

A NOTE ON THE TOTAL CURVATURE OF A KÄHLER MANIFOLD

WAN-XIONG SHI AND S.-T. YAU

Given a complete manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature, it is a very interesting geometric problem of how curvature decays at infinity. While it is not true that the curvature decays in a strong sense, it is possible that the average of the scalar curvature decays at least linearly. Such a statement is certainly consistent with the Cohn-Vossen inequality which holds for surfaces. The significance of such an inequality is also clear because of its relevance with the work of the first author [1] on the attempt to prove the conjecture of the second author that a complete noncompact Kähler manifold with positive bisectional curvature is biholomorphic to the complex euclidean space.

The purpose of this note is to prove a weaker version of the conjecture for Kähler manifolds.

Theorem 1. *Suppose M is a complex n -dimensional ($n \geq 3$) complete noncompact Kähler manifold with bounded and nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature such that*

$$(1) \quad R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}} \geq \epsilon R \quad \text{on } M,$$

where $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$ is a constant and R is the scalar curvature. Then we have

$$(2) \quad \int_{B(x_0, \gamma)} R(x) dx \leq \frac{C(n, \epsilon)}{\gamma^2} \text{ Vol } B(x_0, \gamma)$$

for any $x_0 \in M$ and $0 < \gamma < +\infty$, where $B(x_0, \gamma)$ is the geodesic ball of radius γ centered at x_0 and the constant $C(n, \epsilon)$ depends only on n and ϵ .

Since both assumptions and conclusion are scaling invariant, we only need to prove (2) for $\gamma = 1$. That is, we only need to prove

Received December 5, 1995.

The first author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS 9403405.

Theorem 2. Suppose M is a complex n -dimensional ($n \geq 3$) complete noncompact Kähler manifold such that

$$(3) \quad \epsilon R \leq R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}} \leq K_0 \quad \text{on } M,$$

where $0 < \epsilon, K_0 < +\infty$ are constants. Then

$$(4) \quad \int_{B(x_0, 1)} R(x) dx \leq c(n, \epsilon) \text{ Vol } B(x_0, 1)$$

for any $x_0 \in M$, where $c(n, \epsilon)$ depends only on n and ϵ , and is independent of K_0 .

Fix a point $x_0 \in M$. Suppose $\varphi(x, t)$ is the solution of the heat equation

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta \varphi & \text{on } M \times [0, \infty) \\ \varphi(x, 0) = \left[\frac{1}{1+\gamma(x, x_0)} \right]^{2n} & x \in M, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma(x, x_0)$ denotes the distance between x and x_0 . We have $\varphi(x, t) \in C^\infty(M \times (0, \infty))$,

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{C_1}{[1+\gamma(x, x_0)]^{2n}} \leq \varphi(x, t) \leq \frac{C_2}{[1+\gamma(x, x_0)]^{2n}} & \text{on } M \times [0, 1] \\ |\nabla_i \varphi(x, t)| \leq \frac{C_3}{[1+\gamma(x, x_0)]^{2n+1}} & \text{on } M \times [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

where $0 < C_1, C_2, C_3 < +\infty$ depend only on n .

Lemma 3.

$$(7) \quad |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \varphi(x, t)| \leq \frac{C_4}{[1 + \gamma(x, x_0)]^{2n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \quad \text{on } M \times [0, 1],$$

where $0 < C_4 < +\infty$ depends only on n .

Proof. From (5) we have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \varphi|^2 &= \Delta |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \varphi|^2 - |\nabla_\gamma \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \varphi|^2 - |\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \varphi|^2 \\ &\quad + 2R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} \nabla_\beta \varphi \cdot \nabla_\delta \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} \varphi - 2R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} \varphi \cdot \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Choose a coordinate system such that at one point

$$\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \varphi = \begin{cases} 0 & \alpha \neq \beta \\ \ell \ell_\alpha & \alpha = \beta. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_{\beta}\varphi \cdot \nabla_{\delta}\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}\varphi - 2R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\nabla_{\beta}\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}\varphi \cdot \nabla_{\gamma}\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\varphi \\
 &= 2R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\gamma\bar{\gamma}}\ell\ell_{\alpha}\ell\ell_{\gamma} - 2R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}\ell\ell_{\alpha}^2 \\
 (9) \quad &= -\sum_{\alpha,\beta} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}}\ell\ell_{\alpha}\ell\ell_{\gamma} - 2R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}\ell\ell_{\alpha}^2 \\
 &= -\sum_{\alpha,\beta} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}}(\ell\ell_{\alpha} - \ell\ell_{\beta})^2 \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\varphi|^2 \leq \Delta|\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\varphi|^2 - |\nabla_{\gamma}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\varphi|^2 - |\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\varphi|^2.$$

Combining (6) and (10) we can establish (7). For the details, one can see Shi-Yau [2]. \square

Remark. If we do not assume the nonnegativity of the holomorphic bisectional curvature, estimate (7) is still true with the constant C_4 depends *not only* on n but also on K_0 .

Let

$$(11) \quad \psi(x) = \varphi(x, 1) \quad x \in M.$$

Then

$$(12) \quad \psi(x) \in C^{\infty}(M),$$

$$(13) \quad \frac{C_1}{(1+\gamma)^{2n}} \leq \psi(x) \leq \frac{C_2}{(1+\gamma)^{2n}},$$

$$(14) \quad |\nabla_{\alpha}\psi(x)| \leq \frac{C_3}{(1+\gamma)^{2n+1}},$$

$$(15) \quad |\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\psi(x)| \leq \frac{C_4}{(1+\gamma)^{2n+1}}.$$

For the remaining part of this note, we *always* denote

$$(16) \quad \gamma = \gamma(x) = \gamma(x, x_0), \quad x \in M.$$

Suppose $U(x)$ is the function defined by

$$(17) \quad U(x) = - \int_M G(x, y) \psi(y) dy, \quad x \in M$$

where $G(x, y) > 0$ is the Green function on M . Then

$$(18) \quad \Delta U(x) = \psi(x) \quad x \in M.$$

If $G(x, y)$ does not exist on M , we can use elliptic equation theory to solve (18). Thus (13), (14), and (15) give

$$(19) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{C_1}{(1+\gamma)^{2n}} \leq \Delta U \leq \frac{C_2}{(1+\gamma)^{2n}} \\ |\nabla_\alpha(\Delta U)| \leq \frac{C_3}{(1+\gamma)^{2n+1}} \quad \text{on } M \\ |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}}(\Delta U)| \leq \frac{C_4}{(1+\gamma)^{2n+1}}. \end{cases}$$

Since $R_{ij} \geq 0$ on M , we have

$$(20) \quad \frac{C(n)\gamma(x, y)^2}{\text{Vol } B(x, \gamma(x, y))} \leq G(x, y) \leq \frac{\widetilde{C}(n)\gamma(x, y)^2}{\text{Vol } B(x, \gamma(x, y))}, \quad \forall x, y \in M$$

where $0 < C(n), \widetilde{C}(n) < +\infty$ depend only on n .

Combining (13), (17), (18), (19) and (20) we can show that

$$(21) \quad -\frac{C_7(\gamma+1)^2 \text{Vol } B(x_0, 1)}{\text{Vol } B(x_0, \gamma+1)} \leq U(x) \leq -\frac{C_8(\gamma+1)^2 \text{Vol } B(x_0, 1)}{\text{Vol } B(x_0, \gamma+1)} \quad \forall x \in M$$

$$(22) \quad |\nabla_\alpha U(x)| \leq \frac{C_9(\gamma+1)^2 \text{Vol } B(x_0, 1)}{\text{Vol } B(x_0, \gamma+1)} \quad x \in M$$

$$(23) \quad |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U(x)| \leq \frac{C_9(\gamma+1)^2 \text{Vol } B(x_0, 1)}{\text{Vol } B(x_0, \gamma+1)} \quad x \in M,$$

where $0 < C_7, C_8, C_9 < +\infty$ depend only on n . The proof of (23) is similar to the proof of (7). The only difference is to replace $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$ in (5) by $\psi(x)$ in (18).

Using the interchange formula for covariant derivatives, we have (convention: $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_\alpha$)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma U) &= \nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma(\Delta U) + \frac{1}{2}R_{\theta\bar{\beta}}\nabla_{\bar{\theta}}\nabla_\gamma U \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}R_{\gamma\bar{\theta}}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\theta U - R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\theta}}\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_\theta U\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta|\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma U| &= 2\operatorname{Re}\{\nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma(\Delta U)\} \\ &\quad + |\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma U|^2 + |\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma U|^2 \\ &\quad + 2R_{\theta\bar{\beta}}\nabla_{\bar{\theta}}\nabla_\gamma U \cdot \nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}U - 2R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\theta}}\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_\theta U \cdot \nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}U.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(24) \quad &2 \int_M \operatorname{Re}\{\nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma(\Delta U)\} dx \\ &+ \int_M [|\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma U|^2 + |\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma U|^2] dx \\ &+ 2 \int_M [R_{\theta\bar{\beta}}\nabla_{\bar{\theta}}\nabla_\gamma U \cdot \nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}U - R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\theta}}\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_\theta U \cdot \nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}U] dx \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Choose a coordinate system such that at one point

$$(25) \quad \nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}U = \begin{cases} 0 & \alpha \neq \beta \\ \lambda_\alpha & \alpha = \beta. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(26) \quad &R_{\theta\bar{\beta}}\nabla_{\bar{\theta}}\nabla_\gamma U \cdot \nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}U - R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\theta}}\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_\theta U \cdot \nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}U \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}}(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)^2 \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

(24) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(27) \quad &2 \int_M \operatorname{Re}\{\nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma(\Delta U)\} dx \\ &+ \int_M [|\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma U|^2 + |\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma U|^2] dx \\ &+ 2 \int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}}(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)^2 dx = 0.\end{aligned}$$

But from (19) and (23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (28) \quad & 2 \left| \int_M \operatorname{Re} \{ \nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \nabla_\gamma (\Delta U) \} dx \right| \\
 & \leq 2 \int_M |\nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U| \cdot |\nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \nabla_\gamma (\Delta U)| dx \\
 & \leq \int_M \frac{2C_4 C_9 \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, 1)}{(1 + \gamma)^{2n+1} \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, \gamma + 1)} dx \leq C_{10} \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < C_{10} < +\infty$ depends only on n .

Combining (27) and (28) we get

$$(29) \quad \int_M \{ |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \nabla_\gamma U|^2 + |\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} \nabla_\gamma U|^2 \} dx \leq C_{10} \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, 1)$$

$$(30) \quad 2 \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \beta} R_{\alpha \bar{\alpha} \beta \bar{\beta}} (\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)^2 dx \leq C_{10} \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, 1).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (31) \quad & \int_M R(\Delta U)^2 dx = \int_M R \Delta U \cdot \Delta U dx \\
 & = \int_M R \Delta U \cdot \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
 & = - \int_M R \nabla_\alpha (\Delta U) \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx - \int_M \nabla_\alpha R \cdot \Delta U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
 & = - \int_M R \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx - \int_M \nabla_\gamma R_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \cdot \Delta U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
 & = - \int_M R \nabla_\beta \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx + \int_M R_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma [\Delta U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U] dx \\
 & = \int_M \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\beta [R \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U] dx + \int_M R_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma [\Delta U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U] dx \\
 & = \int_M R \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx + \int_M \nabla_\beta R \cdot \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
 & + \int_M R_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U \cdot \Delta U dx + \int_M R_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma (\Delta U) \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M \nabla_\beta R \cdot \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
&= \int_M \nabla_\gamma R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \cdot \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
&= - \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx - \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
&= - \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx - \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
&= \int_M \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\alpha [R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U] dx - \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
(32) \quad &= \int_M \nabla_\alpha R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \cdot \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx + \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \Delta U dx \\
&\quad - \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
&= \int_M \nabla_\beta R_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \cdot \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx + \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \Delta U dx \\
&\quad - \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
&= - \int_M R_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma (\Delta U) \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx - \int_M R_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx \\
&\quad + \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \Delta U dx - \int_M R_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (31) and (32) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M R(\Delta U)^2 dx &= \int_M R \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} \nabla_\beta U dx \\
(33) \quad &\quad + 2 \int_M R_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U \cdot \Delta U dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_M R_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U dx.
\end{aligned}$$

If we define a function

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x) &= R(\Delta U)^2 - R \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} \nabla_\beta U \\
(34) \quad &\quad + 2R_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U \cdot \nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U \\
&\quad - 2R_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \nabla_\gamma \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}} U \cdot \Delta U.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(35) \quad \int_M F(x) dx = 0.$$

With the coordinate (25) we know that

$$(36) \quad |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U| = \sqrt{\sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha^2}.$$

Define $\Omega \subseteq M$ such that

$$(37) \quad \Omega = \{x \in M \mid \left| \lambda_\alpha - \frac{|\nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|}{\sqrt{n}} \right| \leq \frac{|\nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|}{(2n+10)^5} \text{ for } \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

It is easy to see that for any $x \in M \setminus \Omega$, there exist α and β (say $\alpha = 1, \beta = 2$) such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \geq \frac{|\nabla_\beta \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|}{(2n+10)^5}.$$

Thus

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}} (\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)^2 &\geq R_{1\bar{1}2\bar{2}} \frac{|\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U|^2}{(2n+10)^{10}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{(2n+10)^{10}} R |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U|^2 \\ &\forall x \in M \setminus \Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{M \setminus \Omega} R |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U|^2 dx &\leq \frac{(2n+10)^{10}}{\epsilon} \int_{M \setminus \Omega} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}} (\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{(2n+10)^{10}}{\epsilon} \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \beta} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}} (\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (30) and (39) we know that

$$(40) \quad \int_{M \setminus \Omega} R |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U|^2 dx \leq \frac{(2n+10)^{10}}{2\epsilon} C_{10} \text{Vol } B(x_0, 1).$$

It is easy to see that

$$(41) \quad |F(x)| \leq C_{11}(n) R |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U|^2 \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Thus

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{M \setminus \Omega} F(x) dx \right| &\leq \int_{M \setminus \Omega} |F(x)| dx \leq \\ &\leq C_{11} \int_{M \setminus \Omega} R |\nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar{\beta}} U|^2 dx \leq C_{12}(n, \epsilon) \text{Vol } B(x_0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

From (35) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x)dx = - \int_{M \setminus \Omega} F(x)dx.$$

Thus from (42)

$$(43) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} F(x)dx \right| \leq C_{12}(n, \epsilon) \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, 1).$$

Since on Ω we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha} \sim \frac{|\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

From (34) we have

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} F(x) &\sim R \cdot n |\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|^2 - R |\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|^2 + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{1}{n} |\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} |\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|^2, \\ F(x) &\sim \sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \left(n - 3 + \frac{2}{n} \right) |\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|^2, \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq 3$, we have $n - 3 + \frac{2}{n} \geq \frac{2}{n}$. (44) is not precise. Precisely we have

$$(45) \quad F(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} R |\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|^2 \quad x \in \Omega.$$

(43), (45) \implies

$$(46) \quad \int_{\Omega} R |\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|^2 dx \leq C_{13}(n, \epsilon) \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, 1).$$

(40), (46) \implies

$$(47) \quad \int_M R |\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|^2 dx \leq C_{14}(n, \epsilon) \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, 1).$$

(19) \implies

$$(48) \quad |\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} U|^2 \geq \frac{C_1^2}{n(1+\gamma)^{4n}}, \quad x \in M.$$

(47), (48) \implies

$$(49) \quad \int_M \frac{R(x)dx}{[1+\gamma(x, x_0)]^{4n}} \leq C_{15}(n, \epsilon) \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, 1).$$

Thus finally we have

$$(50) \quad \int_{B(x_0, 1)} R(x)dx \leq C_{16}(n, \epsilon) \operatorname{Vol} B(x_0, 1).$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

References

1. W. X. Shi, *Ricci deformation of the metric on complete noncompact Kähler manifolds*, Ph.D. Thesis; Harvard University (1990).
2. W.X. Shi and S.-T. Yau, *Harmonic maps on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, A tribute to Ilya Bakelman, ed. by I.R. Bakelman, S. A. Fulling and S. D. Taliaferro, vol. 3, Discourses in mathematics and its applications, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, (1994), pp. 79–120.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE MA 02138
E-mail address: yau@math.harvard.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN
47907
E-mail address: wxshi@math.purdue.edu