

Predual forms, harmonic maps & liquid crystals of (BMO-Q) & $(BMO-Q)^{-1}$

Jie Xiao and Junjie Zhang

Communicated by Y. Charles Li, received June 25, 2019.

ABSTRACT. Under $(\alpha, n - 1) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{N}$ this paper explores the fractional Sobolev type inclusion and the Fefferman-Stein type decomposition of the predual forms (unifying ones in [5] under $\alpha = 0$ & [2] under $\alpha \in (0, 1)$) of the so-called $(BMO - Q) \& (BMO - Q)^{-1}$ spaces

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \& \quad ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1} = \text{div}((-(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n)$$

and their natural actions on revealing

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \& \quad ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}$$

analogues of the global results in [26] about the heat flow of harmonic maps & the hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	359
2. Predual form of $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$	365
3. Predual form of $((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}$	368
4. Application to harmonic map flow	371
5. Application to liquid crystal flow	378
References	381

1. Introduction

1.1. Describing $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its predual. To begin with, let us recall the following concept.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $(\alpha, \lambda, p, n) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty) \times [1, \infty) \times \mathbb{N}$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q30, 42B37, 46E35; Secondary 30H25.

Key words and phrases. BMO-Q, heat flow of harmonic maps, hydrodynamic flow of liquid crystals.

JX was supported by NSERC of Canada (#20171864); JZ was supported by the Science Foundation of Hebei Normal University of China (L2019B02) and Hebei Natural Science Foundation of China (A2019205218) and NSERC of Canada (#20171864).

- ▷ $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is the $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ -th power of the standard Laplacian operator $-\Delta$ - in other words - the following Fourier transform formula

$$\widehat{(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f}(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

holds for any function or tempered distribution for which the right-hand-side is meaningful. In particular, $(-\Delta)^0$ is defined as the identity; and if $0 < \alpha < 2$ then there are two constants $c_{1,\alpha}, c_{2,\alpha}$ such that

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x) = c_{1,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy \quad \& \quad (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x) = c_{2,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|y - x|^{n-\alpha}} dy$$

hold for any regular enough function f on \mathbb{R}^n .

- ▷ $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ express respectively the (p, λ) - Campanato space and the (p, λ) -Morrey space whose norms are decided respectively by (cf. [6, 20])

$$\begin{cases} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(r_0^\lambda \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |f(y) - \bar{f}_{B(x_0, r_0)}| f(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}; \\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(r_0^\lambda \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} = (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is the $(1 + n)$ -dimensional half space based on \mathbb{R}^n , $B(x_0, r_0)$ stands for the Euclidean ball with centre $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and radius $r_0 \in (0, \infty)$, and $\bar{f}_{B(x_0, r_0)}$ is the integral average over $B(x_0, r_0)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure element dx .

- ▷ Below are a group of the well-known inclusions (cf. [9, 6, 24, 29])

$$\begin{cases} L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n) = BMO(\mathbb{R}^n); \\ L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ as } 0 < 2\alpha < n, \end{cases}$$

and a $(BMO - Q)$ identification (cf. [30, 31])

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{as } \alpha = 0; \\ Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{as } 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases} \\ \|\cdot\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \begin{cases} \|\cdot\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{as } \alpha = 0; \\ \|\cdot\|_{Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{as } 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where a measurable function f is in $Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$\|f\|_{Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(r_0^{n+2\alpha} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2\alpha}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

see also [4]. Note that if c is constant then

$$\|c\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 = \|c\|_{Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

So, all the constants form an equivalent class, i.e., the zero element in $\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (including $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and $Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and consequently the above identification via $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ makes sense for the involved quotient spaces:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{as } \alpha \in (0, 1); \\ BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{as } \alpha = 0; \\ Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{as } \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

- ▷ $[0, 1] \ni \alpha \mapsto (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is decreasing and

$$\begin{cases} L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow \alpha = 0; \\ (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ as } 0 < 2\alpha < n, \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $n \geq 2$ then (cf. [29, Theorem 4.1] & [31, Theorem 3.1])

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{W}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq CIS(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq Q_{0<\alpha<1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq BMO(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\dot{W}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $CIS(\mathbb{R}^n)$ represent the conformally-invariant-Sobolev spaces of all $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (continuously differentiable functions in \mathbb{R}^n) with

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^n dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} < \infty$$

and

$$\|f\|_{CIS(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(r_0^2 \fint_{B(x_0, r_0)} |\nabla f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$

respectively.

Clearly, the above structure is an important motivation to explore $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its applications in many other areas including harmonic analysis and partial differential equations; see also [2, 3, 8, 12, 27, 28, 33, 32, 35, 37, 34, 14, 15, 36].

The first purpose of this paper is to present an idea of revealing the predual form of every single space $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its fractional Sobolev type imbedding of independent interest.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $(\alpha, n - 1) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{N}$. Then:*

(i) *The space duality*

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) = [HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$$

holds in the sense that if $f \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the functional

$$g \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx$$

(initially for all $g \in HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$) has a bounded linear extension to all $g \in HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Conversely, if L is a bounded linear functional on the α -Hardy-Hausdorff space $\alpha HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (cf. Definition 2.1 below) then there is $f \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$L(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx \quad \forall g \in HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

(ii) *The fractional Sobolev type inclusion*

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}, n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is valid.

Here it should be noticed that the cases $\alpha = 0$ & $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ of Theorem 1.2(i) are essentially due to Fefferman-Stein [5] (showing that the dual of the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and Dafni-Xiao [2] (showing that the dual of $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$) respectively, and Theorem 1.2(ii) is a somewhat surprising consequence of Theorem 1.2(i), not only strengthening the Stein-Weiss Sobolev type inclusion (cf. [25])

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ due to } H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

but also corresponding to Schikorra-Spector-Schaftingen's [23, Theorem A]

$$\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|R(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(f) &= (R_1(f), \dots, R_n(f)) \\ &= \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(x_j - y_j) f(y)}{|x - y|^{n+1}} dy \right)_{j=1}^n \\ &= \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{(1-n)\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}} c_{2,1}} \right) \nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f. \end{aligned}$$

1.2. Characterizing $((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}$ and its predual. Below is a natural notion.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let $(\alpha, n) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{N}$.

$\triangleright f \in ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}$ provided

$$\exists f_1, \dots, f_n \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that

$$f(x) = \text{div}(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} f_j(x) \quad \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\},$$

where the infimum ranges over all

$$f = \text{div}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$$

enjoying

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1, \dots, f_n) &\in \underbrace{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}_{n \text{ copies}} \\ &= ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n. \end{aligned}$$

\triangleright As $(BMO - Q)^{-1}$ space chain,

$$[0, 1) \ni \alpha \mapsto ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}$$

is decreasing, and if $n \geq 2$ then

$$L^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1} \subseteq BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{div}(BMO(\mathbb{R}^n))^n.$$

The second purpose of this paper is to use Theorem 1.2 to reveal that $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a Fefferman-Stein type decomposition (cf. [5]) and yet $((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}$ exists as the dual to the Hardy-Hausdorff-Sobolev space $HHS_{-\alpha}^1$ which, under $\alpha = 0$, embeds into the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{W}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -functions f with respect to the norm $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)| dx$) whose duality (cf. [22, Lemma 4.1]) can be identified with

$$(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1} = \text{div}(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))^n.$$

THEOREM 1.4. Let $(\alpha, n-1) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{N}$. Then:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n R_j(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \end{aligned}$$

holds in the sense that

$$f \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

if and only if

$$\exists g_0, \dots, g_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that

$$f = g_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n R_j g_j = g_0 + \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{(1-n)\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}} c_{2,1}} \right) (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \cdot (g_1, \dots, g_n).$$

(ii)

$$((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1} = [HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$$

provided that $HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Hardy-Hausdorff-Sobolev space of all functions f on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying

$$\nabla f \in \underbrace{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}_{n \text{ copies}} = (HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^n.$$

Actually, the cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ of Theorem 1.4(i) are due to Fefferman-Stein [5] and Yang-Qian-Li [35] (extending Nicolau-Xiao's one-dimensional-result [21]) respectively - moreover - according to the inclusion chain (1.1), Theorem 1.4(i) which especially implies

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \supseteq \sum_{j=1}^n R_j(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

and the following Bourgain-Brezis decomposition under $n \geq 2$ (cf. [1, p.305])

$$\dot{W}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sum_{j=1}^n R_j(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{W}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ with } \dot{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) = CIS(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

we conjecture that any

$$X \in \{CIS(\mathbb{R}^{n>2}), (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n \geq 2})\}$$

is an answer of the Bourgain-Brezis question (cf. [1, p.396]):

What are the function spaces $X, \dot{W}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq X \subseteq BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that every $F \in X$ has a decomposition $F = \sum_{j=1}^n R_j Y_j$ where $Y_j \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap X$ and $n \geq 2$?

But nevertheless Theorem 1.4(ii) is new and useful, at least thanks to some applications of both $BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Q_\alpha^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in studying the Navier-Stokes systems (see e.g. [11, 30, 31, 13, 8, 33, 32]).

1.3. Applied to heat flow of harmonic maps. Being inspired by [19, 26], we recall the following concept.

DEFINITION 1.5. Let $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{N} be a k -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, isometrically embedded in some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^l , T_x be the tangent plane at $x \in \mathcal{N}$, and

$$\Pi(x) : T_x \mathcal{N} \times T_x \mathcal{N} \rightarrow (T_x \mathcal{N})^\perp$$

be the second fundamental form of $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$ at $x \in \mathcal{N}$. Then the system of heat flow of harmonic maps u from $\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} = (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to \mathcal{N} is:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = \Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

The third purpose of this paper is to utilize $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and some ideas developed in [33, 32] to improve the well-posedness for the heat flow of harmonic maps within $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

THEOREM 1.6. Let $(\alpha, k, n-1) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and \mathcal{N} be a k -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, isometrically embedded in some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^l . There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ obeys

$$\|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))'} \leq \varepsilon$$

then (1.2) has a unique mild solution

$$u = (u_1, \dots, u_l) \in X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$$

with

$$\|u\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} = \sum_{j=1}^l \|u_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \sum_{j=1}^l \|u_j\|_{X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \lesssim \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|u_j\|_{X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} = \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r} |\nabla u_j(r, x)| + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u_j(t, y)|^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{t} \right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The case $\alpha = 0$ of Theorem 1.6 is due to Wang [26] (cf. Koch-Lamm's survey [10]). However, it is worth mentioning that $Q_{0<\alpha<1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is more regular than $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and so $X_{0<\alpha<1}^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$ is more regular than $X_0^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$ - in other words - $\alpha = 0$ of Theorem 1.6 cannot directly derive $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ of Theorem 1.6.

1.4. Applied to flow of liquid crystals. Stemming from a understanding of the well-posedness for the liquid crystal flow (coupling the incompressible Navier-Stokes system and the transported heat flow of harmonic maps into \mathbb{S}^2) investigated initially by Lin-Liu [17, 18], Lin-Lin-Wang [16] and Wang [26], we review the following notion.

DEFINITION 1.7. Let $n-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a pressure and $d : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ (the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^3) be a unit-vector field representing the macroscopic molecular orientation of the nematic liquid crystal material. Then the system generated by an incompressible hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals is

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u + \nabla p = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla d \otimes \nabla d) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ d_t + u \cdot \nabla d - \Delta d = |\nabla d|^2 d & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ (u|_{t=0}, d|_{t=0}) = (u_0, d_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

The fourth purpose of this paper is to employ Theorem 1.6 to discover the existence of a unique mild solution to (1.3) within $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

THEOREM 1.8. *Let $(\alpha, n - 1) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{N}$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if the initial pair*

$$(u_0, d_0) \in \left(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1} \right)^n \times \left(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^3 \right)$$

satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0 \quad \& \quad \|u_0\|_{\left(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1} \right)^n} + \|d_0\|_{\left(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^3 \right)} \leq \varepsilon$$

then (1.3) has a unique mild solution

$$(u, d) \in Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \times X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$$

with

$$\|u\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} = \sum_{j=1}^n \|u_j\|_{Z_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \lesssim \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|u_j\|_{Z_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} = \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r}|u_j(r,x)| + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |u_j(t,y)|^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{t} \right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here it should be pointed out that the case $\alpha = 0$ of Theorem 1.8 is due to Wang [26], but nevertheless this situation cannot be used to establish the others $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Since $Q_{0<\alpha<1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Q_{0<\alpha<1}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are more regular than $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ respectively, the solution space

$$Z_{0<\alpha<1}^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \times X_{0<\alpha<1}^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$$

is more regular than

$$Z_0^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \times X_0^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}).$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows:

- §2 is utilized to give an intrinsic argument for Theorem 1.2;
- §3 is devoted to validating Theorem 1.4 via utilizing Theorem 1.2;
- §4 is designed to verify Theorem 1.6 via the fixed-point-principle;
- §5 is employed to demonstrate Theorem 1.8 via the Carleson measure nature of $((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}$ and the idea of validating Theorem 1.6.

Notation. In the above and below $U \lesssim V$ means $U \leq CV$ for a positive constant C ; $U \approx V$ means both $U \lesssim V$ and $V \lesssim U$.

2. Predual form of $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2(i). The forward implication of Theorem 1.2(i) comes from the first part of [2, Theorem 7.1].

Firstly, let us recall the following concept.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $(\alpha, n - 1) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times \mathbb{N}$.

▷ $\dot{L}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the homogeneous Sobolev α -space with norm

$$\|a\|_{\dot{L}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{a}(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{2\alpha} d\xi \right)^{2^{-1}}.$$

In particular, $\dot{L}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is identified with $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

▷ $T_{n-2\alpha}^1$ with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ is the class of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} satisfying

$$\|f\|_{T_{n-2\alpha}^1} = \inf_{\omega} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\frac{|f(t, y)|^2}{\omega(t, y)} \right) t^{2\alpha-1} dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where the infimum is taken over all nonnegative measurable functions ω on \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} with its nontangential maximal function $N(\omega)$ obeying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} N(\omega) d\Lambda_{n-2\alpha}^{(\infty)} \leq 1$$

for which $\Lambda_{n-2\alpha}^{(\infty)}(\cdot)$ represents $n - 2\alpha$ dimensional Hausdorff capacity in \mathbb{R}^n , and the restriction that ω is allowed to vanish only where f vanishes.

▷ $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ is the so-called Hady-Hausdorff class of all distributions $f \in \dot{L}_{-\frac{n}{2}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ obeying

$$\|f\|_{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f * \phi(\cdot)\|_{T_{n-2\alpha}^1} < \infty,$$

where ϕ is a radial $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq B(0, 1); \\ \phi_t(x) = t^{-n} \phi(t^{-1}x) \text{ provided } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\vartheta \phi(x) dx = 0 \text{ provided } \vartheta \in \mathbb{N}^n, x^\vartheta = x_1^{\vartheta_1} \cdots x_n^{\vartheta_n}, |\vartheta| = \sum_{i=1}^n \vartheta_i; \\ \int_0^\infty (\hat{\phi}(t\xi))^2 t^{-1} dt = 1 \text{ provided } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

▷ A tempered distribution a is called an $HH_{-\alpha}^1$ -atom if a is supported in a ball $B(x_0, r_0)$ and satisfies the following two conditions for the Schwarz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

▷ A local α -Sobolev inequality

$$|\langle a, \psi \rangle| \leq r_0^{\alpha - \frac{n}{2}} \|\psi\|_{L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n);$$

▷ A cancellation

$$\langle a, \psi \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

whose restriction to a neighborhood of $B(x_0, r_0)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 1 + n/2$.

Secondly, in accordance with [2, Theorem 6.3] and its proof, a tempered distribution f on \mathbb{R}^n belongs to $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there is a sequence of $HH_{-\alpha}^1$ -atoms a_j and an l^1 -sequence $\{\lambda_j\}$ such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$ holds distributionally with

$$\|f\|_{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| : f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j \right\}.$$

Meanwhile,

$$g \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

amounts to

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|(1 + |x|)^{-1-n} dx < \infty; \\ \|g\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(r_0^{2\alpha} \int_0^{r_0} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |g * \phi_t(x)|^2 \frac{dx dt}{t^{1+2\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

If

$$f \in HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \& \quad g \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

then the Calderón reproducing formula

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty f * \phi_t * \phi_t(x) t^{-1} dt$$

is used to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx \right| &\approx \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} (f * \phi_t)(t, y) \cdot (g * \phi_t)(t, y) t^{-1} dxdt \right| \\ (2.1) \quad &\lesssim \|f\|_{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$g \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

induces a bounded linear functional on

$$HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since this last function class is dense on $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we utilize (2.1) to obtain that

$$f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx$$

can be extended to a bounded linear functional L on $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with its norm

$$\|L\| \lesssim \|g\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The backward implication of Theorem 1.2(i) just follows from the second part of the argument for [2, Theorem 7.1]. Briefly, if L is a bounded linear functional on $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then an application of the Hahn-Banach theorem yields an extension \tilde{L} which is bounded linear functional on $T_{n-2\alpha}^1$ and induces $G(\cdot, \cdot) \in T_{n-2\alpha}^\infty$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \|G\|_{T_{n-2\alpha}^\infty} = \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(r_0^{2\alpha} \int_0^{r_0} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |G(t, x)|^2 \frac{dxdt}{t^{1+2\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty; \\ \tilde{L}(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} F(t, x)G(t, x) t^{-1} dxdt \quad \forall \quad F \in T_{n-2\alpha}^1. \end{cases}$$

Accordingly, if

$$f \in HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \& \quad g(x) = \int_0^\infty G(t, \cdot) * \phi_t(x) t^{-1} dt,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} L(f) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} f * \phi_t(y) G(t, y) t^{-1} dydt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} f(x) G(t, \cdot) * \phi_t(x) t^{-1} dxdt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the fact that (2.2) implies

$$\|g\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|G\|_{T_{n-2\alpha}^\infty} \lesssim \|L\|,$$

we arrive at the desired result.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2(ii). If

$$f \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \& \quad h \in L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

then

$$\exists g \in HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ such that } f = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} g \text{ with } \|f\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \|g\|_{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)h(x) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} h(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \|g\|_{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} h\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|h\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This, along with the duality

$$L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = [L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*,$$

implies

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

3. Predual form of $((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}$

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4(i). On the one hand, let us prove

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\supseteq (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n R_j((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

To do so, let

$$\begin{cases} f = g_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n R_j g_j; \\ g_j \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n); \\ g_j(t, x) = e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}} g_j(x) = g_j * P_t(x); \\ P_t(x) = \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} t(t^2 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

According to [7, p.263, 4.1.8], we have

$$(R_j P_t)(x) \approx x_j (t^2 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ & } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n},$$

thereby obtaining (cf. [15, Theorem 3.6 & Corollary 3.7])

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_j g_j\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\approx \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^{r_0} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} \left| \nabla (R_j g_j(t, x)) \right|^2 \left(\frac{t}{r_0} \right)^{n-2\alpha} \frac{dxdt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^{r_0} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} \left| \nabla ((R_j g_j) * P_t(x)) \right|^2 \left(\frac{t}{r_0} \right)^{n-2\alpha} \frac{dxdt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^{r_0} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} \left| \nabla (g_j * (R_j P_t)(x)) \right|^2 \left(\frac{t}{r_0} \right)^{n-2\alpha} \frac{dxdt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{(r_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^{r_0} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} \left| \nabla (g_j * P_t(x)) \right|^2 \left(\frac{t}{r_0} \right)^{n-2\alpha} \frac{dxdt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|g_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|g_0\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|R_j g_j\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^n \|g_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (3.1).

On the other hand, let us verify

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ (3.2) \quad &+ \sum_{j=1}^n R_j(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

In doing so, we define \mathcal{L}_α as the space of all functions f on \mathbb{R}^n with its norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} = \sup_{\{h\}} \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) h(x) dx \right| \right\} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all functions h obeying

$$\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|h\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1.$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{U} = \{(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) : g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha\} = \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_\alpha \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_\alpha}_{n+1 \text{ copies}}; \\ \|(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n)\|_{\mathcal{U}} = \sum_{j=0}^n \|g_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

If

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathcal{U} : g_j = R_j g_0 \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\},$$

then \mathcal{V} is a closed subset of \mathcal{U} , and hence the mapping

$$g_0 \mapsto (g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n)$$

defines a norm-preserving map from $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to \mathcal{V} . In order to see the last statement, we are only required to prove that if $g_0 \in HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$R_j g_0 \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

In fact, an application of Theorem 1.2 derives

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_j g_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} &= \sup_{\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (R_j g_0)(x) h(x) dx \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_0(x) (R_j h)(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1} (\|g_0\|_{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|R_j h\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1} (\|g_0\|_{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\ &\lesssim \|g_0\|_{HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the above-established inequality:

$$\|R_j h\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, any bounded linear functional on $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be identified with a corresponding functional on \mathcal{V} , and so from the Hahn-Banach theorem it follows that this functional is extendable to a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{U} . Note that the dual space of \mathcal{U} is

$$\underbrace{\left(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)}_{n+1 \text{ copies}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underbrace{\left(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)}_{n+1 \text{ copies}}$$

Thus, upon restricting to \mathcal{V} (and so $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$), we have the forthcoming demonstration.

Suppose that

$$f \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and L is a bounded linear functional on $HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for each $j = 0, \dots, n$ there is

$$\tilde{f}_j \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that for any $g_0 \in HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} L(f) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g_0(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f}_0(x)g_0(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f}_j(x)(R_j g_0)(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f}_0(x)g_0(x) dx - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R_j \tilde{f}_j(x)g_0(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\tilde{f}_0(x) - \sum_{j=1}^n (R_j \tilde{f}_j)(x) \right) g_0(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, we gain

$$f(x) = \tilde{f}_0(x) - \sum_{j=1}^n (R_j \tilde{f}_j)(x),$$

thereby reaching (3.2).

Consequently, putting (3.1) and (3.2) together validates the set equality in Theorem 1.4(i).

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4(ii). Note that $HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be also identified with the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the norm $\|\nabla f\|_{HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Thus, the coming-up-next argument is two-fold.

On the one hand, if

$$f \in ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}$$

then

$$\exists (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n \text{ such that } f(x) = \nabla \cdot (f_1, \dots, f_n)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} f_j(x)$$

and hence f induces a bounded linear functional on $HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ thanks to

$$C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni g \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)) \cdot \nabla g(x) dx.$$

This in turn implies

$$((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1} \subseteq [HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*.$$

On the other hand, suppose $L \in [HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$. Since ∇ exists as a bounded linear operator from $HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $(HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$, the completeness of $HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ensures that

the range $\mathcal{R}(\nabla HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of $HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under ∇ is a closed subspace of $(HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$. Upon defining

$$L_1(\nabla f) = L(f) \quad \forall \quad \nabla f \in \mathcal{R}(\nabla HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

we get

$$\|L_1\|_{[\mathcal{R}(\nabla HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))]^*} = \|L\|_{[HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}.$$

This last equation, plus the Hanh-Banach Theorem, yields a norm-preserving extension L_2 of L_1 to $(HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$. Meanwhile, the vector-valued form of Theorem 1.2 is used to produce a vector

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$$

such that

$$L_2((g_1, \dots, g_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_j(x) g_j(x) dx \quad \forall \quad (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in (HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n} &= \|L_2\|_{(HH_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^n} \\ &= \|L_1\|_{[\mathcal{R}(\nabla HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))]^*} \\ &= \|L\|_{[HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for each $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we obtain

$$L(\phi) = L_1(\nabla \phi) = L_2(\nabla \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) dx,$$

thereby reaching

$$\begin{cases} L = -\operatorname{div}(f_1, \dots, f_n); \\ \|(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n} = \|L\|_{[HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}, \end{cases}$$

and so

$$((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1} \supseteq [HHS_{-\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*.$$

Therefore, the above-established set-inclusions derive the desired duality.

4. Application to harmonic map flow

4.1. A caloric estimation.

Given the Gauss kernel

$$\mathcal{G}(t, x) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right) \quad \forall \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}$$

and a vector-valued function $f : \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$, we define the caloric operator

$$(\mathbf{S}f)(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}(t-s, x-y) f(s, y) dy ds \quad \forall \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n},$$

whence establishing its boundedness from $Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$ to $X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$:

LEMMA 4.1. *For $(\alpha, l, n-1) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ let $Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$ be the space of all vector-valued functions*

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_l) : \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \|f\|_{Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} = \sum_{j=1}^l \|f_j\|_{Y_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} < \infty; \\ \|f_j\|_{Y_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} = \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r |f_j(r, x)| + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |f_j(t, y)| \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dy dt}{r^n}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(4.1) \quad \|Sf\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \lesssim \|f\|_{Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}.$$

PROOF. Since $\|\cdot\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is invariant under both scaling and translation, (4.1) follows from

$$|(Sf)(1, 0)| + |\nabla(Sf)(1, 0)| + \left(\int_0^1 \int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla(Sf)(t, y)|^2 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}.$$

To estimate $|(Sf)(1, 0)|$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} (Sf)(1, 0) &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}(1-s, y) f(s, y) dy ds \\ &= \left(\int_{2^{-1}}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \int_0^{2^{-1}} \int_{B(0,1)} + \int_0^{2^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,1)} \right) (\dots) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the integrability of $\mathcal{G}(\cdot, \cdot)$ at the origin we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{2^{-1}}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\dots) dy ds \right| &\leq \left(\sup_{2^{-1} \leq s \leq 1} \|f(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \left(\int_{2^{-1}}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}(1-s, y) dy ds \right) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by the boundedness of $\mathcal{G}(\cdot, \cdot)$ away from the origin we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{2^{-1}} \int_{B(0,1)} (\dots) dy ds \right| &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \int_{B(x,1)} |f(s, y)| dy ds \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \int_{B(x,1)} |f(s, y)| \frac{dy ds}{s^\alpha} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{2^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,1)} (\dots) dy ds \right| &\lesssim \int_0^{2^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,1)} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{2}\right) |f(s, y)| dy ds \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{n-1}}{\exp\left(\frac{k^2}{2}\right)} \right) \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \int_{B(x,1)} |f(s, y)| dy ds \right) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}. \end{aligned}$$

These estimates show

$$|(Sf)(1, 0)| \lesssim \|f\|_{Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}.$$

In order to estimate $|\nabla(Sf)(1, 0)|$, we use

$$|\nabla(Sf)(1, 0)| \leq \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{H}(1-s, -y)| |f(s, y)| dy ds,$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(t, x) = -(2t)^{-1} x \mathcal{G}(t, x)$$

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{H}(t, x)| dx dt \lesssim 1; \\ \sup_{(t,x) \in [2^{-1}, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{H}(t, x)| \lesssim 1, \end{cases}$$

and then replace $\mathcal{G}(1-s, y)$ (in dominating $|(Sf)(1, 0)|$) with $\mathcal{H}(1-s, -y)$ to obtain

$$|\nabla(Sf)(1, 0)| \lesssim \|f\|_{Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}.$$

In order to control

$$\int_0^1 \int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla(Sf)(s,y)|^2 dy ds,$$

we recall that Sf solves

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t - \Delta)(Sf)(t,x) = f(t,x) & \forall (t,x) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n; \\ (Sf)(0,x) = 0 & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that η is a cut-off function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \text{supp}(\eta) \subseteq B(0,2); \\ \eta(x) = 1 & \forall x \in B(0,1); \\ |\nabla \eta(x)| \lesssim 1 & \forall x \in B(0,2). \end{cases}$$

Now, the first equation of (4.2) derives

$$(Sf)(t,x)\eta^2(x)((\partial_t - \Delta)(Sf)(t,x)) = (Sf)(t,x)\eta^2(x)f(t,x) \quad \forall (t,x) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Furthermore, an integration of the last equation over $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} (Sf)(t,x)\eta^2(x)f(t,x) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Sf)(t,x)\eta^2(x)f(t,x) dx dt \\ &= 2^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta^2(x) \int_0^1 \partial_t((Sf)(t,x))^2 dt - \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Sf)(t,x)\eta^2(x)\Delta(Sf)(t,x) dx dt \\ &= 2^{-1} \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x)|(Sf)(1,x)|^2 dx + \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} \nabla((Sf)(t,x)) \cdot \nabla((Sf)(t,x)\eta^2(x)) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Upon observing

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla((Sf)(t,x)) \cdot \nabla((Sf)(t,x)\eta^2(x)) \\ &= \eta^2(x)|\nabla(Sf)(t,x)|^2 + 2(\eta(x)\nabla(Sf)(t,x)) \cdot ((Sf)(t,x)\nabla\eta(x)) \quad \forall (t,x) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} 2ab \leq \epsilon a^2 + \epsilon^{-1} b^2 & \forall (a,b,\epsilon) \in (0,\infty) \times (0,\infty) \times (0,\infty); \\ (Sf)(0,x) = 0 & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

we can make the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x)|\nabla(Sf)(t,x)|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq 2^{-1} \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x)|(Sf)(1,x)|^2 dx + \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x)|(Sf)(t,x)||f(t,x)| dx dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} (\eta(x)\nabla(Sf)(t,x)) \cdot ((Sf)(t,x)\nabla\eta(x)) dx dt \\ &\leq 2^{-1} \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x)|(Sf)(1,x)|^2 dx + \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x)|(Sf)(t,x)||f(t,x)| dx dt \\ &\quad + \epsilon \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x)|\nabla(Sf)(t,x)|^2 dx dt + \epsilon^{-1} \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} |\nabla\eta(x)|^2|(Sf)(t,x)|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Via choosing $\epsilon = 2^{-1}$ and using the properties of η we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla(Sf)(t,x)|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x) |\nabla(Sf)(t,x)|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x) |(Sf)(1,x)|^2 dx + 2 \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} \eta^2(x) |(Sf)(t,x)| |f(t,x)| dx dt \\
& \quad + 2^2 \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} |\nabla \eta(x)|^2 |(Sf)(t,x)|^2 dx dt \\
& \lesssim \int_{B(0,2)} |(Sf)(1,x)|^2 dx + \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} |(Sf)(t,x)| |f(t,x)| dx dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} |(Sf)(t,x)|^2 dx dt \\
& \lesssim \|Sf\|_{L^\infty([0,1] \times B(0,2))}^2 + \|Sf\|_{L^\infty([0,1] \times B(0,2))} \int_0^1 \int_{B(0,2)} |f(t,x)| dx dt \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{Y_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

thereby validating (4.1). \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6. Suppose now that $u : \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ is a mild solution of (1.2). Then it satisfies

$$u(t,x) = v_0(t,x) + S(\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u))(t,x),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} v_0(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(t,x-y) u_0(y) dy; \\ S(\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u))(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(t-s,x-y) \Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)(s,y) dy ds. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$T : X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \rightarrow X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$$

by

$$Tu(t,x) = v_0(t,x) + S(\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u))(t,x) \quad \forall u \in X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}).$$

And let

$$\mathcal{B}_\delta = \left\{ u \in X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) : \|u - v_0\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \leq \delta \right\}$$

be the ball in $X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})$ with center v_0 and radius δ . We are about to show that

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \text{ such that if } \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l} \leq \varepsilon$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dagger \quad T : \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon; \\
& \ddagger \quad \exists \beta \in (0, 1) \text{ such that } \|Tu - Tv\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \leq \beta \|u - v\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

For \dagger , if $u \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$, then by the triangle inequality, the essence of $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1.2(i):

$$\|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l} \approx \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^r \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla v_0(t,y)|^2 \left(\frac{t}{r} \right)^{n-2\alpha} \frac{dy dt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and the following standard gradient estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r} |\nabla v_0(r, x)| &\lesssim \left(\int_0^r \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla v_0(t, y)|^2 \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{n-2\alpha} \frac{dydt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l}, \end{aligned}$$

we use the triangle inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{X_a^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} &\lesssim \varepsilon + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r} |\nabla v_0(r, x)| + \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon + \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$Tu - v_0 = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(t-s, x-y) \Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)(s, y) dy ds,$$

we utilize (4.1) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\|Tu - v_0\|\|_{X_a^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} &\lesssim \|\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)\|_{Y_a^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ &\approx \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r |\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)(r, x)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \int_0^r \int_{B(x,r)} |\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)(t, y)| \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r} |\nabla u(r, x)| \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \int_0^r \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u(t, y)|^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_a^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}^2 \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

by choosing a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus,

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \text{ such that } \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l} \leq \varepsilon \implies T : \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon.$$

For ‡, if $u, v \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$, then from (4.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\|Tu - Tv\|\|_{X_a^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} &= \|\|S(\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \Pi(v)(\nabla v, \nabla v))\|_{X_a^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ &\lesssim \|\|S\{|\nabla u|^2|u-v| + (|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)|\nabla(u-v)|\}\|\|_{X_a^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ &\lesssim \|\|\nabla u\|^2|u-v|\|_{Y_a^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)|\nabla(u-v)|\|_{Y_a^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ &=: D_1 + D_2. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate for D_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r |(|\nabla u|^2 |u - v|)(r, x)| \\
&\quad + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \int_0^r \int_{B(x,r)} |(|\nabla u|^2 |u - v|)(t, y)| \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \\
&\leq \left(\sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r} |\nabla u(r, x)| \right)^2 \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} |(u - v)(r, x)| \\
&\quad + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^r \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u(t, y)|^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \right) \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} |(u - v)(r, x)| \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}^2 \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} |(u - v)(r, x)| \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \|u - v\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate for D_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2 &= \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r (|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) |\nabla(u - v)(r, x)| \\
&\quad + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \int_0^r \int_{B(x,r)} (|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) |\nabla(u - v)(t, y)| \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \\
&\leq \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r} (|\nabla u(r, x)| + |\nabla v(r, x)|) \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r} |\nabla(u - v)(r, x)| \\
&\quad + \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^r \int_{B(x,r)} (|\nabla u(t, y)|^2 + |\nabla v(t, y)|^2) \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \right) \\
&\quad \times \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^r \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla(u - v)(t, y)|^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \right) \\
&\lesssim (\|u\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|v\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}) \|u - v\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|u - v\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting the estimates of D_1 and D_2 together yields that if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small then

$$\|Tu - Tv\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \lesssim (1 + \varepsilon) \varepsilon \|u - v\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \leq \beta \|u - v\|_{X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}$$

for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Hence \ddagger holds. Now, both \dagger and \ddagger are used to produce $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if

$$\|u_0\|_{(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \varepsilon$$

then there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ such that $u = Tu$ (according to the standard fixed point principle), i.e., u solves (1.2).

Next, we will show that there is a constant $\delta_N > 0$ obeying

$$u(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\delta_N} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^l : \text{dist}(y, \mathcal{N}) \leq \delta_N\}.$$

To do so, we utilize the triangle inequality and

$$c_{t,x,r} = \int_{B(0,r)} u_0(x - \sqrt{t}z) dz \quad \forall (t, x, r) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$$

to derive

$$\text{dist}(u, \mathcal{N}) \leq \|u - v_0\|_{(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}))^l} + |v_0 - c_{t,x,r}| + \text{dist}(c_{t,x,r}, \mathcal{N}).$$

▷ From $u \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ it follows that

$$\|u - v_0\|_{(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}))^l} \leq \varepsilon.$$

▷ For any $\delta > 0$ we use

$$u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$$

to achieve two constants $c_N > 0$ and $r = r(\delta, N)$ such that

$$\|u_0\|_{(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))^l} \leq c_N \quad \& \quad c_N \int_r^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4}\right) s^{n-1} ds \leq \delta,$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} |v_0 - c_{t,x,r}| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{4}\right) |u_0(x - \sqrt{t}y) - c_{t,x,r}| dy \\ &= \left(\int_{B(0,r)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,r)} \right) (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{4}\right) |u_0(x - \sqrt{t}y) - c_{t,x,r}| dy \\ &\leq \int_{B(0,r)} |u_0(x - \sqrt{t}y) - c_{t,x,r}| dy + 2\|u_0\|_{(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))^l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,r)} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{4}\right) dy \\ &\lesssim r^n \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{q}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l} + c_N \int_r^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4}\right) s^{n-1} ds \\ &\lesssim r^n \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{q}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l} + \delta, \end{aligned}$$

which can be also established by [26, (2.10)] and the known inclusion

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

▷ Using the condition that

$$u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \text{ is in } ((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(c_{t,x,r}, \mathcal{N}) &\leq |c_{t,x,r} - u_0(x - \sqrt{t}y)| \\ &\leq \int_{B(0,r)} |c_{t,x,r} - u_0(x - \sqrt{t}y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{q}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l}. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, we have

$$\text{dist}(u, \mathcal{N}) \lesssim \varepsilon + \delta + (r^n + 1) \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{q}{2}} \mathscr{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^l}$$

thereby finding

$$u(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\delta_N}$$

by choosing a suitable couple (δ, r) .

Finally, upon setting

$$\rho(u) = 2^{-1} |u - \Pi(u)|^2,$$

where $\Pi : \mathcal{N}_{\delta_N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is the smooth nearest point projection map, and

$$\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u) = -\nabla^2 \Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}.$$

A straightforward calculation derives

$$u \in \mathcal{N}_{\delta_N} \implies \begin{cases} \nabla(u - \Pi(u))(v) = (\text{Id} - \nabla\Pi(u))(v) & \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^l; \\ \nabla^2(u - \Pi(u))(v, w) = -\nabla^2\Pi(u)(v, w) & \forall v, w \in \mathbb{R}^l. \end{cases}$$

Since $u \in X_\alpha^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one has

$$|\nabla u| \in L^\infty([\varepsilon^2, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

and consequently, the higher order regularity of (1.2) implies that u is of $C^2([\varepsilon^2, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that

$$(u - \Pi(u)) \perp T_{\Pi(u)}\mathcal{N} \quad \& \quad \nabla\Pi(u)(\nabla^2\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)) \in T_{\Pi(u)}\mathcal{N}.$$

Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t - \Delta)\rho(u) \\ &= \left\langle (u - \Pi(u)), \nabla(u - \Pi(u))(\partial_t u - \Delta u) - \nabla^2(u - \Pi(u))(\nabla u, \nabla u) \right\rangle - |\nabla(u - \Pi(u))|^2 \\ &= \left\langle (u - \Pi(u)), \nabla\Pi(u)(\nabla^2\Pi(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)) \right\rangle - |\nabla(u - \Pi(u))|^2 \\ &= -|\nabla(u - \Pi(u))|^2 \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This, along with $\rho(u)(0, \cdot) = 0$ and the maximal principle, derives $\rho(u) \equiv 0$.

5. Application to liquid crystal flow

5.1. A bilinear estimation. Recall that any mild solution of the system

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u + \nabla p = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla d \otimes \nabla d) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

can be represented as

$$u(t, x) = T_1[u, d](t, x) = v_0(t, x) - V[u \otimes u + \nabla d \otimes \nabla d](t, x),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} v_0(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}(t, x - y)u_0(y) dy; \\ V[u \otimes u + \nabla d \otimes \nabla d](t, x) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla(u \otimes u + \nabla d \otimes \nabla d)(s, x) ds; \\ P = \{P_{jk}\}_{j,k=1,\dots,n} = \{\delta_{jk} + R_j R_k\}_{j,k=1,\dots,n}; \\ \delta_{jk} = \text{the Kronecker symbol}; \\ R_j = \partial_{x_j}(\sqrt{-\Delta})^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have the following bilinear estimation.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $(\alpha, n-1) \in (-\infty, 1) \times \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|V[u \otimes u + \nabla d \otimes \nabla d]\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}^2 + \|d\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}^2 \quad \forall (u, d) \in Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \times X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It follows from an appropriate modification of the proofs of the well-posedness for the incompressible Navier-Stokes system in [11, Theorem 1] and [30, Theorem 1.4]. \square

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.8. Also, note that any mild solution to the system

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} d_t + u \cdot \nabla d - \Delta d = |\nabla d|^2 d & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ d|_{t=0} = d_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

can be written as

$$d(t, x) = T_2[u, d](t, x) = w_0 + S[-\nabla^2 \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d) - u \cdot \nabla d](t, x),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} w_0(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(t, x - y) d_0(y) dy; \\ \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2} \in (C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))^3; \\ \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}(d) = \frac{d}{|d|} : \mathbb{S}_{2^{-1}}^2 \equiv \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2^{-1} \leq |y| \leq \frac{3}{2}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2. \end{cases}$$

So, any mild solution of (1.3) (combining (5.1) & (5.2)) can be written as

$$(u, d) = (T_1[u, d], T_2[u, d]).$$

This suggests us to consider whether the following operator

$$T[u, d] = (T_1[u, d], T_2[u, d])$$

satisfies

$$T : Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \times X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \rightarrow Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}).$$

To deal with this issue, for

$$(v_0, w_0) \in Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \times X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \quad \& \quad \delta > 0$$

let

$$\check{\mathcal{B}}_\delta = \{(u, d) \in Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \times X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) : \|u - v_0\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d - w_0\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \leq \delta\}.$$

Then, in accordance with the standard fixed point principle, our aim is to demonstrate that we can find a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ to obey the implication that if

$$\|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}}^n + \|d_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^3} \leq \varepsilon$$

then

- ¶ $T : \check{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon;$
- ¶ $\exists \beta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_1[u, d] - T_1[v, e]\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|T_2[u, d] - T_2[v, e]\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \leq \beta (\|u - v\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d - e\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}) \quad \forall (u, d), (v, e) \in \check{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For ¶, assume $(u, d) \in \check{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon$. Then, by the triangle inequality and the boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} u_0(y) = v_0(0, y); \\ d_0(y) = w_0(0, y), \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \|u_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1}}^n \approx \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |v_0(t,y)|^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \\ \|d_0\|_{((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^3}^3 \approx \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(\int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla w_0(t,y)|^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{t}\right)^\alpha \frac{dydt}{r^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{cases}$$

as well as the following standard gradient estimates

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r}|v_0(r,x)| \lesssim \|u_0\|_{(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1})^n}; \\ \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \sqrt{r}|\nabla w_0(r,x)| \lesssim \|d_0\|_{(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^3}, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \leq \|u - v_0\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d - w_0\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|v_0\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|w_0\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Owing to

$$T[u, d] - (v_0, w_0) = \left(-V[u \otimes u + \nabla d \otimes \nabla d], S[-\nabla^2 \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d) - u \cdot \nabla d] \right),$$

we apply Lemma 5.1 and (4.1) to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_1[u, d] - v_0\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|T_2[u, d] - w_0\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}^2 + \|d\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d) - u \cdot \nabla d\|_{Y_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \lesssim (\|u\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})})^2 \\ & \lesssim (\|u - v_0\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d - w_0\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|v_0\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|w_0\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})})^2 \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

thereby achieving a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|u_0\|_{(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1})^n} + \|d_0\|_{(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^3} \leq \varepsilon \implies T : \check{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon.$$

For \sharp , suppose that (u, d) and (v, e) are in $\check{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon$. Using the proof idea of \ddagger in §4.2, (4.1) and Lemma 5.1, we produce some $\beta \in (0, 1)$ to obey

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_1[u, d] - T_1[v, e]\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|T_2[u, d] - T_2[v, e]\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & = \|V[u \otimes u + \nabla d \otimes \nabla d - v \otimes v - \nabla e \otimes \nabla e]\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \quad + \|S[-\nabla^2 \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d) - u \cdot \nabla d + \nabla^2 \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}(e)(\nabla e, \nabla e) + v \cdot \nabla e]\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \lesssim \|V((|u| + |v|)|u - v| + (|\nabla d| + |\nabla e|)|\nabla(d - e)|)\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \quad + \|S((|\nabla d| + |\nabla e| + |u|)|\nabla(d - e)| + |\nabla e|^2|d - e| + |u - v||\nabla e|)\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon (\|u - v\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d - e\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}) \\ & \leq \beta (\|u - v\|_{Z_\alpha^n(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})} + \|d - e\|_{X_\alpha^3(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n})}) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Now, the above-verified \natural and \sharp yield a small number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if

$$\|u_0\|_{(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^{-1})^n} + \|d_0\|_{(((-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathcal{L}^{2,2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^3} \leq \varepsilon$$

then there exists a unique $(u, d) \in \check{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon$ solving $(u, d) = T[u, d]$ - namely - (u, d) solves (1.3) with

$$d_t + u \cdot \nabla d - \Delta d = |\nabla d|^2 d$$

being replaced by

$$d_t + u \cdot \nabla d - \Delta d = -\nabla^2 \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}(d)(\nabla d, \nabla d).$$

Last of all, we are required to show $d(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}^2$. Nevertheless, this is similar to the argument for Theorem 1.6:

▷ Firstly, we verify

$$u(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{2^{-1}}^2.$$

▷ Secondly, we consider the function

$$\rho(d) = 2^{-1}|d - \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}(d)|^2,$$

thereby getting

$$(\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla - \Delta)\rho(u) = -|\nabla(d - \Pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}(d))|^2 \leq 0.$$

▷ Thirdly, upon taking into account of both $\rho(d)(0, \cdot) = 0$ and the maximal principle, we conclude $\rho(d) \equiv 0$.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain and H. Brezis, On the equation $\operatorname{div}Y = f$ and application to control of phases, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16 (2003), 393-426. [363]
- [2] G. Dafni and J. Xiao, Some new tent spaces and duality theorems for fractional Carleson measures and $Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 208 (2004), 377-422. [359, 361, 365, 366, 367]
- [3] G. Dafni and J. Xiao, The dyadic structure and atomic decomposition of Q spaces in several variables, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 57 (2005), 119-145. [361]
- [4] M. Essén, S. Janson, L. Peng, J. Xiao, Q spaces of several real variables, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 49 (2000), 575-615. [360]
- [5] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.*, 129 (1972), 137-193. [359, 361, 362, 363]
- [6] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Annals of Math. Studies, Princeton Univ. Press, 1983. [360]
- [7] L. Grafakos, *Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis*, Pearson Education Inc. 2008. [368]
- [8] R. Jiang, J. Xiao and D. Yang, Towards spaces of harmonic functions with traces in square Campanato spaces and their scaling invariants, *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, 14 (2016), 679-703. [361, 363]
- [9] F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 14 (1961), 415-426. [360]
- [10] H. Koch and T. Lamm, Parabolic equations with rough data, *Math. Bohem.*, 140 (2015), 457-477. [364]
- [11] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Adv. Math.*, 157 (2001), 22-35. [363, 378]
- [12] P. Koskela, J. Xiao, Y. Zhang and Y. Zhou, A quasiconformal composition problem for the Q -spaces, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19 (2017), 1159-1187. [361]
- [13] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *The Navier-Stokes Problem in the 21st Century*, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC 2016. [363]
- [14] P. Li, J. Xiao and Q. Yang, Global mild solutions of fractional Naiver-Stokes equations with small initial data in critical Besov- Q spaces, *Electron. J. Diff. Equ.*, 2014 (2014), No.185, 1-37. [361]
- [15] P. Li and Z. Zhai, Riesz transforms on Q -type spaces with application to quasi-geostrophic equation, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 16 (2012), 2107-2132. [361, 368]
- [16] F. Lin, J.Y. Lin and C. Wang, Liquid crystal flows in two dimension, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 197 (2010), 297-336. [364]
- [17] F. Lin and C. Liu, Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, XLVIII (1995), 501-537. [364]
- [18] F. Lin and C. Liu, Partial regularity of the dynamic system modeling the flow of liquid crystals, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 2 (1998), 1-22. [364]
- [19] F. Lin and C. Wang, *The Analysis of Harmonic Maps and Their Heat Flows*, World Scientific, 2008. [364]
- [20] C.B. Morrey Jr., *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1966 (2008). [360]
- [21] A. Nicolau and J. Xiao, Bounded functions in Möbius invariant Dirichlet spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 150 (1997), 383-425. [363]
- [22] N.C. Phuc and M. Torres, Characterizations of signed measures in the dual of BV and related isometric isomorphisms, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, (5)17 (2017), 385-417. [362]

- [23] A. Schikorra, D. Spector and J.V. Schaftingen, An L^1 -type estimate for Riesz potentials, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 33 (2017), 291-303. [361]
- [24] J. Shatah and M. Struwe, *Geometric Wave Equations*, Courant Lecture Notes 2, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, 1998. [360]
- [25] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, On the theory of harmonic functions of several variables. I. The theory of H^p -spaces, *Acta Math.*, 103 (1960), 25-62. [361]
- [26] C. Wang, Well-posedness for the heat flow of harmonic maps and the liquid crystal flow with rough initial data, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 200 (2011), 1-19. [359, 364, 365, 377]
- [27] Y. Wang and J. Xiao, Homogeneous Campanato-Sobolev classes, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 39 (2015), 214-247. [361]
- [28] Z. Wang, J. Xiao and Y. Zhou, The Q_α -restriction problem, *Asian J. Math.*, 23 (2019), 36 pages. [361]
- [29] J. Xiao, A sharp Sobolev trace inequality for the fractional-order derivatives, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 130 (2006), 87-96. [360, 361]
- [30] J. Xiao, Homothetic variant of fractional Sobolev space with application to Navier-Stokes system, *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 4 (2007), 227-245. [360, 363, 378]
- [31] J. Xiao, Homothetic variant of fractional Sobolev space with application to Navier-Stokes system revisited, *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 11 (2014), 167-181. [360, 361, 363]
- [32] J. Xiao, D. Yang, J. Zhang and Y. Zhou, Stokes system and trace for BMO-Q, *Adv. Differential Equations*, 24, XXX (2019), 1-30. [361, 363, 364]
- [33] J. Xiao and J. Zhang, N-S systems via $Q\&Q^{-1}$ spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.* 29 (2019), 1490-1519. [361, 363, 364]
- [34] D. Yang and W. Yuan, A new class of function spaces connecting Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and Q spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255 (2008), 2760-2809. [361]
- [35] Q. Yang, T. Qian and P. Li, Fefferman-Stein decomposition for Q -spaces and micro-local quantities, *Non-linear Anal.*, 145 (2016), 24-48. [361, 363]
- [36] W. Yuan, W. Sickel and D. Yang, *Morrey and Campanato Meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel*, Lecture Notes in Math., 2005, Springer, 2010. [361]
- [37] H. Yue and G. Dafni, A John-Nirenberg type inequality for $Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 351 (2009), 428-439. [361]

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY, ST. JOHN'S, NL A1C 5S7, CANADA

Email address: jxiao@mun.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HEBEI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHIJIAZHUANG 050016, CHINA

Email address: junjiezhang@bjtu.edu.cn