

ON TRIVIALITIES OF STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASSES OF VECTOR BUNDLES OVER ITERATED SUSPENSION SPACES

RYUICHI TANAKA

(communicated by Brooke Shipley)

Abstract

A space B is described as W -trivial if for every vector bundle over B , all the Stiefel-Whitney classes vanish. We prove that if B is a 9-fold suspension, then B is W -trivial. We also determine all pairs (k, n) of positive integers for which $\Sigma^k FP^n$ is W -trivial, where $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$.

1. Introduction and results

A space B is called W -trivial if $W(\alpha) = 1$ holds for every vector bundle α over B . Here $W(\alpha)$ denotes the total Stiefel-Whitney class of α . If B is W -trivial, then a kind of Borsuk-Ulam type theorem holds for every vector bundle α over B ; precisely, for any integer i with $i > \dim \alpha$, there does not exist a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -map from S^{i-1} to $S(\alpha)$, the sphere bundle of α [6, Proposition 2.2]. Thus it would be interesting to ask whether a space is W -trivial or not. As is well-known, the sphere S^n is W -trivial if and only if $n \neq 1, 2, 4, 8$ (see [5]). Obviously, the projective space FP^n , where $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, is not W -trivial for any $n > 0$. For the stunted projective space FP_m^n , all (m, n) for which FP_m^n is W -trivial were determined in [9]; roughly speaking, FP_m^n is not W -trivial if and only if m is very small compared with n .

As is seen in the case $B = S^n$, it is not true that if B is W -trivial, then its suspension ΣB is also W -trivial. In this paper, we first prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For a space B , its 8-fold suspension $\Sigma^8 B$ is W -trivial if either of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) B is W -trivial.
- (2) The cup product in $\tilde{H}^*(B; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is trivial.

In general, the cup product in $\tilde{H}^*(\Sigma B; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is trivial, so that from the above theorem, we immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *For any space B , its 9-fold suspension $\Sigma^9 B$ is W -trivial.*

As is easily seen by using the suspension theorem, a k -connected complex B with $\dim B \leq 2k + 1$ is homotopy equivalent to the suspension of a $(k - 1)$ -connected complex of dimension $\dim B - 1$. By iterating this, we see that a k -connected complex

Received March 15, 2010, revised March 28, 2010; published on May 3, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55R50, 55S05.

Key words and phrases: Stiefel-Whitney class, vector bundle, squaring operation.

This article is available at <http://intlpress.com/HHA/v12/n1/a18>

Copyright © 2010, International Press. Permission to copy for private use granted.

B is homotopy equivalent to the 9-fold suspension of a $(k-9)$ -connected complex ($k > 9$) if $\dim B \leq 2k-7$. Therefore, from Corollary 1.2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let B be a k -connected complex with $k > 9$. If $\dim B \leq 2k-7$, then B is W -trivial.*

This corollary greatly improves Theorem 1.3 in [8]. Since the smallest integer i such that $w_i(\alpha) \neq 0$ is a power of 2 (see [8, Lemma 2.1]), the above corollary is actually useful only when $k \geq 12$. For example, we see that a 16-dimensional complex is W -trivial if it is 12-connected. It should be also noted that the 16-dimensional stunted projective space $\mathbb{R}P_k^{16}$ is W -trivial for $9 < k < 16$ while $\mathbb{R}P_9^{16}$ is not W -trivial (see [8, Theorem 4.1]).

Next, in this paper, we investigate whether $\Sigma^k FP^n$ is W -trivial or not, where $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Because of Corollary 1.2, our interests are only in the case when $0 < k \leq 8$. For $F = \mathbb{R}$, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *For positive integers k and n , the k -fold suspension $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ of $\mathbb{R}P^n$ is not W -trivial if and only if k and n satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $k = 1, 2, 4$ or 8 and $n \geq k$.
- (2) $k = 3, 5$ or 7 and $n + k = 4$ or 8 .
- (3) $k = 6$ and $n = 2$ or 3 .

This result shows that the condition $k \geq 9$ is best possible for $\Sigma^k B$ to be W -trivial in general.

For $F = \mathbb{C}$ and $F = \mathbb{H}$, we have the following results.

Theorem 1.5. *For positive integers k, n with $n > 1$, the k -fold suspension $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$ of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is not W -trivial if and only if $k = 2$ or 4 .*

Theorem 1.6. *For positive integers k, n with $n > 1$, the k -fold suspension $\Sigma^k \mathbb{H}P^n$ of $\mathbb{H}P^n$ is not W -trivial if and only if $k = 4$.*

It is worth noting that the W -triviality of $\Sigma^k FP^n$ does not depend on n for $F = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$.

Throughout this paper, all cohomology is assumed to have coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_2$ unless otherwise stated. The total Stiefel-Whitney class of α is denoted by $W(\alpha)$, and the total Chern class by $C(\alpha)$.

The following two lemmas are straightforward to show but they are of fundamental importance for our proofs of theorems.

Lemma 1.7.

- (1) If $\widetilde{KO}(B) = 0$, then B is W -trivial.
- (2) Let $f: B \rightarrow X$ be a map and suppose that X is W -trivial. If $f^*: \widetilde{KO}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(B)$ is epimorphic, then B is W -trivial.

Lemma 1.8.

- (1) If $H^{2^r}(B) = 0$ for all $r \geq 0$, then B is W -trivial.
- (2) Let $f: X \rightarrow B$ be a map and suppose that X is W -trivial. If $f^*: H^{2^r}(B) \rightarrow H^{2^r}(X)$ is monomorphic for all $r \geq 0$, then B is W -trivial.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We use the Bott periodicity theorem for KO -theory. Let $j: S^8 \times B \rightarrow \Sigma^8 B$ denote the quotient map and let $p_1: S^8 \times B \rightarrow S^8$ and $p_2: S^8 \times B \rightarrow B$ denote the projections. Let α be an arbitrary vector bundle over $\Sigma^8 B$. By the Bott periodicity theorem, we see that $j^*\alpha$ is stably equivalent to $p_1^*(\nu - 8) \otimes p_2^*(\beta - m)$ for some vector bundle β over B . Here ν denotes the Hopf vector bundle over S^8 and $m = \dim \beta$. Then, we have

$$j^*W(\alpha) = W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) \cdot W(p_1^*\nu)^{-m} \cdot W(p_2^*\beta)^{-8}. \quad (*)$$

We compute this and show that $W(\alpha) = 1$. Note that $W(p_1^*\nu) = p_1^*W(\nu) = 1 + s \times 1$, where s denotes the generator of $H^8(S^8)$. Let

$$W(p_1^*\nu) = \prod_{i=1}^8 (1 + s_i) \quad \text{and} \quad W(p_2^*\beta) = \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + t_j)$$

be formal factorizations of $W(p_1^*\nu)$ and $W(p_2^*\beta)$. Then, by an analogous formula to Formula III of Theorem 4.4.3 in [4], we have $W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) = \prod_{i,j} (1 + s_i + t_j)$. We first calculate the product for i 's by using $\prod_{i=1}^8 (1 + s_i) = 1 + s \times 1$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^8 ((1 + t_j) + s_i) &= \sum_{k=0}^8 (1 + t_j)^{8-k} \lambda_k(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_8) \\ &= (1 + t_j)^8 + s_1 s_2 \cdots s_8 \\ &= 1 + t_j^8 + s \times 1, \end{aligned}$$

where λ_k denotes the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree k and we used the fact that $\lambda_k(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_8) = 0$ for $0 < k < 8$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) &= \prod_{j=1}^m ((1 + s \times 1) + t_j^8) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m (1 + s \times 1)^{m-k} \lambda_k(t_1^8, t_2^8, \dots, t_m^8). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we assume that the cup product in $\tilde{H}^*(B)$ is trivial. Then, we clearly have $W(\beta)^2 = 1$, so that $W(p_2^*\beta)^8 = p_2^*W(\beta)^8 = 1$. This implies that $\prod_{j=1}^m (1 + t_j^8) = 1$, so that $\lambda_k(t_1^8, t_2^8, \dots, t_m^8) = 0$ for every $k > 0$. Therefore we have

$$W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) = (1 + s \times 1)^m.$$

Substituting these results into (*), we obtain

$$j^*W(\alpha) = (1 + s \times 1)^m \cdot (1 + s \times 1)^{-m} \cdot 1^{-1} = 1. \quad (**)$$

Since $j^*: H^*(\Sigma^8 B) \rightarrow H^*(S^8 \times B)$ is monomorphic, we conclude that $W(\alpha) = 1$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 under the assumption (2) is completed.

The proof under the assumption (1) is quite similar. Since $W(p_2^*\beta) = 1$ from the assumption that B is W -trivial, we may regard all the t_j 's as zeros in our previous calculations. Then we obtain $W(p_1^*\nu \otimes p_2^*\beta) = (1 + s \times 1)^m$ and have the same result as (**). Thus the theorem under the assumption (1) follows.

Here we prepare the following lemma, which will be used to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 in later sections.

Lemma 2.1. *Let d and m be positive integers with $d \leq m$.*

- (1) *If γ is a vector bundle over S^d with $\dim \gamma = m$ and β is a line bundle over B , then in $H^*(S^d \times B)$ we have*

$$W((p_1^* \gamma - m) \otimes (p_2^* \beta - 1)) = 1 + w_d(\gamma) \times ((1 + w_1(\beta))^{-d} - 1),$$

where $p_1: S^d \times B \rightarrow S^d$ and $p_2: S^d \times B \rightarrow B$ are the projections.

- (2) *If γ is a complex vector bundle over S^{2d} with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \gamma = m$ and β is a complex line bundle over B , then in $H^*(S^{2d} \times B; \mathbb{Z})$ we have*

$$C((p_1^* \gamma - m) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \beta - 1)) = 1 + c_d(\gamma) \times ((1 + c_1(\beta))^{-d} - 1),$$

where $p_1: S^{2d} \times B \rightarrow S^{2d}$ and $p_2: S^{2d} \times B \rightarrow B$ are the projections.

Proof. We prove only (1) since the proof of (2) is quite similar. Let us put $w_d(\gamma) = s$ and $w_1(\beta) = t$. Let $W(p_1^* \gamma) = 1 + s \times 1 = 1^{m-d} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + s_i)$ and $W(p_2^* \beta) = 1 + 1 \times t = 1 + t_1$ be formal factorizations. Then, just like before, we can calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W(p_1^* \gamma \otimes p_2^* \beta) &= (1 + t_1)^{m-d} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + s_i + t_1) \\ &= (1 + t_1)^{m-d} \cdot ((1 + t_1)^d + s \times 1) \\ &= (1 + 1 \times t)^m \cdot (1 + s \times (1 + t)^{-d}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W((p_1^* \gamma - m) \otimes (p_2^* \beta - 1)) &= W(p_1^* \gamma \otimes p_2^* \beta) \cdot W(p_2^* \beta)^{-m} \cdot W(p_1^* \gamma)^{-1} \\ &= (1 + s \times (1 + t)^{-d}) \cdot (1 + s \times 1)^{-1} \\ &= (1 + s \times (1 + t)^{-d}) \cdot (1 - s \times 1) \\ &= 1 + s \times ((1 + t)^{-d} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma follows. $\square$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we investigate whether $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W -trivial or not. Since $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W -trivial for $k > 8$ by Corollary 1.2, our interests are only in the case when $0 < k \leq 8$. We divide into three cases: (1) $k = 1, 2, 4$ or 8 , (2) $k = 3, 5$ or 7 and (3) $k = 6$.

First we consider the case when $k = 1, 2, 4$ or 8 . The result is as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $d = 1, 2, 4$ or 8 . Then $\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n$ is not W -trivial if and only if $n \geq d$.*

Proof. Recall that for a vector bundle α , the smallest integer i such that $w_i(\alpha) \neq 0$ is a power of 2 (see [8, Lemma 2.1]). If $n < d$, then $\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n$ has no cells of dimension a power of 2, so that $\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W -trivial. Now, let us consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longleftarrow \widehat{KO}(S^d \vee \mathbb{R}P^n) \xleftarrow{i^*} \widehat{KO}(S^d \times \mathbb{R}P^n) \xleftarrow{j^*} \widehat{KO}(\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n) \longleftarrow 0,$$

where i and j are obvious maps. Let ν denote the Hopf vector bundle over S^d and let ξ denote the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{R}P^n$. Since $i^*((p_1^*\nu - d) \otimes (p_2^*\xi - 1)) = 0$, there is a vector bundle α over $\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n$ such that $j^*\alpha$ is stably equivalent to $(p_1^*\nu - d) \otimes (p_2^*\xi - 1)$. By Lemma 2.1, in $H^*(S^d \times \mathbb{R}P^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(j^*\alpha) &= 1 + s \times ((1+t)^{-d} - 1) \\ &= 1 + s \times (t^d + t^{2d} + t^{3d} + \cdots), \end{aligned}$$

where s and t denote the generator of $H^d(S^d)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^n)$ respectively. Hence, we see that $j^*W(\alpha) \neq 1$ if $n \geq d$. We thus conclude that $W(\alpha) \neq 1$, so that $\Sigma^d \mathbb{R}P^n$ is not W -trivial if $n \geq d$. $\square$

Before we consider the second case, we prepare a few lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^{2^m-k}$ is W -trivial, then $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W -trivial for any integer n with $2^m - k < n < 2^{m+1} - k$.*

Proof. Let $i: \Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^{2^m-k} \rightarrow \Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ be the inclusion map. If $2^m < n + k < 2^{m+1}$, then $i^*: H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^{2^m-k})$ is monomorphic for all $r \geq 0$ for dimensional reasons. Therefore, the lemma follows from Lemma 1.8. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let α be a vector bundle over a complex B . Let r be an integer with $r \geq 2$ and suppose that $w_i(\alpha) = 0$ for $0 < i < 2^r$. Then we have $\text{Sq}^j w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$ for $0 < j < 2^{r-1}$.*

Proof. We put $2^{r-1} = m$ and consider the inclusion $i: B^{(3m)} \hookrightarrow B$, where $B^{(3m)}$ is the $3m$ -skeleton of B . For dimensional reasons, the induced bundle $i^*\alpha$ is stably equivalent to some $3m$ -dimensional vector bundle β . Then we clearly have $W(i^*\alpha) = W(\beta)$. We denote by $P(\beta)$ the associated projective bundle of β , and by e the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Euler class of the line bundle $\beta \rightarrow P(\beta)$. The cohomology $H^*(P(\beta))$ is a free $H^*(B^{(3m)})$ -module generated by $1, e, e^2, \dots, e^{3m-1}$, in which we have the relation $e^{3m} = \sum_{i=0}^{3m-1} w_{3m-i}(\beta) \cdot e^i$. Since we have $w_i(\beta) = i^*w_i(\alpha) = 0$ for $0 < i < 2m$ by the assumption, we can write this relation as $e^{3m} = w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \cdots + w_{2m} \cdot e^m$, where we have abbreviated $w_i(\beta)$ as w_i . We apply the total squaring operation $\text{Sq} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \text{Sq}^i$ to this relation. Since $\text{Sq}(e^i) = (\text{Sq}e)^i = (e + e^2)^i = e^i(1 + e)^i$, we obtain the following equation:

$$e^{3m}(1 + e)^{3m} = \text{Sq} w_{3m} + \text{Sq} w_{3m-1} \cdot e(1 + e) + \cdots + \text{Sq} w_{2m} \cdot e^m(1 + e)^m. \quad (***)$$

In this equation, we like to compare the coefficients of e^j 's. To do this, we must rewrite the left-hand side of (***) so that all summands have exponents of e less than $3m$. We calculate using the previous relation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{3m}(1 + e)^{3m} &= e^{3m}(1 + e^m + e^{2m} + e^{3m}) \\ &= e^{3m}(1 + e^m) + (e^{3m} - w_{2m} \cdot e^m)e^{2m} + w_{2m} \cdot e^{3m} + (e^{3m})^2 \\ &= (w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \cdots + w_{2m} \cdot e^m)(1 + e^m) \\ &\quad + (w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \cdots + w_{2m+1} \cdot e^{m-1})e^{2m} \\ &\quad + w_{2m}(w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \cdots + w_{2m} \cdot e^m) \\ &\quad + (w_{3m} + w_{3m-1} \cdot e + \cdots + w_{2m} \cdot e^m)^2. \end{aligned}$$

With this expression of the left-hand side of (***), we can compare the coefficients of e^j 's for $j < 3m$. Comparing the coefficients of e^{2m} , we obtain $\text{Sq} w_{2m} = w_{2m} + w_{3m} + w_{2m}^2$. Hence we have $\text{Sq}^j w_{2m} = 0$ for $0 < j < m$ and $\text{Sq}^m w_{2m} = w_{3m}$. We here recall that $w_i = i^* w_i(\alpha)$. Since $i^*: H^i(B) \rightarrow H^i(B^{(3m)})$ is monomorphic for $i \leq 3m$, we conclude that $\text{Sq}^j w_{2m}(\alpha) = 0$ for $0 < j < m$ and $\text{Sq}^m w_{2m}(\alpha) = w_{3m}(\alpha)$. Thus the lemma follows. $\square$

Remark. When $w_i = 0$ for $0 < i < 2^r$, Wu's formula [10] turns out to be $\text{Sq}^j w_{2^r} = \binom{2^r-1}{j} w_{2^r+j} = w_{2^r+j}$ ($0 < j < 2^r$). Lemma 3.3 implies that this is zero for $0 < j < 2^{r-1}$. We also remark that there is a vector bundle over $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{H}P^2$ such that $w_8 \neq 0$ and $w_{12} \neq 0$ (see [8, Theorem 4.5]). Thus our result is best possible at least for $r = 3$.

Now, we consider the second case: $k = 3, 5$ or 7 . The result is as follows.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $k = 3, 5$ or 7 . Then $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is not W -trivial if and only if $n + k = 4$ or 8 .*

Proof. We consider the cofibration $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n \xrightarrow{j} S^{n+k}$. First, let $n + k = 8$. Since S^8 is not W -trivial and $j^*: H^8(S^8) \rightarrow H^8(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n)$ is monomorphic, it follows from Lemma 1.8 that $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is not W -trivial. Similarly $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is not W -trivial when $n + k = 4$. Thus the "if" part of the proposition follows. Next, we suppose $n + k \neq 4, 8$ and show that $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W -trivial. Our proof is divided into two cases.

Case 1: $n + k \geq 16$.

First we consider the case when $n + k = 2^r$ with $r \geq 4$. In this case, we have $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}) = 0$ by [3, Theorem 1] since $k = 3, 5, 7$ and $n + k - 1 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Hence $j^*: \widetilde{KO}(S^{2^r}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n)$ is epimorphic. Since S^{2^r} is W -trivial for $r \geq 4$, it follows from Lemma 1.7 that $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W -trivial, that is, $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^{2^r-k}$ is W -trivial for all $r \geq 4$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2, we see that $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W -trivial for all $n \geq 16 - k$.

Case 2: $k + 1 \leq n + k < 16$ ($n + k \neq 4, 8$).

Let α be a vector bundle over $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ and let r be the smallest integer such that $w_{2^r}(\alpha)$ is (possibly) non-zero. Then we obviously have $r = 2$ or 3 when $k = 3$, and $r = 3$ when $k = 5, 7$. Also, note that $2^r < n + k$ from our assumption $n + k \neq 4, 8$. From Lemma 3.3, we must have $\text{Sq}^1 w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$. On the other hand, since k is odd and $2^r < n + k$, $\text{Sq}^1: H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow H^{2^r+1}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n)$ is non-trivial. Therefore, we have $w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$. We thus obtain $W(\alpha) = 1$ and conclude that $\Sigma^k \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W -trivial if $n + k < 16$ ($n + k \neq 4, 8$). This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Finally, we consider the third case: $k = 6$. The result is as follows.

Proposition 3.5. *$\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n$ is not W -trivial if and only if $n = 2$ or 3 .*

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of the preceding proposition. Considering the cofibration $S^7 \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2 \xrightarrow{j} S^8$, we see that $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2$ is not W -trivial in exactly the same way as before. Let us consider the cofibration $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2 \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^3 \xrightarrow{j} S^9$. Since $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2)$ is a finite group (precisely, $\mathbb{Z}_2$), we see from the exact sequence that $i^*: \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^3) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2)$ is epimorphic. Since $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^2$ is not W -trivial, as shown above, it follows from Lemma 1.7 that $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^3$ is not W -trivial either. Thus

the “if” part of the proposition follows. Next, we show that $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W-trivial for $n \neq 2, 3$.

Case 1: $n \geq 10$.

By [3, Theorem 1], we have $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}) = 0$ when $n + 6 = 2^r$ ($r \geq 4$). Hence $j^*: \widetilde{KO}(S^{2^r}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n)$ is epimorphic, from which we see by Lemma 1.7 that $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W-trivial when $n + 6 = 2^r$ ($r \geq 4$). Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W-trivial for all $n \geq 10$.

Case 2: $1 \leq n < 10$ ($n \neq 2, 3$).

Obviously $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W-trivial when $n = 1$. So we suppose that $n \geq 4$. For a vector bundle α over $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n$, the smallest integer such that $w_{2^r}(\alpha)$ is (possibly) non-zero is 8. Hence, from Lemma 3.3, we have $\text{Sq}^j w_8(\alpha) = 0$ for $0 < j < 4$. Since Sq^1 acts trivially on $H^8(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n)$, we use Sq^2 in place of Sq^1 . Indeed, $\text{Sq}^2: H^8(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow H^{10}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n)$ is non-trivial since $n \geq 4$. Therefore, we have $w_8(\alpha) = 0$, so that we obtain $W(\alpha) = 1$. Thus $\Sigma^6 \mathbb{R}P^n$ is W-trivial when $4 \leq n < 10$. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is completed by Propositions 3.1, 3.4 and 3.5.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.6

In this section, we investigate whether or not $\Sigma^k F P^n$ is W-trivial for $F = \mathbb{H}$. Because of Corollary 1.2, we have only to consider the case when $0 < k \leq 8$. Then, unless $k = 4$ or 8 , $\Sigma^k \mathbb{H}P^n$ has no cells of dimension a power of 2, so that we have $H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{H}P^n) = 0$ for all $r \geq 0$. Thus, from Lemma 1.8, the possibility for $\Sigma^k \mathbb{H}P^n$ not to be W-trivial is only when $k = 4$ or 8 . Therefore, Theorem 1.6 follows if we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1.

- (1) $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{H}P^n$ is not W-trivial for all $n > 1$.
- (2) $\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^n$ is W-trivial for all $n > 1$.

Proof. First, let us consider the cofibration $S^8 \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^4 \mathbb{H}P^n \xrightarrow{j} \Sigma^4(\mathbb{H}P^n/S^4)$. Since $\Sigma^3(\mathbb{H}P^n/S^4)$ has cells only of dimension 3 or 7 modulo 8, we have $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^3(\mathbb{H}P^n/S^4)) = 0$ from the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence [2]. Hence, $i^*: \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^4 \mathbb{H}P^n) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^8)$ is epimorphic. Since S^8 is not W-trivial, it follows from Lemma 1.7 that $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{H}P^n$ is not W-trivial. This proves (1).

Next we prove (2). Let us consider the cofibration

$$\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^n \xrightarrow{j} S^{4n+8}.$$

Since $\widetilde{KO}(S^{4n+7}) = 0$, $i^*: \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^n) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{n-1})$ is epimorphic. Hence, we see that if $\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^n$ is W-trivial, then $\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{n-1}$ is also W-trivial. Thus, it suffices to prove that $\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{2^m}$ is W-trivial for all $m \geq 3$. Now, let α be a vector bundle over $\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{2^m}$. Abusing notation, let i denote the inclusion $\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{2^m}$. From [7, Theorem 4.3], $\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^2$ is W-trivial. Since $i^*: H^{16}(\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{2^m}) \rightarrow H^{16}(\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^2)$ is monomorphic, we obtain $w_{16}(\alpha) = 0$. Let r be the smallest integer such that $w_{2^r}(\alpha)$ is (possibly) non-zero. Then, we have $r \geq 5$ from the above argument. Also note

that $r \leq m + 2$ since $2^r \leq 8 + 4 \cdot 2^m$ and $r \geq 5$. Now let us consider the operation $\text{Sq}^8: H^{2^r}(\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{2^m}) \rightarrow H^{2^r+8}(\Sigma^8 \mathbb{H}P^{2^m})$. Since $\binom{2^{r-2}-2}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $r \leq m + 2$, this operation is non-trivial. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3, we have $\text{Sq}^8 w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$ since $r \geq 5$. Therefore, we obtain $w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$ and conclude that $W(\alpha) = 1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Finally, in this section, we investigate whether $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$ is W-trivial or not. If k is odd, then $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$ has no cells of dimension a power of 2. Thus, from Lemma 1.8 and Corollary 1.2, the possibility of $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$ being not W-trivial is only when $k = 2, 4, 6$ or 8. For $k = 2$ or 4, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.1. $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n$ are not W-trivial for all $n > 1$.

Proof. First we consider $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n$. Analogously to the proof of Proposition 3.1, we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \leftarrow \tilde{K}(S^2 \vee \mathbb{C}P^n) \xleftarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}(S^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^n) \xleftarrow{j^*} \tilde{K}(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n) \leftarrow 0$$

and the stable class of $(p_1^* \nu - 1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)$. Here, ν is the Hopf vector bundle over S^2 considered as a complex (line) bundle, while η is the canonical complex line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Then, we can take a complex vector bundle α over $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $j^* \alpha$ is stably equivalent to $(p_1^* \nu - 1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)$. By Lemma 2.1, in $H^*(S^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z})$,

$$\begin{aligned} C(j^* \alpha) &= C((p_1^* \nu - 1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)) \\ &= 1 + c_1(\nu) \times ((1 + c_1(\eta))^{-1} - 1) \\ &= 1 + s \times (-t + t^2 - t^3 + \cdots), \end{aligned}$$

where s and t are generators of $H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z})$, respectively. Hence we have $j^* c_2(\alpha) = -s \times t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $n \geq 1$. Therefore we have $w_4(\alpha) \neq 0$, so that $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^n$ is not W-trivial for $n \geq 1$.

Similarly for $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n$, let us consider the exact sequence

$$0 \leftarrow \tilde{K}(S^4 \vee \mathbb{C}P^n) \xleftarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}(S^4 \times \mathbb{C}P^n) \xleftarrow{j^*} \tilde{K}(\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n) \leftarrow 0.$$

Let ν_2 be a complex vector bundle whose stable class is a generator of $\tilde{K}(S^4)$. We can take ν_2 as $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \nu_2 = 2$. From the previous argument, considering S^4 as $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{C}P^1$, we see that $c_2(\nu_2) = -s_2$, where s_2 is the generator of $H^4(S^4; \mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to $s \times t$. Now we take a complex vector bundle α over $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $j^* \alpha$ is stably equivalent to $(p_1^* \nu_2 - 2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)$. By Lemma 2.1, in $H^*(S^4 \times \mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(j^* \alpha) &= C((p_1^* \nu_2 - 2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p_2^* \eta - 1)) \\ &= 1 + c_2(\nu_2) \times ((1 + c_1(\eta))^{-2} - 1) \\ &= 1 - s_2 \times (-2t + 3t^2 - 4t^3 + \cdots). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $j^* c_4(\alpha) = -3s_2 \times t^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $n \geq 2$. Therefore we have $w_8(\alpha) \neq 0$, so that $\Sigma^4 \mathbb{C}P^n$ is not W-trivial for $n \geq 2$. $\square$

Here, before we proceed to consider $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$ for $k = 6$ or 8 , we need to prepare a lemma concerning Steenrod operations. For a non-negative integer m , let $\alpha(m)$ denote the number of ones in the dyadic expansion of m . It is easy to see that if m and ℓ are positive integers such that $\binom{m}{\ell} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $\alpha(m + \ell) \leq \alpha(m)$. Also, we clearly have $\alpha(2^{\ell+1} - k) = \alpha(2^\ell - k) + 1$ for any integer k with $0 < k \leq 2^\ell$, whence we have $\alpha(2^{r-1} - k) > \alpha(2^{j-1} - k)$ for positive integers j and r with $j < r$. Thus, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *If $k > 0$, any Steenrod operation $\varphi: H^{2^j}(\Sigma^{2k} \mathbb{C}P^n) \rightarrow H^{2^r}(\Sigma^{2k} \mathbb{C}P^n)$ is trivial for $j < r$.*

Now, we are ready to consider $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$ for $k = 6$ or 8 . We have the following result.

Proposition 5.3. *$\Sigma^6 \mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\Sigma^8 \mathbb{C}P^n$ are W -trivial for all $n > 1$.*

Proof. Let α be a vector bundle over $\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n$, where $k = 6$ or 8 , and let r be the smallest integer such that $w_{2^r}(\alpha)$ is (possibly) non-zero. Clearly we have $r \geq 3$ when $k = 6$, and $r \geq 4$ when $k = 8$. Since $\text{Sq}^2 w_8(\alpha) = 0$ by Lemma 3.3 and also $\text{Sq}^2: H^8(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{C}P^n) \rightarrow H^{10}(\Sigma^6 \mathbb{C}P^n)$ is non-trivial, we have $w_8(\alpha) = 0$. So we may suppose that $r \geq 4$ also when $k = 6$. To prove $w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$ for $r \geq 4$, the above method fails depending on the value of $n + k$. So we use secondary operations. Let $T(\alpha)$ be the Thom space of α and denote the Thom class by U ; $U \in H^m(D(\alpha), S(\alpha)) = \tilde{H}^m(T(\alpha))$, where $m = \dim \alpha$. Since $\text{Sq}^\ell U = w_\ell(\alpha)U$ ($\ell > 0$), we have $\text{Sq}^\ell U = 0$ for $\ell < 2^r$. Since $r \geq 4$, secondary operations on U are defined. Indeed, for integers i, j with $0 \leq i \leq j < r$ ($i \neq j - 1$), $\Phi_{i,j}(U) \in H^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha))$ is defined with an indeterminacy $Q^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha); i, j)$, where $d(i, j) = 2^i + 2^j - 1$, and the following formula holds:

$$[\text{Sq}^{2^r} U] = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j < r \\ i \neq j-1}} a_{i,j} \Phi_{i,j}(U) \quad \text{modulo} \quad \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j < r \\ i \neq j-1}} a_{i,j} Q^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha); i, j),$$

where each $a_{i,j}$ is a certain Steenrod operation (see [1, Theorem 4.6.1]). Now let us investigate each summand in this decomposition of $\text{Sq}^{2^r} U$. We divide into two cases depending on whether i is zero or not.

Case 1: $i \neq 0$.

In this case, $d(i, j)$ is odd, so that we have $H^{d(i,j)}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n) = 0$ ($k = 6, 8$). Hence, by the Thom isomorphism, we have $H^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha)) = 0$, so that $\Phi_{i,j}(U) = 0$ and $Q^{m+d(i,j)}(T(\alpha); i, j) = 0$.

Case 2: $i = 0$.

In this case, $d(i, j) = 2^j$. Therefore, it follows that $a_{i,j}$ is an operation $H^{m+2^j}(T(\alpha)) \rightarrow H^{m+2^r}(T(\alpha))$. We claim that the following diagram commutes, where the vertical maps are the Thom isomorphisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{m+2^j}(T(\alpha)) & \xrightarrow{a_{i,j}} & H^{m+2^r}(T(\alpha)) \\ \cong \uparrow & & \cong \uparrow \\ H^{2^j}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n) & \xrightarrow{a_{i,j}} & H^{2^r}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n). \end{array}$$

In fact, for $x \in H^*(\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n)$ and $h \leq 2^r - 2^j$, we have $\text{Sq}^h(xU) = \text{Sq}^h x \cdot U$ by the

Cartan formula since $\mathrm{Sq}^\ell U = w_\ell(\alpha)U = 0$ for $0 < \ell < 2^r$. Thus we have $a_{i,j}(xU) = a_{i,j}x \cdot U$ for $x \in H^{2^j}(\Sigma^k \mathbb{C}P^n)$, so that the diagram commutes. Now, in the above diagram, the lower $a_{i,j}$ is trivial by Lemma 5.2. Therefore, we see that the upper $a_{i,j}$ is also trivial.

Therefore, from the arguments in Cases 1 and 2, we obtain $[\mathrm{Sq}^{2^r} U] = 0$ modulo 0, that is, $\mathrm{Sq}^{2^r} U = 0$. Since $\mathrm{Sq}^{2^r} U = w_{2^r}(\alpha)U$, we conclude that $w_{2^r}(\alpha) = 0$. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is completed by Propositions 5.1 and 5.3.

References

- [1] J.F. Adams, On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one, *Ann. of Math.* **72** (1960), no. 1, 20–104.
- [2] M.F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **III**, Differential Geometry (1961), 7–38.
- [3] M. Fujii, K_O -groups of projective spaces, *Osaka J. Math.* **4** (1967), no. 1, 141–149.
- [4] F. Hirzebruch, *Neue topologische Methoden in der algebraischen Geometrie*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1956.
- [5] J. Milnor, Some consequences of a theorem of Bott, *Ann. of Math.* **68** (1958), no. 2, 444–449.
- [6] R. Tanaka, On the index and co-index of sphere bundles, *Kyushu J. Math.* **57** (2003), no. 2, 371–382.
- [7] R. Tanaka, A fiberwise analogue of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem for sphere bundles over a 2-cell complex II, *Topology Appl.* **154** (2007), no. 15, 2849–2855.
- [8] R. Tanaka, On trivialities of Stiefel-Whitney classes of vector bundles over highly connected complexes, *Topology Appl.* **155** (2008), no. 15, 1687–1693.
- [9] R. Tanaka, A Borsuk-Ulam type theorem for sphere bundles over stunted projective spaces, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2009), no. 5, 932–938.
- [10] W.-t. Wu, Les i -carrés dans une variété grassmannienne, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **230** (1950), 918–920.

Ryuichi Tanaka `tanaka_ryuichi@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan