J-holomorphic cylinders between ellipsoids in dimension four

RICHARD K. HIND AND ELY KERMAN

We establish the existence of regular *J*-holomorphic cylinders in 4-dimensional ellipsoid cobordisms that are asymptotic to Reeb orbits of small Conley-Zehnder index. We also give an independent proof of the existence of cylindrical holomorphic buildings in 4dimensional ellipsoid cobordisms under fairly general conditions.

1. Introduction

In this note we establish the existence of regular pseudoholomorphic cylinders between Reeb orbits of the same, suitably small, Conley-Zehnder index in ellipsoid cobordisms. The existence of holomorphic *buildings* between such orbits follows from the construction of cylindrical contact homology, see [EGH] and [Pa]. Genuine holomorphic curves though are essential for various applications since they are amenable to gluing. In particular, the cylinders constructed here are used to correct an error in the main existence result from [HK], see [HK2]. They are also a key ingredient in McDuff's proof of stabilized symplectic embedding obstructions — Theorem 1.1 in [M], see Lemma 2.5 in the same article. It would be easy to conjecture that holomorphic cylinders between Reeb orbits of the same Conley-Zehnder index should always exist in ellipsoid cobordisms. However this is far from the case, as we discuss in Section 1.2.

1.1. The setting

We begin by recalling the features of a standard symplectic ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$. To a pair of real positive numbers a < b which are rationally independent

Both authors are supported by grants from the Simons Foundation.

we associate the symplectic ellipsoid

$$E = E(a, b) = \left\{ \frac{\pi |z_1|^2}{a} + \frac{\pi |z_2|^2}{b} \le 1 \right\}.$$

The notation cE(a, b) will be used for the scaled ellipsoid E(ca, cb).

We denote the boundary of E by ∂E and its interior by \check{E} . The restriction of the standard Liouville form on \mathbb{R}^4 yields a contact form $\lambda = \lambda(a, b)$ on ∂E . It has exactly two simple closed Reeb orbits, a faster orbit α with period a and a slower orbit β with period b. The Conley-Zehnder index of α^k , the k-th iterate of α , is

(1)
$$\operatorname{CZ}(\alpha^k) = 2k + 2\left\lfloor \frac{ka}{b} \right\rfloor + 1$$

and the Conley-Zehnder index of the k-th iterate of β is

(2)
$$\operatorname{CZ}(\beta^k) = 2k + 2\left\lfloor \frac{kb}{a} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

We also note that for every natural number k exactly one iterate of either α or β has Conley-Zehnder index equal to 2k + 1.

Now consider a pair of nested ellipsoids, $E_1 = E(a_1, b_1)$ and $E_2 = E(a_2, b_2)$ with $a_1 < a_2$ and $b_1 < b_2$. The set $E_2 \\ \hat{E_1}$ equipped with the symplectic form inherited from \mathbb{R}^4 is a compact symplectic cobordism from the contact manifold $(\partial E_1, \lambda_1)$ to the contact manifold $(\partial E_2, \lambda_2)$ where $\lambda_i = \lambda(a_i, b_i)$. Let (X_1^2, ω) be its symplectic completion. An almost complex structure on (X_1^2, ω) is said to be *admissible* if it is tamed by ω and is (eventually) cylindrical on the two ends of the symplectic completion.

1.2. The results

We consider the following basic question.

Question 1. Let J be an admissible almost complex structure on (X_1^2, ω) . For which natural numbers k does there exist a regular J-holomorphic cylinder whose ends are asymptotic to the unique closed Reeb orbits of λ_1 and λ_2 with Conley-Zehnder index equal to 2k + 1?

Answer 1. Such cylinders do not exist for all k. The application we have in mind for these cylinders are symplectic embedding obstructions, see [HK2]

1222

and [M]. If such cylinders did exist for all k, then we could readily obstruct embeddings which are known to exist! For example, it was shown in [CGH] that there exist regular genus 0 curves in the symplectic completion of the cobordism $E(c, c+\epsilon) \setminus \mathring{E}(1, \frac{13}{2}+\delta)$ with five positive ends each asymptotic to β_2 and a single negative end asymptotic to α_1^{13} . This is true for any c large enough to enable such a cobordism. Now consider the symplectic completion of the cobordism $E(1, \frac{13}{2} + \delta) \setminus \mathring{E}(\lambda, 4\lambda + \delta)$, where λ is chosen sufficiently small to guarantee a cobordism. In this cobordism we observe that $CZ(\alpha_2^{13}) = CZ(\alpha_1^{12})$ if δ is small enough. If we had a regular holomorphic cylinder corresponding to $k = CZ(\alpha_1^{12})$ then, by a gluing theorem and rescaling argument, we could construct genus 0 curves in the symplectic completion of the cobordism $E(c, c+\epsilon) \smallsetminus \check{E}(1, 4+\delta)$ with five positive ends each asymptotic to β_2 and a single negative end asymptotic to α_1^{12} . A compactness theorem in [CGH], see also [HK], would now imply that such curves will exist whenever we can form such a cobordism from a symplectic embedding $E(1,4) \hookrightarrow E(c,c+\epsilon)$. The fact that the symplectic area of these curves is positive implies that $5c \ge 12$. This contradicts the existence of a symplectic embedding $E(1,4) \hookrightarrow E(2,2+\epsilon)$, see [O], [MS].

More general obstructions to the existence of cylinders come from Embedded Contact Homology (ECH), see [Hu2]. This is discussed by McDuff in [M], Remark 2.8, where a specific example appears. Here we present a family of such examples. Let g_n be the n^{th} odd index Fibonacci number. These numbers are determined by the conditions $g_0 = g_1 = 1$ and the recursion relation $g_{n+2} = 3g_{n+1} - g_n$. We say that an admissible almost complex structure J on (X_1^2, ω) is simply generic if every somewhere injective Jholomorphic curve of genus zero is regular. As established in [Dr] and [Bo], the subset of simply generic J's in the set of all smooth and admissible almost complex structures tamed by ω , is residual.

Proposition 1. Fix an integer $n \ge 1$ and a positive real number c < 1. For an irrational positive number $\epsilon > 0$ consider the nested pair of irrational ellipsoids

$$E_1 = cE\left(1, \frac{g_{n+2} - g_n}{2g_n} + \epsilon\right) \subset E_2 = E\left(1, \frac{g_{n+2}}{g_n} + \epsilon\right).$$

Denote the faster simple closed Reeb orbit on ∂E_i by α_i . If $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, then the orbits $\alpha_1^{g_{n+2}-g_n}$ and $\alpha_2^{g_{n+2}}$ have the same Conley-Zehnder index and for any simply generic J there are no J-holomorphic cylinders from $\alpha_1^{g_{n+2}-g_n}$ to $\alpha_2^{g_{n+2}}$.

Proof. The assertion about indices follows easily from equation (1). Arguing by contradiction, assume that there is a sequence of irrational numbers $\epsilon_i > 0$ converging monotonically to 0, a sequence of simply generic almost complex structures J_i and, for each, a J_i -holomorphic cylinder u_i from $\alpha_1^{g_{n+2}-g_n}$ to $\alpha_2^{g_{n+2}}$. Since the integers $g_{n+2} - g_n$ and g_{n+2} are coprime, each of the cylinders u_i is somewhere injective. Since the J_i are simply generic the u_i are also regular and so the index of each u_i is nonnegative. It then follows from Theorem 4.15 of [Hu1], that the ECH index of each u_i , $I(u_i)$, is also nonnegative. To obtain the desired contradiction, we now show that the ECH index $I(u_i)$ must be negative for sufficiently large values of i.

The following formula for $I(u_i)$ can be derived following [Hu2], Section 3.7, see also Section 2 of [CGH],

(3)
$$\frac{1}{2}I(u_i) = g_n + \sum_{j=1}^{g_{n+2}} \left[j \left(\frac{g_{n+2}}{g_n} + \epsilon_i \right)^{-1} \right] - \sum_{j=1}^{g_{n+2}-g_n} \left[j \left(\frac{g_{n+2}-g_n}{2g_n} + \epsilon_i \right)^{-1} \right]$$

From this, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}I(u_i) &\leq g_n + \frac{g_n}{g_{n+2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g_{n+2}} j\right) \\ &- \frac{2g_n}{g_{n+2} - g_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g_{n+2} - g_n} j\right) + g_{n+2} - g_n + O(\epsilon_i) \\ &= g_{n+2} - \frac{1}{2}g_n(g_{n+2} - g_n) - \frac{1}{2}g_n + O(\epsilon_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{i\to\infty} O(\epsilon_i) = 0$. For $n \ge 3$, we have $g_n \ge 5$ and $g_{n+2} > 2g_n$. Hence,

$$I(u_i) < -g_n + 2O(\epsilon_i)$$

and $I(u_i)$ is clearly negative for all sufficiently large values of i, as claimed. The remaining cases, corresponding to n = 1 and n = 2, can be easily verified using equation (3).

Answer 2. Cylindrical buildings do exist for all k. If one relaxes Question 1 by asking only for cylindrical *J*-holomorphic buildings, then these do exist for all k. This follows from Pardon's proof, in [Pa], of the invariance of contact homology.

1224

Theorem 1. ([Pa]) For any admissible almost complex structure J on (X_1^2, ω) , there exists for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a cylindrical¹ J-holomorphic building \mathbf{H}_k whose ends are asymptotic to the unique closed Reeb orbits of λ_1 and λ_2 with Conley-Zehnder index equal to 2k + 1.

We will give an alternative proof of Theorem 1, in a special case sufficient for the purposes of this paper. This appears as Theorem 4 below.

Answer 3. For certain pairs of ellipsoids and sufficiently small values of k, regular cylinders do exist.

This is the content of our main result.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $E_1 = E(a_1, b_1) \subset E_2 = E(a_2, b_2)$ is a nested pair of irrational ellipsoids. Let α_1 and α_2 be the faster simple closed Reeb orbits on ∂E_1 and ∂E_2 , respectively. If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies

$$k < \frac{b_1}{a_1}$$

and the unique closed Reeb orbit of $\lambda_2 = \lambda(a_2, b_2)$ with Conley-Zehnder index equal to $CZ(\alpha_1^k)$ is an iterate, α_2^ℓ , of the orbit α_2 , then for a generic choice of admissible almost complex structure J on (X_1^2, ω) there exists a regular J-holomorphic cylinder u_k from α_1^k to α_2^ℓ .

1.3. Structure

The proof of Theorem 2, given Theorem 1 (or, sufficiently, Theorem 4), is presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we present the proof of Theorem 4.

1.4. Acknowledgement

The authors thank Dusa McDuff for reading an early draft of this work and for many very useful conversations on these topics.

¹The curves of a holomorphic building in X_1^2 have compactifications and these glue together to yield a continuous map to the completion \bar{X} of X_1^2 . The building is said to be cylindrical (or planar) if the domain of this continuous map is a cylinder (plane).

2. Proof of Theorem 2

2.1. The plan

As in the setting of Theorem 2, consider nested ellipsoids $E_1 = E(a_1, b_1)$ and $E_2 = E(a_2, b_2)$. Let α_i be the faster simple closed Reeb orbit on ∂E_i , and let (X_1^2, ω) be the symplectic completion of $E_2 \smallsetminus \mathring{E}_1$.

Our proof of Theorem 2 is divided into two propositions.

Proposition 2. Let k be a positive integer less than $\frac{b_1}{a_1}$ and suppose that the unique closed Reeb orbit of λ_2 with Conley-Zehnder index equal to $CZ(\alpha_1^k)$ is of the form α_2^{ℓ} . Then for every admissible almost complex structure on (X_1^2, ω) which is simply generic, there is a J-holomorphic cylinder u_k from α_1^k to α_2^{ℓ} .

Given Proposition 2 it remains to prove that we can also, generically, ensure that u_k is regular. To achieve this we will use two results of Wendl from [We]. The first of these is the following implication of Corollary 3.17 in [We].

Theorem 3. ([We]) For generic admissible J on (X_1^2, ω) , every somewhere injective J-holomorphic curve of index zero is immersed.

With this we will prove the following.

Proposition 3. Suppose that J is an admissible almost complex structure on (X_1^2, ω) which is simply generic and is also generic in the sense of Theorem 3. Then the J-holomorphic cylinder u_k in the statement of Proposition 2 is regular.

Theorem 2 follows easily from Propositions 2 and 3.

2.2. Index formula

We recall here the formula for the index of curves in an exact cobordism between ellipsoids. For two irrational ellipsoids E_1 and E_2 let (X, ω) be the completion of a topologically trivial and exact symplectic cobordism from the contact manifold ∂E_1 to the contact manifold ∂E_2 . Let J be an admissible almost complex structure on (X, ω) and suppose that u is a Jholomorphic curve of genus zero such that u has

- m^+ positive punctures asymptotic to α_2 with multiplicities r_1^+ , ..., $r_{m^+}^+$,
- n^+ positive punctures asymptotic to β_2 with multiplicities s_1^+ , ..., $s_{n^+}^+$,
- m^- negative punctures asymptotic to α_1 with multiplicities r_1^- , $\dots, r_{m^-}^-$,
- and n^- negative punctures asymptotic to β_1 with multiplicities s_1^- , $\dots, s_{n^-}^-$.

The index of u is then given by

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{index}(u) = m^{+} + n^{+} + m^{-} + n^{-} - 2 + \sum_{1}^{m^{+}} \operatorname{CZ}(\alpha_{2}^{r_{i}^{+}}) + \sum_{1}^{n^{+}} \operatorname{CZ}(\beta_{2}^{s_{i}^{+}}) \\ - \sum_{1}^{m^{-}} \operatorname{CZ}(\alpha_{1}^{r_{i}^{-}}) - \sum_{1}^{n^{-}} \operatorname{CZ}(\beta_{1}^{s_{i}^{-}}) \\ = 2 \left[m^{+} + n^{+} - 1 + \sum_{1}^{m^{+}} \left(r_{i}^{+} + \left\lfloor \frac{r_{i}^{+} a_{2}}{b_{2}} \right\rfloor \right) \right. \\ + \sum_{1}^{n^{+}} \left(s_{i}^{+} + \left\lfloor \frac{s_{i}^{+} b_{2}}{a_{2}} \right\rfloor \right) - \sum_{1}^{m^{-}} \left(r_{i}^{-} + \left\lfloor \frac{r_{i}^{-} a_{1}}{b_{1}} \right\rfloor \right) \\ - \sum_{1}^{n^{-}} \left(s_{i}^{-} + \left\lfloor \frac{s_{i}^{-} b_{1}}{a_{1}} \right\rfloor \right) \right].$$

2.3. Proof of Proposition 2

Given an ellipsoid E = E(a, b), we first establish some simple properties of pseudoholomorphic curves mapping to the symplectization of its boundary,

$$(Y, d(e^{\tau}\lambda)) = (\mathbb{R} \times \partial E(a, b), d(e^{\tau}\lambda(a, b))).$$

Recall that an almost complex structure J on Y is said to be λ -cylindrical if the restriction of J to ker λ is compatible with $d\lambda$ and $J(\partial_{\tau})$ is equal to the Reeb vector field of λ .

Lemma 1. Suppose that J is a λ -cylindrical almost complex structure on Y and that v is a J-holomorphic curve with exactly one positive puncture. The index of v is even and nonnegative, and is at least two unless v covers a

trivial cylinder. Moreover, if the positive puncture is asymptotic to the closed Reeb orbit η of λ , then for any negative puncture of v asymptotic to some γ , we have

$$\operatorname{CZ}(\gamma) \le \operatorname{CZ}(\eta).$$

Proof. The positive puncture of v is asymptotic to an iterate of either α or β . The proofs in both cases are essentially the same. So, we assume that the positive puncture of v is asymptotic to $\eta = \alpha^{r^+}$ for some r^+ , and leave the remaining case to the reader.

Suppose that the curve v has m^- negative punctures asymptotic to α with multiplicities $r_1^-, \ldots, r_{m^-}^-$, and n^- negative punctures asymptotic to β with multiplicities $s_1^-, \ldots, s_{n^-}^-$. Denoting the domain of v by \mathcal{D} , we have

(5)
$$0 \le \int_{\mathcal{D}} v^*(d\lambda) = r^+ a - \sum_{1}^{m^-} r_i^- a - \sum_{1}^{n^-} s_i^- b.$$

From this we see that r^+a , the period of η , is at least as large at the period of any closed Reeb orbit corresponding to a negative puncture of v. The second assertion above then follows from the fact that for irrational ellipsoids the orderings of closed Reeb orbits by period and by Conley-Zehnder index coincide.

To prove the first assertion we note that (4) implies that the index of v is given by

$$\operatorname{index}(v) = 2\left(r^{+} + \left\lfloor \frac{r^{+}a}{b} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^{-}} \left(r_{i}^{-} + \left\lfloor \frac{r_{i}^{-}a}{b} \right\rfloor\right) - \sum_{1}^{n^{-}} \left(s_{i}^{-} + \left\lfloor \frac{s_{i}^{-}b}{a} \right\rfloor\right)\right).$$

From (5) we have

(7)
$$r^{+} - \sum_{1}^{m^{-}} r_{i}^{-} - \sum_{1}^{n^{-}} \left\lfloor \frac{s_{i}^{-}b}{a} \right\rfloor \ge 0$$

and

$$\frac{r^+a}{b} - \sum_{1}^{m^-} \left\lfloor \frac{r_i^-a}{b} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{n^-} s_i^- \ge 0$$

which, in turn, implies that

(8)
$$\left\lfloor \frac{r^+a}{b} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} \left\lfloor \frac{r_i^-a}{b} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{n^-} s_i^- \ge 0.$$

Together with (6), inequalities (7) and (8) imply that index(v) is even and nonnegative. Finally we note that the index of v is zero only if $\int_{\mathcal{D}} v^*(d\lambda) = 0$ in which case v must cover the trivial cylinder over α .

Now, we return to the setting of Theorem 2 where we have nested ellipsoids $E_1 = E(a_1, b_1)$ and $E_2 = E(a_2, b_2)$, the symplectic completion (X_1^2, ω) of $E_2 \\ \leq \\ \mathring{E}_1$, and positive integers k and ell such that

(9)
$$k < \frac{b_1}{a_1}$$

and $CZ(\alpha_1^k) = CZ(\alpha_2^\ell)$. Condition (9) implies that $CZ(\alpha_1^k) = 2k + 1$ and $CZ(\alpha_1^k) = CZ(\alpha_2^\ell)$ if and only if

(10)
$$k = \ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell a_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor.$$

By Theorem 1 (as well as Theorem 4 below) there is a cylindrical *J*holomorphic building $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_k$ in X_1^2 of index zero which runs from α_1^k to α_2^ℓ . The curves of \mathbf{H} map to either Y_1, X_1^2 or Y_2 where Y_j is the symplectization of ∂E_j . We now prove that the curves of \mathbf{H} that map to these symplectizations must cover trivial cylinders. Hence \mathbf{H} has a unique curve mapping to X_1^2 , the desired cylinder u_k .

Lemma 2. Each curve of H has exactly one positive puncture.

Proof. Curves with no positive punctures are precluded by the maximum principle in the symplectizations Y_1 and Y_2 , and by Stokes' Theorem in (X_1^2, ω) . Curves with more than one positive punctures are precluded by the previous fact together with the fact that the building **H** is cylindrical. \Box

Lemma 3. Each curve of **H** with image in X_1^2 has a nonnegative index.

Proof. Let u be a curve of **H** with image in X_1^2 . By Lemma 2, u has a single positive puncture asymptotic to a closed Reeb orbit γ of λ_2 . There are two cases to consider, either γ is an iteration of α_2 or it is an iteration of β_2 . Applying the second assertion of Lemma 1 to the curves of **H** with level above that of u, we see that in either case we have

(11)
$$\operatorname{CZ}(\gamma) \leq \operatorname{CZ}(\alpha_2^{\ell}).$$

Case 1. γ is an iterate of α_2 .

The curve u is a (possibly trivial) multiple cover of a somewhere injective J-holomorphic curve, v. Denote the degree of the covering by $p \in \mathbb{N}$. In the present case, the positive puncture of v is asymptotic to α_2^r for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and, by (11), we have

$$(12) pr \le \ell$$

Since J is simply generic, by assumption, the index of curve v is nonnegative. If v has m^- negative punctures asymptotic to α_1 with multiplicities $r_1^-, \ldots, r_{m^-}^-$, and n^- negative punctures asymptotic to β_1 with multiplicities $s_1^-, \ldots, s_{n^-}^-$, this implies that

(13)
$$r + \left\lfloor \frac{ra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} \left(r_i^- + \left\lfloor \frac{r_i^- a_1}{b_1} \right\rfloor \right) - \sum_{1}^{n^-} \left(s_i^- + \left\lfloor \frac{s_i^- b_1}{a_1} \right\rfloor \right) \ge 0.$$

Invoking hypotheses (9) and (10) together with (12) we also have

(14)
$$r + \left\lfloor \frac{ra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor \le \ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell a_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor = k < \frac{b_1}{a_1}.$$

It then follows from (13) and (14), that v (and hence u) can have no negative punctures asymptotic to multiples of β_1 . Thus, (13) simplifies to

(15)
$$r + \left\lfloor \frac{ra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} \left(r_i^- + \left\lfloor \frac{r_i^- a_1}{b_1} \right\rfloor \right) \ge 0.$$

Suppose that the sum $\sum_{1}^{m^{-}} r_{i}^{-}$ was strictly greater than $\frac{k}{p}$. Then inequality (15) would imply

$$r + \left\lfloor \frac{ra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor > \frac{k}{p}$$

which, together with (12), would yield

$$\ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell a_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor \ge pr + \left\lfloor \frac{pra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor \ge p\left(r + \left\lfloor \frac{ra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor\right) > k.$$

This would contradict the index equality (10). Hence, we must instead have

$$\sum_{1}^{m^{-}} r_i^{-} \le \frac{k}{p}.$$

This implies that

(16)
$$pr_i^- < \frac{b_1}{a_1} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, m^-$$

and so (15) simplifies to

(17)
$$r + \left\lfloor \frac{ra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} r_i^- \ge 0.$$

Invoking inequalities (16) and (17), in succession we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{index}(u) &= 2\left(pr + \left\lfloor \frac{pra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} \left(pr_i^- + \left\lfloor \frac{pr_i^-a_1}{b_1} \right\rfloor\right)\right) \\ &= 2\left(pr + \left\lfloor \frac{pra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} pr_i^-\right) \\ &\geq 2p\left(r + \left\lfloor \frac{ra_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} r_i^-\right) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Case 2. γ is an iterate of β_2 .

Define p and v as in the previous case. The positive puncture of v is now asymptotic to β_2^r for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We label the negative punctures of v as before. The fact that the index of v is nonnegative now implies that

$$r + \left\lfloor \frac{rb_2}{a_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} \left(r_i^- + \left\lfloor \frac{r_i^- a_1}{b_1} \right\rfloor \right) - \sum_{1}^{n^-} \left(s_i^- + \left\lfloor \frac{s_i^- b_1}{a_1} \right\rfloor \right) \ge 0.$$

The second assertion of Lemma 1 implies that $\operatorname{CZ}(\beta_2^{pr}) \leq \operatorname{CZ}(\alpha_2^{\ell})$ and hence

$$pr + \left\lfloor \frac{prb_2}{a_2} \right\rfloor \le \ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell a_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor.$$

As in the previous case, this together with (9) and (10) precludes v from having negative punctures asymptotic to multiples of β_1 . So, we now have

(18)
$$r + \left\lfloor \frac{rb_2}{a_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} \left(r_i^- + \left\lfloor \frac{r_i^- a_1}{b_1} \right\rfloor \right) \ge 0.$$

1231

If the inequality $\sum_{1}^{m^{-}} r_{i}^{-} > \frac{k}{p}$ held, then (18) would yield

$$r + \left\lfloor \frac{rb_2}{a_2} \right\rfloor > \frac{k}{p}$$

and imply that

$$\ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell a_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor \ge pr + \left\lfloor \frac{prb_2}{a_2} \right\rfloor \ge p\left(r + \left\lfloor \frac{rb_2}{a_2} \right\rfloor\right) > k.$$

Since this contradicts (10) we must have $\sum_1^{m^-}r_i^-\leq \frac{k}{p}$ instead. From this it follows that

$$pr_i^- < \frac{b_1}{a_1}$$
 for all $i = 1, \dots, m^-$

and

$$r + \left\lfloor \frac{rb_2}{a_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} r_i^- \ge 0.$$

Thus,

$$index(u) = 2\left(pr + \left\lfloor \frac{prb_2}{a_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} \left(pr_i^- + \left\lfloor \frac{pr_i^-a_1}{b_1} \right\rfloor \right)\right)$$
$$\geq 2p\left(r + \left\lfloor \frac{rb_2}{a_2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{1}^{m^-} r_i^- \right)$$
$$\geq 0,$$

again as desired

At this point we can complete the proof of Proposition 2. The total index of all the curves of **H** is zero. It therefore follows from Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 that **each** curve of **H** has index zero and those curves mapping to Y_1 or Y_2 cover trivial cylinders. The compactifications of all the curves of **H** glue together to yield a continuous map $\bar{\mathbf{H}}$ from the cylinder to $E_2 \\ \leq \mathring{E}_1$ that connects α_1^k to α_2^ℓ . Since the curves mapping to Y_1 or Y_2 cover trivial cylinders, the compactifications of each of these curves map to single closed Reeb orbits. Hence, **H** can have only one curve with image in X_1^2 , and this curve must have exactly one negative and one positive end asymptotic to α_1^k and α_2^ℓ , respectively. This is the desired *J*-holomorphic cylinder u_k .

1232

2.4. Proof of Proposition 3

Assume that J is simply generic and generic in the sense of Theorem 3. The curve u_k from Proposition 2 is the *p*-fold cover of a somewhere injective J-holomorphic cylinder, v, for some p in \mathbb{N} .

Lemma 4. The index of v is zero.

Proof. The curve v is a cylinder from α_1^r to α_2^s where rp = k and $sp = \ell$. Invoking inequality (9) again we get

$$index(u_k) - p(index(v)) = 2\left(\ell + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell a_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor - k - \left\lfloor \frac{k a_1}{b_1} \right\rfloor\right) \\ - 2p\left(s + \left\lfloor \frac{s a_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor - r - \left\lfloor \frac{r a_1}{b_1} \right\rfloor\right) \\ = 2\left(\left\lfloor \frac{p s a_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor - p\left\lfloor \frac{s a_2}{b_2} \right\rfloor\right) \\ > 0.$$

It follows that the index of v is at most that of u_k , zero. On the other hand, by our choice of J, v is regular and hence has nonnegative index. Thus, the index of v must be equal to zero.

By Theorem 3, the curve v must be immersed. From this, it follows that the original curve u_k has no critical points since both u_k and v are cylinders. It then follows from Theorem 1 of [We], that u_k is automatically regular for any admissible J on X_1^2 . This completes the proof of Proposition 3 and hence Theorem 2.

3. Another path to holomorphic buildings

In this section we present an alternative proof of Theorem 1 under an additional assumption which is satisfied in the situation of Theorem 2. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 4. Given ellipsoids $E_1 \subset \mathring{E}_2$, suppose that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{CZ}(\alpha_1^k) = \operatorname{CZ}(\alpha_1^{k-1}) + 2$$

and that

$$\operatorname{CZ}(\alpha_2^{\ell}) = \operatorname{CZ}(\alpha_1^k)$$
 for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then for any admissible almost complex structure J on (X_1^2, ω) there exists a cylindrical J-holomorphic building \mathbf{H} of index 0 from α_1^k to α_2^ℓ .

The compact cobordism $E_2 \\ \leq \mathring{E}_1$ can be identified with a domain in the symplectization $(Y_1 = \mathbb{R} \times \partial E_1, d(e^{\tau}\lambda_1))$ of the form

$$\{1 \le \tau \le h(z)\}$$

where $h: \partial E_1 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a smooth function. The hypersurface $\Sigma_2 = \{\tau = h(z)\}$ admits an open neighborhood $U_2 \subset Y_1$ which is symplectomorphic to a neighborhood of the form $((-\delta, +\delta) \times \partial E_2, d(e^{\tau}\lambda_2)) \subset Y_2$ for some $\delta > 0$, where Y_2 is the symplectization of ∂E_2 .

With these identifications in place, the structure of our proof of Theorem 4 can be described as follows. We start by establishing the existence of a nontrivial compact class of curves in Y_1 which are pseudo-holomorphic with respect to a domain dependent family of almost complex structures. Then we split Y_1 along Σ_2 and obtain from our original class of curves, a pseudo-holomorphic building one of whose curves is a cylinder which maps to X_1^2 with the required asymptotics and is pseudo-holomorphic with respect to a domain dependent family of almost complex structures. This is the content of Proposition 5. Finally we consider a limit of such cylinders for a sequence of domain dependent families of almost complex structures that converges to a domain independent family. This last limit gives the desired holomorphic building, **H**.

3.1. Almost complex structures

Fix a $\tau_2 > 0$ such that the closure of the neighborhood $U_2 \subset Y_1$ of the hypersurface Σ_2 is contained in $\{\tau \leq \tau_2\} = (-\infty, \tau_2) \times \partial E_1$. Fix a λ_1 -cylindrical almost complex structure J_1 on Y_1 and a λ_2 -cylindrical almost complex structure J_2 on Y_2 .

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{J} be the set of admissible almost complex structures J on Y_1 for which there exists a compact subset $K \subset Y_1$ contained in $\{\tau \leq \tau_2\}$ such that

- $J = J_1$ away from K.
- J is compatible with an exact symplectic structure on Y_1 that is equal to $d(e^{\tau}\lambda_1)$ away from K.

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\Sigma_2} \subset \mathcal{J}$ be the set of admissible almost complex structures J on Y_1 for which there is a compact subset $K \subset Y_1$ that contains U_2 and is contained in $\{\tau \leq \tau_2\}$ such that

- $J = J_1$ away from K and is equal to J_2 in U_2 , identifying U_2 with a subset of Y_2 as above.
- J is compatible with an exact symplectic structure on Y_1 that is equal to $d(e^{\tau}\lambda_1)$ away from K and is equal to $d(e^{\tau}\lambda_2)$ on U_2 .

Definition 3. For $N \in [0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{J}_{\Sigma_2}^N$ be the set of almost complex structures on Y_1 obtained from those of \mathcal{J}_{Σ_2} by the standard stretching procedure to a length N.

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\{0,1,\infty\}}^N$ be the space of families of almost complex structures $J_{z\in\mathbb{CP}^1}$ such that each J_z belongs to $\mathcal{J}_{\Sigma_2}^N$ and J_z only depends on the (local) angular coordinate in disjoint open discs around 0, 1 and ∞ in \mathbb{CP}^1 .

3.2. Conventions

To simplify and clarify the arguments below we now state two conventions. The first concerns notation and the other concerns the meaning of the term *index*.

Convention 1. Given a subset of domain independent almost complex structures $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$ on Y_1^N the symbol

$$\mathcal{J}^N_{\{0,1,\infty\}}\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$$

will be used to denote the set of families J_z in $\mathcal{J}^N_{\{0,1,\infty\}}$ such that J_z belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$ for each $z \in \mathbb{CP}^1$.

Convention 2. Since we deal with domain dependent almost complex structures we must clarify what we will mean by the *index* of a pseudo-holomorphic curve. Let u be a pseudo-holomorphic curve in a topologically trivial and exact symplectic cobordism. In what follows, by *the index of* u, we will always mean the number given by the standard index formula (4). This is a convenient formula because it is preserved under limits as in formulas (19) and (20). If u is pseudo-holomorphic with respect to a domain independent almost complex structure, then the index of u is the virtual dimension of the moduli space of holomorphic curves containing u,

modulo biholomorphisms of the domain, as usual. If however u is pseudoholomorphic with respect to a family of domain dependent almost complex structures, then the reparameterization group may have smaller dimension. In this case, the dimension of the moduli space of holomorphic curves containing u will typically exceed the index of u by the defect in the dimension of the reparameterization group.

3.3. A moduli space of curves

Fix a point p_1 on the short closed Reeb orbit α_1 on ∂E_1 . Given an $N \ge 0$ and a J_z in $\mathcal{J}^N_{\{0,1,\infty\}} \cap \mathcal{J}$ let $\mathcal{M}^N(J_z)$ be the space of maps

$$u \colon \mathbb{CP}^1 \smallsetminus \{0, 1, \infty\} \to Y_1^N$$

which satisfy 2

$$du(z) \circ i = J_z \circ du(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and have the following additional properties:

- (u1) u has a positive puncture at 0 which is asymptotic to α_1 .
- (u2) u(z) approaches $(+\infty, p_1)$ as z approaches 0 along the positive real axis.
- (u3) u has a positive puncture at 1 which is asymptotic to α_1^{k-1} .
- (u4) u has a negative puncture at ∞ which is asymptotic to α_1^k .
- (u5) u(2) belongs to $\{\tau_2\} \times \partial E_1 \subset Y_1^N$.

It follows from the index formula (4) and the hypothesis of Theorem 4 that each curve u in $\mathcal{M}^N(J_z)$ has index equal to two according to Convention 2. Taking the constraints (u2) and (u5) into consideration, it follows that each space $\mathcal{M}^N(J_z)$ has virtual dimension equal to zero.

3.4. Seed curves

Consider a family $J_z^0 \in \mathcal{J}_{\{0,1,\infty\}} \cap \mathcal{J}$ whose elements are all λ_1 -cylindrical.

²Here it is understood that $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ is equipped with the standard complex structure *i* inherited from \mathbb{CP}^1 .

Lemma 5. For a generic choice of J_z^0 the space $\mathcal{M}^0(J_z^0)$ contains exactly one curve and this curve is regular.

Proof. By (u1), (u3) and (u4), every curve in $\mathcal{M}^0(J_z^0)$ has zero $d\lambda_1$ -area. Hence each curve in $\mathcal{M}^0(J_z^0)$ covers the trivial cylinder over α_1 . In fact, this covering map is uniquely determined by the conditions (u1)-(u5). The poles and zeros of the covering map are fixed by conditions (u1), (u3) and (u4). The remaining \mathbb{C}^* ambiguity is then resolved by conditions (u2) and (u5). Thus, the space $\mathcal{M}^0(J_z^0)$ contains a single curve. Choosing J_z^0 to be genuinely domain dependent, we may also ensure that this curve is regular. Indeed, there are no infinitesimal deformations tangent to the trivial cylinder, and deformations in the normal direction can be generically excluded using the domain dependence of J_z^0 .

3.5. Deformation

Let J_z be a family in $\mathcal{J}_{\{0,1,\infty\}} \cap \mathcal{J}^N$ such that $\mathcal{M}^N(J_z)$ is regular. Consider a smooth path

$$s \mapsto J_z^s \in \mathcal{J}_{\{0,1,\infty\}} \cap \mathcal{J}^{sN}$$

connecting the family J_z^0 from Lemma 5 to J_z .

Proposition 4. For a generic choice of the path J_z^s , the space

$$\{(s,u)|u\in\mathcal{M}^{sN}(J_z^s)\}$$

is a compact 1-dimensional manifold.

Since the space $\mathcal{M}^0(J_z^0)$ is regular, the proof of the relevant transversality assertion follows from standard arguments. Turning then to compactness, we consider a sequence (s_n, u_n) in the space $\{(s, u) | u \in \mathcal{M}^{sN}(J_z^s)\}$ such that the s_n converge to some $\hat{s} > 0$ and the u_n converge in the sense of [BEHWZ] to a holomorphic building **F**. To prove Proposition 4 we must show that all but one of the curves of **F** are trivial cylinders and the remaining (nontrivial) curve belongs to $\mathcal{M}^{\hat{s}N}(J_z^s)$.

We begin our analysis of the curves of \mathbf{F} with two simple observations. First we mention the following result whose proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.

Lemma 6. Each curve of \mathbf{F} has at least one and at most two positive punctures.

Next we note that the compactness results of [BEHWZ] imply that curves of **F** mapping to $Y_1^{\hat{s}N}$ all lie in the same level of the building **F**. We will henceforth refer to this as the τ_2 -level since one of the curves at this level must satisfy constraint (u5). The remaining curves map to Y_1 .

Now, away from $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ and a finite (possibly empty) set of additional punctures, $\{z_1, \ldots, z_L\}$, the curves u_n converge in the C_{loc}^{∞} -topology (possibly up to translation) to a unique curve **C** of **F**. Eventually, we will show that **C** is the only nontrivial curve of **F**. To this end we use **C** to organize our analysis of the other curves. By the convergence theorem, the curves of **F** other than **C** can be sorted into disjoint subsets indexed by the punctures of **C**. Denote by η_0 , η_1 , η_{∞} and η_{z_j} the closed Reeb orbits of λ_1 corresponding to the punctures of **C** at $0, 1, \infty$, and z_j , respectively. We then define C_0 , C_1 and C_{∞} to be the cylindrical holomorphic subbuildings of **F** asymptotic to η_0 , η_1 and η_{∞} respectively at one end, and α_1 , α_1^{k-1} and α_1^k at the other end. Similarly \mathcal{D}_j is the collection of curves which fit together to form a planar component asymptotic to η_{z_j} . By Lemma 6 we see that η_{z_j} must be a positive puncture for the matching curve in \mathcal{D}_j , and hence z_j is a negative puncture for **C**.

Our definition of the space $\mathcal{J}^{N}_{\{0,1,\infty\}}$, in particular the prescribed nature of the domain dependence near the punctures, implies the following.

Lemma 7. Suppose that C is a curve in \mathbb{C}_0 , \mathbb{C}_1 or \mathbb{C}_{∞} which maps to the τ_2 -level and is not part of a planar component in the complement of **C**. Then C has two distinguished punctures which do not match with planar components, say at 0 and ∞ . Let Γ denote the remaining punctures. The corresponding map with domain $\mathbb{C}^* \setminus \Gamma$ is then pseudo-holomorphic with respect to a domain dependent family of almost complex structures that only depend on arg z.

Remark 1. This subtle feature of the curves in \mathcal{C}_0 , \mathcal{C}_1 or \mathcal{C}_∞ at the τ_2 -level will play a crucial role in the proof. The precise nature of domain dependence will allow us to quantify the difference between the indices of curves and the virtual dimensions of the moduli spaces containing them (see the proof of Lemma 12).

The following property of \mathbf{C} will be used several times.

Lemma 8. The curve **C** lies at or above the τ_2 -level.

Proof. If **C** was below the τ_2 -level then the point constraint (u5) would force **C** to have a puncture at 2 and **F** to include a curve in the corresponding

planar component with only negative punctures. Stokes' Theorem precludes such curves. $\hfill \Box$

Now we begin our analysis of the collections \mathcal{C}_0 , \mathcal{C}_1 , \mathcal{C}_∞ , and \mathcal{D}_j . We start with the collections \mathcal{D}_j corresponding to the additional punctures of **C**. Each \mathcal{D}_j is a planar building with a positive puncture asymptotic to η_{z_j} . The index formula (4) (which applies equally well to buildings) yields the following.

Lemma 9. The sum of the indices of the curves in \mathcal{D}_j is at least 2.

Next we analyze the collections \mathcal{C}_0 , \mathcal{C}_1 , and \mathcal{C}_∞ , in turn.

Lemma 10. If the puncture of \mathbf{C} at 1 is positive, then the curves of \mathcal{C}_1 either all cover trivial cylinders or their collective index is at least two. If the puncture of \mathbf{C} at 1 is negative, then the curves of \mathcal{C}_1 have a collective index of at least six.

Proof. The curves of C_1 form a cylindrical building that connects η_1 to α_1^{k-1} . Let C_1^+ be the subset of curves of C_1 that lie above the level of **C**. By the maximum principle, the curves of C_1^+ comprise a connected building whose total domain is a sphere with at least two punctures. Exactly one of these punctures is positive and it is asymptotic to α_1^{k-1} . By Lemma 8 the curves of C_1^+ are all J_1 -holomorphic. Arguing as in Lemma 1 it then follows that the curves in C_1^+ either all cover a trivial cylinder or their collective index is at least two.

If the puncture of \mathbf{C} at 1 is positive, then at least one of the negative punctures of \mathcal{C}_1^+ is asymptotic to η_1 . The rest of the curves of \mathcal{C}_1 can then be sorted into subsets indexed by the negative punctures of the building \mathcal{C}_1^+ other than the one that is asymptotic to η_1 . Each of these subsets is a planar building (which caps a negative puncture of \mathcal{C}_1^+). Arguing as in Lemma 9, it follows that these curves also contribute at least two to the total index. This settles the first assertion of Lemma 10.

Suppose that the puncture of \mathbf{C} at 1 is negative. Then the curves of \mathcal{C}_1 not in \mathcal{C}_1^+ can all be sorted into subsets indexed by the negative punctures of \mathcal{C}_1^+ . Exactly one of these subsets forms a cylindrical subbuilding with two positive ends. The index formula (4) implies that this cylindrical subbuilding contributes at least six to the total index. The other subsets form planar buildings which, as above, contribute at least 2 to the total index.

Lemma 11. If the puncture of \mathbf{C} at 0 is positive, then the curves of \mathcal{C}_0 are all trivial. If the puncture of \mathbf{C} at 0 is negative, then the curves of \mathcal{C}_0 have a collective index of at least six.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C}_0^+ be the subset of curves of \mathcal{C}_0 that lie above the level of **C**. As the unmatched positive puncture of \mathcal{C}_0^+ is asymptotic to α_1 , which has minimal action, we see that \mathcal{C}_0^+ is just a trivial cylinder over α_1 . If the puncture of **C** at 0 is positive then there can be no other curves of \mathcal{C}_0 and we are done.

If the puncture of \mathbf{C} at 0 is negative then the curves of \mathcal{C}_0 at and below the level of \mathbf{C} form a cylindrical building with two positive ends connecting η_1 to α_1 . Appealing again to the index formula (4), it follows that these curves contribute at least six to the total index.

Lemma 12. If **C** is in the τ_2 -level, then the curves of \mathcal{C}_{∞} either all cover trivial cylinders or their collective index is at least 2. If **C** is above the τ_2 level, then \mathcal{C}_{∞} has exactly one curve, \mathbf{C}_{∞} , that is at the τ_2 -level and is not part of a planar component. It has index at least -2 and the other curves of \mathcal{C}_{∞} either all cover trivial cylinders or their collective index is at least 2.

Proof. When **C** is in the τ_2 -level the maximum principle implies that all the curves of \mathcal{C}_{∞} must lie below the τ_2 -level. (Otherwise \mathcal{C}_{∞} would include a curve with no positive ends.) Thus, each curve of \mathcal{C}_{∞} has one positive end and is pseudo-holomorphic with respect to a λ_1 -cylindrical almost complex structure. The first assertion then follows as in Lemma 1.

If \mathbf{C} lies above the τ_2 -level, then by the maximum principle \mathcal{C}_{∞} contains a unique curve, \mathbf{C}_{∞} , in the τ_2 -level which is not part of a planar subset. By Lemma 7, it can be represented as a map with domain $\mathbb{C}^* \setminus \Gamma$ and satisfies an arg z dependent holomorphic curve equation. To appear as a limit in a generic 1-parameter family we expect \mathbf{C}_{∞} to have virtual deformation index of at least -1, modulo reparameterization. There is a 1-dimensional reparameterization group given by scaling, whereas domain independent curves have a 2-parameter reparameterization group given by rotation and scaling. Hence, the virtual deformation index of \mathbf{C}_{∞} modulo reparameterization, is one greater than its index as defined by Convention 2. So, the (conventional) index of \mathbf{C}_{∞} is at least -2. By Lemma 1 the other curves of \mathcal{C}_{∞} must either all cover trivial cylinders or their collective index is at least 2. At this point we can complete the proof of Proposition 4. Overall, we have the index equality

(19)
$$2 = \operatorname{index}(\mathbf{F}) = \operatorname{index}(\mathbf{C}) + \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{C}_0) + \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{C}_1) + \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{C}_\infty) + \sum_j \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{D}_j).$$

By Lemma 8, **C** is either at or above the τ_2 -level.

Assume that C lies at the τ_2 -level. With this, Lemmas 9, 10, 11 and 12 and the consequence of (4) that all indices (using our convention) are even imply that either C has index 2 or its index is at most 0. In the first case it follows from these same Lemmas that the curves of **F**, other than **C**, must all cover trivial cylinders and so we are done. As we now describe, the remaining case can, generically, be excluded.

Suppose that \mathbf{C} has index at most 0. The Lemmas listed above in fact imply that the index of \mathbf{C} is at most -4 if \mathcal{C}_0 is nontrivial. Since \mathbf{C} is at the τ_2 -level, it satisfies a domain dependent equation and so has a 0dimensional reparameterization group. Hence, \mathbf{C} belongs to a moduli space whose unconstrained virtual dimension is at most 6 when \mathcal{C}_0 is trivial, and at most 2 when \mathcal{C}_0 is nontrivial. However, \mathbf{C} has punctures at 0, 1, and ∞ and it must satisfy (u5). This is a 7-dimensional family of constraints and so will not generically be satisfied (for curves appearing in a 1-parameter family) if \mathcal{C}_0 is nontrivial. But if \mathcal{C}_0 is trivial, then \mathbf{C} would also have to satisfy the constraint (u2). Thus, \mathbf{C} would belong to a moduli space whose constrained virtual dimension is -2. Such curves can again be avoided in generic 1-parameter families.

Assume that C lies above the τ_2 -level. We show that this situation can also be precluded. Since C lies above the τ_2 -level, constraint (u5) implies that C has a puncture at 2 in addition to its punctures at 0, 1, and ∞ . By Lemma 9 we then have

$$\sum_{j} \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{D}_j) \ge 2.$$

It also follows from Lemma 12, that $index(\mathcal{C}_{\infty}) \geq -2$.

Now, if C_0 is nontrivial or the puncture at 1 is negative, then Lemmas 10 and 11 imply that $index(\mathbf{C}) \leq -4$ and \mathbf{C} has a single positive end. Taken together, these statements contradict Lemma 1.

If \mathcal{C}_0 is trivial and the puncture at 1 is positive, then **C** has a positive end at 0 asymptotic to α_1 , and a positive end at 1 of action at most that of α_1^{k-1} . By the maximum principle there are no more positive punctures. As $\operatorname{index}(\mathcal{C}_{\infty}) \geq -2$ we see that **C** has a negative puncture at ∞ of action at least that of α_1^{k-1} . Together with the negative puncture at 2, we observe that **C** necessarily has nonpositive action, and indeed can only be a cover of the trivial cylinder with positive ends at 0, 1 asymptotic to α_1 and α_1^{k-1} respectively, and negative punctures at 2, ∞ asymptotic to α_1 and α_1^{k-1} respectively. There is a unique such curve up to scale (translation) which satisfies constraint (u2). In particular the asymptotic approach at ∞ is determined, that is, approaching along the real axis we limit at a specific point on α_1 . On the other hand, by Lemma 12, in a 1-parameter family we expect to see only finitely many buildings \mathcal{C}_{∞} with asymptotes at α_1^{k-1} and α_1^k , at least up to reparameterization by scaling. This determines finitely many possible asymptotic limits at the positive end and generically we do not expect the limits to coincide with that of **C**, contradicting the matching condition for limiting buildings.

3.6. Splitting along Σ_2

Choose a regular J_z in $\mathcal{J}^0_{\{0,1,\infty\}} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\Sigma_2}$ such that the stretched families J_z^N in $\mathcal{J}^N_{\{0,1,\infty\}} \cap \mathcal{J}^N_{\Sigma_2}$ are regular for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Together, Lemma 5 and Proposition 4 imply that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a curve u_N in $\mathcal{M}^N(J_z^N)$. After passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that the u_N converge to a limiting building **G** in the resulting split manifold. This split manifold is diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{R} \times \partial E_1) \smallsetminus \Sigma_2$ and so has two components. One is the completion (X_1^2, ω) that appears in the statement of Theorem 1. The other, which we denote by (Z, Ω) , can be described as the completion of the obvious symplectic cobordism from Σ_2 to $\{\tau_2\} \times \partial E_1$. In this section we prove the following.

Proposition 5. For J_z as above, there is exactly one curve of **G** that maps to X_1^2 . This curve, \tilde{u} , can be parameterized with domain $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ with a positive puncture at 0 asymptotic to α_2^{ℓ} and a negative puncture at ∞ asymptotic to α_1^k . It is pseudo-holomorphic with respect to a family of almost complex structures \tilde{J}_z on X_1^2 depending only on $\arg z$.

Proof. We analyze the building **G** as we analyzed **F**. The curves of **G** map to X_1^2 , Z, Y_1 or Y_2 . Those mapping to Z are at the same level, which we refer to again as the τ_2 -level. The curves u_N converge to a distinguished curve **C** of **G** which is either at or above the τ_2 -level. It has punctures at 0, 1 and ∞ , and additional punctures at the possibly empty set of points $\{z_1, \ldots, z_l\}$. We then partition the curves of **G**, other than **C**, into subsets \mathcal{C}_0 , \mathcal{C}_1 , \mathcal{C}_∞ and \mathcal{D}_j as before. Lemma 6 holds with **F** replaced by **G** while Lemmas 9, 10 and 11 hold as stated. Lemma 7 holds for any curves of **G**, other than **C**, which map to either X_1^2 or Z. The only significant difference involves our analysis of the subbuilding \mathcal{C}_∞ . We now have the following.

Lemma 13. The curves of \mathbb{C}_{∞} mapping to X_1^2 or Z all have nonnegative index. The other curves of \mathbb{C}_{∞} either all cover trivial cylinders or their collective index is at least 2.

Proof. By Lemma 7, the curves of \mathbb{C}_{∞} mapping to Z and to X_1^2 and not part of a planar component are pseudo-holomorphic with respect to a domain dependent family of almost complex structures that only depends on the natural S^1 -parameter on their domain. As we are no longer considering a 1-parameter family of almost-complex structures, these curves are now expected to have virtual deformation index of at least 0, modulo reparameterization. Again, their virtual deformation index modulo reparameterization, is one greater than their index as defined by Convention 2. So, the index of each of these curves of \mathbb{C}_{∞} is at least -1. As the indices are all even they are therefore all nonnegative. The other assertions concerning the curves mapping to Y_1 and Y_2 follow as before from Lemma 1.

Lemma 14. The curve **C** maps to the τ_2 -level.

Proof. Starting with

(20)
$$2 = \operatorname{index}(\mathbf{G}) = \operatorname{index}(\mathbf{C}) + \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{C}_0) + \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{C}_1) + \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{C}_\infty) + \sum_j \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{D}_j)$$

we observe again that if C lies above the τ_2 -level then constraint (u5) implies that

$$\sum_{j} \operatorname{index}(\mathcal{D}_j) \ge 2.$$

This together with Lemmas 10, 11 and 13 imply that $index(\mathbf{C}) \leq 0$. Since **C** must have punctures at 0, 1, 2, and ∞ this is a contradiction.

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4, it follows that **C** must have index 2. In particular, the curves of **G** mapping to Y_1 and Y_2 must all cover trivial cylinders, and **C** must have exactly three punctures, two positive punctures at 0 and 1, asymptotic to α_1 and α_1^{k-1} respectively, and one negative puncture at ∞ . From this it follows that the only other curve of **G** is a cylinder mapping to X_1^2 with index 0 and a negative puncture at ∞ asymptotic to α_1^k . As the cylinder has index 0 its positive puncture is asymptotic to α_2^ℓ . This is the desired curve \tilde{u} of Proposition 5.

3.7. Domain independence

Choose a sequence J_z^n of families in $\mathcal{J}^0_{\{0,1,\infty\}} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\Sigma_2}$ which are regular as in the statement of Proposition 5, and which converge to some J^∞ in $\mathcal{J}^0_{\{0,1,\infty\}} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\Sigma_2}$ whose domain dependence is trivial. By Proposition 5, this sequence yields

- a sequence of almost complex structures $\tilde{J}^n_{z\in\mathbb{CP}^1\smallsetminus\{0,\infty\}}$ on X^2_1 that each only depend on arg z and which converge to a fixed almost complex structure \tilde{J}^∞ on X^2_1
- a sequence of \tilde{J}_z^n -holomorphic curves $\tilde{u}_n \colon \mathbb{CP}^1 \smallsetminus \{0, \infty\} \to X$ whose punctures at 0 are positive and asymptotic to α_2^ℓ and whose punctures at ∞ are negative and asymptotic to α_1^k .

After passing to a subsequence we may assume that the curves u_n converge to a \tilde{J}^{∞} -holomorphic cylindrical building **H** in X_1^2 of index zero and genus zero with the desired asymptotics. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

References

- [Bo] F. Bourgeois, Contact homology and homotopy groups of the space of contact structures, Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), 71–85.
- [BEHWZ] F. Bourgeois, Y. Eliashberg, H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder, Compactness results in symplectic field theory, Geom. & Topol. 7 (2003), 799–888.
 - [CGH] D. Cristofaro-Gardiner and R. Hind, Symplectic embeddings of products, Comment. Math. Helv. 93 (2018), 1–32.
 - [CGHM] D. Cristofaro-Gardiner, R. Hind, and D. McDuff, The ghost stairs stabilize to sharp symplectic embedding obstructions, J. Topol. 11 (2018), 309–378.
 - [Dr] D. Dragnev, Fredholm theory and transversality for noncompact pseudoholomorphic maps in symplectizations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 57 (2004), 726–763.

- [EGH] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental, and H. Hofer, Introduction to Symplectic Field Theory, GAFA (2000), Special Volume, pp. 560–673.
 - [HK] R. Hind and E. Kerman, New obstructions to symplectic embeddings, Invent. Math. 196 (2014), 383–452.
- [HK2] R. Hind and E. Kerman, Correction to: New obstructions to symplectic embeddings, Invent. Math. 214 (2018), 1023–1029.
- [Hu1] M. Hutchings, The embedded contact homology index revisited, New Perspectives and Challenges in Symplectic Field Theory, pp. 263–297, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 49, AMS, (2009).
- [Hu2] M. Hutchings, Lecture notes on embedded contact homology, in: Contact and Symplectic Topology, pp. 389–484, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. 26 (2014).
 - [M] D. McDuff, A remark on the stabilized symplectic embedding problem for ellipsoids, Eur. J. Math. 4 (2018), 356–371.
- [MS] D. McDuff and F. Schlenk, The embedding capacity of 4dimensional symplectic ellipsoids, Ann. of Math. 175 (2012), 1191–1282.
 - [O] E. Opshtein, Maximal symplectic packings of P², Compos. Math. 143 (2007), 1558–1575.
- [Pa] J. Pardon, Contact homology and virtual fundamental cycles, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (2019), 825–919.
- [We] C. Wendl, Automatic transversality and orbifolds of punctured holomorphic curves in dimension four, Comment. Math. Helv. 85 (2010), 347–407.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME 255 HURLEY, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, USA *E-mail address*: hind.1@nd.edu

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN 1409 WEST GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801, USA *E-mail address*: ekerman@illinois.edu

RECEIVED AUGUST 7, 2017 ACCEPTED NOVEMBER 20, 2019