

# A DG LIE MODEL FOR RELATIVE HOMOTOPY AUTOMORPHISMS

ALEXANDER BERGLUND AND BASHAR SALEH

(communicated by Dev P. Sinha)

## Abstract

We construct a dg Lie algebra model for the universal cover of the classifying space of the grouplike monoid of homotopy automorphisms of a space that fix a given subspace. We derive the model from a known model for based homotopy automorphisms together with general result on rational models for geometric bar constructions.

## 1. Introduction

The classifying space of the monoid of homotopy automorphisms of a space  $X$  classifies fibrations with fiber homotopy equivalent to  $X$ . Given a subspace  $A \subset X$ , the classifying space of the monoid  $\text{aut}_A(X)$  of homotopy automorphisms that restrict to the identity on  $A$  classifies all fibrations  $E \rightarrow B$  with fiber homotopy equivalent to  $X$  under the trivial fibration  $A \times B \rightarrow B$ , such that, over each  $b \in B$ , the canonical map from  $A$  to  $\text{im}(A \rightarrow E_b)$  is a weak equivalence. The special case in which  $X$  is a manifold with a non-empty boundary and where  $A = \partial X$  is the boundary, has been of interest in the study of homological stability for homotopy automorphisms of manifolds (see [BM13, BM20, Gre19]).

The main result of this paper is a proof of the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** ([BM20, Theorem 3.4]). *Let  $A \subset X$  be a cofibration of simply connected spaces with homotopy types of finite CW-complexes, and let  $i: \mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  be a cofibration that models the inclusion  $A \subset X$  and where  $\mathbb{L}_A$  and  $\mathbb{L}_X$  are cofibrant Lie models for  $A$  and  $X$  respectively. A Lie model for the universal covering of  $B \text{aut}_A(X)$  is given by the positive truncation of the dg Lie algebra of derivations on  $\mathbb{L}_X$  that vanish on  $\mathbb{L}_A$ , denoted by  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 1 \rangle$ .*

This theorem is stated in [BM20] together with the suggestion that a proof can be given by generalizing [Tan83, Chapitre VII], but no detailed proof exists in the literature. One purpose of this paper is to fill this gap. However, instead of following the suggested route (which seems to yield a rather tedious proof), we give a proof that is perhaps more interesting. Namely, we show that the model for relative homotopy automorphisms can be derived from the known model for based homotopy

---

Received July 11, 2019; published on March 25, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55P62.

Key words and phrases: homotopy automorphism, rational homotopy theory, Lie models.

Article available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/HHA.2020.v22.n2.a6>

Copyright © 2020, International Press. Permission to copy for private use granted.

automorphisms together with general result on rational models for geometric bar constructions.

### 1.1. Standing assumptions and notation

- Throughout the paper,  $X$  is a pointed simply connected space and  $A$  is a simply connected subspace that contains the basepoint of  $X$ . The inclusion  $A \subset X$  is assumed to be a cofibration. We let  $\mathbb{L}_A$  and  $\mathbb{L}_X$  denote cofibrant dg Lie models over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for  $A$  and  $X$  respectively. A dg Lie algebra is cofibrant if and only if its underlying graded Lie algebra is a free graded Lie algebra  $\mathbb{L}(V)$  on a graded vector space  $V$ . We let  $i: \mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  denote a cofibration that models the inclusion  $A \subset X$ . Recall that a map of free dg Lie algebras is a cofibration if and only if it is a free map (see the remark after Proposition 5.5 in [Qui69]).
- All dg Lie algebras and dg coalgebras are homologically graded, which means that the differential lowers the degree. All dg associative algebras are cohomologically graded. Note that if  $L$  is a dg Lie algebra and  $\Omega$  is a commutative dg associative algebra then  $L \otimes \Omega$  is a dg Lie algebra over  $\Omega$  with homological grading given by

$$(L \otimes \Omega)_n = \bigoplus_{p-q=n} L_p \otimes \Omega^q.$$

An analogous statement holds for dg coalgebras.

- The suspension  $sV$  of a homologically graded dg vector space  $V$  is a dg vector space with grading given by  $(sV)_n = V_{n-1}$  and with differential given by  $d(sa) = -sd(a)$ .
- If  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a dg Lie algebra, we define its  $n$ -connected cover  $\mathfrak{h}\langle n \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$  to be the dg Lie subalgebra given by

$$\mathfrak{h}\langle n \rangle_i = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{h}_i & \text{if } i > n, \\ \ker(\mathfrak{h}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathfrak{h}_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < n. \end{cases}$$

We say that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is connected if  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}\langle 0 \rangle$  and we say that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is simply connected if  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}\langle 1 \rangle$ .

- Given a dg Lie algebra  $(L, d)$ , let  $(\text{Der}(L), D)$  denote the dg Lie algebra of derivations on  $L$ . We remind the reader that a derivation on  $L$  is a linear map  $\theta: L \rightarrow L$  that satisfy the equality  $\theta[x, y] = [\theta(x), y] + (-1)^{|\theta||x|}[x, \theta(y)]$ . The Lie bracket and the differential on  $\text{Der}(L)$  are given by

$$[\theta, \varphi] = \theta \circ \varphi - (-1)^{|\theta||\varphi|} \varphi \circ \theta, \quad D(\theta) = d \circ \theta - (-1)^{|\theta|} \theta \circ d.$$

- The connected component of the identity map in  $\text{aut}_*(X)$  and  $\text{aut}_A(X)$  are denoted by  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$  and  $\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$  respectively. The connected component of the inclusion map  $\iota: A \hookrightarrow X$  in  $\text{map}_*(A, X)$  is denoted by  $\text{map}_*^\iota(A, X)$ .

### 1.2. Strategy for the proof

We observe that the universal cover of  $B\text{aut}_A(X)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $B\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$  (if  $G$  is a topological group and  $G^\circ$  is the connected component of the identity, then  $BG^\circ \rightarrow BG \rightarrow B\pi_0(G) \cong K(\pi_0(G), 1)$  is equivalent to a fibration,

giving that  $BG^\circ \rightarrow BG$  induces isomorphisms  $\pi_k(BG^\circ) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_k(BG)$  for  $k \geq 2$ , which implies that  $BG^\circ \simeq \widehat{BG}$ .

In Section 2, we show that  $B\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) \simeq B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*^t(A, X))$ , where the right-hand side is the geometric bar construction of  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$  and the left  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$ -space  $\text{map}_*^t(A, X)$ . The rational homotopy type of  $B\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$  and  $\text{map}_*^t(A, X)$  are known and the identification of  $B\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$  with the geometric bar construction above gives us a way of expressing the Lie model for  $B\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$  in terms of the Lie models for  $B\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$  and  $\text{map}_*^t(A, X)$ .

Briefly, if a grouplike monoid  $G$  acts on  $X$  from the left, then  $B(*, G, X)$  is modelled by a twisted semidirect product  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_\xi L$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie model for  $BG$  and  $L$  is a Lie model for  $X$ . This is treated in Section 3.

In Section 4 we apply the theory of Section 3 to

$$B\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) \simeq B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*^t(A, X)),$$

and get that a Lie model for  $B\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$  is given by a twisted semidirect product  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle$  of the Lie model for  $B\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$  and the Lie model for  $\text{map}_*^t(A, X)$ . We also prove that  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle \simeq \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 1 \rangle$ , which completes the proof of the main theorem, Theorem 1.1.

## 2. The geometric bar construction

The geometric bar construction, introduced by May (see [May72, May75]), is a construction that generalizes the classifying space functor. May forms a category  $\mathcal{K}$ , whose objects are triples  $(X, G, Y)$ , where  $G$  is a topological monoid and  $X$  and  $Y$  are right and left  $G$ -spaces, respectively. A morphism between two objects,  $(X, G, Y)$  and  $(X', G', Y')$ , in  $\mathcal{K}$  is a triple  $(i, f, j)$  where  $f: G \rightarrow G'$  is a map of monoids, and  $i: X \rightarrow X'$  and  $j: Y \rightarrow Y'$  are equivariant with respect to  $f$ . We say that  $(i, f, j)$  is a weak equivalence if  $i$ ,  $f$  and  $j$  are weak equivalences, and two objects in  $\mathcal{K}$  are called weakly equivalent if there is a zig-zag of weak equivalences connecting these two objects. The geometric bar construction  $B(X, G, Y)$  on a triple  $(X, G, Y)$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  is a topological space and defines a functor from  $\mathcal{K}$  to the category of topological spaces.

We recall some classical facts about classifying spaces of grouplike monoids (recall that a topological monoid  $G$  is called grouplike if  $\pi_0(G)$  is a group). The classifying space of a grouplike monoid  $G$  is a space  $BG$  that classifies all principal  $G$ -bundles in the following sense: The set of isomorphism classes of principal  $G$ -bundles over a space  $X$ , is in one-to-one correspondence with the set  $[X, BG]$  of homotopy classes of maps from  $X$  to  $BG$ .

A classifying space  $BG$  of a grouplike monoid  $G$  may also be recognized as a homotopy orbit space  $EG // G$  where  $EG$  is any contractible space on which  $G$  acts freely on from the left. Note that classifying spaces are only unique up to homotopy equivalences.

We also recall from [May75] that the ‘homotopy-correct’ definition of the left coset space  $G/H$  associated to an inclusion of monoids  $H \subset G$  is given by

$$G/H = B(G, H, *).$$

We list some of the properties related to the geometric bar construction that are relevant for this paper.

**Proposition 2.1** ([May75]). *Let  $G$  be a topological monoid.*

- (a)  $BG = B(*, G, *)$  is a classifying space of  $G$ .
- (b)  $B(X, *, *)$  is homeomorphic to  $X$ .
- (c) If  $(X, G, Y)$  and  $(X', G', Y')$  are weakly equivalent in  $\mathcal{K}$  then  $B(X, G, Y)$  and  $B(X', G', Y')$  are weakly equivalent as spaces.
- (d) If  $G$  is a grouplike monoid, then  $EG = B(*, G, G)$  is a contractible space on which  $G$  acts freely from the right.
- (e) If  $G$  is a grouplike monoid, and  $Y$  is a left  $G$ -space, then  $B(*, G, Y) \simeq EG \times_G Y$ .
- (f) If  $H \subset G$  is an inclusion of grouplike monoids then  $BH \simeq B(*, G, G/H)$ .

Applying Proposition 2.1 (f) to  $\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) \subset \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$  we get that

$$B \text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) \simeq B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)/\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X))$$

(note that since  $A \subset X$  is a cofibration, any homotopy automorphism of  $X$  that fixes  $A$  has a homotopy inverse that also fixes  $A$  (see [May99, Section 6.5]), which makes  $\text{aut}_A(X)$  into a grouplike monoid).

**Lemma 2.2.** *There is a weak equivalence of left  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$ -spaces*

$$\text{map}_*^\ell(A, X) \simeq \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)/\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X).$$

*Proof.* We will throughout this proof use that  $X$  and  $B(X, *, *)$  are interchangeable. We have that

- The map  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X) \rightarrow \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)/\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$  given by

$$B(\text{id}, *, *): B(\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), *, *) \rightarrow B(\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X), *)$$

is a quasifibration with fiber  $\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$  (see [May75, Proposition 7.9]).

- The restriction map  $\text{res}_A: \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X) \rightarrow \text{map}_*^\ell(A, X)$  is a fibration, since the functor  $\text{map}_*(-, X)$  turns cofibrations into fibrations.
- The restriction map  $\text{res}_A: \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X) \rightarrow \text{map}_*^\ell(A, X)$  is invariant under the right action of  $\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$  on  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$  and therefore the triple

$$(\text{res}_A, *, *): (\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X), *) \rightarrow (\text{map}_*^\ell(A, X), *, *)$$

defines a map in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Thus

$$B(\text{res}_A, *, *): B(\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X), *) \rightarrow B(\text{map}_*^\ell(A, X), *, *)$$

is a well-defined map.

It follows that the restriction map  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X) \rightarrow \text{map}_*^\ell(A, X)$  factors through  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)/\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$ . Hence, there is a commutative diagram with rows being quasi-fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} & \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)/\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} & \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}_*^\ell(A, X) \end{array}$$

By the functoriality of the long exact sequence of homotopy groups associated to a quasifibration and by the five lemma, it follows that there is a weak equivalence of spaces  $\text{map}_*^\ell(A, X) \simeq \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)/\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$ .

Moreover, the restriction map  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)/\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) \rightarrow \text{map}_*^\ell(A, X)$  respects the left  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X)$ -action. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $A \subset X$  be cofibration. There is a weak equivalence of spaces*

$$B\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) \simeq B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*^\ell(A, X)).$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1 (c), (f) and Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *The rationalization of  $B\text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$  is given by*

$$B\text{aut}_{A_{\mathbb{Q}},\circ}(X_{\mathbb{Q}}) \simeq B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X_{\mathbb{Q}}), \text{map}_*^{\ell_{\mathbb{Q}}}(A_{\mathbb{Q}}, X_{\mathbb{Q}}))$$

*Proof.* This may be obtained by ‘dualizing’ the proof [Ber17, Lemma 3.1].  $\square$

*Remark 2.5.* By Proposition 2.4 it is enough to prove the assertion in Theorem 1.1 for rational spaces  $X$  and  $A$  in order to get a full proof of the theorem.

### 3. Rational homotopy of grouplike monoid actions

#### 3.1. Preliminaries: degree-wise nilpotency and completeness of dg Lie algebras

Nilpotent spaces are modelled by the so called degree-wise nilpotent dg Lie algebras.

**Definition 3.1.** The lower central series of a dg Lie algebra  $L$  is the descending filtration

$$L = \Gamma^0 L \supseteq \Gamma^1 L \supseteq \Gamma^2 L \supseteq \dots,$$

where  $\Gamma^0 L = L$  and  $\Gamma^{k+1} L = [\Gamma^k L, L]$ . We say that  $L$  is degree-wise nilpotent if for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists some  $k$  such that  $(\Gamma^k L)_n = 0$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\Omega_\bullet$  denote the simplicial commutative dg algebra in which  $\Omega_n$  is the Sullivan-de Rham algebra of polynomial differential forms on the  $n$ -simplex, see [FHT01, Section 10 (c)]. The geometric realization of a degree-wise nilpotent dg Lie algebra  $L$ , is defined to be the simplicial set  $\text{MC}(L \otimes \Omega_\bullet)$  of Maurer–Cartan elements of the simplicial dg Lie algebra  $L \otimes \Omega_\bullet$ , denoted by  $\text{MC}_\bullet(L)$ . We say that that a degree-wise nilpotent dg Lie algebra  $L$  is a Lie model for a nilpotent space  $X$  if there exists a rational homotopy equivalence between the geometric realization  $\text{MC}_\bullet(L)$  and  $X$ .

In [Ber15], the geometric realization functor is extended to the so called complete dg Lie algebras.

**Definition 3.3.** A dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  equipped with a filtration

$$\mathfrak{h} = F^1 \mathfrak{h} \supseteq F^2 \mathfrak{h} \supseteq \dots$$

is called complete if

- (i) each quotient  $\mathfrak{h}/F^i \mathfrak{h}$  is a nilpotent dg Lie algebra, and
- (ii) the canonical map  $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathfrak{h}/F^i \mathfrak{h}$  is an isomorphism.

**Definition 3.4.** Given a complete dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  we define its geometric realization to be the inverse limit

$$\widehat{\text{MC}}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h}) := \varprojlim \text{MC}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h}/F^r \mathfrak{h}).$$

We say that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie model for  $X$  if the realization of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is rationally equivalent to  $X$ .

*Remark 3.5.* A degree-wise nilpotent dg Lie algebra  $L$  together with its lower central series, makes  $L$  into a complete dg Lie algebra, and we have that  $\widehat{\text{MC}}_{\bullet}(L) = \text{MC}_{\bullet}(L)$ . From this we may view the functor  $\widehat{\text{MC}}_{\bullet}$  as an extension of the functor  $\text{MC}_{\bullet}$ .

*Example 3.6.* Let  $C$  be a commutative dg coalgebra concentrated in non-negative degrees, with coproduct  $\Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ , and let  $L$  be a connected degree-wise nilpotent dg Lie algebra of finite type with Lie bracket  $\ell: L \otimes L \rightarrow L$ . The convolution dg Lie algebra  $\text{Hom}(C, L)$  is a dg Lie algebra with differential and Lie bracket given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(f) &= d_L \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d_C, \\ [f, g] &= \ell \circ f \otimes g \circ \Delta.\end{aligned}$$

The convolution dg Lie algebra together  $\text{Hom}(C, L)$  with the filtration

$$\text{Hom}(C, L) \supseteq \text{Hom}(C, L\langle 1 \rangle) \supseteq \text{Hom}(C, L\langle 2 \rangle) \supseteq \dots$$

is a complete dg Lie algebra.

### 3.2. Outer actions and exponentials

We start by recalling some of the background for the notion of outer actions, as discussed in [Ber17]. By the theory of Schlessinger–Stasheff [SS12] and Tanré [Tan83], we have that if  $L$  is a cofibrant Lie model for  $X$ , then a Lie model for the universal cover of  $B \text{aut}(X)$ , or equivalently, a Lie model for  $B \text{aut}_o(X)$  where  $\text{aut}_o(X)$  is the connected component of the identity map (see the beginning of Section 1.2 for a motivation for this equivalence), is given by the semidirect product  $\text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes^{\text{ad}} sL$  where  $\text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle$  is the 1-connected cover of the dg Lie algebra of derivations on  $L$ , and  $sL$  the abelian dg Lie algebra with the underlying dg vector space structure given by the suspension of  $L$ . The differential on the semidirect product is twisted by the adjoint map  $\text{ad}: sL \rightarrow \text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle$ ,  $sl \mapsto \text{ad}_l = [l, -]$ . That is,  $\text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes^{\text{ad}} sL$  is a dg Lie algebra with bracket and differential given by

$$[(\theta, sx), (\varphi, sy)] = ([\theta, \varphi], (-1)^{|\theta|} s\theta(y) - (-1)^{|\varphi||x|} s\varphi(x))$$

and

$$\partial(\theta, sx) = (D(\theta) + \text{ad}_x, -sdx).$$

The set of homotopy classes of maps from a simply connected dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes^{\text{ad}} sL$  is thus in bijection with equivalence classes of  $\text{MC}_{\bullet}(L)$ -fibrations over  $\text{MC}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  in the category of simply connected rational spaces. Given a map  $\psi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes^{\text{ad}} sL$ , the composition of  $\psi$  with the projection on  $\text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle$  gives a map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle$  which induces a map of graded vector spaces  $\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \otimes L \rightarrow L$  of degree 0 (this is not necessarily a chain map), and the composition of  $\psi$  with the projection on  $sL$  gives a map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow sL$  which is equivalent to having a map  $\xi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow L$  of degree  $-1$ . These two maps encode a so called outer action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$ .

**Definition 3.7** ([Ber17]). An outer action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$  consists of a pair of maps  $(\alpha, \xi)$ , where  $\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \otimes L \rightarrow L$  is a map of degree 0 and  $\alpha(x \otimes a)$  is denoted by  $x.a$ , and where  $\xi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow L$  is a map of degree  $-1$ , such that  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$  satisfy the following conditions

- (I)  $[x, y].a = x.(y.a) - (-1)^{|x||y|}y.(x.a),$
- (II)  $x.[a, b] = [x.a, b] + (-1)^{|x||a|}[a, x.b],$
- (III)  $\xi$  is a chain map, i.e.  $d\xi = -\xi d,$
- (IV)  $\xi[x, y] = -(-1)^{|y||\xi(x)|}y.\xi(x) + (-1)^{|x|}x.\xi(y),$
- (V)  $d(x.a) = d(x).a + (-1)^{|x|}x.d(a) + [\xi(x), a].$

**Proposition 3.8.** Specifying an outer action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$  is tantamount to specifying a morphism of dg Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes^{\text{ad}} sL$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Given an outer action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$ , the twisted semidirect product  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\xi} L$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $L$  is a dg Lie algebra with the underlying graded vector space given by  $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\xi} L)_n = \mathfrak{g}_n \times L_n$ . The Lie bracket and the differential on  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\xi} L$  are given by

$$[(x, a), (y, b)] = ([x, y], [a, b] + x.b - (-1)^{|y||a|}y.a)$$

and

$$\partial^{\xi}(x, a) = (dx, da + \xi(x)).$$

Next, we associate to an outer action  $(\alpha, \xi)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$  an action of a group  $\exp_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  on the realization  $\text{MC}_{\bullet}(L)$ .

**Definition 3.10** ([Ber17]). The exponential  $\exp(\mathfrak{h})$  of a nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  concentrated in degree zero is the nilpotent group with the underlying set given by  $\mathfrak{h}$  and with multiplication given by the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff formula. The exponential of a connected degree-wise nilpotent dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\exp_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ , is defined to be the exponential  $\exp(Z_0(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega_{\bullet}))$  of zero cycles in  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega_{\bullet}$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simply connected dg Lie algebra and let  $(\alpha, \xi)$  define an outer action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on a dg Lie algebra  $L$ . The action of  $\exp_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $\text{MC}_{\bullet}(L)$  corresponding to the outer action  $(\alpha, \xi)$  is given by

$$\exp(x).a = a + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\theta_x^n(\theta_x(a) - \xi(x))}{(n+1)!},$$

where  $\theta_x(a) = x.a$ .

*Proof.* We start by recalling some of the theory of the so called gauge actions. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus \mathfrak{h}_i$  is a dg Lie algebra with differential  $d_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and suppose that there exists some nilpotent Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}'_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_0$ , such that  $\mathfrak{h}$  becomes a nilpotent  $\mathfrak{h}'_0$ -module (under the adjoint action). Then, there exists a group action of  $\exp(\mathfrak{h}'_0)$  on  $\text{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$  called the gauge action, and is given by

$$\exp(X).A = A + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{[X, -]^n}{(n+1)!}([X, A] - d_{\mathfrak{h}}X),$$

where  $X \in \mathfrak{h}'_0$  and  $A \in \text{MC}(\mathfrak{h})$  (see [Man04, Section 5.4] for details on the gauge action).

Given a connected and bounded commutative dg algebra  $\Omega = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \Omega^i$ , we have that  $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\xi} L) \otimes \Omega \cong (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega) \ltimes_{\xi \otimes \text{id}} (L \otimes \Omega)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simply connected, it follows that the

adjoint action of  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega)_0 = (\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \Omega^1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_n \otimes \Omega^n)$  on  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega) \ltimes_{\xi \otimes \text{id}} (L \otimes \Omega)$  is nilpotent. Hence the action of the subalgebra of zero cycles  $Z_0(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega)$  has also a nilpotent adjoint action on  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega) \ltimes_{\xi \otimes \text{id}} (L \otimes \Omega)$ . Note that if  $x \in Z_0(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega)$  then  $\partial^{\xi \otimes \text{id}}(x) = (\xi \otimes \text{id})(x)$ .

Moreover, straightforward calculations give that  $a \in L \otimes \Omega$  is a Maurer–Cartan element in  $L \otimes \Omega$  if and only if it is a Maurer–Cartan element in  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega) \ltimes_{\xi \otimes \text{id}} L(\otimes \Omega)$ . We have that if  $x \in Z_0(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega)$  and  $a \in L \otimes \Omega$ , then both  $[x, a]$  and  $\partial^{\xi \otimes \text{id}}(x) = (\xi \otimes \text{id})(x)$  are elements of  $L \otimes \Omega \subset (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega) \ltimes_{\xi \otimes \text{id}} (L \otimes \Omega)$ , and therefore the gauge action above defines an action of  $\exp(Z_0(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega))$  on  $\text{MC}(L \otimes \Omega)$ . In particular, we have that there exists an action of  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $\text{MC}_\bullet(L)$  given by the formula in the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12.** *If  $\xi$  in the previous proposition is trivial, then the action of  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $\text{MC}_\bullet(L)$  is basepoint preserving, where  $0 \in \text{MC}_\bullet(L)$  is the basepoint.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the explicit formula for the action, given in Proposition 3.11.  $\square$

We present some properties of  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Proposition 3.13** ([Ber17, Corollary 3.10 and Theorem 3.15]). *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simply connected dg Lie algebra of finite type and let  $L$  be a dg Lie algebra. Suppose that  $(\alpha, \xi)$  defines an outer action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$ .*

- (a)  $\text{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  is a delooping of  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ .
- (b) The twisted semidirect product  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_\xi L$  is a Lie model for the Borel construction  $B(*, \exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}), \text{MC}_\bullet(L))$ .

### 3.3. Mapping spaces

In [Ber17] it is shown that if  $L$  is a connected degree-wise nilpotent dg Lie algebra of finite type, and  $\Pi$  is connected dg Lie algebra then there is a weak equivalence

$$\widehat{\text{MC}}_\bullet(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(\Pi), L)) \rightarrow \text{map}(\text{MC}_\bullet(\Pi), \text{MC}_\bullet(L)),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi)$  is the Chevalley–Eilenberg coalgebra construction on  $\Pi$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(\Pi), L)$  is the convolution dg Lie algebra. In particular,  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(\Pi), L)$  is a Lie model for  $\text{map}(\text{MC}_\bullet(\Pi), \text{MC}_\bullet(L))$  in the sense of Definition 3.4. We want to show that this weak equivalence is equivariant with respect to the action of  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Lemma 3.14.** *An outer action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$  induces an outer action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the convolution dg Lie algebra  $\text{Hom}(C, L)$  for any counital cocommutative dg coalgebra  $C$ .*

*Proof.* We define maps  $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \text{Hom}(C, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, L)$  and  $\tilde{\xi}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, L)$ . We denote  $\tilde{\alpha}(x \otimes f)$  by  $x.f$  and is given by

$$\tilde{\alpha}(x \otimes f)(c) = (x.f)(c) = x.f(c).$$

Let  $\varepsilon: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be the counit. We define  $\tilde{\xi}$  as the composition

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\xi} L \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, L) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^*} \text{Hom}(C, L),$$

so  $(\tilde{\xi}(x))(c) = \varepsilon(c) \cdot \xi(x)$ .

It is straightforward to show that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\xi}$  satisfies properties (I)–(V) in Definition 3.7.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.15.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $L$ , and  $\Pi$  be connected nilpotent dg Lie algebras, where  $L$  is of finite type. Let  $(\alpha, \xi)$  be an outer action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$ . The evaluation map of [Ber17, Theorem 3.16]*

$$E: \mathrm{MC}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega_\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega_\bullet}(\Pi \otimes \Omega_\bullet), L \otimes \Omega_\bullet)) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega_\bullet}(\Pi \otimes \Omega_\bullet)) \rightarrow \mathrm{MC}(L \otimes \Omega_\bullet)$$

is  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant.

*Proof.* That the image of  $E$  really lands in  $\mathrm{MC}(L \otimes \Omega_\bullet)$  is proved in [Ber17]. We prove that  $E$  is  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant. Using Proposition 3.11, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(\exp(x).(f, c)) &= E(\exp(x).f, c) = (\exp(x).f)(c) \\ &= \left( f + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\theta_x^n(\theta_x(f) - \tilde{\xi}(x))}{(n+1)!} \right)(c) \\ &= f(c) + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\theta_x^n(\theta_x(f(c)) - \tilde{\xi}(x)(c))}{(n+1)!} \\ &= f(c) + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\theta_x^n(\theta_x(f(c)) - \varepsilon(c)\xi(x))}{(n+1)!} \\ &= [c \text{ is a grouplike element } \Rightarrow \varepsilon(c) = 1] \\ &= f(c) + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\theta_x^n(\theta_x(f(c)) - \xi(x))}{(n+1)!} \\ &= \exp(x).(f(c)) = \exp(x).E(f, c). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 3.16.** *There exists an  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant weak equivalence*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{MC}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(\Pi), L)) \simeq \mathrm{map}(\mathrm{MC}_\bullet(\Pi), \mathrm{MC}_\bullet(L)),$$

that is natural in  $\Pi$  and  $L$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.15 we have that the adjoint map of  $E$

$$\mathrm{MC}(\mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Pi \otimes \Omega_\bullet), L \otimes \Omega_\bullet)) \rightarrow \mathrm{map}(\mathrm{MC}_\bullet(\Pi), \mathrm{MC}_\bullet(L))$$

is  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  equivariant. By [Ber17, Theorem 3.16] this is also a weak equivalence that is natural in  $\Pi$  and  $L$ . Following [Ber17, Remark 3.17], there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathrm{MC}}_\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(\Pi), L)) \cong \mathrm{MC}(\mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Pi \otimes \Omega_\bullet), L \otimes \Omega_\bullet)).$$

It is straightforward to show that this isomorphism respects the  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ -action.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.17.** *There is a weak equivalence of spaces*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{MC}}_\bullet(\mathrm{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\Pi), L)) \rightarrow \mathrm{map}_*(\mathrm{MC}_\bullet(\Pi), \mathrm{MC}_\bullet(L)).$$

Moreover, for every outer action  $(\alpha, \xi)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$  where  $\xi$  is trivial, the map above is  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant.

*Proof.* By [Ber15, Proposition 5.4], the functor  $\widehat{MC}_\bullet$  takes surjections of complete dg Lie algebras to (Kan) fibrations. In particular, the surjection  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(\Pi), L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, L) \cong L$  induces a fibration  $\widehat{MC}_\bullet(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(\Pi), L)) \rightarrow MC_\bullet(L)$ , which has fiber  $\widehat{MC}_\bullet(\text{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\Pi), L))$ .

Moreover, the map  $\text{map}(MC_\bullet(\Pi), MC_\bullet(L)) \rightarrow \text{map}(*, MC_\bullet(L)) \cong MC_\bullet(L)$  is a fibration, which has fiber  $\text{map}_*(MC_\bullet(\Pi), MC_\bullet(L))$ , and thus we get a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widehat{MC}_\bullet(\text{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\Pi), L)) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{MC}_\bullet(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}(\Pi), L)) & \longrightarrow & MC_\bullet(L) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \text{map}_*(MC_\bullet(\Pi), MC_\bullet(L)) & \longrightarrow & \text{map}(MC_\bullet(\Pi), MC_\bullet(L)) & \longrightarrow & MC_\bullet(L) \end{array}$$

with rows being fibrations. The long exact sequence of homotopy groups yields now the weak equivalence  $\widehat{MC}_\bullet(\text{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\Pi), L)) \rightarrow \text{map}_*(MC_\bullet(\Pi), MC_\bullet(L))$ . This completes the proof for the first part of the statement.

For the second part, we just recall that the triviality of  $\xi$  gives that the induced  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ -action on  $MC_\bullet(L)$  is basepoint preserving, see Corollary 3.12, and will therefore induce an action on the based mapping space  $\text{map}_*(MC_\bullet(\Pi), MC_\bullet(L))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.18.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle$ . There exists an outer action  $(\alpha, \xi)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $L$ , where  $\alpha(\theta, x) = \theta(x)$  and where  $\xi = 0$ . The action of  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $MC_\bullet(L)$  yields a map  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(MC_\bullet(L))$ , which is a weak equivalence. In particular, the triples  $(*, \exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}), \widehat{MC}_\bullet(\text{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\Pi), L)))$  and  $(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(MC_\bullet(L)), \text{map}_*(MC_\bullet(\Pi), MC_\bullet(L)))$  are weakly equivalent in the category  $\mathcal{K}$  (discussed in Section 2). A Lie model for*

$$B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(MC_\bullet(L)), \text{map}_*(MC_\bullet(\Pi), MC_\bullet(L)))$$

is given by  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \text{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\Pi), L)$ .

*Proof.* It follows by the theory of Schlessinger–Stasheff [SS12] and Tanré [Tan83] that if  $L$  is a cofibrant dg Lie algebra, then a Lie model for  $B \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(MC_\bullet(L))$  is given by  $\text{Der}(L)\langle 1 \rangle$ . By Proposition 3.13 (a) it follows that  $\exp_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  is weakly equivalent to  $\text{aut}_{*,\circ}(MC_\bullet(L))$ . This fact, together with Corollary 3.17, gives the equivalence of triples mentioned in the proposition.

The statement regarding the Lie model is a consequence of Proposition 3.13 (b).  $\square$

## 4. Modelling homotopy automorphisms with derivations

The ultimate goal of this paper is to study the rational homotopy of

$$B \text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X) \simeq B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*(A, X)),$$

which is a connected component in  $B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*(A, X))$ . The disconnected space  $B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*(A, X))$  is modelled by the complete dg Lie algebra

$$\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes \text{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_X)$$

(see Proposition 3.18). If  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a complete dg Lie algebra model for a disconnected space  $W$ , one may extract a dg Lie algebra model for a connected component  $W^\tau \subset W$  by the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.1** ([Ber15, Theorem 5.5]). *Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a complete dg Lie algebra and let  $\tau$  be a Maurer–Cartan element in  $\mathfrak{h}$ . The connected component of  $\widehat{\text{MC}}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$  that contains  $\tau$  is weakly equivalent to  $\text{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^\tau \langle 0 \rangle)$  where  $\mathfrak{h}^\tau$  is the dg Lie algebra whose underlying graded Lie algebra structure coincides with the one of  $\mathfrak{h}$  but with a twisted differential  $\partial^\tau = \partial + \text{ad}_\tau$ .*

We apply this proposition in order to get a Lie model for  $B \text{aut}_{A,\circ}(X)$ :

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\tau \in \text{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_X)$  be the Maurer–Cartan element given by the composition*

$$\tau: \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A) \xrightarrow{\pi_A} \mathbb{L}_A \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{L}_X,$$

where  $\pi_A: \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_A$  is the universal twisting morphism and  $i: \mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  is a cofibration that model the inclusion  $\iota: A \hookrightarrow X$ . A Lie model for

$$B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*^\iota(A, X))$$

is given by

$$(\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes \text{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle)^{(0,\tau)} = \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $\tau_*(\theta) = -(-1)^{|\theta|}\theta \circ \tau$ .

*Proof.* The connected component of the Maurer–Cartan element

$$(0, \tau) \in \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes \text{Hom}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_X)$$

in the realization corresponds to the connected component  $B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*^\iota(A, X))$  in  $B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*(A, X))$ . By Proposition 4.1, a Lie model for

$$B(*, \text{aut}_{*,\circ}(X), \text{map}_*^\iota(A, X))$$

is given by the left hand-side of (1). The equality (1) may now be checked by hand.  $\square$

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $f: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Pi$  be a morphism of dg Lie algebras, define  $\text{Der}_f(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi)$  to be the dg vector space of so called  $f$ -derivations from  $\mathfrak{h}$  to  $\Pi$ . An  $f$ -derivation is a linear map  $\theta: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Pi$  that satisfies

$$\theta[x, y] = [\theta(x), f(y)] + (-1)^{|\theta||x|}[f(x), \theta(y)].$$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\tau: \bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  be as in Proposition 4.2. The map*

$$s\pi_A^*: \text{Der}_i(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow s(\text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle)$$

given by  $s\pi_A^*(\theta) = (-1)^{|\theta|+1}s(\theta \circ \pi_A)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $\pi_A^*: \text{Der}_i(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle$ , defined by  $\pi_A^*(\theta) = (-1)^{|\theta|+1}\theta \circ \pi_A$ , induces isomorphisms in shifted homology, i.e.

$$H(\pi_A^*): H_{p+1}(\text{Der}_i(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_p(\text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle).$$

We will use that universal twisting morphism  $\pi_A$  satisfies the Maurer–Cartan equation

$$\pi_A \circ d = -d \circ \pi_A - \frac{1}{2}[\pi_A, \pi_A]. \quad (2)$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
D(\pi_A^*(\theta)) &= (-1)^{|\theta|+1}d \circ \theta \circ \pi_A - \theta \circ \pi_A \circ d + (-1)^{|\theta|+1}[\tau, \theta \circ \pi_A] \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{=} (-1)^{|\theta|+1}d \circ \theta \circ \pi_A + (-1)^{|\theta|+1}[i \circ \pi_A, \theta \circ \pi_A] - \theta \circ (-d \circ \pi_A - \frac{1}{2}[\pi_A, \pi_A]) \\
&= (-1)^{|\theta|+1}d \circ \theta \circ \pi_A + (-1)^{|\theta|+1}[i \circ \pi_A, \theta \circ \pi_A] + \theta \circ d \circ \pi_A \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{1}{2}[\theta \circ \pi_A, i \circ \pi_A] + (-1)^{|\theta|} \frac{1}{2}[i \circ \pi_A, \theta \circ \pi_A] \right) \\
&= (-1)^{|\theta|+1}d \circ \theta \circ \pi_A + (-1)^{|\theta|+1}[i \circ \pi_A, \theta \circ \pi_A] + \theta \circ d \circ \pi_A \\
&\quad + (-1)^{|\theta|}[i \circ \pi_A, \theta \circ \pi_A] \\
&= (-1)^{|\theta|+1}d \circ \theta \circ \pi_A + \theta \circ d \circ \pi_A \\
&= -\pi_A^*(D(\theta)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality uses that  $\theta$  is an  $i$ -derivation. This proves that  $\pi_A^*$  is a chain map.

Now we prove that  $\pi_A^*$  is a quasi-isomorphism (up to a degree shift). If  $L$  is a connected dg Lie algebra, let  $Q(L) = L/[L, L]$  denote the chain complex of the indecomposable elements in  $L$ .

**Lemma 4.5** ([FHT01, Proposition 22.8]). *The composition*

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A \xrightarrow{\pi_A} \mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{L}_A)$$

*induces isomorphisms in homology*

$$H_{p+1}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_p(Q(\mathbb{L}_A)).$$

Now we consider the complete filtration  $\text{Der}_i(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle = F^1 \supseteq F^2 \supseteq \dots$ , where  $F^p$  is the subcomplex of  $i$ -derivations that vanish on elements of degree  $< p$ , and the complete filtration  $\text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle = \hat{F}^1 \supseteq \hat{F}^2 \supseteq \dots$ , where  $\hat{F}^p$  is the subcomplex of linear maps that vanish on elements of degree  $< p+1$ .

With respect to these filtrations,  $\pi_A^*$  becomes a map of filtered complexes and induces a map of spectral sequences. We have that the first filtration gives rise to a first quadrant spectral sequence with  $E_2$ -term

$$E_2^{p,-q} = \text{Hom}(H_p(Q(\mathbb{L}_A)), H_q(\mathbb{L}_X)),$$

and the second filtration gives rise to a first quadrant spectral sequence with  $\hat{E}_2$ -term

$$\hat{E}_2^{p+1,-q} = \text{Hom}(H_{p+1}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A), H_q(\mathbb{L}_X)).$$

By Lemma 4.5, the induced map  $E_2(\pi_A^*) : E_2^{p,-q} \rightarrow \hat{E}_2^{p+1,-q}$  is an isomorphism. The comparison theorem (see for instance [Wei94]) gives now that  $\pi_A^*$  is indeed a quasi-isomorphism up to a degree shift.  $\square$

We are left to show that there exists a weak equivalence of dg Lie algebras

$$\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 1 \rangle \simeq \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle$$

in order to complete the proof of the main theorem, Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $i: \mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  be a cofibration (i.e. a free map). Then we may view  $\mathbb{L}_A$  as a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{L}_X$ . Let  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A)$  be the dg Lie algebra of derivations on  $\mathbb{L}_X$  that vanish on  $\mathbb{L}_A$ . The map*

$$\zeta: \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle$$

*given by inclusion into the first term is a quasi-isomorphism of dg Lie algebras.*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to show that  $\zeta$  is a map of Lie algebras. We want to show that  $\zeta$  is a chain map. This is equivalent to having that  $\tau_*|_{\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 1 \rangle} = 0$ . We have that  $\tau: \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  factors through  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_X)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{L}_X \\ & \searrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}(i) & \swarrow \pi_X \\ & \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_X) & \end{array}$$

where  $\pi_X: \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_X) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  is the universal twisting morphism. Given a derivation  $\theta \in \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)$ , it induces a coderivation  $\Theta \in \text{Coder}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_X))$  given by

$$\Theta(sx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge sx_k) = \sum \pm sx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s\theta(x_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge sx_k$$

so that  $\pi_X \circ \Theta = (-1)^{|\theta|}\theta \circ \pi_X$ . Now assume that  $\theta \in \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 1 \rangle$ , i.e.  $\theta \circ i = 0$ , then we have that  $\Theta \circ \bar{\mathcal{C}}(i) = 0$  and, in particular,

$$\tau_*(\theta) = \theta \circ \tau = \theta \circ \pi_X \circ \bar{\mathcal{C}}(i) = (-1)^{|\theta|}\pi_X \circ \Theta \circ \bar{\mathcal{C}}(i) = 0$$

This gives that  $\zeta: \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle$  is a chain map, and therefore also a map of dg Lie algebras.

Now we show that  $\zeta$  is a quasi-isomorphism. In the model category of chain complexes we have that the homotopy cofiber of the projection map

$$\rho: \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle) \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle$$

is the mapping cone  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \oplus s(\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle))$ , denoted by  $\text{cone}(\rho)$ , equipped with the differential given by  $d(\theta, s\psi, s\eta) = (d\theta - \psi, -s\partial^\tau(\psi, \eta))$ . In particular, we have that

$$\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \ltimes_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{cone}(\rho)$$

is equivalent to a homotopy cofibration.

Moreover, we have that there is a short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow s(\text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 0 \rangle) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} \text{cone}(\rho) \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \oplus s\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

We have that  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \oplus s(\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle) \simeq 0$  (since  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \oplus s(\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle)$  is the mapping cone on the identity map), so it follows that

$$s(\text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 0 \rangle) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} \text{cone}(\rho)$$

is a homotopy equivalence. It follows now that the composition of homotopy equivalences

$$\alpha: \text{Der}_i(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{s\pi_A^*} s(\text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 0 \rangle) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} \text{cone}(\rho)$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Since  $i: \mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  is a free map, the restriction map  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Der}_i(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X)\langle 1 \rangle$  is onto with kernel  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A)\langle 1 \rangle$ . In particular,

we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A) \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Der}_i(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Now consider the following (non-commuting) diagram with rows being homotopy cofibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X \parallel \mathbb{L}_A) \langle 1 \rangle & \longrightarrow & \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle & \longrightarrow & \text{Der}_i(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \quad (3) \\ \zeta \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow -\alpha \\ \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \times_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X) \langle 0 \rangle & \longrightarrow & \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle & \longrightarrow & \text{cone}(\rho) \end{array}$$

**Claim 4.7.** *The diagram above commutes up to homotopy.*

*Proof.* The left square commutes strictly, so we are left to show that the right square commutes up to homotopy. In other words, we want to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi, \Psi: \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{cone}(\rho) &= \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \oplus s(\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle) \\ &\times_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_A) \langle 0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

given by  $\Phi(\theta) = (\theta, 0, 0)$  and  $\Psi(\theta) = (0, 0, -s\pi_A^*(\theta \circ i))$  are homotopic.

Let  $H: \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \oplus s(\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \times_{\tau_*} \text{Hom}^\tau(\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{L}_A), \mathbb{L}_A) \langle 0 \rangle)$  be given by

$$H(\theta) = (0, s\theta, 0).$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} (dH + Hd)(\theta) &= d(0, s\theta, 0) + H(d(\theta)) \\ &= (-\theta, -sd(\theta), -s\tau_*(\theta)) + (0, sd(\theta), 0) = (-\theta, 0, -s\tau_*(\theta)) \end{aligned}$$

which is  $(\Psi - \Phi)(\theta)$ .  $\square$

Now as we have that (3) commutes up to homotopy, it induces a (strict) map of the long exact sequences associated to the cofibrations. Since  $\alpha: \text{Der}_i(\mathbb{L}_A, \mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{cone}(\rho)$  and  $\text{id}: \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X) \langle 1 \rangle$  induces isomorphisms in homology, it follows by the five lemma that  $\zeta$  also induces isomorphisms in homology.  $\square$

## 5. Examples

*Example 5.1.* A Lie model for  $\mathbb{C}P^k$ ,  $k \geq 1$  is given by the cofibrant dg Lie algebra  $\mathbb{L}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  on the free graded vector space  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  where  $|x_i| = 2i - 1$  and where the differential is given by  $d(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p+q=i} [x_p, x_q]$  (see [FHT01, §24.(f)]). The inclusion of  $\mathbb{C}P^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ ,  $1 \leq k < n$  is modelled by the free map induced by the inclusion

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \hookrightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

In particular, we have that the underlying dg vector space of  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{C}P^n} \parallel \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{C}P^k})$  is isomorphic to

$$\text{Hom}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n), \mathbb{L}(x_1, \dots, x_n)),$$

where the differential on a map  $f$  of homogeneous degree  $|f|$  is given by

$$d(f)(x_q) = d \circ f(x_q) - (-1)^{|f|} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=q} [f(x_i), x_j] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=q} [x_i, f(x_j)],$$

where we set  $f(x_i) = 0$  if  $i \leq k$ . We observe that if  $n = k + 1$  then only first term in the differential above survives. In this particular case we have an isomorphism of chain complexes

$$\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{C}P^{k+1}} \parallel \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{C}P^k}) = s^{-2k-1} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{C}P^{k+1}}.$$

Hence, we see that

$$\pi_{*+2k+1}^{\mathbb{Q}}(B \text{ aut}_{\mathbb{C}P^k}(\mathbb{C}P^{k+1})) = \pi_*^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}P^{k+1}).$$

*Example 5.2.* Every simply connected topological space  $X$  admits a minimal Lie model of the form  $(\mathbb{L}(s^{-1}\tilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Q})), d)$ , where the generating vector space is the desuspension of the reduced rational homology of  $X$  (see [FHT01, Chapter 24]). In particular, a minimal Lie model for the sphere  $S^{n-1}$  is given by  $\mathbb{L}(u)$ , where  $|u| = n - 2$ .

If  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional simply connected compact manifold with boundary  $\partial X \cong S^{n-1}$ , then for every basis  $B = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  of  $s^{-1}\tilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  there exists a ‘dual basis’  $B^\# = \{x_1^\#, \dots, x_m^\#\}$  such that  $|x_i^\#| + |x_i| = n - 2$  and such that the inclusion  $S^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  is modelled by the dg Lie algebra morphism

$$\mathbb{L}(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(s^{-1}\tilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Q})), \quad u \mapsto \omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m [x_i^\#, x_i]$$

(see [Sta83] and [BM20, §3.5] for details).

Note that the dg Lie algebra map above is not a cofibration. In order to be able to apply Theorem 1.1, one needs to replace the map by a cofibration. This can be done by adding generators  $u$  and  $v$  to the Lie model of  $X$  and define  $d(u) = 0$  and  $d(v) = u - \omega$ . Then the inclusion  $\mathbb{L}(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(s^{-1}\tilde{H}_*(X), u, v)$  is a cofibration that models the inclusion. Theorem 1.1 shows that  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(s^{-1}\tilde{H}_*(X), u, v) \parallel u)$  is a Lie model for the universal cover of  $B \text{ aut}_\partial(X)$ . In [BM20], the authors go further and show that  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(s^{-1}\tilde{H}_*(X), u, v) \parallel u)$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(s^{-1}\tilde{H}_*(X)) \parallel \omega)$ . However, in general, if  $j: \mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  models a cofibration  $A \subset X$  where  $\mathbb{L}_A$  and  $\mathbb{L}_X$  are cofibrant dg Lie algebras, but where  $j$  is not a cofibration, then it is not necessarily true that the Lie subalgebra of  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}_X)$  of derivations that vanish on the image of  $j$  is a Lie model for the universal cover of  $B \text{ aut}_A(X)$ . We will see this in the next example.

*Example 5.3.* In Theorem 1.1 it is required that the Lie algebra map  $i: \mathbb{L}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_X$  that models the inclusion  $A \subset X$  is a cofibration. In this example we show that this condition is necessary.

Consider the inclusion  $S^3 \subset D^4$ . A cofibration between cofibrant dg Lie algebras that models the inclusion is given by

$$(\mathbb{L}(u), |u| = 2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{L}(u, v), dv = u, |u| = 2, |v| = 3).$$

Hence we know that a Lie model for  $B \text{ aut}_{S^3, \circ}(D^4)$  is given by  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(u, v) \parallel \mathbb{L}(u))$  which one easily shows is homotopically trivial. Let us now model the inclusion

$S^3 \subset D^4$  by a Lie map which is not a cofibration. We let a cofibrant model for  $S^3$  be given by the abelian dg Lie algebra

$$\mathbb{L}_{S^3} = (\mathbb{L}(u), |u| = 2, du = 0).$$

Since  $D^4$  is contractible, any homotopically trivial dg Lie algebra is a Lie model for  $D^4$ , and any map from  $\mathbb{L}_{S^3}$  to that Lie model of  $D^4$  is a model for the inclusion  $S^3 \subset D^4$ . We let

$$\mathbb{L}_{D^4} = (\mathbb{L}(a, b), |a| = 1, |b| = 2, db = a)$$

be Lie model for  $D^4$  and we let the inclusion  $S^3 \subset D^4$  be modelled by the map  $i: \mathbb{L}(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(a, b)$ ,  $i(u) = [a, a]$ . Now we show that  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(a, b) \parallel [a, a])$  is not weakly equivalent to the trivial dg Lie algebra. Let  $\text{ad}_a \in \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(a, b) \parallel [a, a])$  be given by  $\text{ad}_a(x) = [a, x]$  ( $\text{ad}_a$  vanishes on  $[a, a]$  since  $[a, [a, a]] = 0$  by the graded Jacobi identity). Straightforward calculations give that  $\text{ad}_a$  is a cycle in  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(a, b) \parallel [a, a])$ . Now we show that  $\text{ad}_a$  is not a boundary in  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(a, b) \parallel [a, a])$ . We have that any  $g \in \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(a, b))$  of degree 2 is determined by its images on the generators. We have that  $g(a) = \alpha[b, a]$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  since the degree three part of  $\mathbb{L}(a, b)$  is spanned by  $[b, a]$ . We also have that  $g(b) = \beta[b, [a, a]]$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$  since the degree four part of  $\mathbb{L}(a, b)$  is spanned by  $[b, [a, a]]$ . Solving the equation  $Dg = \text{ad}_a$  gives that  $\alpha = 1$  and that  $\beta$  can be chosen arbitrary. However, we get that

$$g[a, a] = 2[[b, a], a] \neq 0$$

showing that  $g \notin \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(a, b) \parallel [a, a])$ , so  $\text{ad}_a$  is not a boundary in  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(a, b) \parallel [a, a])$ . We conclude that  $\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}(a, b) \parallel [a, a])$  is not homotopically trivial, and therefore not a Lie model for  $B\text{aut}_{S^3, o}(D^4)$ .

## References

- [Ber15] A. Berglund, *Rational homotopy theory of mapping spaces via Lie theory for  $L_\infty$ -algebras*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **17** (2015), no. 2, 343–369.
- [Ber17] ———, *Rational models for automorphisms of fiber bundles*, to appear in Doc. Math. Preprint available at arXiv:1703.03747 [math.AT].
- [BM13] A. Berglund and I. Madsen, *Homological stability of diffeomorphism groups*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **9** (2013), no. 1, 1–48.
- [BM20] ———, *Rational homotopy theory of automorphisms of manifolds*, to appear in Acta Math. (2020). Preprint available at arXiv:1401.4096v3 [math.AT].
- [FHT01] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [Gre19] M. Grey, *On rational homological stability for block automorphisms of connected sums of products of spheres*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **19** (2019), no. 7, 3359–3407.
- [Man04] M. Manetti, *Lectures on deformations of complex manifolds*, Rend. Mat. **24** (2004), no. 7, 1–183.
- [May72] J.P. May, *The Geometry of Iterated Loop Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 271, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

- [May75] \_\_\_\_\_, *Classifying spaces and fibrations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1975), no. 155.
- [May99] \_\_\_\_\_, *A concise course in algebraic topology*, University of Chicago Press, 1999.
- [Qui69] D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. Math. **90** (1969), no. 2, 205–295.
- [SS12] M. Schlessinger and J. Stasheff, *Deformation theory and rational homotopy type*, arXiv:1211.1647 [math.QA].
- [Sta83] J. Stasheff, *Rational Poincaré duality spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **27** (1983), no. 1, 104–109.
- [Tan83] D. Tanré, *Homotopie rationnelle: modèles de Chen, Quillen, Sullivan*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1025, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [Wei94] C. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

Alexander Berglund alexb@math.su.se

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

Bashar Saleh bashar@math.su.se

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden