# The index problem of group connectivity

MIAOMIAO HAN, HONG-JIAN LAI, AND YEHONG SHAO

Let G be a connected graph and L(G) be its line graph. Define  $L^0(G) = G$  and for any integer  $k \geq 0$ , the kth iterated line graph of G, denoted by  $L^k(G)$ , is defined recursively as  $L^{k+1}(G) = L(L^k(G))$ . For a graphical property  $\mathcal{P}$ , the  $\mathcal{P}$ -index of G is the smallest integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $L^k(G)$  has property  $\mathcal{P}$ . In this paper, we investigate the indices of group connectivity, and determine some best possible upper bounds for these indices. Let A be an abelian group and let  $i_A(G)$  be the smallest positive integer m such that  $L^m(G)$  is A-connected. A path P of G is a normal divalent path if all internal vertices of P are of degree 2 in G and if |E(P)| = 2, then P is not in a 3-cycle of G. Let

 $l(G) = \max\{m : G \text{ has a normal divalent path of length } m\}.$ 

In particular, we prove the following.

- (i) If  $|A| \ge 4$ , then  $i_A(G) \le l(G)$ . This bound is best possible.
- (ii) If  $|A| \geq 4$ , then  $i_A(G) \leq |V(G)| \Delta(G)$ . This bound is best possible.
- (iii) Suppose that  $|A| \ge 4$  and d = diam(G). If  $d \le |A| 1$ , then  $i_A(G) \le d$ ; and if  $d \ge |A|$ , then  $i_A(G) \le 2d |A| + 1$ .
- (iv)  $i_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(G) \leq l(G) + 2$ . This bound is best possible.

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we use  $\mathbb{Z}$  to denote the set of all integers and  $\mathbb{N}$  to denote the set of all natural numbers. For an  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 1, we use  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  to denote the set of all integers modulo m as well as the cyclic group of order m. We use [2] for terminology and notation not defined here. Graphs considered in this paper may have multiple edges but no loops. Following [2], for a graph G,  $\kappa(G)$ ,  $\kappa'(G)$ ,  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$  denote the connectivity, the edge-connectivity, the minimum degree and the maximum degree of G, respectively. The **line graph** L(G) of a graph G is defined as the graph whose vertices are the edges of G and where two vertices in L(G) are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges in G are incident to a common vertex.

We define  $L^0(G) = G$  and for integers  $k \geq 0$ , define recursively  $L^{k+1}(G) = L(L^k(G))$ . Each  $L^k(G)$  is called **the** k**th** iterated line graph of G, or just an iterated line graph of G. For an integer n > 0, let  $P_n$  and  $C_n$  denote the path on n vertices and the cycle of order n, called an n-path and an n-cycle, respectively. By the definition of line graphs, if  $G \in \{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then the iterated line graph of G is either stable as a cycle, or diminishing when k becomes bigger. Therefore, throughout this paper, we always assume that G is a connected graph that is not in  $\{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

The **hamiltonian index**  $i_h(G)$  of G is the smallest positive integer k such that  $L^k(G)$  is hamiltonian. The concept of hamiltonian index was first introduced by Chartrand and Wall [3], who showed that (Theorem A of [3]) if a connected graph G is not a path, then  $i_h(G)$  exists as a finite number. Clark and Wormald [4] considered other indices related to hamiltonicity of the iterated line graphs. More generally, the following is proposed in [16].

**Definition 1.1.** For a graphical property  $\mathcal{P}$  and a connected nonempty simple graph G which is not in  $\{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , define the  $\mathcal{P}$ -index of G, denoted  $\mathcal{P}(G)$ , as

$$\mathcal{P}(G) = \begin{cases} \min\{k|L^k(G) \text{ has property } \mathcal{P}\} \text{ if at least one such integer $k$ exists} \\ \infty \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The index problem has been investigated by many, including [3], [4], [6], [13], [17]. [21], [29], among others. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the indices for group connectivity of graphs.

Throughout this paper, A denotes an (additive) abelian group with identity 0, and  $A^* = A - \{0\}$ . Assume that G has an orientation D(G). If an edge  $e \in E(G)$  is oriented from a vertex u to a vertex v, then let  $\mathbf{tail}(e) = u$  and  $\mathbf{head}(e) = v$ . For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , define

$$E_D^+(v) = \{e \in E(G) | v = \text{tail}(e)\}, \text{ and } E_D^-(v) = \{e \in E(G) | v = \text{head}(e)\}.$$

Following Jaeger et al. [11], we define  $F(G,A) = \{f|f: E(G) \to A\}$  and  $F^*(G,A) = \{f|f: E(G) \to A^*\}$ . For a function  $f: E(G) \to A$ , define  $\partial f: V(G) \to A$  by

$$\partial f(v) = \sum_{e \in E_D^+(v)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in E_D^-(v)} f(e),$$

where " $\sum$ " refers to the addition in A.

A mapping  $b:V(G)\to A$  is an A-valued zero sum function on G if  $\sum_{v\in V(G)}b(v)=0$ . The set of all A-valued zero sum functions on G is denoted

by Z(G, A). A function  $f \in F(G, A)$  is an A-flow of G if  $\partial f(v) = 0$  for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . An A-flow f is a **nowhere-zero** A-flow (abbreviated as A-NZF) if  $f \in F^*(G, A)$ . For a mapping  $b \in Z(G, A)$ , a function  $f \in F^*(G, A)$  is **a nowhere-zero** (A, b)-flow (abbreviated as (A, b)-NZF) if  $\partial f = b$ . A graph G is A-connected if for any  $b \in Z(G, A)$ , G has an (A, b)-NZF. Let  $\langle A \rangle$  be the family of graphs that are A-connected. The **group connectivity number** of a graph G is defined as

$$\Lambda_g(G) = \min\{k | G \in \langle A \rangle \text{ for every abelian group } A \text{ with } |A| \ge k\}.$$

The concept of group connectivity was first introduced by Jaeger, Linial, Payan and Tarsi in [11] as a nonhomogeneous form of the nowhere-zero flow problem. The nowhere-zero flow problem was first introduced by Tutte [27] in his way to attach the 4-color-conjecture. Tutte left with several fascinating conjectures in this area, which remain open as of today.

## Conjecture 1.2. (Tutte [27], [10])

- (i) Every graph G with  $\kappa'(G) \geq 2$  has a nowhere-zero  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ -flow.
- (ii) Every graph G with  $\kappa'(G) \geq 2$  without a subgraph contractible to the Peterson graph admits a nowhere-zero  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -flow.
- (iii) Every graph G with  $\kappa'(G) \geq 4$  admits a nowhere-zero  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -flow.

Many efforts towards these conjectures have been made, as surveyed in [10]. Seymour [22] proves that every 2-edge-connected graph has a nowhere zero 6-flow. Jaeger et al. improve this result by showing that if G is a 3-edge-connected graph, then  $\Lambda_g(G) \leq 6$ . More recently, a break through on  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connectivity has been made by Thomassen and by Lovaze et al.

**Theorem 1.3.** (Thomassen [25]) If  $\kappa'(G) \geq 8$ , then G is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected.

This lower bound in Theorem 1.3 has recently been improved.

**Theorem 1.4.** (Lovasz, Thomassen, Wu and Zhang [19], Wu [28]) If  $\kappa'(G) \geq 6$ , then G is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected.

The goal of this research is to show that if  $G \notin \{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then for any A, there exists a finite integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $L^m(G) \in \langle A \rangle$ . The smallest such m is denoted by  $i_A(G)$ , called **the** A-connected index of G. We shall to determine best possible upper bounds for the indices of A-connectedness of graphs, for all abelian groups A. In Section 2, we display the tools we will use in the arguments. Best possible upper bounds of group connectivity are studied in the last section.

## 2. Triangular and triangulated connected indices

Throughout this section, G denotes a connected graph that is not in  $\{K_{1,3}\}\cup\{P_n,C_n|n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ . For each  $i\in\mathbb{N}$ , let  $D_i(G)$  denote the set of all vertices of degree i in G, for an integer subset I, let  $D_I(G)=\cup_{i\in I}D_i(G)$ , and so  $D_{\leq i}(G)=\cup_{1\leq j\leq i}D_j(G)$ . A graph G is **triangular** if every edge of G lies in a 3-cycle of G.

As our arguments will be back and forth between G and L(G), for each edge  $e \in E(G)$ , we will often use, in the proof arguments throughout the rest of this paper,  $v_e$  to denote the vertex in L(G) corresponding to  $e \in E(G)$ . Likewise, if  $u \in V(L(G))$ , then we often use e(u) to denote the edge in G corresponding to u in L(G).

**Proposition 2.1.** The following are equivalent.

- (i) L(G) is triangular;
- (ii) For any  $v \in D_1(G)$ ,  $N_G(v) \subseteq D_{\geq 3}(G)$ ; for any  $v \in D_2(G)$ , there exists an  $K_3 \subseteq G$  such that  $v \in V(K_3)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that (i) holds, or L(G) is triangular. We argue by contradiction to prove (ii). Assume first that for some  $v_1 \in D_1(G)$ , the only vertex w in  $N_G(v_1)$  has degree at most 2. Since G is not a path, we have  $w \in D_2(G)$ . Thus the vertex in L(G) corresponding to the edge  $v_1w \in E(G)$  is a vertex of degree 1, contrary to the assumption that L(G) is triangular. Thus every vertex in  $D_1(G)$  must be adjacent to a vertex in  $D_{\geq 3}(G)$ . Next, we assume that G has a vertex  $v_2 \in D_2(G)$  with  $N_G(v_2) = \{w_1, w_2\}$ . If  $w_1w_2 \notin E(G)$ , then by the definition of line graphs, the edge in L(G) joining the vertices  $w_1v_2$  and  $v_2w_2$  in L(G) is not in a 3-cycle, contrary to the assumption that L(G) is triangular. This proves (ii).

Conversely, assume that G satisfies (ii). Let  $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$  be an arbitrary pair of adjacent vertices in L(G). Then L(G) has an edge f linking  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Then for some  $v \in V(G)$ , both  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are incident with v. If  $d_G(v) = k \geq 3$ , then by the definition of line graphs, edges incident with v are vertices in L(G) which induce a complete subgraph on  $k \geq 3$  vertices. As  $k \geq 3$ , f lies in a 3-cycle of L(G). Therefore, we assume that  $d_G(v) = 2$ . By (ii), v lies in a 3-cycle of G. Since  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are the only edges incident with v, the 3-cycle in G containing v must also contain  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . By the definition of line graphs, the edges of this 3-cycle is also a 3-cycle in L(G), and so f lies in a 3-cycle in this case also. This proves that L(G) must be triangular, and so (i) holds.

For any graph  $\Gamma$ , and for distinct edges  $e, e' \in E(\Gamma)$ , an (e, e')-path of  $\Gamma$  is a path P whose initial edge is e and whose terminal edge is e'. The edges in  $E(P) - \{e, e'\}$  are called the internal edges of P. By the definition of

connectedness, a graph  $\Gamma$  is connected if and only if for any pair of distinct edges  $e, e' \in E(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Gamma$  has an (e, e')-path.

For any e, e' in a graph G, define  $e \sim e'$  if and only if e = e' or there exists a sequence  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$  of cycles of length at most 3, such that  $e \in E(C_1)$  and  $e' \in E(C_k)$  and for any  $1 \le i \le k-1$ ,  $E(C_i) \cap E(C_{i+1}) \ne \emptyset$ . Such a sequence of 3-cycles is called an **triangular sequence** connecting e and e'. It is routine to verify that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on E(G). Each equivalence class induces a subgraph which is called a **triangularly connected component** of G. If E(G) is a triangularly connected component, then G is **triangularly connected**.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let G be a connected graph not in  $\{K_{1,3}\}\cup\{P_n,C_n|n\in\mathbb{N}\}$  with  $|E(G)|\geq 3$ . The following are equivalent.

- (i) L(G) is triangularly connected.
- (ii) For any pair of distinct edges  $e, e' \in E(G)$ , G has an (e, e')-path P such that every internal edge of P lies in a 3-cycle of G.

**Proof.** Assume that (ii) holds. Let  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_c$  be the triangularly connected components of L(G). Since G is connected, L(G) is also connected. We may assume that  $V(H_1) \cap V(H_2)$  contains a vertex  $v_e$ , corresponding to an edge  $e \in E(G)$ . By definition of  $v_e$ , there exists a vertex  $v_{e_1} \in V(H_1)$  and a vertex  $v_{e_2} \in V(H_2)$  such that e is incident with  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  in G. Therefore, we assume that for  $i \in \{1,2\}$ , G has vertices  $v_1, v_2$  such that  $e_i$ , e are incident with  $v_i$ . Since  $v_{e_1}$  and  $v_{e_2}$  are not in the same triangularly connected component of L(G),  $v_1 \neq v_2$ . Thus  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are distinct edges in G. By (ii), G has an  $(e_1, e_2)$ -path P such that every internal edge of P lies in a 3-cycle of G. Thus by the definition of L(G), for the two edges  $ee_1$  and  $ee_2$ , L(G) has a triangular sequence connecting  $ee_1$  and  $ee_2$ . It follows that  $ee_1$  and  $ee_2$  are in the same triangularly connected component, whence  $H_1 = H_2$ , contrary to the fact that  $H_1 \neq H_2$ . This contradiction justifies that (ii) implies (i) of Lemma 2.2.

Conversely, assume that (i) holds. Let e, e' be distinct edges in G. If e and e' are adjacent in G, then the path in  $G[\{e,e'\}]$  is a path satisfying (ii). Thus we assume that e and e' are not adjacent in G. Since G is connected, there exist edges  $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$  such that  $e, e_1$  are adjacent in G, and e' and  $e_2$  are adjacent in G. Thus  $ee_1$  and  $e'e_2$  are edges in L(G). Since L(G) is triangularly connected, there exists a triangular sequence  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$  connecting the two edges  $ee_1$  and  $e'e_2$  in L(G). Among all such sequences, choose one such that k is minimized. Let  $v_e, v_{e'}$  denote the vertices in L(G) corresponding to the edges e and e' in G, respectively. Let P' be a  $(v_e, v_{e'})$ -path in L(G) with  $V(P') \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^k V(C_i)$ . As  $V(P') \subseteq E(G)$ , we define P = G[V(P')]. Since

k is minimized, there is no 3-cycle in P, and so P is a path. Let xy be any internal edge of P. By the definition of P', we have  $v_{xy} \in V(C_i)$ , for some  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Let  $uv_{xy}$  be the common edge of  $C_{i-1}$  and  $C_i$  in L(G). Then we may assume that  $V(C_i) = \{u, v_{xy}, v\}$  and,  $V(C_{i-1}) = \{u, v_{xy}, w\}$ , for some vertices  $v, w \in V(G)$ . If  $G[e(u) \cup e(v) \cup xy] = C_3$ , then xy lies in this 3-cycle in G. Thus we may assume that  $G[e(u) \cup e(v) \cup xy] = K_{1,3}$ .

Since w is adjacent to u and  $v_{xy}$ , and  $G[\{e(u), e(w), xy\}] = C_3$ , if xy is not in any 3-cycle in G, then we may assume that x is a common vertex in e(u), e(v) and e(w), and so  $G[\{e(u), e(v), xy, e(w)\}] = K_{1,4}$ , contrary to the assumption that k is minimized. This proves (ii).

## Corollary 2.3. Each of the following holds.

- (i) If G is triangular, then L(G) is triangularly connected.
- (ii) If a graph G is triangularly connected, then L(G) is also triangularly connected.
- **Proof.** (i) Let e, e' be any pair of distinct edges in G, and  $e = u_1u_2$ ,  $e' = v_1v_2$ . Since G is connected, there is  $(u_1, v_1)$ -path P in G. Since G is triangular, every edge of P is in a 3-cycle  $C_3$ . By Proposition 2.2, L(G) is triangularly connected.
- (ii) Since triangularly connected graph G is also a triangular graph, by (i), it follows that L(G) is also triangularly connected.

Given a connected graph G, a path P of G is a **divalent path** of G if every internal vertex of P has degree 2 in G. By this definition, if an edge is incident with two vertices neither of which is of degree 2, then this edge e induces a divalent path of G. We call P a normal divalent path of G, if all internal vertices of P are of degree 2 in G and if |E(P)| = 2, then P is not in a 3-cycle of G. Let  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  denote the set of all normal divalent path of G, and define,

 $l(G) = \max\{m | G \text{ has a normal divalent path of length } m\}.$ 

As in the literature, many studies have used l(G) as an invariant to investigate the hamiltonian index as well as other hamiltonian related indices, see [3], [4], [6], [13], [29], among others. We present the following.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let G be a connected graph with at least 3 edges not in  $\{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and let l = l(G). Each of the following holds.

- (i) (Lemma 3.2 [26])  $L^l(G)$  is triangular.
- (ii)  $L^{l+1}(G)$  is triangularly connected.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove (ii). By (i),  $L^l(G)$  is triangular. Then, by Corollary 2.3 (i),  $L^{l+1}(G)$  is triangularly connected.

## 3. Group connectivity indices

Throughout this section, we always assume that A is a finite abelian group with at least 3 elements and G is a connected graph not in  $\{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Define the A-connected index of G as

$$i_A(G) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \mid L^m(G) \text{ is } A\text{-connected}\}.$$

We shall show that for any abelian group A, if G is not in  $\{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  then  $i_A(G)$  exists as a finite number. We will determine best possible upper bounds for these indices. The following will be used in our arguments.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let A be an abelian group with  $|A| \ge 3$  and let T be a connected spanning subgraph of a graph G. Each of the following holds.

- (i) (Lemma 3.3 of [14]) If G is a cycle of length  $n \ge 2$ , then G is A-connected if and only if  $|A| \ge n + 1$ .
- (ii) (Lemma 2.1 of [15]) If for each edge  $e \in E(T)$ , G has an A-connected subgraph  $H_e$  with  $e \in E(H_e)$ , then G is A-connected.

For a subset  $X \subset E(G)$ , the contraction G/X is the graph obtained from G by identifying the two ends of each edge in X and then deleting all loops generated by this process. Note that even if G is simple, G/X may have multiple edges. For simplicity, we write G/e for  $G/\{e\}$ , where  $e \in E(G)$ . If H is a subgraph of G, then G/H denotes G/E(H). If  $v \in V(G/H)$  is obtained by contracting a connected subgraph H of G, then H is called **the preimage** of V, and V is called the **image** of H.

```
Proposition 3.2. (Propostion 3.2 of [14])
(i) If H \in \langle A \rangle and if e \in E(H), then H/e \in \langle A \rangle.
(ii) If H \in \langle A \rangle, then G/H \in \langle A \rangle if and only if G \in \langle A \rangle.
```

Let H be an induced subgraph of G. We define  $I_1(H)$  to be L(G)[E(H)], the subgraph of L(G) induced by E(H). Let  $I_1: H \to L(G)[E(H)]$  be a mapping from the set of all induced subgraph H of G to the set of all induced subgraphs of L(G). We define  $I_1^-(I_1(H)) = H$ . Inductively, if  $I_k$  and  $I_k^-$  are defined, then  $I_k(H)$  is an induced subgraph of  $L^k(G)$ , and so  $I_{k+1}(H) = I_1(I_k(H))$  is an induced subgraph of  $L^{k+1}(G)$ , and define  $I_{k+1}^-(H) = I_1^-(I_k^-(H))$ . We adopt the notation  $I_{k+1}^-(e)$  if  $I_{k+1}(H)$  is a path induced by an edge e. Let G be a graph. Define  $E' = E'(G) = \{e \in E(G) | e$  is in a cycle of G of length at most G and G and G are define

$$P(G) = \{P | P \text{ is a divalent path in } G \text{ with } |E(P)| = l\}.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** (Lemma 12 of [17]) Let d > 0 be an integer and let  $e \in E''(L^{d-1}(G))$ . Then  $I_{d-1}^-(e)$  is a divalent path in G with length at least d.

Let  $G^* = G - E(P(G))$ , and let  $G_1^*, G_2^*, \dots, G_t^*$  be the components of  $G^*$ , where  $t \geq 1$ . Let G' be the graph obtained from G by contracting every  $G_i^* \in G$  into a vertex, for any  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and replace every  $P \in P(G)$  with one edge. By definition, if  $G \in \langle A \rangle$ , then  $\kappa'(G) \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let G be a connected graph with l = l(G), and A be an abelian group with  $|A| \ge 4$ . Each of the following holds:

- (i) If l = 1, then  $L(G) \in \langle A \rangle$ .
- (ii) If l > 1, then  $i_A(G) \leq l$ , and the equality holds if and only if  $G' \notin \langle A \rangle$ .

**Proof.** (i). Assume that l = 1. By the definition of divalent paths, l = 1 if and only if one of the following holds:

- (A)  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ , or
- (B)  $\delta(G) \leq 2$  and every vertex of degree 2 is contained in a triangle.

For every edge  $e_1e_2 \in E(L(G))$ , there exists a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $e_1, e_2$  are both incident with v in G. If (A) holds, then the edge  $e_1e_2$  in L(G) lies in a complete subgraph of order  $d_G(v) \geq \delta(G) \geq 3$ . It follows by Lemma 3.1 that  $L(G) \in \langle A \rangle$ . If (B) holds, then G has a 3-cycle containing both  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , hence L(G) has a 3-cycle containing the edge  $e_1e_2$ . Again by Lemma 3.1  $L(G) \in \langle A \rangle$ . This proves (i).

(ii). Suppose that  $l \geq 2$ . By Proposition 2.4, every edge e of  $L^l(G)$  is in a 3-cycle. By Lemma 3.1(i),  $K_3 \in \langle A \rangle$ , and so by Lemma 3.1(ii),  $L^l(G) \in \langle A \rangle$ . This implies that  $i_A(G) \leq l$ ; and that  $i_A(G) = l$  if and only if  $L^{l-1}(G) \notin \langle A \rangle$ .

By the definition of P(G), we have, for any  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $i_A(G_i^*) \leq l(G_i^*) \leq l-1$ . Thus  $I_{l-1}(G_i^*) \in \langle A \rangle$ . By the definition of line graphs, every divalent path of length l in a graph G will become a divalent path of length l-1 in L(G). It follows that if  $P \in P(G)$ , then  $I_{l-1}(P) \cong K_2$ . By Proposition 3.2 (ii),  $L^{l-1}(G) \notin \langle A \rangle$  if and only if  $G' \notin \langle A \rangle$ .

Let  $\Delta \geq 3$  be an integer and  $G(\Delta)$  be the graph obtained from  $K_{1,\Delta}$  and  $P_{n-\Delta}$  by identifying a vertex in  $D_1(K_{1,\Delta})$  and a vertex in  $D_1(P_{n-\Delta})$ . We observe that  $\Delta$  is the maximum degree of  $G(\Delta)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let G be a connected simple graph on n > 3 vertices,  $\Delta = \Delta(G)$  and A be an abelian group with  $|A| \ge 4$ . Each of the following holds. (i)  $i_A(G) \le n - \Delta$ .

- (ii) Equality in (i) holds if and only if  $G = G(\Delta)$ .
- **Proof.** (i) Note that since G is not a cycle nor a path, we have  $\Delta \geq 3$ . By the definition of line graphs, L(G) contains a  $K_{\Delta}$  as a subgraph. Since  $\Delta \geq 3$ , by Lemma 3.1,  $K_{\Delta} \in \langle A \rangle$ . By Proposition 3.2 (ii),  $L(G) \in \langle A \rangle$  if and

only if  $L(G)/K_{\Delta} \in \langle A \rangle$ . Let  $w \in V(L(G)/K_{\Delta})$  be the vertex in  $L(G)/K_{\Delta}$ ) onto which  $K_{\Delta}$  is contracted. By Theorem 3.4,  $i_A(L(G)) \leq l(L(G)/K_{\Delta})$ .

If l(G)=1, then by Theorem 3.4(i), we have  $i_A(G) \leq 1 \leq n-\Delta$ . hence we may assume that  $l(G) \geq 2$ . As every divalent path of length l in G will become a divalent path of length l-1 in L(G). To prove  $i_A(G) \leq n-\Delta$ , it suffices to prove  $l(L(G)/K_{\Delta}) \leq n-\Delta-1$ . Let P be any divalent path in  $L(G)/K_{\Delta}$  with  $|E(P)| = l(L(G)/K_{\Delta})$ .

Case 1.  $w \in V(P)$ .

Suppose that  $d_{L(G)/K_{\Delta}}(w) = 1$ , or that  $d_{L(G)/K_{\Delta}}(w) \geq 3$ . Let  $P' = P - \{w\}$ . Then  $I_1^-(P')$  is also a divalent path in G, and so

$$l(L(G)/K_{\Delta}) = |E(P)| \le |E(I_1^-(P'))| \le l \le n - \Delta - 1.$$

Thus we assume that  $d_{L(G)/K_{\Delta}}(v) = 2$ . Let  $P^1$  and  $P^2$  be the two component of  $P - \{w\}$ . Then  $I_1^-(P^1)$  and  $I_1^-(P_2)$  are divalent paths in G. It follows that

$$l(L(G)/K_{\Delta}) = |E(P)| \le |E(P^1)| + |E(P^2)| + 2$$
  
 
$$\le |E(I_1^-(P^1))| + |E(I_1^-(P^2))| \le n - \Delta - 1.$$

Case 2.  $w \notin V(P)$ .

Fix a vertex  $v_0 \in D_{\Delta}(G)$ . Then  $I_1^-(P)$  is also a divalent path in G with  $V(I_1^-(P)) \cap N_G(v_0) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $l(L(G)/K_{\Delta}) = |E(P)| \leq |E(I_1^-(P))| - 1 \leq n - \Delta - 1$ .

Since  $i_A(G) - 1 = i_A(L(G))$ , Combining Cases 1 and 2, we have proved that  $i_A(G) \leq n - \Delta$ , and so (i) must hold.

(ii) If  $G = G(\Delta)$ , then  $L^{n-\Delta-1}(G)$  has one cut edge, and so  $L^{n-\Delta-1}(G) \notin \langle A \rangle$ . Thus  $i_A(G) = n - \Delta$ . Conversely, assume that  $i_A(G) = n - \Delta$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $l(G) \geq i_A(G) = n - \Delta$ . Thus G must have a divalent path of length at least  $n - \Delta$ . Since  $\Delta \geq 3$ , we conclude that  $G = G(\Delta)$ .

The distance of two vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ , denoted  $dist_G(u, v)$ , is the length of a shortest path from u to v of G. The diameter of G, denoted by diam(G), is defined as

$$diam(G) = \max\{dist_G(u, v) \mid u, v \in V(G)\}.$$

Let  $G_0$  be a graph obtained from a cycle  $C_{2d}$  by identifying a pendant edge, and for any finite abelian group A with  $|A| \ge 4$ , define

 $\mathcal{F}_A = \{G : G \text{ has a subgraph } H \text{ such that } G/H \text{ is a cycle of length at least } d + |A|\}.$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** Let G be a connected graph with  $d = diam(G) \ge 2$  and A be an abelian group with  $|A| \ge 4$ .

- (i) If  $d \leq 3$ , then  $i_A(G) \leq d$ .
- (ii) If  $d \geq 4$ , then  $i_A(G) \leq d$  if and only if  $G \notin \mathcal{F}_A$ .
- (iii) If  $d \le |A| 1$ , then  $i_A(G) \le d 1$ .
- (iv) If  $d \ge |A|$ , then  $i_A(G) \le 2d |A| + 1$ .

**Proof.** Let l = l(G). If  $d \ge l$ , by Theorem 3.4, then  $i_A(G) \le l \le d$ . Thus we may assume that  $l \ge d+1$ . Fix a divalent path  $P_0 \in P(G)$ . Let u and v denote the two end vertices of  $P_0$ . If  $u \ne v$ , then there exists a (u, v)-path P' in G with  $|E(P')| = d' \le d$ . Since l > d, and since P is a divalent path, we have  $V(P_0) \cap V(P') = \{u, v\}$  and  $l \le 2d$ . If u = v, then  $P_0$  is a cycle. Since  $G \ne C_n$ , we also have  $l \le 2d$ .

- (i).  $d \leq 3$ . Then  $l \leq 2d \leq d+3$ . For any divalent path  $Q \in P(G)$ , we observe that  $I_d(Q)$  is a divalent path with length at most 3 in  $L^d(G)$ . We claim that  $L^d(G)$  is triangular. If not, there exists one edge  $e \in E(L^d(G))$  such that  $e \in E''(L^d(G))$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $I_{d-1}^-(e)$  is a divalent path Q' in G with length at least d. Take a midpoint w of  $P_0$  and a midpoint z of Q'. Then  $dist_G(w,z) \geq l/2 + d/2 \geq (2d+1)/2 > d$ , contrary to the assumption that d = diam(G). Hence  $L^d(G)$  must be triangular. By Lemma 3.1, we conclude that  $i_A(G) \leq d$ . Thus (i) must hold.
- (ii).  $d \geq 4$ . Suppose that G has no subgraph H such that G/H is a cycle of length at least d+|A|. We claim that  $l \leq d+|A|-1$ . If not, then there exists a divalent path  $P \in P(G)$  with  $|E(P)| \geq d+|A|$ . Let  $P^o$  denote the set of all internal vertices of P. If  $G-P^o$  is connected, then  $G/(G-P^o)$  is a cycle of length  $|E(P)| \geq d+|A|$ , contrary to the assumption. Hence every edge in E(P) is a cut edge of G. Since G is not a path, at least one end of P has degree at least 3 in G. It follows that  $d \geq l$ , contrary to the assumption that  $l \geq d+1$ . Thus we must have  $l \leq d+|A|-1$ . It follows that  $l(L^d(G)) \leq |A|-1$ . If there exists an edge  $e \in E(L^d(G))$  which is not in a cycle of length at most |A|-1 in  $L^d(G)$ , then as  $|A| \geq 4$ , we note that  $e \in E''(L^d(G))$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $I_{d-1}^-(e)$  is a divalent path G in G with length at least G. Take a midpoint G0 and a midpoint G1 of G2. Then G3. Hence every edge of G4 is in a cycle of length at most G4 is in a cycle of length at most G5. Hence every edge of G6 is in a cycle of length at most G6.

Conversely, assume that  $d \geq 4$  and  $i_A(G) \leq d$ . By contradiction, suppose that there exist H such that G/H is a cycle of length at least d + |A|. Thus E(G/H) induces a divalent path Q in G, and  $Q' = I_d(Q)$  is a divalent path with length at least |A| in  $L^d(G)$ . Let  $(Q')^o$  denote the set of all internal

vertices of Q'. It follows that  $C' = L^d(G)/(L^d(G) - (Q')^o)$  is a cycle of length at least |A|. By Lemma 3.1 (i),  $C' \notin \langle A \rangle$ . Since  $L^d(G) \in \langle A \rangle$ , by Proposition 3.2 (ii),  $C' = L^d(G)/(L^d(G) - (Q')^o) \in \langle A \rangle$ . This contradiction justifies that  $G \notin \mathcal{F}_A$ .

(iii) We claim that  $\kappa'(L^{d-1}(G)) \geq 2$ . If e is a cut edge of  $L^{d-1}(G)$ , then by Lemma 3.3,  $I_{d-1}^-(e)$  is a divalent path P of length at least d in G such that every edge of P is a cut edge of G. Let P be a (u, v)-path of G. Since G is not a path, we may assume that  $d_G(u) \geq 3$ , and so  $N_G(u) - V(P)$  has vertex w. It follows that  $d \geq dist_G(w, v) \geq |E(P)| + 1 \geq d + 1$ , a contradiction. This proves our claim. Now suppose that  $L^{d-1}(G)$  has an induced cycle C of length  $|E(C)| \ge |A| \ge 4$ . For each edge  $e \in E(C)$ , by Lemma 3.3,  $I_{d-1}^-(e)$  is a divalent path of length at least  $d \geq 2$  in G. Hence G has a pair of vertices whose distance in G is least d+1, contrary to the fact that d=diam(G). Hence we conclude that every induced cycle of G must have length at most |A|-1. Since  $\kappa'(L^{d-1}(G))\geq 2$ , it follows that every edge of  $L^{d-1}(G)$  lies in a cycle of length at most |A|-1. By Lemma 3.1,  $L^{d-1}(G)$  is A-connected. (iv) Now assume that  $d \geq |A|$ . By Theorem 3.4, if  $l(G) \leq d$ , then  $i_A(G) \leq d$ d < 2d - |A| + 1. Hence we may assume that  $l(G) \ge d + 1$ . Note that for any divalent path  $P \in P(G)$ ,  $I_{2d-|A|+1}(P)$  is a divalent path with length at most |A|-1 in  $L^{2d-|A|+1}(G)$ . If there exists an edge  $e \in E(L^{2d-|A|+1}(G))$  which is not in a cycle of length at most |A|-1 in  $L^{2d-|A|+1}(G)$ , then as  $|A| \geq 4$ , we have  $e \in E''(L^{2d-|A|+1}(G))$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $I_{2d-|A|+1}^-(e)$  is a divalent path Q in G with length at least 2d - |A| + 2. Take the midpoint w of P and a midpoint z of Q. We observe that  $d \geq dist_G(w,z) \geq l/2 + (2d - |A| + 2)/2$  $\geq d+1+(l-|A|)/2 \geq d+1$ , a contradiction. Thus every edge in  $L^{2d-|A|+1}(G)$ is in a cycle of length at most |A|-1. By Lemma 3.1,  $i_A(G) \leq 2d-|A|+1$ .  $\square$ 

A wheel  $W_n$  is the graph obtained from  $C_n$  by adding one vertex and joining it to each vertex of  $C_n$ . A fan  $F_n$  is the graph obtained from  $P_n$  by adding one vertex and joining it to each vertex of  $P_n$ . As examples,  $K_4 \cong W_3$  and  $K_3 \cong F_2$ . Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two disjoint graphs. As in [7],  $G_1 \oplus_2 G_2$ , called the **parallel connection** of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , is defined to be the graph obtained from  $G_1 \cup G_2$  by identifying exactly one edge. Let  $\mathcal{WF}$  be the family of graphs that satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $K_3, W_{2n+1} \in \mathcal{WF};$
- (ii) If  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{WF}$ , then  $G_1 \oplus_2 G_2 \in \mathcal{WF}$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** (Theorem 1.4 of [7]) Let G be a triangularly connected graph with  $|V(G)| \geq 3$ . Then G is not  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{WF}$ .

Beineke [1] and Robertson [20] showed that any line graph cannot have an induced subgraph isomorphic to  $W_5$  or  $K_{1,3}$ . As for  $n \geq 3$ , any induced

 $W_{2n+1}$  contains an induced  $K_{1,3}$ , Beineke and Robertson in fact proved the following.

**Theorem 3.8.** (Beineke [1] and Robertson [20], see also page 74 of [9]) If a connected graph G is a line graph, then G has no induced subgraph isomorphic to  $W_{2n+1}$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** If G is triangularly connected, then  $L(G) \in \langle \mathbb{Z}_3 \rangle$ .

**Proof.** By Corollary 2.3(ii), L(G) is also triangularly connected. By Theorem 3.7, to prove  $L(G) \in \langle \mathbb{Z}_3 \rangle$ , it suffices to prove that  $L(G) \notin \mathcal{WF}$ . By contradiction, we assume that  $L(G) \in \mathcal{WF}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{WF}$ , either  $L(G) = G_1 \oplus_2 K_3$ , or  $L(G) = G_1 \oplus_2 W_{2n+1}$ . By Theorem 3.8, we must have n = 1.

Case 1.  $L(G) = G_1 \oplus_2 K_3$ .

Let  $V(K_3) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  in L(G), where  $d_{L(G)}(v_2) = 2$ . Then  $G[\{e(v_1), e(v_2), e(v_3)\}] \in \{K_3, K_{1,3}\}$  in G. Since G is triangularly connected, we must have  $G[\{e(v_1), e(v_2), e(v_3)\}] = K_3$ . Let  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  denote the vertices of this  $K_3$  in G such that  $e(v_1) = u_1u_2$ ,  $e(v_2) = u_2u_3$  and  $e(v_3) = u_3u_1$ . Since  $G \neq K_3$ , we may assume that  $G - \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  has a vertex  $u_4$  such that  $u_1u_4 \in E(G)$ . Since G is triangularly connected, there must be a 3-cycle sequence connecting  $u_1u_4$  and  $u_2u_3$ . It follows that there must be a vertex  $u_5 \in V(G) - \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  such that  $u_5u_2$  or  $u_5u_3 \in E(G)$ . It follows that  $d_{L(G)}(v_2) \geq 3$ , contrary to the fact that  $d_{L(G)}(v_2) = 2$ . This contradiction indicates that Case 1 cannot occur.

**Case 2.**  $L(G) = G_1 \oplus_2 W_{2n+1}$ , where n = 1.

If n = 1, then  $W_3 = K_4$  is a subgraph of L(G). Let  $V(W_3) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subset E(G)$ , by the definition of line graphs,  $G[\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}] \cong K_{1,4}$ . Since G is triangular, we may assume that for some  $e \in E(G)$ ,  $G[e_1, e_2, e_3]$  is a 3-cycle. It follows that in L(G), e as a vertex is adjacent to both vertices  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , contrary to the fact that  $L(G) = G_1 \oplus_2 W_3$ . This contradiction indicates that Case 2 cannot occur as well.

It follows that  $L(G) \notin \mathcal{WF}$ , and so by Theorem 3.7,  $L(G) \in \langle \mathbb{Z}_3 \rangle$ .

**Example 3.10.** We consider two examples, which are useful in our discussions below.

- (i) A tree T is a (3,1)-tree if every vertex in T has degree equaling 3 or 1. Let  $T_n$  denote a (3,1)-tree on  $n \geq 4$  vertices. Then  $l(T_n) = 1$ . Direct computation indicates that  $L^2(T_n)$  can be obtained from  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  via parallel connections. Hence  $L^2(T_n) \in \mathcal{WF}$ . It follows by the theorem below that  $L^3(T_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ . This shows that  $i_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(T_n) = l(T_n) + 2$ .
- (ii) Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $l \geq 1$  be integers. Define J(d,l) to be the graph obtained from  $K_{1,d}$  by replacing one edge of  $K_{1,d}$  by a path of length l. Thus

 $J(d,1)=K_{1,d}.$  Since any J(d,l) has n=d+l vertices with  $d=\Delta(J(d,l))$  being the maximum degree, if  $G(\Delta)$  has n vertices, then  $G(\Delta)=J(\Delta,n-\Delta).$  Direct computation yields that  $L^2(J(3,2))=K_4-e$  and  $L^3(J(3,2))=W_4.$  Therefore,  $i_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(J(3,2))=3.$ 

#### **Lemma 3.11.** Each of the following holds.

- (i) Let k > 0 be an integer. If H is a subgraph of G such that  $H \notin \{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then  $L^k(H)$  is a subgraph of  $L^k(G)$ .
- (ii) (Lemma 2.4 of [7]) Let G be a triangularly-connected graph. Then G is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected if and only if G has a nontrivial  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected subgraph.
- (iii) Let G be a connected graph with a vertex v of  $d_G(v) = 1$ . If G v is triangular-connected, then L(G) is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected.
- (iv) If  $l(G) \geq 2$ , then  $i_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(G) \leq l(G) + 1$ .
- **Proof.** (i). By the definition of a line graph, L(H) is a subgraph of L(G). As  $H \notin \{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , we note that  $L(H) \notin \{K_{1,3}\} \cup \{P_n, C_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and so Lemma 3.11 (i) follows from induction.
- (iii). Let H = G v. Since H is triangular-connected, both  $\delta(H) \geq 2$  and, by Lemma 3.9, L(H) is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected. Let e denote the only edge incident with v in G. Then by the definition of line graphs, L(G) e = L(G v) = L(H). Since  $\delta(H) \geq 2$ , the vertex e is adjacent to at least 2 vertices in L(H). It follows that L(G)/L(H) is spanned by a 2-cycle, which, by Lemma 3.1(i), is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected. Since L(H) is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected, it follows by Proposition 3.2(ii) that L(G) is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected. This justifies Lemma 3.11 (iii).
- (iv). By Proposition 2.4,  $L^{l+1}(G)$  is triangularly-connected. By (ii), it suffices to show that  $L^{l+1}(G)$  contains a nontrivial subgraph H such that H is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected. Since l(G) = l, there exists a maximal divalent path P of G with  $|E(P)| = l(G) \geq 2$ . Since G is not a path, we may assume that P has an end vertex u with  $d_G(u) = d \geq 3$ . Thus G contains a subgraph J(3, l) with  $l \geq 2$ . We shall show that Let  $H = L^{l+1}(J(3, l))$ . By Lemma 3.11 (i), H is a subgraph of  $L^{l+1}(G)$ .

To show that H is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected, we argue by induction on  $k \geq 2$  to show that  $L^{k+1}(J(3,k))$  is triangularly-connected and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected. If k=2, then by Example 3.10(ii),  $L^3(J(3,2))$  is triangularly-connected and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected. Assume that  $k \geq 3$ , and that  $L^k(J(3,k-1))$  is triangularly-connected and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected. By direct computation,  $L^k(J(3,k))$  has a unique vertex v of degree 1 such that  $L^k(J(3,k)) - v = L^k(J(3,k-1))$ . By Lemma 3.11 (iii), we conclude that  $L^{k+1}(J(3,k))$  is triangularly-connected and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected. Hence H is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected. As H is a subgraph of  $L^{l+1}(G)$ , and as  $L^{l+1}(G)$  is triangularly-connected, it follows by Lemma 3.11 (ii) that  $L^{l+1}(G)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connected.

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}_3$  denote the cyclic group of order 3. For an integer d > 0, define

 $\mathcal{F}_d = \{G : G \text{ has a subgraph } H \text{ such that } G/H \text{ is a cycle of length at least } d+5\}.$ 

If G is a connected graph with diam(G) = d and l = l(G), then each of the following holds.

- (i)  $i_A(G) \leq l+2$ , and the equality holds if and only if G is a (3,1)-tree.
- (ii) If  $d \leq 3$ , then  $i_A(G) \leq d+2$ .
- (iii) If  $d \geq 4$ , then  $i_A(G) \leq d+2$  if and only if  $G \notin \mathcal{F}_d$ .

**Proof.** (i) By Proposition 2.4,  $L^{l+1}(G)$  is triangularly connected. By Lemma 3.9, we have  $i_A(G) \leq l+2$ . By Lemma 3.11 (iv),  $i_A(G) = l+2$  if and only if l(G) = 1 and  $L^{l+1}(G) \notin \langle Z_3 \rangle$ . This happens, by Theorem 3.7, if and only if  $L^2(G) \in \mathcal{WF}$ . By Theorem 3.8,  $L^2(G) \in \mathcal{WF}$  if and only if  $L^2(G)$  can be built via parallel-connected from  $K_3$  and  $K_4$ . By Example 3.10(i), if G is a (3,1)-tree, then  $i_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(G) = 3$ . Conversely, since  $L^G(G)$  is triangular, if  $L^2(G)$  can be built via parallel-connected from  $K_3$  and  $K_4$ , then direct computation indicates that G must be a (3,1)-tree. This proves (i).

If  $d \geq l$ , then by Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 3.9,  $i_A(G) \leq d+2$ . Hence we assume that d < l. Pick any divalent path  $P \in P(G)$ . Then  $|E(P)| = l \geq d+1$ . Let u and v denote the two end vertices of P. Since  $l \geq d+1$ , there exists a (u, v)-path P' in G with  $|E(P')| = d' \leq d$  such that  $V(P) \cap V(P') = \{u, v\}$ . Note that u = v is possible. Since G is not a cycle, we always have  $d+1 \leq l \leq 2d$ .

(ii). Assume that  $d \leq 3$ . Then  $l \leq 2d \leq d+3$ . For any divalent path  $L \in P(G)$ ,  $I_d(L)$  is a divalent path with length at most 3, and so  $L^d(G)$  is triangular. By Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 3.9,  $i_A(G) \leq d+2$ . This proves (ii). (iii). Assume that  $d \geq 4$ . Fix a divalent path  $P \in P(G)$ , and let  $P^o$  denote the internal vertices of P. Since d < l, edges in P cannot be cut edges of G, and so  $H_P = G - P^o$  is connected. Hence  $G/H_P$  is a cycle of length l. It follows that if G has no subgraph H such that G/H is a cycle of length at least d+5. then  $l \leq d+4$ . We claim that  $L^d(G)$  is triangular. If not, then there exists an edge  $e \in E''(L^d(G))$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $I_{d-1}^-(e)$  is a divalent path Q in G with length at least d. Take the midpoint w of P and the midpoint z of Q. Direct computation yields then  $dist_G(w, z) \geq l/2 + d/2 \geq (2d+1)/2 > d$ , a contradiction. By Corollary 2.3 (i), and Lemma 3.9,  $i_A(G) \leq d+2$ .

Conversely, assume that  $i_A(G) \leq d+2$ . By contradiction, we assume further that G contains a subgraph H such that G/H is a cycle of length at least d+5. Thus  $P_0 = G[E(G/H)]$  is a divalent path in G; and  $C' = I_{d+2}(P_0)$ 

is a divalent path with length at least 4 in  $L^{d+2}(G)$ . By Lemma 3.1 (i),  $C' \notin \langle A \rangle$ . On the other hand, since  $L^{d+2}(G) \in \langle A \rangle$ , by Proposition 3.2 (ii),  $C' = L^{d+2}(G)/L^{d+2}(H) \in \langle A \rangle$ . Thus a contradiction is obtained. This completes the proof of (iii).

#### References

- [1] L. Beineke, Derived graphs and digraphs, Beiträge zur Graphentheorie, Teubner, Leipzig, 1968.
- [2] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York, 1976. MR0411988
- [3] G. Chartrand and C. E. Wall, On the hamiltonian index of a graph, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., 8 (1973), 43–48. MR0335349
- [4] L. H. Clark and N. C. Wormald, Hamiltonian like indices of graphs, Ars Combinatoria, 15 (1983), 131–148. MR0706294
- [5] Z. H. Chen, H.-J. Lai and H. Y. Lai, Nowhere zero flows in line graphs, Discrete Math., 230 (2001), 133–141. MR1812347
- [6] E. Eschen, H.-J. Lai, Y. Shao, and L. Zhang, The s-Hamiltonian index, Discrete Math., 308 (2008), 4779–4785. MR2438182
- [7] G. Fan, H.-J. Lai, R. Xu, C.-Q. Zhang and C. Zhou, Nowhere-zero 3-flows in triangularly connected graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 98 (2008), 1325–1336. MR2462322
- [8] R. Gould, On line graphs and the Hamiltonian index, Discrete Math., 34 (1981), 111–117. MR0611423
- [9] F. Harary, Graph theory, Edison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, (1969). MR0256911
- [10] F. Jaeger, Nowhere-zero flow problems, in "Selected Topics in Graph Theory" (L. Beineke and R. Wilson, Eds), Academic Press, London/New York, 3 (1988), 91–95. MR1205397
- [11] F. Jaeger, N. Linial, C. Payan and M. Tarsi, Group connectivity of graphs – a nonhomogeneous analogue of nowhere-zero flow properties, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 56 (1992), 165–182. MR1186753
- [12] M. Kochol, An equivalent version of the 3-flow conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 83 (2001), 258–261. MR1866721
- [13] H.-J. Lai, On the Hamiltonian index, Discrete Math., 69 (1988), 43–53.
  MR0935026

- [14] H.-J. Lai, Group connectivity in 3-edge-connected chordal graph, Graphs and Combinatorics, 16 (2000), 165–176. MR1771220
- [15] H.-J. Lai, Nowhere-zero 3-flows in locally connected graphs, J. Graph Theory, 42 (2003), 211–219. MR1958145
- [16] H.-J. Lai and Y. Shao, Problems related to Hamiltonian line graphs, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39 (2007), 149–159. MR2338624
- [17] H.-J. Lai, L. Xiong and H. Yan, Hamilton-connected indices of graphs, Discrete Math., 309 (2009), 4819–4827. MR2533144
- [18] H.-J. Lai, X. Li, Y. H. Shao and M. Zhan Group connectivity and group colorings of graphs – a survey, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 27 (2011), 405–434. MR2770816
- [19] L. M. Lovasz, C. Thomassen, Y. Wu and C. Q. Zhang, Nowhere-zero 3-flows and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -connectivity for 6-edge-connected graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 103 (2013), 587–598. MR3096333
- [20] N. Robertson, unpublished notes, privated communication.
- [21] M. L. Saražin, A simple upper bound for the hamiltonian index of a graph, Discrete Math., 134 (1994), 85–91. MR1303397
- [22] P. D. Seymour, Nowhere-zero 6-flows, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 30 (1981), 130–135. MR0615308
- [23] Y. Shao, Claw-Free Graphs and Line Graphs, PhD Dissertation, West Virginia University, (2005). MR2707853
- [24] Y. Shao, Connectivity of interated line graphs, Discrete Appl. Math., 158 (2010), 2081–2087. MR2726477
- [25] C. Thomassen, The weak 3-flow conjecture and the weak circular flow conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 102 (2012), 521–529. MR2885433
- [26] L. Zhang, E. Elaine, H.-J. Lai, Y. Shao, Note the s-Hamiltonian index, Discrete Math., 308 (2008), 4779–4785. MR2438182
- [27] W. T. Tutte, A contribution on the theory of chromatic polynomial, Canad. J. Math., 6 (1954), 80–91. MR0061366
- [28] Y. Wu, Integer Flows and Modulo Orientations, PhD Dissertation, West Virginia University, 2012. MR3093976
- [29] L. Zhang, S. Shao, G. Chen, X. Xu, J. Zhou, s-Vertex Pancyclic index, Graphs and Combinatorics, 28 (2012), 393–C406.

MIAOMIAO HAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY
MORGANTOWN, WV 26506
USA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: {\tt mahan@mix.wvu.edu}$ 

HONG-JIAN LAI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY
MORGANTOWN, WV 26506
USA

E-mail address: hjlai@math.wvu.edu
URL: www.math.wvu.edu/~hjlai

YEHONG SHAO ARTS AND SCIENCE OHIO UNIVERSITY SOUTHERN IRONTON, OH 45638 USA

E-mail address: shaoy@ohio.edu

RECEIVED 12 JANUARY 2015