# **AN APPROXIMATION PROPERTY FOR TEICHMULLER POINTS ¨**

## Alexandru Buium

Start with a field *K* of characteristic zero, complete under a discrete valuation and having an algebraically closed residue field *k* of characteristic *p >* 0. Let *R* be the valuation ring of *K*. Assume that we are given a prime element  $\pi \in R$  which is algebraic over  $Q_p$ . Let q be the cardinality of the residue field of  $Q_p(\pi)$  and let  $\phi$  be the unique ring automorphism of R with  $\phi(\pi) = \pi$  that lifts the "Frobenius" automorphism  $F : k \to k$ ,  $F(x) := x<sup>q</sup>$ .

Let *X* be a scheme of finite type over *R* and assume the Frobenius *x* → *x*<sup>*q*</sup> of the closed fibre  $X_0 := X \otimes k$  lifts to a *φ*−endomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\hat{X}$ , the completion of *X* with respect to the ideal generated by  $\pi$ . For any point  $P \in X(R) = \hat{X}(R)$ ,  $P : Sp f R \rightarrow \hat{X}$  define the point  $P^{\tilde{\phi}} \in X(R)$  as the composition

$$
Spf \mathrel{R} \stackrel{\phi^{-1}}{\to} Spf \mathrel{R} \stackrel{P}{\to} \hat{X} \stackrel{\tilde{\phi}}{\to} \hat{X}
$$

Call *P* a Teichmüller point if  $P^{\tilde{\phi}} = P$  and let  $T = T(X, \tilde{\phi}) \subset X(R)$  denote the set of Teichmüller points.

### **Examples**

1) Let  $X = (G_m)^N = \text{Spec } R[x_1, x_1^{-1}, ..., x_N, x_N^{-1}]$  be a torus over  $R$ and let  $\tilde{\phi}$  be the unique lifting of  $\phi$  to  $\hat{X}$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(x_i) = x_i^q + \pi g_i$ , where  $g_i \in R[x_1, ..., x_N]$ . So *T* consists of all points  $r = (r_1, ..., r_N) \in R^\times \times ... \times R^\times$ such that  $\phi(r_i) = r_i^q + \pi g_i(r)$ . So if *K* is the completion of the maximum unramified extension of  $Q_p$  and  $g_i = 0$ , then *T* consists all points whose coordinates are roots of unity of order prime to *p*.

2)Assume for simplicity that *K* is the completion of the maximum unramified extension of  $Q_p$ . Let X be an abelian variety with ordinary reduction  $X_0$  and assume  $X$  is the canonical lifting of  $X_0$ ; by [Ka1], there is a canonical lifting of Frobenius,  $\phi$ , to *X*. Then *T* contains the prime to  $p$  torsion of  $X(R)$ .

Received April 1, 1996.

Supported in part by NSF grant DMS–9500331.

3) Assume again that *K* is as in Example 2) above. Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer not divisible by *p*. Let

$$
X = \operatorname{Spec}_{\bar{M}_n \otimes R}(\operatorname{Symm}(\omega^{p-1})/(E_{p-1} - 1))
$$

where  $M_n$  is obtained by "adding cusps" to the modular scheme over *Z*[1*/n*] classifying the elliptic curves with level *n* structure (cf. [Ka2], pp. 81-82),  $\omega$  is the natural invertible sheaf defined in [Ka2], p. 82, and  $E_{p-1}$  is the corresponding Eisenstein series. By [Ka2], p.111, *X* is an affine scheme. By [Ka2] pp. 122-124, there is a natural lifting of the Frobenius,  $\phi$ , to *X*. Using the construction of  $\phi$  via the "canonical subgroup" one can check that *T* contains all points of  $X(R)$  that are not cusps and for which the corresponding elliptic curve  $E/R$  is a canonical lift of its closed fibre  $E_0$ . One can consider more complicated examples by taking *d* fold products of the *X* above. Also it is reasonable to expect that a similar example is obtainable by taking modular varieties corresponding to abelian varieties of higher dimension.

4) Let  $X = \text{Proj } R[x_0, ..., x_N]$  be the projective space over  $R$  and let  $\phi$ be the unique lifting of  $\phi$  to  $\ddot{X}$  such that

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x_i}{x_j}\right) = \frac{x_i^q + \pi G_i}{x_j^q + \pi G_j}
$$

where  $G_0, ..., G_N \in R[x_0, ..., x_N]$  are homogenous polynomials of degree *q*. So, for instance, if  $G_i = 0$  and *K* is the completion of the maximum unramified extension of  $Q_p$  then  $T$  consists all points whose projective coordinates have ratios roots of unity of order prime to *p*.

Let  $X/R$  be a scheme, let  $Y \subset X$  be a closed subscheme and let  $P \in$ *X*(*R*). Set  $R_m := R/\pi^{m+1}R$  for  $m \ge 1$ ,  $X_m := X \otimes R_m$ ,  $Y_m := Y \otimes R_m$ , and let  $P_m \in X_m(R_m)$ , be the image of *P*. One defines the *p*−adic distance from  $P$  to  $Y$  as

$$
dist(P, Y) = inf{p-m; Pm \in Ym(Rm)}.
$$

Of course dist $(P, Y) = 0$  if and only if  $P \in Y(R)$ . Here is our main result:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X/R$  be a scheme of finite type,  $Y \subset X$  a closed subscheme,  $\phi : \hat{X} \to \hat{X}$  a  $\phi$ -lifting of the Frobenius of the closed fibre  $X_0$ and  $T = T(X, \tilde{\phi}) \subset X(R)$  the set of Teichmüller points. Then there exists a real constant  $c = c(X, Y, \phi) > 0$  such that for any  $P \in T$  with  $dist(P, Y) \leq c$  we must have  $P \in Y(R)$ .

Remark. Theorem 1 applied to Examples 1, 2, 3 above answers special cases of a question posed to the author by F.Voloch. Cf. [TV] for the case of Example 1.

For the case of curves in projective varieties we can supplement the above Theorem as follows:

**Theorem 2.** Assume we are in the situation of Theorem 1 and assume moreover that *K* is absolutely unramified,  $X/R$  is projective and  $Y/R$  is a smooth curve of genus  $\geq 2$ . Then the set of points  $\{P \in T; \text{dist}(P, Y) < 1\}$ is finite.

The proofs of these two results will be an easy consequence of a construction made in [B1] whose properties we now recall. For any *R*−algebra *B* we denote by  $W_2^{\pi}(B)$  the ring of "ramified Witt vectors of length two", whose underlying set is  $B \times B$  and whose addition and multiplication are given by:

$$
(b_0, b_1) + (c_0, c_1) = (b_0 + c_0, b_1 + c_1 - (p/\pi)C_q(b_0, c_0))
$$

$$
(b_0, b_1) \cdot (c_0, c_1) = (b_0c_0, b_0^q c_1 + c_0^q b_1 + \pi b_1 c_1)
$$

where  $C_q(X, Y) = ((X+Y)^q - X^q - Y^q)/p \in Z[X, Y]$ . Let *f* : *A* → *B* be an *R*−algebra homomorphism. By a  $\pi$ −derivation of *f* we shall understand a map of sets  $\delta: A \to B$  such that the induced map

$$
(f,\delta):A\to B\times B=W_2^\pi(B),\ \ x\mapsto (f(x),\delta(x))
$$

is a ring homomorphism. For instance the map  $\delta_* : R \to R$  defined by  $\delta_* x = (\phi(x) - x^q)/\pi$  is a  $\pi$ -derivation. There is an obvious notion of *π*−derivation of a map of sheaves of *R*−algebras on a topological space. For any scheme of finite type  $X/R$  we constructed, in [B1], a projective system

$$
\ldots \to X^n \overset{f_n}{\to} X^{n-1} \to \ldots \to X^1 \overset{f_1}{\to} X^0 = \hat{X}
$$

of *π*−formal schemes (where "*π*−formal scheme" means "formal scheme for which the ideal generated by  $\pi$  is an ideal of definition"), and  $\pi$ −derivations *δ*<sup>*n*</sup> (extending *δ*<sup>\*</sup>) of  $O_{X_{n-1}}$  into the direct image of  $O_{X_n}$ , such that each  $\delta_{n+1}$  prolongs  $\delta_n$  and such that the following universality property is satisfied. For any morphism of  $\pi$ -formal schemes  $g : S \to X^n$  and for any *π*−derivation *δ* of  $O_{X^n}$  into  $g_* O_S$ , prolonging  $δ_n$ , there is a unique morphism of  $\pi$ -formal schemes  $f : S \to X^{n+1}$  such that  $f^* \circ \delta_{n+1} = \delta$  and  $f_{n+1} \circ f = g$ . This universality property induces natural maps

$$
\nabla^n: X(R) \to X^n(R)
$$

which induce bijections

$$
\nabla_0^n: X_n(R_n) \to X_0^n(k)
$$

where, as usual,  $X_0^n := X^n \otimes k$ . (In case *K* is absolutely unramified, but only in this case, the  $k$ -schemes  $X_0^n$  are the "Greenberg transforms" of *X*.)

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1. We may assume  $\ddot{X}/R$ is flat, hence we may define a  $\pi$ −derivation of the structure sheaf of X by the formula  $\delta x = (\phi(x) - x^q)/\pi$ . By the universality property of  $X^n$ , since the  $\delta_n$ 's extend  $\delta_*$ , there exist induced sections  $s^n : \hat{X} \to X^n$  of the projections  $X^n \to \hat{X}$  such that  $f_n \circ s^n = s^{n-1}$  for all *n*. Tensorizing with *k* we get a system of sections  $s_0^n : X_0 \to X_0^n$  of the projections  $X_0^n \to X_0$ . Consider the closed subschemes  $Y^n \subset X^n$  and their reductions modulo p,  $Y_0^n \subset X_0^n$ . Consider the closed subschemes

$$
Z(n) = (s_0^n)^{-1}(Y_0^n \cap s_0^n(X_0)) \subset X_0.
$$

They form a descending sequence so there exists an index  $n_0$  such that

(\*) 
$$
Z(n) = Z(n_0), n \ge n_0.
$$

Now let  $P \in T$ , viewed as a morphism  $P : Spf \rvert R \to \hat{X}$ . We claim that  $\nabla^{n}(P)$ : *Spf*  $R \to X^{n}$  factors through  $s^{n}(\hat{X})$ , i.e. that  $\nabla^{n}(P) = s^{n} \circ P$ . (Indeed we proceed by induction on *n*. Assume  $\nabla^{n-1}(P) = s^{n-1} \circ P$ . Since  $P \in T$  we have  $P^* \circ \delta = \delta_* \circ P^*$ . On the other hand, by the construction of the  $s^n$ 's we have  $s^{n,*} \circ \delta_n = \delta \circ s^{n-1,*}$ . We get

$$
(s^n \circ P)^* \circ \delta_n = P^* \circ s^{n,*} \circ \delta_n = P^* \circ \delta \circ s^{n-1,*}
$$

$$
= \delta_* \circ P^* \circ s^{n-1,*} = \delta_* \circ \nabla^{n-1}(P)^*.
$$

On the other hand, by the definition of  $\nabla^n$  we have

$$
\nabla^n(P)^* \circ \delta_n = \delta_* \circ \nabla^{n-1}(P)^*.
$$

The two equations above plus the universality property of  $X^n$  impliy that  $\nabla^{n}(P) = s^{n} \circ P$ , and the induction step is proved.) We conclude that  $\nabla_0^n(P_n) \in s_0^n(X_0)(k)$  for all n. In particular if  $P \in T$  and  $dist(P, Y) \le$ *p*<sup>−*n*<sub>0</sub></sup> we get  $\nabla_0^{n_0}(P_{n_0}) \in Y_0^{n_0}(k) \cap s_0^{n_0}(X_0)(k)$ , hence, by (\*),  $\nabla_0^{n}(P_n) \in$  $Y_0^n(k) \cap s_0^n(X_0)(k)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , hence  $P \in Y(R)$  and we are done.

To prove Theorem 2 it is enough to prove that  $Y_0^1 \cap s_0^1(X_0)$  is a finite set. But  $s_0^1(X_0)$  is a projective variety while, by [B2], Proposition 1.10,  $Y_0^1$  is an affine variety. Since both these varieties are closed in  $X_0^1$ , their intersection must be finite.

#### **Acknowledgment**

The author would like to thank John Tate for his useful comments.

### **References**

[B1] A. Buium, *Differential characters of abelian varieties over p*−*adic fields*, Invent. Math. **122** (1995), 309–340.

[B2] , *Geometry of p*−*jets*, Duke Math. J. **82** (1996), 349–367.

[Ka1] N. Katz, *Serre-Tate local moduli*, Springer, LNM **868** (1981), 138–202

[Ka2] N. Katz, *p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms*, in: Modular functions in one variable III, Springer, LNM **350** (1973), 70–190.

[TV] J. Tate and F.Voloch, *Projective geometry for p*−*adic fields*, Preprint.

University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131 *E-mail address*: buium@math.unm.edu