

# A variation of the Stern-Brocot tree

COLIN L. MALLOWS

We study of a variation of the Stern-Brocot tree, in which not one but two fractions are inserted between each existing pair. Relating this tree to the original one gives rise to a permutation of the natural numbers.

KEYWORDS AND PHRASES: Stern-Brocot tree, permutations of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

## 1. The Stern-Brocot tree, and a variation

The Stern-Brocot tree (or rather half of it) can be defined as follows. Start with two fractions  $0/1$  and  $1/1$ , forming an ordered set  $S_0$ . (Throughout this paper, “fraction” means “fraction in lowest terms”.) At stage  $k$ , ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), form a new set  $S_k$  by inserting between each pair of adjacent fractions in  $S_{k-1}$ , say  $p/q$  and  $r/s$ , the fraction  $(p+r)/(q+s)$ . Name the (ordered) set of fractions that are introduced at this stage  $R_k$ . Thus  $R_1 = (1/2)$ ,  $R_2 = (1/3, 2/3)$ ,  $R_3 = (1/4, 2/5, 3/5, 3/4)$ ,  $R_4 = (1/5, 2/7, 3/8, 3/7, 4/7, 5/8, 5/7, 4/5)$  etc.  $R_k$  has  $2^{k-1}$  elements. It is well known (see e.g. [1]) that every proper fraction appears (exactly once) in some  $R_k$ , and that adjacent fractions  $p/q, r/s$  satisfy

$$(1) \quad |qr - ps| = 1.$$

We define a new tree (first noticed in [2, Section 9]) starting with  $S'_0 = S_0$ . At the  $k$ -th stage insert two fractions between each existing adjacent pair in  $S'_{k-1}$ , namely between  $p/q$  and  $r/s$  (where  $p$  is even and  $r$  is odd), insert  $(p+r)/(q+s)$  and  $(p+2r)/(q+2s)$ . Notice that we may have either  $p/q < (p+r)/(q+s) < (p+2r)/(q+2s) < r/s$  or the same with all the inequalities reversed. It is easy to see that every adjacent pair of fractions in  $S'_k$  satisfy (1) and that the numerators of successive fractions in  $S'_k$  are alternately even and odd, so that the insertion rule is well-defined. Successive generations of insertions are denoted  $R'_1, R'_2, \dots$ . Thus  $R'_k$  has  $2 \cdot 3^{k-1}$  elements.

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R'_1 &= (1/2, 2/3), & R'_2 &= (1/3, 2/5, 4/7, 3/5, 3/4, 4/5), \\
 R'_3 &= (1/4, 2/7, 4/11, 3/8, 2/7, 4/9, 6/11, 5/9, 7/12, 10/17, 8/13, 5/8, 5/7, \\
 &\quad 8/11, 10/13, 7/9, 5/6, 6/7).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** *For every proper fraction  $x$ , there is a  $k$  such that  $x$  appears in  $R'_k$ .*

*Proof.* Define the “*ndsum*” of a fraction  $p/q$  to be  $p + q$ . An easy induction shows that for  $k \geq 1$  the minimum *ndsum* in the row  $R'_k$  is  $k + 2$  (attained by the first element, which is  $1/(k + 1)$ ). The minimum *ndsum* in  $S'_0$  is 1, attained by  $0/1$ . Suppose the fraction  $a/b$ , where  $a + b \geq 2$ , does not appear in any  $R'_k$ . Consider the row  $R'_{a+b}$ . There must be a fraction  $p/q$  in this row and a fraction  $r/s$  in  $S'_{a+b}$  such that  $|qr - ps| = 1$  and  $p/q < a/b < r/s$ , or the same with both inequalities reversed. Suppose the inequalities are as shown. Then  $aq - bp > 0$ , so  $aq - bp \geq 1$ , and similarly  $br - as \geq 1$ . Thus

$$(2) \quad (p + q)(br - as) + (r + s)(aq - bp) \geq p + q + r + s.$$

But the l.h.s. of (2) equals  $(a + b)(qr - ps) = a + b$ , and the r.h.s. is at least  $(a + b + 2) + 1$ , so  $a + b \geq a + b + 3$  which is a contradiction. When the inequalities are reversed, the argument is similar. □

## 2. Relating the two trees

We study the relation between the sets  $\{R'_k\}$  and  $\{R_k\}$ . We find that (as far as we have computed, namely  $R'_6$  and  $R_{12}$ ) there is a sequence  $p$ , starting

Sequence  $p$

$$1, 2, 5, 3, 4, 8, 17, 9, 10, 20, 11, 6, 7, 14, 29, 15, 16, 32, 65, 33, 34, 68, 35, 18, 19,$$

such that for each  $k$ , and for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}$ , the fraction  $R'_k(i)$  appears as  $R_{k'(i)}(p(i))$  for some  $k'(i)$ . We write  $k'(i) = k + r_k(i)$ , and set  $n_k = 2^{k'-1}$ , which is the sequence of lengths of the rows  $k'$  of  $R$  in which these fractions appear. Thus for  $k = 3$ , the rows of the following matrix  $M_3$  are

- the numerators of fractions in  $R'_3$
- the corresponding denominators
- the  $m$  such that each such fraction appears in  $R_{m+3}$
- the length of the row  $R_{m+3}$  (this is  $n_{m+3}$ )
- the position of this fraction in  $R_{m+3}$  (this is a prefix of  $p$ ).

1	2	4	3	3	4	6	5	7	10	8	5	5	8	10	7	5	6
4	7	11	8	7	9	11	9	12	17	11	8	7	11	13	9	6	7
0	1	2	1	1	2	3	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	3	2	2	3
4	8	16	8	8	16	32	16	16	32	16	8	8	16	32	16	16	32
1	2	5	3	4	8	17	9	10	20	11	6	7	14	29	15	16	32

Rows 3 and 5 of the first six columns of this matrix give the corresponding results for  $R'_2$ , while the fourth row is twice the fourth row for  $R'_2$ .

We have studied similar matrices through  $k = 6$ , finding that for each  $k$ , the fifth row of  $M_k$  contains the first  $2 \cdot 3^{k-1}$  elements of the sequence we have called  $p$ . The third row contains numbers in the range  $(0, k)$ , with successive entries equal or consecutive.

The following lemma shows how the sequence for  $R'_{k+1}$  can be obtained from that for  $R'_k$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Given the finite sequences  $p_k$  and  $n_k$  that describe the relation of  $R'_k$  to the rows of  $S$ , the sequences for row  $R'_{k+1}$  are as follows.*

$$p_{k+1} = (p_k, \text{rev}(3n_k + 1 - p_k), 3n_k + p_k),$$

$$n_{k+1} = (2n_k, \text{rev}(4n_k), 4n_k)$$

where “rev” means “the reverse of”.

*Proof.* The  $n$  and  $p$  sequences for  $R'_{k+1}$  are unchanged if we replace the starting fractions  $S_0$  and  $S'_0$  by  $(0/1, 1/2)$ . So the (finite)  $p$  sequence for  $R'_k$  is the same as the first third of the  $p$  sequence for  $R'_{k+1}$ , while the rows for  $R_{m+1}$  are twice as long as those for  $R_m$ . Similarly, the final third of the  $p$ -sequence for  $R'_{k+1}$ , which relate to the interval  $(2/3, 1/1)$ , are the same as the sequence for  $R'_k$ , translated by  $3/4$  of the length, which is four times the length for  $R_m$ . Finally, for the middle third, which relates to the interval  $(1/2, 2/3)$ , we have to read the  $R_k$  values backwards (because the numerator of  $1/2$  is odd and the numerator of  $2/3$  is even) and count backwards from  $3/4$  of the lengths. □

This lemma makes it easy to compute  $p$  as far as desired. However it has not led us to a proof that the sequence  $p$  is a permutation of the natural numbers. We will show that another sequence,  $pp$ , which we have checked agrees with  $p$  through 354, 294 terms, is indeed a permutation.

### 3. The sequences $b$ and $pp$

To approach the sequence  $pp$ , we must first define another sequence  $b(\mathcal{N})$ .

**Algorithm B.**  $b(1) = 1$ . For  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$(b(3k - 1), b(3k), b(3k + 1)) = (4i - 1, 2i, 4i + 1)$$

where  $i = b(k)$ . Thus the sequence  $b$  begins

$$1, 3, 2, 5, 11, 6, 13, 7, 4, 9, 19, 10, 21, 43, 22, 45, 23, 12, 25, 51, \\ 26, 53, 27, 14, 29, \dots$$

**Theorem 1.** *The sequence  $b(\mathcal{N})$  is a permutation of  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $m$  is the smallest integer that does not appear as an element of  $b(\mathcal{N})$ . It is impossible that  $m$  is even, since  $m/2$  does appear, and for some  $k$  we have  $b(k) = m/2$ . Then  $m$  must appear at  $b(3k)$ . If  $m$  is odd, set  $i = \text{round}(m/4)$ . Then  $i$  appears at some point  $k$ ,  $b(k) = i < m$ , so that  $m$  appears as an element of the triad centered at  $3k$ . Thus all integers must appear. A similar argument shows that no integer can appear twice. Suppose  $m$  is the smallest integer that appears twice. If  $m$  is even, we have  $b(3k_1) = b(3k_2) = m$ , with  $k_1 \neq k_2$ . Then  $b(k_1) = b(k_2) = m/2$  so that the integer  $m/2$  appears twice before  $m$  does. Thus  $m$  cannot be even. If  $m$  is odd, suppose first that the smallest violation is  $b(3k_1 - 1) = b(3k_2 - 1) = 4i - 1$ , with  $k_1 \neq k_2$ . Then  $b(3k_1) = b(3k_2) = 2i$  so that  $b(k_1) = b(k_2) = i$ , and  $i$  appears twice before  $4i - 1$  does. Similarly if  $m = 4i + 1$ .  $\square$

We define another sequence  $pp$  by:

**Algorithm PP.**  $pp(1) = 1, pp(2) = 2$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(pp(4k - 1), pp(4k), pp(4k + 1), pp(4k + 2)) = (6i - 1, 3i, 3i + 1, 6i + 2)$$

where  $i = b(k)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *The sequence  $pp(\mathcal{N})$  is a permutation of  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the sequence  $b$  is a permutation of  $\mathcal{N}$ , it is clear that numbers of the form  $3i$  and  $3i + 1$  appear just once in  $pp$ , in positions  $4k$  and  $4k + 1$ , and numbers of the form  $3i - 1$  appear in positions  $4k - 1$  and  $4k + 2$ , where  $i = b(k)$ .  $\square$

We have verified that the sequences  $p$  and  $pp$  agree through their first 354,294 terms. Of course, this result does not prove anything about the sequence  $p$ , merely that it agrees with the facts as far as we have computed them. We have not been able to prove that Algorithms P and PP generate the same sequence.

We think it remarkable that (it appears) Algorithm B and Algorithm P are so closely related, since  $b$  generates blocks of length 4 in PP, while Algorithm P generates the sequence  $p$  in blocks of length 2, 4, 12, 36, ... with the first half of each block involving reading previous blocks backwards.

#### 4. Generalizations

Once we have the sequence  $b$  in hand, we can generate many permutations of  $\mathcal{N}$  by constructions similar to that in Algorithm PP. For example,

**Algorithm AA.**  $aa(1, 2, 3) = (1, 2, 3)$ . For  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &(aa(6k - 2), aa(6k - 1), aa(6k), aa(6k + 1), aa(6k + 2), aa(6k + 3)) \\ &= (8i - 2, 8i - 1, 4i, 4i + 1, 8i + 2, 8i + 3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $i = b(k)$ .

This particular sequence happens to be identical to one that makes no reference to the sequence  $b$ , but is generated by the following

**Algorithm A.** Set  $a(1) = 1$ . For  $n \geq 1$ :

$$(3) \quad a(2n) = (1 + a(2n - 1))/2 \quad \text{if this value has not yet appeared}$$

$$(4) \quad = 2a(2n - 1) \quad \text{else}$$

$$(5) \quad a(2n + 1) = 1 + a(2n).$$

The proof of this equality is left for another occasion.

There are other ways of defining a modified Stern-Brocot tree, but we have not found any as elegant as the one we have presented.

#### References

- [1] Graham, R.L., Knuth, D.E. and Patashnik, O. (1994). *Concrete Mathematics*. Addison-Wesley, Boston, MA. [MR1397498](#)
- [2] Guettler, G. and Mallows, C. (2010). A generalization of Apollonian packing of circles. *J. Combinatorics* **1** 1–27. [MR2675919](#)

COLIN L. MALLOWS

AVAYA LABS

BASKING RIDGE

NJ 07920

USA

*E-mail address:* [colinm@research.avayalabs.com](mailto:colinm@research.avayalabs.com)

RECEIVED OCTOBER 10, 2010