

Quasi-isometry type of the metric space derived from the kernel of the Calabi homomorphism

TOMOHIKO ISHIDA

We prove that the set of symmetrized conjugacy classes of the kernel of the Calabi homomorphism on the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the 2-disk is not quasi-isometric to the half line.

1. Introduction

Suppose that G is a simple group and $K \subseteq G$ is a subset. Here, we assume that K contains non-trivial elements of G . Since the group G is simple, any non-trivial element g of G can be written as a product of conjugates of elements of $K \cup K^{-1}$. We define for each $g \in G$ the number $q_K(g)$ by the minimal number of conjugates of elements of $K \cup K^{-1}$ whose product is equal to g . Here, for the identity element e , we define $q_K(e) = 0$. The function $q_K: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is obviously invariant under conjugations and defines a conjugation-invariant norm on G . Such a conjugation-invariant norm is called a *conjugation-generated norm*. In this paper, we mainly consider the case K consists of a single non-trivial element.

Elements f and g of a group G are *symmetrized conjugate* to each other if f is conjugate to g or g^{-1} . It is easy to see that symmetrized conjugacy is an equivalence relation. We denote by $[g]$ the symmetrized conjugacy class represented by $g \in G$. We define $\mathcal{M}(G)$ to be the set of non-trivial symmetrized conjugacy classes of elements of G . In [17], Tsuboi introduced a metric d on $\mathcal{M}(G)$ defined by

$$d([f], [g]) = \log \max\{q_{\{g\}}(f), q_{\{f\}}(g)\}.$$

In fact, it is easy to see that the inequality

$$q_{\{f\}}(h) \leq q_{\{f\}}(g)q_{\{g\}}(h)$$

holds for any $f, g, h \in G$ and thus the function $d: \mathcal{M}(G) \times \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfies the triangle inequality. We are interested in this metric space $\mathcal{M}(G)$, which is an invariant of simple group.

In [12], Kodama studied the metric space $(\mathcal{M}(G), d)$ for the case G is the infinite alternating group A_∞ and proved the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Kodama [12]). *The metric space $(\mathcal{M}(A_\infty), d)$ is quasi-isometric to the half line.*

We define the 2-disk D^2 and the standard area form Ω on D^2 to be

$$D^2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} \text{ and } \Omega = \frac{1}{\pi} dx \wedge dy$$

respectively. Let $\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ be the group of C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of the 2-disk D^2 , which preserve Ω and are the identity on a neighborhood of the boundary. It is classically known that the group $\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ admits a homomorphism

$$\text{Cal}: \text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

called the Calabi homomorphism. The Calabi homomorphism gives an abelianization of $\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ and its kernel KerCal is simple [1]. In this paper, we study the metric space $(\mathcal{M}(G), d)$ for the case $G = \text{KerCal}$ and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *For any non-trivial element $f \in \text{KerCal}$, there exist a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ contained in KerCal with $f_0 = f$, an element $g \in \text{KerCal}$ and positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3 which satisfy the following.*

- (i) $d([f_n], [f_m]) \geq C_1 |n - m|$,
- (ii) $d([f_n], [f_{n+1}]) \leq C_2$,
- (iii) $d([f_n], [g^m]) \geq \log m + C_3$.

As a corollary, we obtain the following statement answering to a problem raised by Tsuboi [18, Problem4.4].

Theorem 1.3. *The metric space $(\mathcal{M}(\text{KerCal}), d)$ is not quasi-isometric to the half line.*

2. Quasi-morphisms

In this section, we prepare a notion of quasi-morphism, which is a useful tool to evaluate a lower bound for a conjugation-generated norm q_K and prove Proposition 2.2. On quasi-morphisms and conjugation-generated norms, see [7] for more details.

Let G be a group. A *quasi-morphism* on G is a function $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ satisfying $|\phi(gh) - \phi(g) - \phi(h)| \leq C$ for any $g, h \in G$. The real number

$$D(\phi) = \sup_{g, h \in G} |\phi(gh) - \phi(g) - \phi(h)|$$

is called the *defect* of ϕ . A quasi-morphism ϕ on G is *homogeneous* if $\phi(g^p) = p\phi(g)$ for any $g \in G$ and any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any quasi-morphism ϕ on an arbitrary group G , there exists a unique homogeneous quasi-morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ on G such that $\tilde{\phi} - \phi$ is a bounded function on G and $\tilde{\phi}$ is explicitly written as

$$\tilde{\phi}(g) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p} \phi(g^p).$$

We denote by $Q(G)$ the \mathbb{R} -vector space consisting of homogeneous quasi-morphisms on G . Note that homogeneous quasi-morphisms are invariant under conjugations.

2.1. Conjugation-invariant norms and quasi-morphisms

Let K be a subset of G . We define the vector subspace $Q(G, K)$ of $Q(G)$ by

$$Q(G, K) = \{\phi \in Q(G); \phi \text{ is bounded on } K\}.$$

Note that this definition is different from that given in [7]. Suppose that $g \in G$ is written as

$$g = f_1 \cdots f_n,$$

where f_1, \dots, f_n are conjugates of elements of $K \cup K^{-1}$. Then for each $\phi \in Q(G, K)$ the inequation

$$|\phi(g) - \phi(f_1) - \cdots - \phi(f_n)| \leq (n - 1)(D(\phi))$$

holds. If we set $C_K = \sup_{h \in K} |\phi(h)|$, then we have

$$\frac{|\phi(g)|}{D(\phi) + C_K} \leq n.$$

This means that

$$\frac{|\phi(g)|}{D(\phi) + C_K} \leq q_K(g).$$

Denoting by $[K]$ the set of symmetrized conjugacy classes represented by the elements of K , we have the following lemma on the metric d of $\mathcal{M}(G)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\phi \in Q(G, K)$ and $g \in G$ such that $\phi(g) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\log \frac{|\phi(g)|}{D(\phi) + C_K} \leq d([g], [K]).$$

In particular,

$$\log n + \log \frac{|\phi(g)|}{D(\phi) + C_K} \leq d([g^n], [K]) \text{ for any } n.$$

A simple group G is *uniformly simple* if the metric space $(\mathcal{M}(G), d)$ is bounded. This is equivalent to saying that $(\mathcal{M}(G), d)$ is quasi-isometric to a point. Since $Q(G, K) = Q(G)$ for any bounded set K , if the group G admits a non-trivial quasi-morphism then $(\mathcal{M}(G), d)$ is unbounded by Lemma 2.1 and thus G is not uniformly simple.

2.2. Gambaudo-Ghys' construction of quasi-morphisms on $\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$

It is known that the vector space $Q(\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2))$ is infinite-dimensional [8][9][10]. To prove Theorem 1.2, we use quasi-morphisms on KerCal obtained by Brandenbursky generalizing Gambaudo-Ghys' construction [4].

Let $X_n(D^2)$ be the n -fold configuration space of D^2 . Fix a base point $x^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) \in X_n(D^2)$. For any $g \in \text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ and almost every $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_n(D^2)$, we set a loop $l(g; x): [0, 1] \rightarrow X_n(D^2)$ by

$$l(g; x)(t) = \begin{cases} \{(1 - 3t)x_i^0 + 3tx_i\} & \left(0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{3}\right) \\ \{g_{3t-1}(x_i)\} & \left(\frac{1}{3} \leq t \leq \frac{2}{3}\right) \\ \{(3 - 3t)g(x_i) + (3t - 2)x_i^0\} & \left(\frac{2}{3} \leq t \leq 1\right), \end{cases}$$

where $\{g_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a path in $\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ such that g_0 is the identity and $g_1 = g$. Of course for some $x \in X_n(D^2)$ the loop $l(g; x)$ may not be defined.

However, for almost every x the loop $l(g; x)$ is well-defined. We define the pure braid $\gamma(g; x)$ to be the homotopy class relative to the base point x^0 represented by the loop $l(g; x)$. Since the group of diffeomorphisms of D^2 is contractible [16] and is homotopy equivalence to $\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ [15], the pure braid $\gamma(g; x)$ is independent of the choice of the path $\{g_t\}$. Let $P_n(D^2)$ be the pure braid group on n -strands. For a homogeneous quasi-morphism ϕ on $P_n(D^2)$, if we consider the function

$$g \mapsto \int_{x \in X_n(D^2)} \phi(\gamma(g; x)) \Omega^n,$$

then this function is well-defined [4][6] and is further a quasi-morphism on $\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ since the diffeomorphism g preserves Ω . Thus we have the linear map $\Gamma_n: Q(P_n(D^2)) \rightarrow Q(\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2))$ defined by

$$\Gamma_n(\phi)(g) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p} \int_{x \in X_n(D^2)} \phi(\gamma(g^p; x)) \Omega^n.$$

Let $B_n(D^2)$ be the braid group on n strands and $i: P_n(D^2) \rightarrow B_n(D^2)$ the natural inclusion. Then the linear map $Q(i): Q(B_n(D^2)) \rightarrow Q(P_n(D^2))$ is induced. For $n \geq 3$, the vector space $Q(B_n(D^2))$ is infinite-dimensional [3] and the composition $\Gamma_n \circ Q(i): Q(B_n(D^2)) \rightarrow Q(\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2))$ of the linear maps is injective [10]. Hence the image $\text{Im} \Gamma_n \subset Q(\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2))$ is also infinite dimensional.

For $r > 1$, we denote the small disk $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x^2 + y^2 \leq r^{-2}\}$ of radius $1/r$ by $D(r^{-1})$. Let $\varphi_r: D^2 \rightarrow D(r^{-1})$ be the C^∞ -diffeomorphism defined by

$$\varphi_r(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r} \right).$$

We define the homomorphism $s_r: \text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ by

$$s_r(f)(x, y) = \begin{cases} \varphi_r \circ f \circ \varphi_r^{-1}(x, y) & \text{if } (x, y) \in D(r^{-1}) \\ (x, y) & \text{if } (x, y) \notin D(r^{-1}). \end{cases}$$

Note that if f is in KerCal , then $s_r(f)$ is also.

Let $\sigma_1 \in B_3(D^2)$ be the braid on 3 strands as indicated in Figure 1. The following proposition is essentially introduced in [6, Lemma 3.11].



Figure 1: the braid σ_1 .

Proposition 2.2. *If $\phi \in Q(B_3)$ satisfies $\phi(\sigma_1) = 0$, then*

$$\Gamma_3 \circ Q(i)(\phi)(s_r(f)) = \frac{1}{r^6} \Gamma_3 \circ Q(i)(\phi)(f)$$

for any $f \in \text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ and any $r > 1$.

Proof. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be in $X_3(D^2)$. For any $f \in \text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ and any $r > 1$, if two or three of x_1, x_2, x_3 are not in $D(r^{-1})$, then the pure braid $\gamma(s_r(f); x)$ is trivial. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \in X_3(D^2)} \phi(\gamma(s_r(f); x)) \Omega^3 &= \int_{x_1, x_2, x_3 \in D(r^{-1})} \phi(\gamma(s_r(f); x)) \Omega^3 \\ &\quad + 3 \int_{x_1, x_2 \in D(r^{-1}), x_3 \notin D(r^{-1})} \phi(\gamma(s_r(f); x)) \Omega^3 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in Q(B_3(D^2))$.

If $x_1, x_2 \in D(r^{-1})$ and $x_3 \notin D(r^{-1})$, then the pure braid $\gamma(s_r(f); x)$ is a conjugate of a power of σ_1 and hence $\phi(\gamma(s_r(f); x)) = 0$. Since

$$\int_{x_1, x_2, x_3 \in D(r^{-1})} \phi(\gamma(s_r(f); x)) \Omega^3 = \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{x \in X_3(D^2)} \phi(\gamma(f; x)) \Omega^3,$$

we have the desired equality. □

3. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we prove the main theorem. Before starting the proof, we show the following lemma as a preliminary step.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $f \in \text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$ and $r > 1$, the following holds.*

- (I) $d([s_r^m(f)], [s_r^n(f)]) \geq (2 \log r)|m - n|$.
- (II) $d([s_r^n(f)], [s_r^{n+1}(f)]) \leq d([f], [s_r(f)])$.

Proof. Assume that $m < n$. Since the area of the support of $s_r^m(f)$ is just $r^{2(n-m)}$ times of that of $s_r^n(f)$, we have $q_{\{s_r^n(f)\}}(s_r^m(f)) \geq r^{2(n-m)}$. This implies (I).

Suppose that $s_r(f)$ is written as a product

$$s_r(f) = (h_1 f^{\varepsilon_1} h_1^{-1}) \cdots (h_k f^{\varepsilon_k} h_k^{-1}),$$

where each ε_i is 1 or -1 . Since the map

$$s_r : \text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2)$$

is a homomorphism, we have

$$s_r^{n+1}(f) = (s_r^n(h_1) s_r^n(f)^{\varepsilon_1} s_r^n(h_1)^{-1}) \cdots (s_r^n(h_k) s_r^n(f)^{\varepsilon_k} s_r^n(h_k)^{-1})$$

and thus $q_{\{s_r^n(f)\}}(s_r^{n+1}(f)) \leq q_{\{f\}}(s_r(f))$. The inequality $q_{\{s_r^{n+1}(f)\}}(s_r^n(f)) \leq q_{\{s_r(f)\}}(f)$ similarly follows. Hence we have (II). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix $f \in \text{KerCal}$ and $r > 1$. If we set $f_n = s_r^n(f)$, then the properties (i) and (ii) immediately follow from Lemma 3.1.

Since the vector space $Q(B_n(D^2))$ is infinite-dimensional for $n \geq 3$ [3], considering the linear combination it is guaranteed that there exists a non-trivial homogeneous quasi-morphism ϕ on B_3 satisfying $\phi(\sigma_1) = 0$. Since the composition of the linear maps $\Gamma_n \circ Q(i) : Q(B_n(D^2)) \rightarrow Q(\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2))$ is injective for $n \geq 3$ [10], its image $\Gamma_3 \circ Q(i)(\phi)$ is also non-trivial. If we denote it by ϕ' , then $|\phi'(f_n)| \leq |\phi'(f)|$ by Proposition 2.2 and thus ϕ' is in $Q(\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(D^2, \partial D^2), \{f_n; n \geq 0\})$. Moreover, choose $g \in \text{KerCal}$ such that $\phi'(g) \neq 0$. Then we have by Lemma 2.1

$$\log m + \log \frac{|\phi'(g)|}{D(\phi') + |\phi'(f)|} \leq d([g^m], [f_n; n \geq 0]) \text{ for any } m \in \mathbb{N},$$

which is the property (iii). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. If the metric spaces $\mathcal{M}(\text{KerCal})$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ are quasi-isometric, then there exists a quasi-isometric embedding $\Phi : \mathcal{M}(\text{KerCal}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. By the property (iii), we have $\Phi([f]) < \Phi([g^m])$ for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By the property (i), there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Phi([g^m]) < \Phi([f_n])$. If we set $n_m = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}; \Phi([g^m]) < \Phi([f_n])\}$, then $\Phi([f_{n_m}]) - \Phi([g^m])$ is

bounded independently on m by the property (ii). However this contradicts the property (iii) since we can make n_m arbitrarily large by taking larger m . \square

Remark 3.2. Let M be a closed C^∞ -manifold and fix a symplectic form ω of M . Then the group $\text{Ham}^\infty(M)$ of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of M is a simple group [1].

Let U be a closed ball in M . Taking the subgroup $\text{Ham}^\infty(U)$ of $\text{Ham}^\infty(M)$, consisting of diffeomorphisms supported by U , as in the case of D^2 we can consider the shrinking homomorphism $s_r: \text{Ham}^\infty(U) \rightarrow \text{Ham}^\infty(U)$ and construct a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $\text{Ham}^\infty(M)$ which satisfies the properties (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.2. Hence if there exists a quasi-morphisms on $\text{Ham}^\infty(M)$ whose restriction in $\text{Ham}^\infty(U)$ have the property as Proposition 2.2, then Theorem 1.2 holds for $\text{Ham}^\infty(M)$ and Theorem 1.3 for $\mathcal{M}(\text{Ham}^\infty(M))$.

When M is a closed surface, we can construct quasi-morphisms on $\text{Diff}_\Omega^\infty(M)_0$ by Gambaudo-Ghys' way [5] and verify by an argument similar to the case of D^2 that there exists a quasi-morphism ϕ on $\text{Ham}^\infty(M)$ satisfying $\phi(s_r(f)) = r^{-6}\phi(f)$ for any $f \in \text{Ham}^\infty(U)$.

When M is the one point blow up of a closed symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω_X) such that ω_X and the first Chern class $c_1(X)$ vanish on $\pi_2(X)$, then $\text{Ham}^\infty(M)$ admits a non-trivial quasi-morphism μ , which is called a Calabi quasi-morphism [8][14]. If we take U sufficiently small, then μ satisfies $\mu(s_r(f)) = r^{-8}\mu(f)$ for any $f \in \text{Ham}^\infty(U)$.

Remark 3.3. Let $\text{Ham}_C^\infty(D^{2n})$ and $\text{Ham}_C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ be the groups of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of D^{2n} and \mathbb{R}^{2n} respectively with respect to the standard symplectic form ω . These groups admits the Calabi homomorphisms $\text{Cal}: \text{Ham}_C^\infty(D^{2n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Cal}_\mathbb{R}: \text{Ham}_C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and their kernels KerCal and $\text{KerCal}_\mathbb{R}$ are simple [1]. The group $\text{Ham}_C^\infty(D^{2n})$ admits a quasi-morphism τ , which is constructed by Barge and Ghys [2]. The quasi-morphism $\tau \in Q(\text{Ham}_C^\infty(D^{2n}))$ satisfies $\tau(s_r(f)) = r^{-2n}(f)$.

Although the group $\text{KerCal}_\mathbb{R}$ does not admit non-trivial quasi-morphisms [13], Kawasaki constructed a homogeneous conjugation invariant function on $\text{KerCal}_\mathbb{R}$, which is called a partial quasi-morphism [11]. If we denote it by μ , then the equation $\mu(s_r(f)) = r^{-2n}\mu(f)$ is satisfied.

Therefore a statement similar to Lemma 2.1 hold for τ and μ . Hence Theorem 1.2 holds for KerCal and $\text{KerCal}_\mathbb{R}$ and Theorem 1.3 for $\mathcal{M}(\text{KerCal})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\text{KerCal}_\mathbb{R})$.

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Jarek Kędra and Morimichi Kawasaki for reading the manuscript and several comments. The author was supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists (26·110).

References

- [1] A. Banyaga, *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 400, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [2] J. Barge and É. Ghys, *Cocycles d'Euler et de Maslov*, Math. Ann. **294** (1992), no. 2, 235–265.
- [3] M. Bestvina and K. Fujiwara, *Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups*, Geom. Topol. **6** (2002), 69–89 (electronic).
- [4] M. Brandenbursky, *On quasi-morphisms from knot and braid invariants*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **20** (2011), no. 10, 1397–1417.
- [5] M. Brandenbursky, *Bi-invariant metrics and quasi-morphisms on groups of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of surfaces*, Internat. J. Math. **26** (2015), no. 9, 1550066, 29.
- [6] M. Brandenbursky and J. Kędra, *On the autonomous metric on the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the 2-disc*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **13** (2013), no. 2, 795–816.
- [7] D. Burago, S. Ivanov, and L. Polterovich, *Conjugation-invariant norms on groups of geometric origin*, Groups of diffeomorphisms, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 52, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008, pp. 221–250.
- [8] M. Entov and L. Polterovich, *Calabi quasimorphism and quantum homology*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2003), no. 30, 1635–1676.
- [9] J.-M. Gambaudo and É. Ghys, *Commutators and diffeomorphisms of surfaces*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **24** (2004), no. 5, 1591–1617.
- [10] T. Ishida, *Quasi-morphisms on the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the 2-disk via braid groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **1** (2014), 43–51.
- [11] M. Kawasaki, *Relative quasimorphisms and stably unbounded norms on the group of symplectomorphisms of the Euclidean spaces*, J. Symplectic Geom. **14** (2016), no. 1, 297–304.

- [12] H. Kodama, *On non-uniformly simple groups*, arXiv:1107.5125.
- [13] D. Kotschick, *Stable length in stable groups*, Groups of diffeomorphisms, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 52, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008, pp. 401–413.
- [14] D. McDuff, *Monodromy in Hamiltonian Floer theory*, Comment. Math. Helv. **85** (2010), no. 1, 95–133.
- [15] J. Moser, *On the volume elements on a manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1965), 286–294.
- [16] S. Smale, *Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 621–626.
- [17] T. Tsuboi, *On the uniform simplicity of diffeomorphism groups*, Differential geometry, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2009, pp. 43–55.
- [18] T. Tsuboi, *Several problems on groups of diffeomorphisms*, Geometry and Foliations 2013, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol. 72, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2018, pp. 239–248.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY
KITASHIRAKAWA OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: `ishidat@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`
Current E-mail address: `tomohiko.ishida.0@gmail.com`

RECEIVED SEPTEMBER 5, 2015

ACCEPTED FEBRUARY 12, 2016