

HOMOTOPY NILPOTENCY IN LOCALIZED $SU(n)$

DAISUKE KISHIMOTO

(communicated by Donald M. Davis)

Abstract

We determine the homotopy nilpotency of p -localized $SU(n)$ when p is a quasi-regular prime in the sense of [9]. As a consequence, we see that it is not a monotonic decreasing function in p .

1. Introduction

Let G be a compact Lie group and let $-_{(p)}$ stand for the p -localization in the sense of [2]. In [7], McGibbon asked:

Question 1.1. *For which primes p is $G_{(p)}$ homotopy commutative?*

He answered this question for G simply connected. For example, he showed that $SU(n)_{(p)}$ is homotopy commutative if and only if $p > 2n$. Later, in [8], he studied higher homotopy commutativity of p -local finite loop spaces and, motivated by this work, Saumell [11] considered the above question by replacing homotopy commutativity with higher homotopy commutativity in the sense of Williams [14]. For example, she showed that if $p > kn$, then $SU(n)_{(p)}$ is a C_k -space in the sense of Williams [14].

One can also approach the problem from the opposite direction:

Question 1.2. *How far from being homotopy commutative is $G_{(p)}$ for a given prime p ?*

In [5], Kaji and the author approached this question by considering homotopy nilpotency which is defined as follows, where we treat only group-like spaces (see [15] for a general definition). Let X be a group-like space, that is, X satisfies all the axioms of groups up to homotopy, and let $\gamma: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be the commutator map of X . We write the n -iterated commutator map $\gamma \circ (1 \times \gamma) \circ \cdots \circ (1 \times \cdots \times 1 \times \gamma): X^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ by γ_n , where X^{n+1} is the direct product of $(n+1)$ -copies of X . We say that X is homotopy nilpotent of class n , denoted $\text{nil } X = n$, if $\gamma_n \simeq *$ and $\gamma_{n-1} \not\simeq *$. Namely, $\text{nil } X = n$ means that X is a nilpotent group of class n up to homotopy. Then one can say that $\text{nil } X$ tells how far from being homotopy commutative X is. Note that

Received April 9, 2008, revised September 25, 2008; published on March 23, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55P60, 55Q15.

Key words and phrases: homotopy nilpotency, localization.

This article is available at <http://intlpress.com/HHA/v11/n1/a4>

Copyright © 2009, International Press. Permission to copy for private use granted.

we normalize homotopy nilpotency such that $\text{nil } X = 1$ if and only if X is homotopy commutative. Then, rewriting the above result of McGibbon, we have

$$\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)} = 1 \text{ if and only if } p > 2n. \quad (1)$$

In [5], Kaji and the author determined $\text{nil } X$ for a p -compact group X when p is a regular prime, that is, X has the homotopy type of the direct product of localized spheres. For example, they showed

$$\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } \frac{3}{2}n < p < 2n \\ 3 & \text{for } n \leq p \leq \frac{3}{2}n \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

when p is odd, and $\text{nil } \text{SU}(2)_{(2)} = 2$.

The aim of this article is to determine $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ when p is a quasi-regular prime in the sense of [9], that is, $\text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ has the homotopy type of the p -localization of the direct product of spheres and sphere bundles over spheres. The result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a prime greater than 5. Then we have:*

1. $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)} = 3$ if $p = n + 1$ or $\frac{n}{2} < p \leq \frac{2n+1}{3}$.
2. $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)} = 2$ if $\frac{2n+1}{3} < p \leq n - 2$.

Since the homotopy type of $\text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ gets easier as p increases, it is natural to expect that $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ is a monotonic decreasing function in p . In fact, (1) and (2) give some evidence for this expectation. However, Theorem 1.1 shows this is false in almost all cases as follows. In [10], it is shown that

$$\frac{x}{\log x} < \pi(x) < 1.25506 \frac{x}{\log x}$$

for $x \geq 17$, where $\pi(x)$ is the prime counting function, that is, $\pi(x)$ is the number of primes less than or equal to x . This implies that there is a prime p in the range $\frac{2n+1}{3} < p \leq n - 2$ for $n \geq 33$. We can also show that there are such primes for $n = 9$ and $13 \leq n \leq 32$ by a case-by-case analysis. Thus we obtain

Corollary 1.2. *For $n = 9$ or $n \geq 13$, $\text{nil } \text{SU}(n)_{(p)}$ is not a monotonic decreasing function in p .*

In what follows, we will make the conventions: For a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $f_*: [A, X] \rightarrow [A, Y]$ and $f^*: [Y, B] \rightarrow [X, B]$ mean the induced maps. If a map $f: X \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ satisfies $\pi_1 \circ f \simeq *$, then we say that f falls into Y_2 , where π_1 is the first projection. We often assume that the above f is a map from X into Y_2 . We denote the adjoint congruence $[X, \Omega Y] \xrightarrow{\cong} [\Sigma X, Y]$ by ad . When X is group-like, we always assume that the homotopy set $[A, X]$ is a group by pointwise multiplication and we denote by 0 unity of this group which is the constant map. We denote the order of an element x of a group by $\text{ord}(x)$.

2. Homotopy groups of B_n

Hereafter, let p denote an odd prime and put $2 \leq t \leq p$. Each space and map is always assumed to be localized at the prime p .

Let us first recall basic results on the p -primary component of the homotopy groups of spheres.

Theorem 2.1 ([12, Chapter XIII]).

1. We have

$$\pi_{2n-1+k}(S^{2n-1}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p & \text{for } k = 2i(p-1) - 1, i = 1, \dots, p-1 \\ \mathbf{Z}/p & \text{for } k = 2i(p-1) - 2, i = n, \dots, p-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise, for } 1 \leq k \leq 2p(p-1) - 3. \end{cases}$$

2. Let $\alpha_1(3)$ be a generator of $\pi_{2p}(S^3)$ and define $\alpha_i(3) \in \pi_{2i(p-1)+2}(S^3)$ inductively by the Toda bracket $\{\alpha_{i-1}(3), p, \alpha_1(2i(p-1)+2)\}_1$ for $i = 2, \dots, p-1$. Then $\pi_{2n+2i(p-1)-2}(S^{2n-1})$ is generated by $\alpha_i(2n-1) = \Sigma^{2n-4}\alpha_i(3)$.
3. $\pi_{2i(p-1)+1}(S^3)$ is generated by $\alpha_1(3) \circ \alpha_{i-1}(2p)$ for $i = 2, \dots, p-1$.
4. $\Sigma^2: \pi_{2n+2i(p-1)-3}(S^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2n+2i(p-1)-1}(S^{2n+1})$ is the zero map for $i = n, \dots, p-1$. In particular, $\alpha_i(n) \circ \alpha_j(n+2i(p-1)-1) = 0$ for $i+j < p$ and $n \geq 5$.

Let B_n be the S^{2n-1} -bundle over $S^{2n+2p-3}$ such that

$$H^*(B_n; \mathbf{Z}/p) = \Lambda(\bar{x}_{2n-1}, \mathcal{P}^1 \bar{x}_{2n-1}),$$

where $|\bar{x}_{2n-1}| = 2n-1$. Namely, B_n is induced from the sphere bundle $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow O(2n+1)/O(2n-1) \rightarrow S^{2n}$ by $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1(2n)$ as in [9]. Recall that we have a cell decomposition

$$B_n = S^{2n-1} \cup_{\alpha_1(2n+1)} e^{2n+2p-3} \cup e^{4n+2p-4}.$$

Let A_n denote the $(4n+2p-5)$ -skeleton of B_n , that is, $A_n = C_{\alpha_1(2n-1)}$, where C_f stands for the mapping cone of f . In particular, we have

$$A_n = \Sigma^{2n-4}A_2. \quad (3)$$

It follows from a result of McGibbon [6] that the cofiber sequence $A_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow S^{4n+2p-4}$ splits after a suspension, that is,

$$\Sigma B_n \simeq \Sigma A_n \vee S^{4n+2p-3}. \quad (4)$$

Mimura and Toda [9] showed that $SU(n)$ has the homotopy type of the direct product of odd spheres and B_k 's if and only if $p > \frac{n}{2}$. We shall be concerned with $SU(n)$ for $\frac{n}{2} < p < n$, equivalently, $SU(p+t-1)$ since $2 \leq t \leq p$. In this case, we have a homotopy equivalence

$$SU(p+t-1) \simeq B_2 \times \dots \times B_t \times S^{2t+1} \times \dots \times S^{2p-1}.$$

We compute the homotopy groups of B_n following Mimura and Toda [9] in a slightly larger range than [9]. Consider the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-3}$. Then the connecting homomorphism $\delta: \pi_*(S^{2n+2p-3}) \rightarrow \pi_{*-1}(S^{2n-1})$ is given by

$$\delta(\Sigma x) = \alpha_1(2n-1) \circ x. \quad (5)$$

Then by Theorem 2.1, we obtain $\pi_*(B_2)$ for $* \leq 2p(p-1)$. In particular, each map

$S^m \rightarrow B_2$ for $2p+2 \leq m \leq 2p(p-1)$ lifts to $S^3 \subset B_2$. It also follows from Theorem 2.1 that for $n \geq 3$ and $i = 2, \dots, p-1$, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*(S^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_*(B_n) \rightarrow \pi_*(S^{2n+2p-3}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

for $2n+2p-2 \leq * \leq 2n+2p(p-1)-4$. Then we have only to consider the case that $* = 2n+2i(p-1)-2$ for $i = 2, \dots, p-1$. Let $i_n: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow A_n$ and $j_n: A_n \rightarrow B_n$ be the inclusions and let $q_n: A_n \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-3}$ be the pinch map. Consider the following commutative diagram in which the lower horizontal sequence is the exact sequence (6) and we put $k = 2n+2i(p-1)-2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_k(S^{2n-1}) & \xrightarrow{i_n*} & \pi_k(A_n) & \xrightarrow{q_n*} & \pi_k(S^{2n+2p-3}) & & \\ \parallel & & \downarrow j_n* & & \parallel & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & \pi_k(S^{2n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(B_n) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(S^{2n+2p-3}) & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Note that a coextension $\underline{\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4)}: S^{2n+2i(p-1)-2} \rightarrow A_n = C_{\alpha_1(2n-1)}$ satisfies

$$q_n*(\underline{\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4)}) = -\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4)} \circ p &= -i_n*(\{\alpha_1(2n-1), \alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4), p\}_1) \\ &= i_n*(\{\frac{1}{i}\alpha_{i-1}(2n-1), p, \alpha_1(2n+2p-4)\}_1) \\ &= -i_n*(\{\frac{1}{i}\alpha_i(2n-1)\}) \end{aligned}$$

(see [12, p.179]). Then (6) does not split for $* = 2n+2i(p-1)-2$ and hence we have obtained that $\pi_{2n+2i(p-1)-2}(B_n) \cong \mathbf{Z}/p^2$. Moreover, it is generated by the element $j_n*(\underline{\alpha_{i-1}(2n+2p-4)})$. In particular, each map $S^m \rightarrow B_n$ which is of order p for $2n+2p-2 \leq m \leq 2n+2p(p-1)-4$ lifts to $S^{2n-1} \subset B_n$. Summarizing, we have calculated

Proposition 2.2. *As for the homotopy groups of B_n , we have:*

1. $\pi_{3+k}(B_2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p & \text{for } k = 2i(p-1) - 1, i = 2, \dots, p-1 \\ \mathbf{Z}/(p) & \text{for } k = 2p-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise, for } 1 \leq k \leq 2p(p-1) - 3. \end{cases}$
2. For $n \geq 3$, $\pi_{2n-1+k}(B_n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p & \text{for } k = 2i(p-1) - 1, i = 2, \dots, p-1 \\ \mathbf{Z}/p & \text{for } k = 2i(p-1) - 2, i = n, \dots, p-1 \\ \mathbf{Z}/(p) & \text{for } k = 2p-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise, for } 1 \leq k \leq 2p(p-1) - 3. \end{cases}$
3. For $2p+2 \leq m \leq 2p(p-1)$, each map $S^m \rightarrow B_2$ lifts to $S^3 \subset B_2$.
4. For $n \geq 3$ and $2n+2p-2 \leq m \leq 2n+2p(p-1)-4$, each map $S^m \rightarrow B_n$ of order p lifts to $S^{2n-1} \subset B_n$.

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 we can see the homotopy groups of $SU(p+t-1)$ in a range. It will be useful to list the non-trivial odd homotopy groups of $SU(p+t-1)$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $p \geq 7$ and $2(p+t) - 1 \leq k \leq 12p - 1$. Then $\pi_k(SU(p+t-1)) = 0$ unless k is odd and not in the following table. Moreover, each element of $\pi_{2k-1}(SU(p+t-1))$ can be compressed into $S^n \subset SU(p+t-1)$ for n in the following table.*

		6p - 3			
	k	8p - 5	8p - 3		
		10p - 7	10p - 5	10p - 3	
		12p - 9	12p - 7	12p - 5	12p - 3
n		5	7	9	11

3. Homotopy nilpotency and Samelson products

Let X be a group-like space. For a map $f: A \rightarrow X$ we write by $-f$ the composition $A \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{\iota} X$, where $\iota: X \rightarrow X$ is the homotopy inversion. We will often use the fact that the pinch map $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k \rightarrow V_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_k$ induces an injection $[V_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge V_k, X] \rightarrow [V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k, X]$ (see [15, Lemma 1.3.5]).

Suppose that $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ as spaces, not necessarily as group-like spaces. We denote the inclusion $X_k \rightarrow X$ and the projection $X \rightarrow X_k$ by i_k and p_k respectively for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Note that we may assume $1_X = (i_1 \circ p_1) \cdots (i_n \circ p_n)$, the pointwise multiplication. Let $\gamma: X^2 \rightarrow X$ be the commutator map of X and let γ_k be the k -iterated commutator map $\gamma \circ (1 \times \gamma) \circ \cdots \circ (1 \times \cdots \times 1 \times \gamma): X^{k+1} \rightarrow X$. By applying a commutator calculus to a certain subgroup of $[X^{k+1}, X]$ together with the above description of 1_X , Kaji and the author [5] gave a decomposition of γ_k and obtained

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a group-like space such that $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ as spaces and let $i_m: X_k \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion for $m = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\text{nil } X < k$ if and only if $\langle \theta_1, \langle \cdots \langle \theta_k, \theta_{k+1} \rangle \cdots \rangle \rangle = 0$ for each $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k+1} \in \{\pm i_1, \dots, \pm i_n\}$.*

We produce formulae for Samelson products which will be useful for our purpose.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a group-like space and let $\theta_i: V_i \rightarrow X$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

1. *If $\langle \pm \theta_1, \langle \pm \theta_2, \pm \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm \theta_2, \langle \pm \theta_3, \pm \theta_1 \rangle \rangle = 0$, then $\langle \pm \theta_3, \langle \pm \theta_1, \pm \theta_2 \rangle \rangle = 0$.*
2. *$\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle = 0$ implies $\langle \theta_1, -\theta_2 \rangle = 0$.*
3. *Let $\theta'_3: V_3 \rightarrow X$. If $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta'_3 \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_3, \langle \theta_2, \theta'_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$, then we have $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \theta'_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$.*
4. *Suppose that $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ as spaces and denote by i_k and p_k the inclusion $X_k \rightarrow X$ and the projection $X \rightarrow X_k$ respectively for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have that $\langle \theta_1, i_k \circ p_k \circ \theta_2 \rangle = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ implies $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. 1. Recall first the Hall-Witt formula of groups. Let G be a group and let $[-, -]$ denote the commutator of G . Then we have the Hall-Witt formula,

$$[y, [z, x^{-1}]]^x [x, [y, z^{-1}]]^z [z, [x, y^{-1}]]^y = 1,$$

for $x, y, z \in G$, where $x^y = yxy^{-1}$.

Let $q_i: V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3 \rightarrow V_i$ be the i -th projection for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Put $\bar{\theta}_i = \theta_i \circ q_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_3$, we define $\sigma: V_1 \wedge V_2 \wedge V_3 \rightarrow V_{\sigma(1)} \wedge V_{\sigma(2)} \wedge V_{\sigma(3)}$ by $\sigma(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, v_{\sigma(3)})$. Then we have

$$[\bar{\theta}_{\sigma(1)}, [\bar{\theta}_{\sigma(2)}, \bar{\theta}_{\sigma(3)}]] = \sigma^{-1} \circ q^*(\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle),$$

where $[-, -]$ denotes the commutator in the group $[V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3, X]$ and $q: X^3 \rightarrow X^{(3)}$ is the pinch map, where $X^{(m)}$ denotes the smash product of m -copies of X . Hence, by hypothesis, we have established $[\pm\bar{\theta}_1, [\pm\bar{\theta}_2, \pm\bar{\theta}_3]] = [\pm\bar{\theta}_2, [\pm\bar{\theta}_3, \pm\bar{\theta}_1]] = 0$ and thus it follows from the Hall-Witt formula that we obtain $[\pm\bar{\theta}_3, [\pm\bar{\theta}_1, \pm\bar{\theta}_2]] = 0$. Since σ^{-1} and q^* are monic, we have $\langle \pm\theta_3, \langle \pm\theta_1, \pm\theta_2 \rangle \rangle = 0$.

2. This follows from the fact $1_X = (i_1 \circ p_1) \cdots (i_n \circ p_n)$ and the formula

$$[x, yz] = [x, y][x, z]^y$$

for $x, y \in G$.

3. This also follows from the above formula.

4. This follows from the formulae

$$[x, [y, zw]] = [x, [y, z]][x, [z, [y, w]]]^{[y, z]}[x, [y, w]]^{[y, z][z, [y, w]]}$$

for $x, y, z, w \in G$ respectively. \square

We denote the inclusions $S^{2i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$, $A_j \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$ and $B_j \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$ by ϵ_i , λ_j and $\bar{\lambda}_j$ respectively for $2 \leq i \leq p$ and $2 \leq j \leq t$. We also denote by π_i the projections $\mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) \rightarrow B_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq t$ and $\mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) \rightarrow S^{2i-1}$ for $t+1 \leq i \leq p$.

Let $W = A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_t \vee S^{2t+1} \vee \cdots \vee S^{2p-1}$ and let $j = \lambda_2 \vee \cdots \vee \lambda_t \vee \epsilon_{t+1} \vee \cdots \vee \epsilon_p: W \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$. By (4) there is a homotopy retraction $r: \Sigma\mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) \rightarrow \Sigma W$ of Σj and as in [7] we can see that there is a self-homotopy equivalence $f: \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$ such that the following square diagram is homotopy commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma\mathrm{SU}(p+t-1) \\ r \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{ad}1 \\ \Sigma W & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{adj}} & \mathrm{BSU}(p+t-1). \end{array}$$

Then for any map $g: \Sigma A \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$, the Whitehead product $[\pm\mathrm{ad}\bar{\lambda}_i, g] = 0$ if and only if $[\pm\mathrm{ad}\lambda_i, g] = 0$. By adjointness of Whitehead products and Samelson products, we have established

Proposition 3.3. *For any map $f: V \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t)$ and each $i = 1, \dots, t$, the Samelson product $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, f \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\langle \pm\lambda_i, f \rangle = 0$. In particular, $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_k, \pm\bar{\lambda}_l \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\langle \pm\lambda_k, \pm\lambda_l \rangle = 0$.*

4. Computing the Samelson products

Let $\Lambda = \{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_p, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t\}$ and $\bar{\Lambda} = \{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_p, \bar{\lambda}_2, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_t\}$, and let $\pm\Lambda = \{\pm\epsilon_2, \dots, \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\lambda_2, \dots, \pm\lambda_t\}$ and $\pm\bar{\Lambda} = \{\pm\epsilon_2, \dots, \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_2, \dots, \pm\bar{\lambda}_t\}$. We write the domain of $\theta \in \pm\Lambda$ or $\pm\bar{\Lambda}$ by $X(\theta)$. For example, if $\theta = \lambda_i$, then $X(\theta) = A_i$. For $\theta \in \pm\Lambda$ or $\pm\bar{\Lambda}$, we write $|\theta| = i$ if $\theta = \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\lambda_i$ or $\pm\bar{\lambda}_i$.

By Proposition 3.1, it is sufficient to calculate the iterated Samelson products $\langle \theta_1, \langle \dots \langle \theta_n, \theta_{n+1} \rangle \dots \rangle \rangle$ for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n+1} \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$ in determining $\text{nil } SU(p+t-1)$. To do so, we will use the following result of Hamanaka [3].

Theorem 4.1 (Hamanaka [3]). *Let X be a CW-complex with $\dim X \leq 2n + 2p - 4$. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\tilde{K}^0(X)_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\Theta} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} H^{2n+2i}(X, \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow [X, U(n)]_{(p)} \rightarrow \tilde{K}^1(X)_{(p)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-3} H^{2n+2i+1}(X, \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$$

such that:

1. $\Theta(x) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} (n+i)! ch_{n+i}(x)_{(p)}$ for $x \in \tilde{K}^0(X)$, where ch_k is the $2k$ -dimensional part of the Chern character.
2. For $f, g \in [X, U(n)]_{(p)}$, the commutator $[f, g]$ lies in $\mathbf{Coker} \Theta$ and represented by

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{p-2} \sum_{i+j-1=n+k} f^*(x_{2i-1}) \cup g^*(x_{2j-1}),$$

where $x_{2i-1} \in H^{2i-1}(U(n); \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ is the suspension of the universal i -th Chern class $c_i \in H^{2i}(BU(n); \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$.

As an easy consequence of Theorem 4.1, Hamanaka [3] showed:

Proposition 4.2. $\text{ord}(\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\epsilon_j \rangle) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i + j \leq p + t - 1 \\ p & \text{for } i + j \geq p + t. \end{cases}$

Now let us calculate other Samelson products of $\pm\epsilon_i$ and $\pm\lambda_j$ by applying Theorem 4.1. We have that $H^*(B_n; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) = \Lambda(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+2p-3})$ such that the mod p reduction of x_{2n-1} and $x_{2n+2p-3}$ are \bar{x}_{2n-1} and $\mathcal{P}^1 \bar{x}_{2n-1}$ respectively. Then $H^*(A_n; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \langle a_{2n-1}, a_{2n+2p-3} \rangle$ such that $j_n^*(x_i) = a_i$ for $i = 2n-1, 2n+2p-3$, where $R \langle e_1, e_2, \dots \rangle$ stands for the free R -module with a basis e_1, e_2, \dots and $j_n: A_n \rightarrow B_n$ is the inclusion.

Lemma 4.3. *For $n \leq p$, $\tilde{K}(\Sigma A_n)_{(p)} = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \langle \xi_n, \eta_n \rangle$ such that*

$$ch(\xi_n) = \Sigma a_{2n-1} + \frac{1}{p!} \Sigma a_{2n+2p-3}, \quad ch(\eta_n) = \Sigma a_{2n+2p-3}.$$

Proof. Let γ be the canonical line bundle of $\mathbf{C}P^p$ and let $\epsilon \in \tilde{K}(\mathbf{C}P^p) = [\mathbf{C}P^p, BU(\infty)]$ be the composite $\mathbf{C}P^p \xrightarrow{q} S^{2p} \xrightarrow{u} BU(\infty)$ for the pinch map $q: \mathbf{C}P^p \rightarrow S^{2p}$ and a generator u of $\pi_{2p}(BU(\infty))$. Note that $\Sigma \mathbf{C}P^p \simeq A_2 \vee S^5 \vee \dots \vee S^{2p-1}$. By using (3), we put ξ_n and η_n to be the pullback of $\Sigma^{2n-2} \gamma$ and $\Sigma^{2n-2} \epsilon$ by the inclusion $\Sigma A_n \rightarrow \Sigma^{2n-2} \mathbf{C}P^p$. Then Lemma 4.3 follows from an easy calculation of the Chern character of γ and ϵ . \square

Proposition 4.4. 1. For $(i, j) \neq (p, t)$,

$$\text{ord}(\langle \pm \epsilon_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle) = \text{ord}(\langle \pm \lambda_j, \pm \epsilon_i \rangle) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i + j \leq p + 1 \\ p & \text{for } i + j \geq p + 2. \end{cases}$$

2. For $i + j \leq t$, $\text{ord}(\langle \pm \lambda_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle) = 0$.
 3. Let $X(i, j)$ be the $(2i + 2j + 4p - 5)$ -skeleton of $A_i \wedge A_j$, that is, $A_i \wedge A_j$ minus the top cell. For $(i, j) \neq (p, p)$,

$$\text{ord}(\langle \pm \lambda_i, \pm \lambda_j \rangle|_{X(i, j)}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i + j \leq p + 1 \\ p & \text{for } i + j \geq p + 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. 1. Note that $U(n) \simeq SU(n) \times S^1$ as H-spaces; here we localize at the odd prime p . Then we have $\text{ord}(\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle) = \text{ord}(\langle \epsilon'_i, \lambda'_j \rangle)$, where ϵ'_i and λ'_j are the compositions $S^{2i-1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_i} SU(p+t-1) \hookrightarrow U(p+t-1)$ and $A_i \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} SU(p+t-1) \hookrightarrow U(p+t-1)$ respectively. Hence we calculate $\langle \epsilon'_i, \lambda'_j \rangle$. Apply Theorem 4.1 to $X = S^{2i-1} \times A_j$. Then, by Lemma 4.3, the $2(i+j+p-2)$ -dimensional part of $\mathbf{Coker}\Theta$ is

$$\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \langle s_{2i-1} \times a_{2j+2p-3} \rangle / \left(\frac{(i+j+p-2)!}{p!} s_{2i-1} \times a_{2j+2p-3} \right),$$

where s_{2i-1} is a generator of $H^{2i-1}(S^{2i-1}; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$. By definition, $\epsilon'(x_{2i-1}) = s_{2i-1}$ and $\lambda'_j(x_{2j+2p-3}) = a_{2j+2p-3}$. Then, by the above observation, $q^*(\langle \epsilon'_i, \lambda'_j \rangle) \in \mathbf{Coker}\Theta$ is represented by $s_{2i-1} \times a_{2j+2p-3}$. Thus we have calculated $\text{ord}(\langle \epsilon'_i, \lambda'_j \rangle)$.

2. This is quite analogous to 1.
 3. Let $p_i: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$ be the i -th projection for $i = 1, 2$ and let $q: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \wedge X_2$ be the pinch map. For $f_i: X_i \rightarrow U(n)$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$[f_1 \circ p_1, f_2 \circ p_2] = q^*(\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle) \in [X_1 \times X_2, U(n)]$$

as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Since q^* is monic, $\text{ord}([f_1 \circ p_1, f_2 \circ p_2]) = \text{ord}(\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle)$. Now if the subcomplex $Y \subset X_1 \times X_2$ satisfies $\dim Y \leq 2n + 2p - 4$, it follows from the construction of the exact sequence in Theorem 4.1 that $[f_1 \circ p_1, f_2 \circ p_2]|_Y$ lies in $\mathbf{Coker}\Theta$ which is represented by

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{p-2} \sum_{i+j-1=n+k} g^*(f_1^*(x_{2i-1}) \times f_2^*(x_{2j-1})),$$

where $g: Y \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ is the inclusion (see [3] for details). Using this formula, the remaining calculation is analogous to 1. \square

In what follows we will often use the argument below implicitly.

Proposition 4.5. Let $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ be a cofiber sequence and let W be a space such that $[Z, W] = *$. If a map $f: Y \rightarrow W$ satisfies $f|_X = 0$, then $f = 0$.

Proof. Proposition 4.5 follows from the exact sequence $[Z, W] \rightarrow [Y, W] \rightarrow [X, W]$ induced from the cofiber sequence $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$. \square

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, the Samelson product $\langle \pm\theta_1, \pm\theta_2 \rangle$ for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Lambda$ falls to a single B_i or $S^{2j-1} \subset SU(p+t-1)$ for $i = 2, \dots, t$ and $j = t+1, \dots, p$. We shall consider the lifting problem of the above $\langle \pm\theta_1, \pm\theta_2 \rangle$ when it maps to B_i .

Let us first consider $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\epsilon_j \rangle$. Note that we can assume $i+j \geq p+t$ by Proposition 4.2, which implies that $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\epsilon_j \rangle$ falls to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1}$ for $i+j \leq 2p-1$ and to B_2 for $i=j=p$. Then it is sufficient to look at the case $i=j=p$. By Proposition 4.2, $\text{ord}(\langle \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\epsilon_p \rangle) = p$ and then, by Proposition 2.2, $\langle \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\epsilon_p \rangle$ lifts to $S^3 \subset B_2$. Thus we have obtained

Proposition 4.6. $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\epsilon_j \rangle$ falls to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset SU(p+t-1)$ if $p+t \leq i+j \leq 2p-1$ and lifts to $S^3 \subset B_2$ if $i+j = 2p$.

Next we consider $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \pm\lambda_j \rangle$ and $\langle \pm\lambda_j, \pm\epsilon_i \rangle$. In the following calculation, we shall assume the homotopy set $[\Sigma X, Y]$ is a group by the comultiplication of ΣX and the induced map $(\Sigma f)^*: [\Sigma X', Y] \rightarrow [\Sigma X, Y]$ from $f: X \rightarrow X'$ as a group homomorphism. Now we have the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence $S^{2n+2p-5} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1(2n-2)} S^{2n-2} \rightarrow C_{\alpha_1(2n-2)}$ for $n \geq 3$:

$$\pi_{2n-1}(S^{2n-1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1(2n-1)^*} \pi_{2n+2p-4}(S^{2n-1}) \rightarrow [C_{\alpha_1(2n-2)}, S^{2n-1}] \rightarrow \pi_{2n-2}(S^{2n-1}).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $\alpha_1(2n-1)^*$ is epic. Then, for $\pi_{2n-2}(S^{2n-1}) = 0$, we obtain

Proposition 4.7. For $n \geq 3$, $[C_{\alpha_1(2n-2)}, S^{2n-1}] = 0$.

Corollary 4.8. For $p+2 \leq i+j \leq p+t-1$, the Samelson products $\langle \pm\lambda_i, \pm\epsilon_j \rangle$ and $\langle \pm\epsilon_j, \pm\lambda_i \rangle$ lift to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset B_{i+j-p+1}$.

Proof. We only give a proof for $\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ since the others are analogous. It follows from Proposition 2.2 that $\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ falls to $B_{i+j-p+1} \subset SU(p+t-1)$. Since $S^{2i-1} \wedge A_j = C_{\alpha(2i+2j-2)}$, it follows from Proposition 4.7 that $q_*(\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle) = 0$, where $q: B_{i+j-p+1} \rightarrow S^{2(i+j)-1}$ is the projection. Then $\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ lifts to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1}$ and the proof is completed. \square

Let us describe the above lift $f: A_i \wedge S^{2j-1} \rightarrow S^{2(i+j-p)+1}$ of the Samelson product $\langle \lambda_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$. Consider the following commutative diagram in which the row and the column sequences are the exact sequences induced from the cofiber sequence $S^{2n+2p-4} \rightarrow C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)} \xrightarrow{q} S^{2n+4p-5}$ and the fiber sequence $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-3}$ respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & [\Sigma C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)}, S^{2n+2p-3}] & & \\ & & \downarrow \delta & & \\ \pi_{2n+4p-6}(S^{2n-1}) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & [C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)}, S^{2n-1}] & \longrightarrow & \pi_{2n+2p-4}(S^{2n-1}) \\ & & \downarrow i_* & & \\ & & [C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)}, B_n] & & \end{array}$$

Let $\bar{p}: C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)} \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-4}$ be an extension of the degree p self-map of $S^{2n+2p-4}$.

Then, by (5) and [12, Proposition 1.9], we have

$$\delta(\Sigma\bar{p}) = \alpha_1(2n-1) \circ \bar{p} = q^*(\{\alpha_1(2n-1), p, \alpha_1(2n+2p-4)\})b = q^*(\alpha_2(2n-1)).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\mathbf{Im}q^* = \mathbf{Z}/p\langle q^*(\alpha_2(2n-1)) \rangle.$$

Then we have established that if $f: C_{\alpha_1(2n+2p-4)} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ satisfies $f|_{S^{2n+2p-4}} = 0$, then $i_*(f) = 0$. In particular, it follows from Proposition 4.4 that

Proposition 4.9. *For $p+2 \leq i+j \leq p+t-1$, any lift of $\langle \lambda_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset B_{i+j-p+1}$, say f , satisfies $f|_{S^{2i-1} \wedge S^{2j-1}} \neq 0$.*

Next we consider the lifting problem of $\langle \pm\lambda_i, \pm\lambda_j \rangle$. Recall from [12, Lemma 3.5] that the cell structure of $C_{\alpha_1(n)} \wedge C_{\alpha_1(n)}$ for $n \geq p$ is given by

$$C_{\alpha_1(n)} \wedge C_{\alpha_1(n)} = (C_{\alpha_1(2n)} \vee S^{2n+2p-2}) \cup_{\nu_n} e^{2n+4p-4},$$

where

$$\nu_n = (i_*(\alpha) + (-1)^n 2\alpha_1(2n)) \vee \alpha_1(2n+2p-2) \quad (7)$$

for the inclusion $i: S^{2n} \rightarrow C_{\alpha_1(2n)}$ and some $\alpha \in \pi_{2n+4p-5}(S^{2n})$. Since $n \geq p$, it follows from the Serre isomorphism $\pi_*(S^{2n}) \cong \Sigma\pi_{*-1}(S^{2n-1}) \oplus \pi_*(S^{4n-1})$ that α is a multiple of $\alpha_2(2n)$.

We shall identify $A_i \wedge A_j$ with $C_{\alpha_1(i+j-1)} \wedge C_{\alpha_1(i+j-1)}$. Consider the following commutative diagram in which the row sequences are the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence $A_i \wedge A_j \rightarrow S^{2(i+j+2p-3)} \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma X(i, j)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [\Sigma X(i, j), S^{k-1}] & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \pi_{k+4p-6}(S^{2k-1}) & \longrightarrow & [A_i \wedge A_j, S^{k-1}] \\ \Sigma^{2N} \downarrow & & \Sigma^{2N} \downarrow & & \Sigma^{2N} \downarrow \\ [\Sigma^{2N+1} X(i, j), S^{k+2N-1}] & \xrightarrow{(\Sigma^{2N} f)^*} & \pi_{k+4p-6+2N}(S^{k+2N-1}) & \longrightarrow & [\Sigma^{2N}(A_i \wedge A_j), S^{k+2N-1}] \end{array}$$

where we put $k = 2(i+j)$. When N is large enough, we have $\Sigma^{2N} f = \Sigma\nu_{i+j+N-1}$. Let $\bar{p}: C_{\alpha_1(2(i+j-1))} \rightarrow S^{2(i+j-1)}$ be an extension of the degree p self-map of $S^{2(i+j+N-1)}$. Then, by [12, p.179], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma^{2N} f)^*(\Sigma^{2N} \bar{p}) &= \{p, \alpha_1(2(i+j+N)-1), \alpha_1(2(i+j+N+p-2))\}_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2(2(i+j+N)-1) \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $\Sigma^{2N}: \pi_{2(i+j+2p-3)}(S^{2(i+j-1)}) \rightarrow \pi_{2(i+j+2p-3+N)}(S^{2(i+j+N-1)})$ is an isomorphism. Thus we have obtained

Proposition 4.10. *The inclusion $X(i, j) \rightarrow A_i \wedge A_j$ induces an injection $[A_i \wedge A_j, S^{2(i+j)-1}] \rightarrow [X(i, j), S^{2(i+j)-1}]$.*

Corollary 4.11. *For $i+j \leq p$, $\langle \pm\lambda_i, \pm\lambda_j \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.4, it is sufficient to consider the case that $t + 1 \leq i + j \leq p$. In this case, $\langle \pm\lambda_i, \pm\lambda_j \rangle$ falls to $S^{2(i+j)-1} \subset SU(p + t - 1)$ and then the proof is completed by Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.10. \square

Corollary 4.12. *For $p + 1 \leq i + j \leq 2p - 1$, the Samelson products $\langle \pm\lambda_i, \pm\lambda_j \rangle$ can be compressed into $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset SU(p + t - 1)$.*

Proof. We only show the case of $\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ since other cases are similar. By Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, $\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ falls to $B_{i+j-p+1}$. Put $\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle|_{X(i,j)} = f \vee g: X(i, j) = C_{\alpha_1(2(i+j)-2)} \vee S^{2(i+j+p-2)} \rightarrow B_{i+j-p+1}$. By Proposition 4.7, we have $q_*(f) = 0$ for the projection $q: B_{i+j-p+1} \rightarrow S^{2(i+j)-1}$. By Proposition 4.4, f is of order at most p and then, by Proposition 2.2, $q_*(g) = 0$. Thus, by Proposition 4.10, $q_*(\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle) = 0$ and this implies that $\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle$ lifts to $S^{2(i+j-p)+1} \subset B_{i+j-p+1}$. \square

5. Upper bound for $\text{nil } SU(p + t - 1)$

Hereafter, we suppose that $p \geq 7$.

The aim of this section is to show:

Theorem 5.1. $\text{nil } SU(p + t - 1) \leq 3$.

First, here is the proof of Theorem 5.1. By Proposition 3.1 and by Proposition 3.3, it is sufficient to show that

$$\langle \theta_1, \langle \bar{\theta}_2, \langle \bar{\theta}_3, \bar{\theta}_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0 \text{ for } \theta_1 \in \pm\Lambda \text{ and } \bar{\theta}_2, \bar{\theta}_3, \bar{\theta}_4 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Let $\omega_1 \in \Lambda$ and let $\bar{\omega}_2, \bar{\omega}_3, \bar{\omega}_4 \in \bar{\Lambda}$. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that if $\langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \langle \pm\omega_1, \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$, then $\langle \pm\omega_1, \langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle, \pm\bar{\omega}_2 \rangle \rangle = 0$. By Proposition 3.3, this implies $\langle \pm\omega_1, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.2, if $\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_4, \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_4, \langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle, \pm\bar{\omega}_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$, then $\langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle \rangle = 0$. By Proposition 3.2, this implies $\langle \pm\langle \pm\bar{\omega}_3, \pm\bar{\omega}_4 \rangle, \langle \pm\bar{\omega}_2, \pm\omega_1 \rangle \rangle = 0$. Thus the proof is completed by the following propositions.

Proposition 5.2. $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \pm\Lambda$ and $\theta_3, \theta_4 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$.

Proposition 5.3. $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_4, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_3 \in \pm\Lambda$, $\theta_2, \theta_4 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$ and $|\theta_3| + |\theta_4| \neq 2p$.

Proposition 5.4. $\langle \pm\lambda_p, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$.

We will calculate iterated Samelson products in $\pm\bar{\Lambda}$ from those in $\pm\Lambda$ by using the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *Let*

$$X = \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_1} S_i^{2np-3} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_2} e_i^{2np-3+2(p-1)} \right) \cup \dots \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} e_i^{2np-3+2(k-1)(p-1)} \right)$$

and let $f: X \rightarrow SU(p + t - 1)$. If $n + k \leq p$, then f can be compressed into $S^{2n-1} \subset SU(p + t - 1)$ and $\Sigma^{2k} f = 0$.

Proof. If f falls to B_n , it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $q_*(f) = 0$ for the projection $q: B_n \rightarrow S^{2n+2p-3}$ and then f lifts to $S^{2n-1} \subset B_n$. Thus we assume that f is a map from X to S^{2n-1} . Consider the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence $\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_1} S_i^{2np-3} \xrightarrow{j} X \xrightarrow{q'} X/(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_1} S_i^{2np-3}) = Y$:

$$[Y, S^{2n-1}] \xrightarrow{(q')^*} [X, S^{2n-1}] \xrightarrow{j^*} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1} \pi_{2np-3}(S_i^{2n-1}).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $(\Sigma^2 j)^*(\Sigma^2 f) = 0$, and then there exists $g: \Sigma^2 Y \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ such that $(\Sigma^2 q')^*(g) = \Sigma^2 f$. By induction, we obtain $\Sigma^{2k} f = 0$. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let $X = S^{2n-1}$ or $S^{2n-1} \cup e^{2n+2p-3}$ for $n \leq 5p-3$ and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$. Then $\langle \theta, f \rangle = \langle f, \theta \rangle = 0$ for each $\theta \in \pm \bar{\Lambda}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.3, we only have to consider the case $2n-1 = 6p-3, 8p-5, 8p-3, 10p-7$. Then it follows from Lemma 5.5 that f can be compressed into S^5 or $S^7 \subset \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$, and that $\Sigma^4 f = 0$. By Proposition 4.4, we assume $|\theta| \geq p-2$. Since $p \geq 7$, $X(\theta)$ is a 6-suspension and then $1_{X(\theta)} \wedge f = f \wedge 1_{X(\theta)} = 0$. Thus Proposition 3.3 completes the proof. \square

We give candidates for non-zero 2-iterated Samelson products in $\pm \bar{\Lambda}$.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in \pm \bar{\Lambda}$. If $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| + |\theta_3| \neq 2p+1, 2p+2, 2p+3, 3p$, then $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| + |\theta_3| \neq 2p+1, 2p+2, 2p+3, 3p$. By Proposition 3.3, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1 \in \pm \Lambda$ and $\theta_2, \theta_3 \in \pm \bar{\Lambda}$.

By Corollary 2.3, $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle = 0$ if $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in \pm \Lambda$. Then by Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_i, \theta_2 \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \pm \Lambda$. Since other cases are analogous, we only show $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$. When $j \geq 3$, A_j is a suspension by (3). Then it follows from (4) that $\langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle = \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_k \rangle \vee f: A_j \wedge B_k = (A_j \wedge A_k) \vee (A_j \wedge S^{4k+2p-4}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p+t-1)$. By Corollary 2.3, we have $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_k \rangle \rangle = 0$ and, by Corollary 5.6, $\langle \lambda_i, f \rangle = 0$. Then we have established $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$.

When $j = 2$, we assume $k = p-1$ or p by Proposition 4.4. It follows from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 that $\langle \lambda_2, \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle$ falls to B_2 . By Corollary 4.12 and Theorem 2.1, we have $q_*(\langle \lambda_2, \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle) = 0$ for the projection $q: B_2 \rightarrow S^{2p+1}$. Then $\langle \lambda_2, \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle$ lifts to $f: A_2 \wedge B_{p-1} \rightarrow S^3$. Hence, by Proposition 4.4, $\langle \lambda_i, f \rangle = 0$ if $i \leq p-1$ and this shows that $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$ when $(j, k) = (2, p-1)$. One can analogously show that $\langle \lambda_i, \langle \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$ when $(j, k) = (2, p)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.4. As in the above proof of Theorem 5.1, Proposition 5.2 implies that it is sufficient to prove $\langle \pm \langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_p, \pm \lambda_p \rangle \rangle = 0$.

By Proposition 5.7, we have only to consider the case that $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| = p+1, p+2, p+3$ or $2p$. When $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| = p+1$, $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \times S^5 \times S^7, B_2 \times B_3 \times S^7$ or $B_2 \times B_3 \times B_4$ by Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2. On the other hand, $\langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_p, \pm \lambda_p \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \times S^5$ or $B_2 \times B_3$ by Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2. Then, by Proposition 3.2, Proposition 4.4 and Corollary 4.11, we have obtained that $\langle \pm \lambda_p, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_p, \langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. Other cases are quite analogous. \square

Now we proceed with the calculation to show all 3-iterated Samelson products in $\bar{\Lambda}$ vanish. As a first step, we show:

Proposition 5.8. $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_4 \in \pm\Lambda$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.7, we assume that $|\theta_2| + |\theta_3| + |\theta_4| = 2p + 1, 2p + 2, 2p + 3$ or $3p$. We only show the case that $(\theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) = (\lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_k)$ for $i + j + k = 2p + 3$ since the other cases are analogous. By Corollary 2.3, there is a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i \wedge A_j \wedge A_k & \xrightarrow{\langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_k \rangle} & SU(p + t - 1) \\ q \downarrow & & \parallel \\ (\bigvee_{i=1}^3 S_i^{8p-3}) \cup e^{10p-5} & \xrightarrow{f} & SU(p + t - 1), \end{array}$$

where q pinches the $(8p - 4)$ -skeleton of $A_i \wedge A_j \wedge A_k$. It follows from Lemma 5.5 that f can be compressed into $S^7 \subset SU(p + t - 1)$ and that $\Sigma^4 f = 0$. Then, by Proposition 4.4, we assume that $i \geq p - 2$ and this implies that $X(\theta_1)$ is a 6-suspension. Hence we have $1_{A_i} \wedge f = 0$ and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.9. $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_4, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_3, \theta_3 \in \pm\Lambda$ and $\theta_4 \in \pm\bar{\Lambda}$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.8, we put $\theta_4 = \pm\bar{\lambda}_i$.

We first consider the case that $\theta_3 \neq \pm\lambda_2$. Since $X(\theta_3)$ is a suspension, we have the following homotopy commutative diagram by (4).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(\theta_3) \wedge B_i & \xrightarrow{\langle \theta_3, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle} & SU(p + t - 1) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \parallel \\ (X(\theta_3) \wedge A_i) \vee (X(\theta_3) \wedge S^{4i+2p-4}) & \xrightarrow{\langle \theta_3, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \vee f} & SU(p + t - 1). \end{array}$$

Then, by using the homotopy equivalence

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^3 X(\theta_j) \wedge B_i \simeq \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^3 X(\theta_j) \wedge A_i \right) \vee \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^3 X(\theta_j) \wedge S^{4i+2p-4} \right),$$

we have

$$\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \rangle \rangle \vee \langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, f \rangle \rangle.$$

Thus, by Corollary 5.6 and Proposition 5.8, we have established $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \theta_3, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. It is analogous to show $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \theta_3 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$.

We next consider the case that $\theta_3 = \pm\lambda_2$. By Corollary 4.11 and Proposition 5.8, we assume that $i = p - 1, p$. It follows from Corollary 4.12 that we also assume

$\langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle: A_2 \wedge B_i \rightarrow S^{2(2+i-p)+1}$. Then, by (4), we have a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^2(A_2 \wedge B_i) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2 \langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle} & S^{2(2+i-p)+3} \\ \cong \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \Sigma^2(A_2 \wedge A_i) \vee \Sigma^2(A_2 \wedge S^{4i+2p-4}) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2 \langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \vee g} & S^{2(2+i-p)+3}. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 5.7, we also assume that $|\theta_2| + |\theta_3| + |\lambda_i| = 2p + 1, 2p + 2, 2p + 3$ or $3p$ and this implies that $X(\theta_2)$ is a 6-suspension. Then, by applying the homotopy equivalence

$$(X(\theta_2) \wedge A_2 \wedge A_i) \vee (X(\theta_2) \wedge A_2 \wedge S^{2(2+i-p)+1}),$$

we have

$$\langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \rangle \vee (\langle \theta_2, \epsilon_{3+i-p} \rangle \circ (1_{\Sigma^{-2}X(\theta_2)} \wedge g)).$$

By Corollary 5.6, we also have $1_{\Sigma^{-2}X(\theta_1)} \wedge g = 0$ and then by Proposition 5.8 we have obtained $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\lambda_2, \pm\bar{\lambda}_i \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. We can similarly see that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\lambda_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ \square

Proof of Proposition 5.2. By Proposition 5.8 and Corollary 5.9, we put $\theta_3 = \pm\bar{\lambda}_i$ and $\theta_4 = \pm\bar{\lambda}_j$.

Applying the homotopy extension property of the inclusion $\Sigma A_i \wedge A_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge B_j$, we replace a homotopy retraction $\Sigma A_i \wedge B_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge A_j$ with a strict retraction. We also replace a homotopy retraction $\Sigma A_i \wedge B_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge A_j$ with a strict one.

Let $Y(i, j)$ be the $(4i + 4j + 4p - 7)$ -skeleton of $B_i \wedge B_j$, that is, $Y(i, j)$ is $B_i \wedge B_j$ minus the top cell. Since we have strict retractions $\Sigma A_i \wedge B_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge A_j$ and $\Sigma A_i \wedge B_j \rightarrow \Sigma A_i \wedge A_j$, the proof of Corollary 5.9 implies that we can choose contractions of $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\lambda_j \rangle \rangle \rangle$ and $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\lambda_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle \rangle \rangle$ to coincide on $X(\theta_1) \wedge X(\theta_2) \wedge A_i \wedge A_j$. Then, by gluing the above contractions, we obtain

$$\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle |_{Y(i, j)} \rangle \rangle = 0 \tag{8}$$

for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \pm\Lambda$.

Now we consider first the case $\theta_2 \neq \pm\lambda_2$. As in the proof of Corollary 5.9, we have

$$\langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle \rangle = \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle |_{Y(i, j)} \rangle \vee f$$

for some map $f: X(\theta_2) \wedge S^{4(i+j+p-2)} \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1)$, where we use the homotopy equivalence

$$X(\theta_2) \wedge B_i \wedge B_j \simeq (X(\theta_2) \wedge Y(i, j)) \vee (X(\theta_2) \wedge S^{4(i+j+p-2)}).$$

Then for $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) \neq (\pm\lambda_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p)$, we have $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \pm\bar{\lambda}_j \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ by Corollary 5.6 and (8).

By Proposition 2.2, $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \times B_3 \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$. Then by Proposition 3.2, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm\lambda_p, \lambda_i \circ \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$

for $\theta_1 \in \pm\Lambda$. Analogously to the above case, we have

$$\langle \pm\lambda_p, \lambda_i \circ \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm\lambda_p, \lambda_i \circ \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle|_{Y(p,p)} \rangle \vee f_i$$

for some map $f_i: A_p \wedge S^{12p-8} \rightarrow SU(p+t-1)$, where we use the homotopy equivalence

$$A_p \wedge B_p \wedge B_p \simeq (A_p \wedge Y(p,p)) \vee (A_p \wedge S^{12p-8}).$$

By (8), it is sufficient to show $\langle \theta_1, f_i \rangle = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$. By [13], we have $\pi_{14p-9}(S^3) = \pi_{16p-11}(S^3) = 0$ and then $\pi_{14p-9}(B_2) = \pi_{16p-11}(B_2) = 0$ by the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration $S^3 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow S^{2p+1}$ and Theorem 2.1. Thus $f_2 = 0$. Similarly, we have $f_3 = 0$.

We next consider the case $\theta_2 = \pm\lambda_2$. By Proposition 5.7, we put $(i, j) = (p-1, p), (p, p-1), (p, p)$. When $(i, j) = (p, p)$, it follows from Proposition 5.7 that $|\theta_2| = 2$ or 3. By Proposition 2.2, $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \times B_3 \subset SU(p+t-1)$. Then, by Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.11, we have $\langle \theta_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_p, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle = 0$. We shall prove the case $(i, j) = (p-1, p)$. The case $(i, j) = (p, p-1)$ is proved quite analogously. By Proposition 2.2, $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle$ falls to B_p and then $\langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle$ falls to $B_3 \times B_4$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 4.12, we can see that $\pi_i \circ \langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle$ can be compressed into S^{2i-1} for $i = 3, 4$. Then, by Proposition 3.2, we assume $|\theta_1| \geq p-2$ and then $X(\theta_1)$ is a 6-suspension. By an analogous argument as above, there are maps $g_i: \Sigma^2(A^2 \wedge S^{12p-12}) \rightarrow S^{2i+1}$ such that

$$\Sigma^2 \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle = \Sigma^2 \pi_i \circ \langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle|_{Y(p-1,p)} \rangle \vee g_i$$

for $i = 3, 4$, where we use the homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma^2 A_2 \wedge B_{p-1} \wedge B_p \simeq \Sigma^2(A_2 \wedge Y(p-1, p)) \vee \Sigma^2(A^2 \wedge S^{12p-12}).$$

Then, as in the proof of Corollary 5.9, we obtain $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm\lambda_2, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm\bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$. \square

In order to calculate other Samelson products, we will use

Lemma 5.10. *Let $g: V \rightarrow W_1 \vee W_2$ and let $f_i: W_i \rightarrow X$ for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that $f_i \circ p_i \circ g = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and that X is an H -space, where $p_i: W_1 \vee W_2 \rightarrow W_i$ is the i -th projection. Then $(f_1 \vee f_2) \circ g = 0$.*

Proof. Define $f_1 \cdot f_2: W_1 \times W_2 \rightarrow X$ by $f_1 \cdot f_2(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$ for $(x, y) \in W_1 \times W_2$. Then we have a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V & \xrightarrow{g} & W_1 \vee W_2 & \xrightarrow{f_1 \vee f_2} & X \\ \parallel & & \downarrow j & & \parallel \\ V & \xrightarrow{j \circ g} & W_1 \times W_2 & \xrightarrow{f_1 \cdot f_2} & X, \end{array}$$

where j is the inclusion. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.3. We first consider the case $\theta_3 \neq \pm\lambda_2$. If $\theta_4 = \pm\bar{\lambda}_i$, we have

$$\langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle = \langle \theta_3, \pm\lambda_i \rangle \vee f$$

for some map $f: X(\theta_3) \wedge S^{4i+2p-4} \rightarrow SU(p+t-1)$, where we use the homotopy

equivalence

$$X(\theta_3) \wedge B_i \simeq (X(\theta_3) \wedge A_i) \vee (X(\theta_3) \wedge S^{4i+2p-4}).$$

We also have an analogous decomposition of $\langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_i, \theta_3 \rangle$. Then by Corollary 5.6 and Proposition 5.8, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $\theta_1, \theta_3, \theta_4 \in \pm \Lambda$. Since $|\theta_3| + |\theta_4| \neq 2p$, we have $\langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle: X(\theta_3) \wedge X(\theta_4) \rightarrow S^{2(i+j-p)+1}$ by Proposition 4.6, Corollary 4.8 and Corollary 4.12. Since we have

$$\langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \epsilon_{i+j-p+1} \rangle = \langle \pm \lambda_j, \epsilon_{i+j-p+1} \rangle \vee g$$

for some map $g: S^{2i+6j-3} \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1)$ by applying the homotopy equivalence

$$B_j \wedge S^{2(i+j-p+1)-1} \simeq (A_j \wedge S^{2(i+j-p+1)-1}) \vee S^{2i+6j-3} \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1).$$

Then by Corollary 5.6, Proposition 5.8 and Lemma 5.10, we obtain $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_j, \langle \theta_3, \theta_4 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$.

We next consider the case $\theta_3 = \pm \lambda_2$. By Corollary 4.11, $\theta_4 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}$ or $\pm \bar{\lambda}_p$ and then by Proposition 5.8, $\theta_2 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_p$ and $\theta_2 = \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}$ or $\bar{\lambda}_p$ as $\theta_4 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}$ or $\pm \bar{\lambda}_p$. Now we consider the case $\theta_4 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}$. By Proposition 2.2, $\langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle$ falls to $B_2 \subset \text{SU}(p+t-1)$. By Theorem 2.1, we have $[A_2 \wedge S^{6p-8}, S^{2p+1}] = 0$ and then the inclusion $A_2 \wedge A_{p-1} \rightarrow A_2 \wedge B_{p-1}$ induces an injection $[A_2 \wedge B_{p-1}, S^{2p+1}] \rightarrow [A_2 \wedge A_{p-1}, S^{2p+1}]$. On the other hand, it follows from Corollary 4.12 that $q_*(\langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle) = 0$ for the projection $q: B_2 \rightarrow S^{2p+1}$ and then $q_*(\langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle) = 0$ which is equivalent to that $\langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle$ can be compressed into $S^3 \subset B_2$. Note that

$$\langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_p, \epsilon_2 \rangle = \langle \pm \lambda_p, \epsilon_2 \rangle \vee f: B_p \wedge S^3 \simeq (A_p \wedge S^3) \vee (S^{6p-4} \wedge S^3) \rightarrow \text{SU}(p+t-1).$$

By Corollary 5.6, we have $\langle \theta_1, f \rangle = 0$. Then by Lemma 5.10, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm \lambda_p, \langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1} \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ and this is done by Corollary 5.9. The equality $\langle \theta_1, \langle \pm \lambda_p, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_{p-1}, \pm \lambda_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ can be shown in an analogous way.

Let us consider the case $\theta_4 = \pm \bar{\lambda}_p$. As above, $\langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_p \rangle$ can be compressed into $S^5 \times S^7$ and then, by Proposition 3.2, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \epsilon_i \circ \pi_i \circ \langle \pm \lambda_2, \pm \bar{\lambda}_p \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $i = 3, 4$. This is done quite analogously to the above case. We can also see that $\langle \theta_1, \langle \theta_2, \langle \pm \bar{\lambda}_p, \pm \lambda_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle = 0$ as well. \square

6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

6.1. $t = 2$

We shall show $\langle \epsilon_{p-1}, \langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \neq 0$ and then, by Theorem 5.1, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, $\langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle$ falls to $S^5 \subset \text{SU}(p+1)$. Since $\langle \epsilon_2, \epsilon_p \rangle \neq 0$ by Proposition 4.2, we have $\langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle = a\alpha_1(5)$ for some integer a such that $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, where $\alpha_1(5): C_{\alpha_1(2p+2)} \simeq A_2 \wedge S^{2p-1} \rightarrow S^5$ is an extension of $\alpha_1(5)$. Analogously, we have $\langle \epsilon_{p-1}, \epsilon_3 \rangle = b\alpha_1(5)$ for an integer b such that $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then, by [12, Proposition 1.9],

$$\langle \epsilon_{p-1}, \langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle = ab\alpha_1(5) \circ \Sigma^{2p-3}\overline{\alpha_1(5)} = abq^*(\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), \alpha_1(4p-1)\}), \quad (9)$$

where $q: S^{2p-3} \wedge A_2 \wedge S^{2p-1} \rightarrow S^{6p-3}$ pinches the bottom cell.

Consider the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence $S^{2p-3} \wedge A_2 \wedge S^{2p-1} \xrightarrow{q} S^{6p-3} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1(4p)} S^{4p}$:

$$\pi_{4p}(S^5) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1(4p)^*} \pi_{6p-3}(S^5) \xrightarrow{q^*} [S^{2p-3} \wedge A_2 \wedge S^{2p-1}, S^5].$$

By Theorem 2.1, $\alpha_1(4p)^* = 0$ and then q^* is monic. It is known that $\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), \alpha_1(4p-1)\} \neq 0$ (see, for example, [4, p. 38]) and thus, by (9), we have established $\langle \epsilon_{p-1}, \langle \lambda_2, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \neq 0$.

6.2. $3 \leq t \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$

By Proposition 4.4, possible non-trivial 2-iterated Samelson products in $\pm\bar{\Lambda}$ are:

1. $\langle \pm\epsilon_p, \langle \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\epsilon_p \rangle \rangle$.
2. $\langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_i, \langle \pm\epsilon_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle$, $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle$, $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\epsilon_j, \pm\bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle$ for $i+j+k = 2p+1, 2p+2, 2p+3$.

We shall show these Samelson products are all trivial and then, by Proposition 3.1, the proof is completed.

1. By the Jacobi identity of Samelson products, we have $3\langle \pm\epsilon_p, \langle \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\epsilon_p \rangle \rangle = 0$ and then, for $p > 3$, $\langle \pm\epsilon_p, \langle \pm\epsilon_p, \pm\epsilon_p \rangle \rangle = 0$.
2. By Proposition 3.2, it is sufficient to show $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\epsilon_j, \pm\bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $i+j+k = 2p+1, 2p+2, 2p+3$. Let us consider $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle$ for $i+j+k = 2p+1$. By (4), we have $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\bar{\lambda}_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\lambda_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle \vee \langle \epsilon_i, f \rangle$ for some $f: S^{4j+2p-4} \wedge S^{2k-1} \rightarrow SU(p+t-1)$. Then, by Corollary 5.6, it is sufficient to show $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\lambda_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = 0$.

Let us consider the case $i+j+k = 2p+1$. By Proposition 4.12, $\langle \pm\lambda_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle$ can be compressed into $S^{2(j+k-p)+1} \subset SU(p+t-1)$ and then we have

$$\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\lambda_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = \langle \pm\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{j+k-p+1} \rangle \circ (1_{S^{2i-1}} \wedge f),$$

where $f: A_j \wedge S^{2k-1} \rightarrow S^{2(j+k-p)+1}$. Since $i+j+k-p+1 = p+2 \leq p+t-1$, we have $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{j+k-p+1} \rangle = 0$ and then $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\lambda_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = 0$. Analogously, we can see $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\epsilon_j, \pm\bar{\lambda}_k \rangle \rangle = 0$.

When $i+j+k = 2p+2, 2p+3$, it follows from Corollary 2.3 that $\langle \pm\epsilon_i, \langle \pm\lambda_j, \pm\epsilon_k \rangle \rangle = 0$.

6.3. $\frac{p+1}{2} \leq t \leq p$

Put $t \neq p$. We shall show $\langle \lambda_{p-t+1}, \langle \lambda_t, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \neq 0$ and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 by Theorem 5.1. Let X be the $(8p-4)$ -skeleton of $A_{p-t+1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1}$, that is, $A_{p-t+1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1}$ minus the top cell. Then, as in Section 4, the cofiber sequence $S^{2(p-t)+1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1} \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{q} S^{6p-3}$ splits. We denote a homotopy section of q by s , where q is the restriction of the pinch map $A_{p-t+1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1} \rightarrow S^{2(2p-t)-1} \wedge A_t \wedge S^{2p-1}$. Then, by Proposition 4.8, we have a homotopy commutative

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & \xrightarrow{(1_{A_{p-t+1}} \wedge \langle \lambda_t, \epsilon_p \rangle)|_X} & A_{p-t+1} \wedge S^{2t+1} & \xrightarrow{\langle \lambda_{p-t+1}, \epsilon_{t+1} \rangle} & B_3 \\
\bar{q} \downarrow & & \downarrow q \wedge 1_{S^{2t+1}} & & \parallel \\
S^{2(2p-t)-1} \wedge S^{2t-1} \wedge S^{2p-1} & \xrightarrow{1_{S^{2(2p-t)-1}} \wedge \langle \epsilon_t, \epsilon_p \rangle} & S^{2(2p-t)-1} \wedge S^{2t+1} & \xrightarrow{f} & B_3.
\end{array}$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.2, we have $1_{S^{2(2p-t)-1}} \wedge \langle \epsilon_t, \epsilon_p \rangle = a\alpha_1(4p)$ for some integer a such that $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Let $\alpha_1(2p+2): S^{4p} \rightarrow A_3$ be a coextension of $\alpha_1(2p+2)$. Then, as in Section 2, we have $f = \bar{b}i_*(\alpha_1(2p+2))$ for some integer b , where $i: A_3 \rightarrow B_3$ is the inclusion. Suppose that $b = b'p$. Then, by [12, Proposition 1.8], we have

$$f = b'i_*(\alpha_1(2p+2) \circ p) = -b'i_* \circ j_*(\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), p\}) = -\frac{b'}{2}i_* \circ j_*(\alpha_2(5)),$$

where $j: S^5 \rightarrow A_3$ is the inclusion. In particular, f lifts to $S^5 \subset B_3$ and this contradicts Proposition 4.9. Thus we have $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

On the other hand, it follows from [12, Proposition 1.8] that

$$\alpha_1(2p+2) \circ \alpha_1(2p+2) = -j_*\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), \alpha_1(4p-1)\}.$$

It is known that $\{\alpha_1(5), \alpha_1(2p+2), \alpha_1(4p-1)\} \neq 0$ as above and then we have established

$$\begin{aligned}
f \circ (1_{S^{2(2p-t)-1}} \wedge \langle \epsilon_t, \epsilon_p \rangle) &= f \circ (1_{S^{2(2p-t)-1}} \wedge \langle \epsilon_t, \epsilon_p \rangle) \circ \bar{q} \circ s \\
&= \langle \lambda_{p-t+1}, \langle \lambda_t, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \circ s \\
&\neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies $\langle \lambda_{p-t+1}, \langle \lambda_t, \epsilon_p \rangle \rangle \neq 0$.

When $t = p$, the proof is completed by the homotopy exact sequence induced from the fiber sequence $SU(2p-2) \rightarrow SU(2p-1) \rightarrow S^{4p-3}$.

References

- [1] R. Bott, A note on the Samelson product in the classical groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **34** (1960), 249–256.
- [2] A.K. Bousfield and D.M. Kan, *Homotopy Limits, Completions and Localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **304**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1972.
- [3] H. Hamanaka, On Samelson products in p -localized unitary groups, *Topology Appl.* **154** (2007), no. 3, 573–583.
- [4] J.R. Harper, *Secondary Cohomology Operations*, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics* **49**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [5] S. Kaji and D. Kishimoto, Homotopy nilpotency in p -compact groups, preprint.
- [6] C.A. McGibbon, Some properties of H -spaces of rank 2, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1981), no. 1, 121–124.

- [7] C. McGibbon, Homotopy commutativity in localized groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), 665–687.
- [8] C.A. McGibbon, Higher forms of homotopy commutativity and finite loop spaces, *Math. Z.* **201** (1989), no. 3, 363–374.
- [9] M. Mimura and H. Toda, Cohomology operations and homotopy of compact Lie groups I, *Topology* **9** (1970), 317–336.
- [10] J.B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962), 64–94.
- [11] L. Saumell, Higher homotopy commutativity in localized groups *Math. Z.* **219** (1995), no. 2, 203–213.
- [12] H. Toda, *Composition Methods in Homotopy Groups of Spheres*, Ann. of Math. Studies **49**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton N.J., 1962.
- [13] H. Toda, On iterated suspensions I, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **5** (1966), 87–142.
- [14] F.D. Williams, Higher homotopy-commutativity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (1969), 191–206.
- [15] A. Zabrodsky, *Hopf Spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **22**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.

Daisuke Kishimoto kishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan