds. Also, P is nonzero if and only if $p^{(j_1)}$ and $p^{(j_2)}$ have no common complex root. Then the (isotropic) homogeneity of P shows that (3) decays at least as fast as $|\lambda|^{-(2n-j_1-j_2-1)/(n^2-j_1j_2-n)}$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ if $a_n \neq 0$. The choices $(n, j_1, j_2) = (n, j, n-1)$ and $(n, j_1, j_2) = (4, 1, 2)$ recover, respectively, Theorem 1 and Corollary 3 of [O2].

Proof. Our proof hinges on the following fact about the resultant $R(q, r)$: with q and r as above, if $q_1, \dots, q_j$ are the (complex) zeros of q and $r_1, \dots, r_k$ are the zeros of r, then

$$R(q, r) = b_j^k c_k^j \prod_{i=1}^{j} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k} (q_i - r_\ell). \tag{6}$$

(See, e.g., p. 137 in [L].) Thus, for example, it is clear that P is nonzero if and only if $p^{(j_1)}$ and $p^{(j_2)}$ have no common zero (assuming $a_n \neq 0$). We will now observe two more consequences of (6): the first is that (5) holds and the second is

$$\text{if } t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } p(t + t_0) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j t^j, \text{ then } P(b_1, \dots, b_n) = P(a_1, \dots, a_n). \tag{7}$$

To prove (5), fix $p(t) (= \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j t^j)$ and $s > 0$. Let $u(t) = p(st)$. Then

$$P(sa_1, s^2 a_2, \dots, s^n a_n) = \frac{R(u^{(j_1)}, u^{(j_2)})}{s^n a_n}. \tag{8}$$

Since the zeros of $u^{(j)}$ are the multiples by s^{-1} of the zeros of $p^{(j)}$, (6) shows that

$$R(u^{(j_1)}, u^{(j_2)}) = s^{n(n-j_1)+n(n-j_2)-(n-j_1)(n-j_2)} R(p^{(j_1)}, p^{(j_2)}).$$

With (8) this gives (5). The proof of (7) is somewhat similar: if we let $w(t) = p(t + t_0)$, then the zeros of $w^{(j)}$ are the translates by $-t_0$ of the zeros of $p^{(j)}$. Since $w^{(j)}$ and $p^{(j)}$ have the same leading coefficient, (7) follows from (6).

Our next goal is the inequality

$$|P(a_1, \dots, a_n)|^{\frac{1}{n^2 - j_1 j_2 - n}} \leq C \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| p^{(j)}(t) \right|^{\frac{1}{j}}. \tag{9}$$

Define C to be

$$\sup \left\{ |P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)|^{\frac{1}{n^2 - j_1 j_2 - n}} : \sum_{j=1}^{n} |j! \alpha_j|^{\frac{1}{j}} = 1 \right\}.$$

Since for $s > 0$

$$\left|P(sa_1, s^2a_2, \dots , s^n a_n)\right|^{\frac{1}{n^2-j_1j_2-n}} = s\left|P(a_1, \dots , a_n)\right|^{\frac{1}{n^2-j_1j_2-n}}$$

by (5) and since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left|s^j j! a_j\right|^{\frac{1}{j}} = s \sum_{j=1}^{n} |j! a_j|^{\frac{1}{j}} ,$$

it follows from the definition of C that

$$|P(a_1, \dots , a_n)|^{\frac{1}{n^2-j_1j_2-n}} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left|p^{(j)}(0)\right|^{\frac{1}{j}}$$

for any $p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j t^j$. Replacing $p(t)$ by $p(t + t_0)$ and applying (7) gives (9).

Now let $N = N(n)$ be a positive integer so large that, for each $p(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j t^j$, the cardinality of

$$\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \left(p^{(k_1)}(t)\right)^{k_2} = \left(p^{(k_2)}(t)\right)^{k_1} \text{ for some } k_1, k_2 \text{ with } 1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq n\right\}$$

is bounded by $N - 1$. Then, given p, $\mathbb{R}$ can be written as a disjoint union of at most N intervals I_ℓ such that for each I_ℓ there is $j' = j'(\ell) \in \{1, \dots , n\}$ with

$$\left|p^{(j')}(t)\right|^{\frac{1}{j'}} = \sup_{1\leq j\leq n} \left|p^{(j)}(t)\right|^{\frac{1}{j}} , \quad t \in I_\ell.$$

Thus (9) and van der Corput's lemma give, for each ℓ,

$$\left|\int_{I\cap I_\ell} e^{ip(t)}\, dt\right| \leq \frac{C}{|P(a_1, \dots , a_n)|^{\frac{1}{n^2-j_1j_2-n}}}.$$

Since

$$\left|\int_{I} e^{ip(t)}\, dt\right| \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{N(n)} \left|\int_{I\cap I_\ell} e^{ip(t)}\, dt\right|,$$

(4) follows.

References

[L] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965.

[O1] D. Oberlin, *A convolution estimate for a measure on a curve in* $\mathbb{R}^4$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 1355–1361.

[O2] ———, *Estimates for oscillatory integrals with polynomial phase*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[S] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: Real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series, 43. Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III., Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

Dept. of Mathematics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-3027

E-mail address: oberlin@zeno.math.fsu.edu