

ERRATUM TO "ON SPACES OF THE SAME STRONG n -TYPE"

[HHA, V. 1 (1999) NO. 10, PP. 205-217]

YVES FÈLIX AND JEAN-CLAUDE THOMAS

*(communicated by Lionel Schwartz)***On the Arkowitz-Maruyama conjecture.**

The main purpose to this short note is to make a correction to one of the result of the article : *On spaces of the same strong n -type* which has been published in [7]. We want to thank KEN-ICHI MARUYAMA who kindly reports to us our mistake. We also add some comments about the Arkowitz-Maruyama conjecture.

1) The AM-conjecture.

Let (X, x_0) be a based path connected space and let $\text{Aut}X$ be the group of based homotopy classes of homotopy self-equivalences of (X, x_0) . We denote by $\text{Aut}_\pi^n X$ the subgroup of homotopy classes that induce the identity on the homotopy groups $\pi_i(X, x_0)$ for $i \leq n$. Then we obtain the normal series

$$\text{Aut}X \supset \text{Aut}_\pi^1 X \supset \dots \text{Aut}_\pi^{n-1} X \supset \text{Aut}_\pi^n X \supset \dots$$

and we denote by $\text{Aut}_\pi Z$ the inverse limit:

$$\varprojlim \text{Aut}_\pi^n X \cong \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \text{Aut}_\pi^n X.$$

M. ARKOWITZ and K.I. MARUYAMA, [2] have conjectured that:

A-M. CONJECTURE. *Let Z be a simply connected finite complex. There exists an integer N such that the natural monomorphism*

$$\rho_N : \text{Aut}_\pi Z \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\pi^N Z$$

is an isomorphism, ie. $\text{Aut}_\pi^N Z = \text{Aut}_\pi^n Z$ for all $n \geq N$.

At our knowledge, the AM-conjecture is still unsolved for general complexes. It is trivially true for any finite complex Z which admits a finite Postnikov decomposition. In this case, if $Z^{(n)}$ denotes the n^{th} -Postnikov section of $Z = Z^{(k)}$ then for $n \geq k$

$$\text{Aut}Z = \text{Aut}Z^{(n)} = \text{Aut}_\pi^n Z^{(n)} \cong \text{Aut}_\pi Z.$$

The conjecture is also known for products of spheres [2] and if Z is an H_0 -space [6].

2) The localization conjecture.

Now recall that if $n \geq \dim Z$ then $\text{Aut}_\pi^n Z$ is a finitely presented nilpotent group [3]. Let P be any set of prime numbers. Given a localization $l_P : Z \rightarrow Z_P$, the natural homomorphism $l_P : \text{Aut}_\pi^n Z \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\pi^n(Z_P)$, $[f] \mapsto [f_P]$ and the localization homomorphism $\lambda_P : \text{Aut}_\pi^n Z \rightarrow$

Received 5 October 2000; published on 24 October 2000.

$(\text{Aut}_\pi^n Z)_P$ coincides, up to a natural isomorphism [4]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Aut}_\pi^n Z & \\ \lambda_P^n \swarrow & & \searrow l_P^n \\ (\text{Aut}_\pi^n Z)_P & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_P^n} & \text{Aut}_\pi^n(Z_P) \end{array}$$

Thus, each $\text{Aut}_\pi^n(Z_P)$, $n \geq \dim Z$ is P -local and the group $\text{Aut}_\pi(Z_P) = \varprojlim \text{Aut}_\pi^n(Z_P)$ is also P -local. Universal property of localization defines the natural homomorphisms θ_P in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Aut}_\pi Z & \\ L_P \swarrow & & \searrow \varprojlim l_P^n = \phi_P \\ (\text{Aut}_\pi Z)_P & \xrightarrow{\theta_P} & \text{Aut}_\pi(Z_P) \end{array}$$

Localization does not necessarily respect inverse limit, nonetheless we conjecture:

P -LOCAL CONJECTURE. *Let Z be a nilpotent finite complex. Then the natural map $\phi_P : \text{Aut}_\pi Z \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\pi(Z_P)$ is a P -localization, ie. θ_P is an isomorphism.*

As usual we denote by Z_0 , instead of Z_\emptyset , the rationalization of the space Z and more generally the subscript \emptyset is replaced by subscript $_0$. In a recent preprint, [5], K-I. MARUYAMA proves:

If X is a finite nilpotent complex and if $\text{Aut}_\pi(X_0) = \{1\}$ then $\text{Aut}_\pi X_P \cong (\text{Aut}_\pi X)_P$ for any set of primes P .

3) Equivalence of the AM-conjecture and of the \emptyset -local conjecture.

In [7]-(first part of theorem 3), we have proved:

THEOREM A. *Let Z be a simply connected CW complex of finite type and let Z_0 its rationalization. If $H^{>M}(Z; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for some M then there exists an integer N such that the natural map $\rho_0^N : \text{Aut}_\pi(Z_0) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\pi^N(Z_0)$ is an isomorphism.*

Recently K-I. MARUYAMA [5] has proved theorem A for finite nilpotent complexes. A consequence of theorem A is

THEOREM B. *Let Z be a simply connected finite complex. The space Z satisfies the AM-conjecture iff Z satisfies the \emptyset -conjecture.*

Proof. Let N as in theorem A and consider the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Aut}_\pi Z)_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta_0} & \text{Aut}_\pi(Z_0) \\ (\rho^N)_0 \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \rho_0^N \\ (\text{Aut}_\pi^N Z)_0 & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_0^n} & \text{Aut}_\pi^N(Z_0) \end{array}$$

If the AM-conjecture holds then $(\rho^N)_0$ is an isomorphism and so is θ_0 . Thus the \emptyset -conjecture is satisfied. Conversely, suppose that θ_0 is an isomorphism then the monomorphism ρ^N has finite cokernel $C^N(Z)$. If $C^N(Z) = C^n(Z)$ for all $n \geq N$ then $\text{Aut}_\pi^N Z = \text{Aut}_\pi^n Z$ and the AM-conjecture is proved. If for some $N_1 \geq N$, $C^N(Z) \neq C^{N_1}(Z)$ then $C^{N_1}(Z)$ is strictly included in $C^N(Z)$. Again with N_1 playing the role of N the AM-conjecture is satisfied or there exists N_2 such that ... and so on. At the end we have a sequence N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k with $C^{N_k}(Z) = \{1\}$ and the AM-conjecture is proved for Z .

4) Composition of homotopy classes.

THEOREM C. *The AM-conjecture is true for simply connected finite complexes Z satisfying: for each element $[a] \in \pi_m(Z)$ there exists a non torsion element $[b] \in \pi_r(Z)$ and a continuous map $g : S^m \rightarrow S^r$ such that $[bg] = [a]$.*

Proof. Let us denote by $\text{Aut}_{\pi/\tau}^n Z$ the subgroup of $\text{Aut} Z$ which consists of elements inducing the identity on each quotient $\pi_i(Z)/\tau(\pi_i(X))$, $i \leq n$ where $\tau(\pi_i(Z))$ denotes the torsion subgroup of $\pi_i(Z)$. By our assumption,

$$\text{Aut}_{\pi}^n Z = \text{Aut}_{\pi/\tau}^n Z.$$

This subgroup $\text{Aut}_{\pi/\tau} Z$ have been considered in [5]. I.K. MARUYAMA has observed that these groups are not nilpotent in general and proves (Th. 1.2) that the natural map

$$\rho_{\tau}^N : \text{Aut}_{\pi/\tau} Z \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\pi/\tau}^N Z$$

is an isomorphism for some N . Then theorem C is a consequence of theorem A and of the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Aut}_{\pi} Z)_0 & = & (\text{Aut}_{\pi/\tau} Z)_0 \xrightarrow{\theta_{0,\tau}} \text{Aut}_{\pi/\tau}(Z_0) \\ (\rho^N)_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow (\rho_{\tau}^N)_0 \cong \downarrow \rho_0^N \\ (\text{Aut}_{\pi}^N Z)_0 & = & (\text{Aut}_{\pi/\tau}^N Z)_0 \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_{0,\tau}^N} \text{Aut}_{\pi/\tau}^N(Z_0). \end{array}$$

5) Correction to the last assertion of the theorem 3 in [7].

The proof of the last assertion of theorem 3 in [7]:

“Moreover if $H^{>M}(Z; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, then there exists an integer N such that the natural map $\text{Aut}_{\pi} Z \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\pi}^N Z$ is an isomorphism”

is false, since in fact we have assumed the \emptyset -local conjecture to be true in our proof.

6) The Ω -conjecture.

Denote by $\text{Aut}_{\Omega}^n X$ the group of homotopy classes of self-homotopy equivalences f of X such that the restriction of Ωf to $(\Omega X)^{(n-1)}$ is homotopic to the identity.

Clearly, each $\text{Aut}_{\Omega}^n X$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\pi}^n X$.

If Z is a finite simply connected complex then $\text{Aut}_{\pi}^n Z$, $n \geq \dim Z$ is a finitely generated nilpotent group and thus $\text{Aut}_{\Omega}^n Z$ is a nilpotent group for $n \geq \dim Z$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}_{\Omega} X$ the inverse limit :

$$\lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Aut}_{\Omega}^n X \cong \bigcap_{n \geq 2} \text{Aut}_{\Omega}^n X.$$

Ω -CONJECTURE. *Let Z be a simply connected finite complex. There exists an integer N such that the natural map*

$$\rho_{\Omega}^N : \text{Aut}_{\Omega} Z \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\Omega}^N Z$$

is an isomorphism.

If Z is a finite simply connected complex, the natural injections $\text{Aut}_{\Omega}^n Z \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\pi}^n Z$ induce isomorphisms

$$(\text{Aut}_{\Omega}^n Z)_0 \cong (\text{Aut}_{\pi}^n Z)_0,$$

for any $n \geq \dim Z$. Indeed, if $[f] \in \text{Aut}_{\pi} Z$ there are only finitely many obstructions for $[f]$ being in $\text{Aut}_{\Omega} Z$.

We do not know if there exists a simply connected finite complex Z such that $(\text{Aut}_\Omega Z)_0 \not\cong (\text{Aut}_\pi Z)_0$. Clearly, we obtain:

THEOREM D. *Let Z be a simply connected finite complex such that*

$$(\text{Aut}_\Omega Z)_0 \cong (\text{Aut}_\pi Z)_0 .$$

Then Z satisfies the AM-conjecture iff Z satisfies Ω -conjecture.

References

- [1] M. Arkowitz and C. Curjel, *Groups of homotopy classes*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, 4 Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [2] M. Arkowitz and K.I. Maruyama, *Self homotopy equivalences which induce the identity on homology, cohomology or homotopy groups*, Topology Appl. **87** (1998) 133-154.
- [3] E. Dror and A. Zabrodsky. *Unipotency and nilpotency in homotopy equivalences*. Topology **18** (1979), 187-197.
- [4] K.I. Maruyama, *Localization of a certain subgroup of self-homotopy equivalences*, Pacific J. Math. **136** (1989), 293-301.
- [5] K.I. Maruyama, *A subgroup of self-homotopy equivalences which satisfies the M-L condition*. Bulletin of The Faculty of Education, Chiba University **48** -02/29/2000.
- [6] K.I. Maruyama, *Stability properties of maps between Hopf spaces*. Preprint.
- [7] Yves FÈlix and Jean-Claude Thomas, *On spaces of the same strong n -type*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications **1** No 10 (1999), 205-217.

This article may be accessed via WWW at <http://www.rmi.acnet.ge/hha/> or by anonymous ftp at [ftp://ftp.rmi.acnet.ge/pub/hha/volumes/2000/n8/n8.\(dvi,ps,dvi.gz,ps.gz\)](ftp://ftp.rmi.acnet.ge/pub/hha/volumes/2000/n8/n8.(dvi,ps,dvi.gz,ps.gz))

Yves FÈlix felix@agel.ucl.ac.be

Département de Mathématiques
Chemin du Cyclotron 2
1348 Louvain-la-Neuve
Belgique

Jean-Claude Thomas jean-claude.thomas@univ-angers.fr

Université d'Angers
Faculté des Sciences
2bd Lavoisier, Cedex 01
France