

A regularity result for a linear elliptic equation with Hardy-type potential

Ionel Ciuperca

Communicated by Y. Charles Li, received November 22, 2011.

ABSTRACT. We consider a linear elliptic problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions, with a potential term $b(x)u$ where the potential function b behaves as $\frac{1}{\text{dist}^2(x, \partial\Omega)}$ close to the boundary. We study the effect of this potential term on the H^2 regularity of the solution of the problem. An application to a stationary Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equation for FENE models of diluted polymers is given.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The one dimensional case	2
3. The general dimensional case	4
4. An application to a FENE model for diluted polymers	9
Acknowledgements	12
References	12

1. Introduction

We consider Ω an open, bounded and regular set in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ a solution of the equation

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta u + b(x)u = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

In the above f is an element of $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and the function b is positive, regular and “behaves as $\frac{1}{\text{dist}^2(x, \partial\Omega)}$ for x close to $\partial\Omega$ ”.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35H99.

Key words and phrases. Regularity of partial differential equations, Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equations, Hardy-type potential.

The goal of this paper is to study the influence of the term $b(x)u$ on the H^2 -regularity of the solution of the problem.

Suppose for simplicity that the function b is given by the expression:

$$b(x) = \frac{b^*}{\text{dist}^2(x, \partial\Omega)}$$

where $b^* > 0$ is a constant (more general forms of b are given in Section 3). We prove that, under the supplementary hypothesis $b^* > \frac{3}{4}$, the solution u belongs to H^2 for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, and we also have an appropriate inequality. Moreover, we prove that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, that is, $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$.

The H^2 regularity of u in the case $b \equiv 0$ is a classical result; nevertheless, remark that the regularity result presented in this paper is not an obvious consequence of this classical regularity, since in general the term $b(x)u$ is not an element of $L^2(\Omega)$ under the hypothesis $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

To the best of our knowledge, the H^2 regularity of the solution of such a problem was never studied in the past. For a related work, we mention the paper [1] where a problem like (1.1) is considered, with a function b of the form $b(x) = \frac{b^*}{\|x\|^2}$ with $b^* \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \in \Omega$. In that paper the authors suppose that b^* is negative with small enough absolute value and they study the $W^{1,p}$ regularity of u under L^q regularity hypothesis on f , with $p, q \geq 1$.

Our paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we consider the one dimensional case ($n = 0$) while in Section 3 the case $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is treated. The proof for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is based on the result obtained in the one dimensional case. Notice that these results are stated in a more general setting than described in the beginning of this section. In Section 4 we give an application to a stationary Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equation for FENE models of diluted polymers; this was the initial motivation for the result obtained in this paper.

2. The one dimensional case

In this section we denote $\Omega =]0, a[$ with $a > 0$ a given number. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad -u''(x) + \frac{b}{x^2}u(x) = f(x) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

Our goal is to prove a H^2 -regularity result for u .

The main result of this section is the following:

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose that $b > \frac{3}{4}$ and let us denote*

$$\alpha \equiv \alpha(b) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4b}).$$

Then for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ satisfying (2.1) we have:

$$u \in H^2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad u(0) = u'(0) = 0.$$

We also have the estimate

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C_1 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

where

$$C_1 = C_1(a, b) = 1 + \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}(2\alpha - 1)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha - 3}} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\sqrt{2\alpha + 1}} \right) + \frac{4\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{(2\alpha + 1)(2\alpha - 3)} +$$

$$+\frac{\alpha}{2(2\alpha-1)}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2\alpha-3}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\sqrt{2\alpha-3}}+\frac{a}{\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}\right)$$

and

$$C_2 = C_2(a, b) = 1 + \frac{\alpha\sqrt{2\alpha+1}}{a^2} \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{2\alpha-1}} + \frac{\alpha-1}{\sqrt{2\alpha-3}} \right).$$

PROOF. We solve the equation (2.1) by using the change of variables

$$x = e^t \quad \text{with } t \in]-\infty, \log a[.$$

Since

$$\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} = \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} - \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{du}{dt}$$

we obtain from (2.1):

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} - \frac{du}{dt} - bu = -e^{-2t}f.$$

We easily find that the general solutions of (2.3) is given by

$$u(t) = e^{\alpha t} \left[\beta_1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha-1} \int_{\log(a)}^t e^{(2-\alpha)t'} f(t') dt' \right] \\ + e^{(1-\alpha)t} \left[\beta_2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha-1} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(1+\alpha)t'} f(t') dt' \right]$$

with $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrary. Now passing in the variable x we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad u(x) = x^\alpha \left[\beta_1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha-1} \int_x^a f(x')(x')^{1-\alpha} dx' \right] \\ + x^{1-\alpha} \left[\beta_2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha-1} \int_0^x f(x')(x')^\alpha dx' \right].$$

The expression of u can be written in the form

$$u(x) = \beta_1 x^\alpha + \frac{1}{2\alpha-1} x^\alpha u_1(x) + \frac{1}{2\alpha-1} x^{1-\alpha} u_2(x) + \beta_2 x^{1-\alpha}$$

with

$$u_1(x) = \int_x^a f(x')(x')^{1-\alpha} dx'$$

and

$$u_2(x) = \int_0^x f(x')(x')^\alpha dx'.$$

From the hypothesis $b > \frac{3}{4}$ we deduce

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha > \frac{3}{2},$$

then the function $\beta_1 x^\alpha$ is an element of $H^2(\Omega)$. Let us prove that $x^\alpha u_1, x^{1-\alpha} u_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$. We are the following inequalities:

$$|u_1(x)| \leq \left[\int_x^a (x')^{2-2\alpha} dx' \right]^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

which gives

$$(2.6) \quad |u_1(x)| \leq \frac{x^{3/2-\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\alpha-3}} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

and we obtain in the same manner

$$(2.7) \quad |u_2(x)| \leq \frac{x^{1/2+\alpha}}{\sqrt{2\alpha+1}} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

We deduce from the above inequalities that $x^\alpha u_1, x^{1-\alpha} u_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ with

$$(2.8) \quad \|x^\alpha u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|x^{1-\alpha} u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{a^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha+1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha-3}} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Since u must be an element of $L^2(\Omega)$ we necessarily have $\beta_2 = 0$, that is, u is given by

$$(2.9) \quad u(x) = \beta_1 x^\alpha + \frac{1}{2\alpha-1} x^\alpha u_1(x) + \frac{1}{2\alpha-1} x^{1-\alpha} u_2(x).$$

We easily compute

$$(2.10) \quad u'(x) = \alpha\beta_1 x^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-1} x^{\alpha-1} u_1(x) + \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha-1} x^{-\alpha} u_2(x)$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad u''(x) = -f(x) + \alpha(\alpha-1)\beta_1 x^{\alpha-2} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2\alpha-1} [x^{\alpha-2} u_1(x) + x^{-1-\alpha} u_2(x)].$$

With the help of (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain:

$$|u'(x)| \leq \alpha|\beta_1|x^{\alpha-1} + \left[\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha-3}} + \frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha+1}} \right] x^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega$$

and we deduce that $u' \in L^2(\Omega)$ with

$$(2.12) \quad \|u'\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq |\beta_1| \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha-1}} a^{\alpha-1/2} + \frac{a}{\sqrt{2(2\alpha-1)}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha-3}} + \frac{\alpha-1}{\sqrt{2\alpha+1}} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Now using the Hardy inequalities (see for exemple Lemma 6.2.1 of [7]), we infer that $x^{\alpha-2} u_1, x^{-1-\alpha} u_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\|x^{\alpha-2} u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{2}{2\alpha-3} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\|x^{-1-\alpha} u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{2}{2\alpha+1} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Then from (2.11) we deduce that $u'' \in L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$(2.13) \quad \|u''\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq |\beta_1| \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{\sqrt{2\alpha-3}} a^{\alpha-3/2} + \left[1 + \frac{4\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(2\alpha+1)(2\alpha-3)} \right] \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

□

3. The general dimensional case

In this section we consider $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and we denote by Ω an open bounded domain included in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , with boundary of class C^2 .

Let us denote $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$; for any $x \in \Gamma$ we denote $\nu \equiv \nu(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ the normal vector in x to Γ oriented to the interior of Ω . For any $\epsilon > 0$ we denote

$$\Sigma_\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega; \text{dist}(x, \Gamma) < \epsilon\}.$$

It is well-known that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ small enough such that for any $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$ we have

$$\Sigma_\epsilon = \{x + s\nu(x), x \in \Gamma, s \in]0, \epsilon\}.$$

We also denote for any $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\epsilon = \{x + \epsilon\nu(x), x \in \Gamma\}.$$

Let us now give $a > 0$ and consider $f \in L^2(\Sigma_a)$ and $u \in H^1(\Sigma_a)$ satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + b(x)u = f & \text{in } H^{-1}(\Sigma_a) \\ u = 0 & \text{for } x \in \Gamma \end{cases}$$

In the above $b : \Sigma_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given regular enough function which “behaves as $\frac{1}{\text{dist}^2(x, \Gamma)}$ for x close” to Γ . For simplicity we suppose that there exists a function $b_0 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $b_0 \in C^2(\Gamma)$, such that

$$(3.2) \quad b(x + s\nu(x)) = \frac{b_0(x)}{s^2}, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma, \forall s \in]0, \min\{\epsilon_0, a\}[.$$

In all this section we denote by C a generic positive constant.

We have the following preliminary result:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let us consider $f \in L^2(\Sigma_a)$ and $u \in H^1(\Sigma_a)$ satisfying (3.1).*

a) *For any $a_1 \in]0, a[$ we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \|u\|_{H^1(\Sigma_{a_1})} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}]$$

b) *For any a_1, a_2 with $0 < a_1 < a_2 < a$, we have $u \in H^2(\Sigma_{1,2})$ and*

$$(3.4) \quad \|u\|_{H^2(\Sigma_{1,2})} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}]$$

where we denoted $\Sigma_{1,2} = \Sigma_{a_2} - \overline{\Sigma_{a_1}}$.

PROOF. **a)** We consider a cut-off function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Sigma_a})$ such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on Σ_{a_1} and $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $\partial(\Sigma_a) - \Gamma$. It is clear that $\tilde{u} \equiv u\varphi$ satisfies

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta \tilde{u} + b(x)\tilde{u} = \tilde{f} & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Sigma_a) \\ \tilde{u} = 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial\Sigma_a \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{f} = f\varphi - 2\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi - u\Delta \varphi$. We have that \tilde{f} is an element of $H^{-1}(\Sigma_a)$ and

$$(3.6) \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_{H^{-1}(\Sigma_a)} \leq c [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}]$$

From the Hardy inequality

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \frac{v}{\text{dist}(x, \Gamma)} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} \leq c \|v\|_{H^1(\Sigma_a)}, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Sigma_a)$$

we easily deduce that the problem (3.5) has an unique solution $\tilde{u} \in H_0^1(\Sigma_a)$ for any $\tilde{f} \in H^{-1}(\Sigma_a)$ and

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{H^1(\Sigma_a)} \leq c \|\tilde{f}\|_{H^{-1}(\Sigma_a)}.$$

With the help pf (3.6) we obtain the expected result.

b) This part is obvious by interior regularity. □

We can now state the following result

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that $b_0(x) > \frac{3}{4} \forall x \in \Gamma$. Then for any $f \in L^2(\Sigma_a)$ and $u \in H^1(\Sigma_a)$ satisfying (3.1) we have $u \in H^2(\Sigma_a)$ with*

$$(3.8) \quad \|u\|_{H^2(\Sigma_a)} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}]$$

where $C \geq 0$ is a constant independent on u and f .
We also have

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

PROOF. From Lemma 3.1 **b)** it suffices to prove that $u \in H^2(\Sigma_{s_0})$ for $s_0 > 0$ small enough.

Let us consider the open sets $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_N$ such that

$$\Sigma_{s_0} \subset \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cdots \Omega_N$$

and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N$ a partition of unity associated to $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_N$, with $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_k)$ and

$$0 \leq \varphi_k \leq 1, \quad \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k = 1 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{s_0}.$$

Let us denote for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$:

$$\tilde{\Omega}_k = \Omega_k \cap \Sigma_{s_0}, \quad \Gamma_k = \Omega_k \cap \Gamma$$

and

$$u_k = u \varphi_k.$$

Since $u = \sum_{k=1}^N u_k$, to obtain the regularity result it suffices to prove that $u_k \in H^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)$ and to obtain appropriate estimates for $\|u_k\|_{H^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}$.

It is clear that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u_k + b u_k = f_k & \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_k \\ u_k = 0 & \text{on } x \in \partial \tilde{\Omega}_k - \tilde{\Gamma}_{s_0} \end{cases}$$

where we denoted

$$f_k = f \varphi - 2 \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_k - u \Delta \varphi_k.$$

We can suppose that

$$\tilde{\Omega}_k = \{x + s\nu(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_k, \quad s \in [0, a]\}.$$

We also suppose that every set Γ_k is an n -dimensional C^2 - manifold which can be written in the following manner:

$$\Gamma_k = \{g^k(t), \quad t \in T_k\}$$

where T_k is a bounded regular open set in \mathbb{R}^n and $g^k : T_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an injective and C^2 - function (for exemple Γ_k can be defined as a C^2 - graph in an appropriate local coordinates system).

We now introduce the vectors in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} :

$$\tau_j \equiv \tau_j(t) = \frac{\partial g^k}{\partial t_j}(t), \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

These vectors are tangent to the manifold Γ_k and we suppose that $\tau_1(t), \dots, \tau_n(t)$ are independent in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} for any $t \in T_k$. Let us define

$$\tilde{\nu} \equiv \tilde{\nu}(t) = \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \cdots \wedge \tau_n$$

(the vectorial product in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) where we recall that $\tilde{\nu}_j = (-1)^{k+1} \det(\mathbf{M}_j)$ with \mathbf{M}_j the $n \times n$ matrix obtained from \mathbf{M} by suppressing the line j , where \mathbf{M} is the

$(n+1) \times n$ matrix whose l -column is τ_l .

It is clear that $\tilde{\nu}$ is normal to Γ_k and we suppose that ν is given by

$$\nu = (-1)^n \frac{\tilde{\nu}}{d}$$

where we denote $d \equiv d(t) = \|\tilde{\nu}(t)\| > 0$ (since $\tilde{\nu}(t) \neq 0$).

Let us denote $Q = T_k \times [0, s_0]$. We suppose that $s_0 > 0$ is small enough such that the function $\theta : Q \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_k$ given by

$$\theta(t, s) = g(t) + s\nu(t)$$

is injective. It is easy to see that the Jacobian matrix of θ is the matrix $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A}(t, s)$ given by

$$\mathbf{A}(t, s) = \mathbf{A}_0(t) + s\mathbf{J}(t)$$

where \mathbf{A}_0 and \mathbf{J}_0 are written by columns as

$$\mathbf{A}_0 \equiv \mathbf{A}_0(t) = (\tau_1(t) \cdots \tau_n(t) \ \nu(t))$$

and

$$\mathbf{J}_0 \equiv \mathbf{J}_0(t) = \left(\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t_1}(t) \cdots \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t_n}(t) \ 0 \right).$$

We also have

$$\det(\mathbf{A}_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+j+1} \nu_j \det(\mathbf{M}_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^n \nu_j \tilde{\nu}_j = d(t) > 0, \quad \forall t \in T_k.$$

By continuity we deduce that there exists $d_0 > 0$ such that

$$\det(\mathbf{A}(t, s)) \geq d_0 \quad \text{on } Q$$

for s_0 small enough. Then the function θ is a diffeomorphism between Q and $\tilde{\Omega}_k$.

Let us denote $\mathbf{B} \equiv \mathbf{B}(t, s) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$. It is well-known that the laplacian operator on $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ is given in coordinates (t, s) by

$$\Delta_x v = L(v)$$

where we set

$$(3.11) \quad L(v) = \frac{1}{\det \mathbf{A}} \nabla_{t,s} \cdot [(\det \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^T \nabla_{t,s} v]$$

Then the equation (3.10) is written in coordinates (t, s) under the form

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} -L(u_k) + \frac{b_0(t)}{s^2} u_k = f_k & \text{in } Q \\ u_k = 0 & \text{on } \partial Q - \{s = s_0\}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that for s_0 small enough, we have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that $v \in H^m(\tilde{\Omega}_k) \iff v \in H^m(Q)$ with equivalence of norms.

Let us observe that

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbf{A}_0^T \mathbf{A}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{R} \equiv \mathbf{R}(t)$ is the $n \times n$ invertible matrix given by

$$\mathbf{R}_{ij}(t) = \tau_i(t) \cdot \tau_j(t), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^T = (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ we deduce

$$\mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^T = (\mathbf{A}_0^T \mathbf{A}_0)^{-1} + sO(1)$$

where we denote in all this paper by $O(1)$ terms which are regular enough on $\overline{\Sigma_{s_0}}$. We remark that

$$\det \mathbf{A} = d(t) + sO(1).$$

By a direct calculus we can prove that the operator L can be written in the form

$$(3.14) \quad L(v) = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial s^2} + L_0(v) + L_1(v) + sL_2(v)$$

where

$$L_0(v) = \frac{1}{d} \nabla_t \cdot (d\mathbf{R}\nabla_t v)$$

L_1 is a first order linear differential operator in (t, s)

L_2 is a second order linear differential operator in (t, s) .

The goal is now to prove that $f_k, L_0(u_k), L_1(u_k)$ and $sL_2(u_k)$ are in $L^2(Q)$, to obtain appropriate L^2 - estimates for these expressions and to conclude using the results of Theorem 2.1.

From Lemma 3.1 we deduce that

$$(3.15) \quad \|u_k\|_{H^1(Q)} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}]$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \|u_k\|_{H^{3/2}(\{s=s_0\})} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}]$$

which allows to obtain $f_k, L_1(u_k) \in L^2(Q)$ with

$$(3.17) \quad \|f_k\|_{L^2(Q)} + \|L_1(u_k)\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}].$$

Let us now observe that for any v we have

$$(3.18) \quad L(sv) = sL(v) + L_3(v)$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad L_2(sv) = sL_2(v) + L_4(v)$$

with L_3, L_4 first order linear differential operators in (t, s) . Then multiplying (3.12) by s and using (3.18) we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{cases} -L(su_k) = sf_k - \frac{b_0(t)}{s}u_k - L_3(u_k) & \text{in } Q \\ su_k = 0 & \text{on } \partial Q - \{s = s_0\}. \end{cases}$$

Now we have the following Hardy inequality

$$(3.21) \quad \left\| \frac{v}{s} \right\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \|v\|_{H^1(Q)} \quad \forall v \in H^1(Q) \quad \text{with } v = 0 \quad \text{on } \{s = 0\}$$

which implies

$$(3.22) \quad \left\| \frac{v}{s^2} \right\|_{H^{-1}(Q)} \leq C \|v\|_{H^1(Q)} \quad \forall v \in H^1(Q) \quad \text{with } v = 0 \quad \text{on } \{s = 0\}.$$

From (3.15), (3.16) and (3.21) we deduce by classical regularity that $su_k \in H^2(Q)$. Then using (3.19) we deduce that $sL_2(u_k) \in L^2(Q)$ and

$$(3.23) \quad \|sL_2(u_k)\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}].$$

On the other hand, we remark that for any $j = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} L(v) = L \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t_j} \right) + L_5(v)$$

with L_5 a second order linear differential operators in (t, s) . Now deriving (3.12) with respect to t_j we deduce

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{cases} -L\left(\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t_j}\right) + \frac{b_0(t)}{s^2} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t_j} = \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial t_j} + L_5(u_k) - \frac{u_k}{s^2} \frac{\partial b_0}{\partial t_j} & \text{in } Q \\ \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t_j} = 0 & \text{on } \partial Q - \{s = s_0\}. \end{cases}$$

Denoting by g_k the right-hand part of the first equation of (3.24) we prove, with the help of (3.22), that $g_k \in H^{-1}(Q)$ and

$$\|g_k\|_{H^{-1}(Q)} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}].$$

We now use the fact that the operator $-Lv + \frac{b_0}{s^2}v$ is an isomorphisme from $H_0^1(Q)$ to $H^{-1}(Q)$ and that $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t_j} \in H^{1/2}(\{s = s_0\})$ with

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t_j} \right\|_{H^{1/2}(\{s=s_0\})} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}]$$

as a consequence of Lemma 3.1. Then for any $j = 1, \dots, n$ we deduce that $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t_j} \in H^1(Q)$, and we obtain $L_0(u_k) \in L^2(Q)$ with

$$(3.25) \quad \|L_0(u_k)\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}].$$

Now the equation (3.12) can be written in the form

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial^2 u_k}{\partial s^2} + \frac{b_0(t)}{s^2} u_k = h_k & \text{in } Q \\ u_k(s=0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with

$$h_k = f_k + L_1(u_k) + sL_2(u_k) + L_0(u_k).$$

From (3.17), (3.23) and (3.25) we deduce that $h_k \in L^2(Q)$ and

$$(3.27) \quad \|h_k\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C [\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma_a)}]$$

which allows to write $h_k(t, \cdot) \in L^2(]0, s_0])$ a.e. $t \in T$.

Since $u_k(t, \cdot) \in L^2(]0, s_0])$ we can apply Theorem 2.1 and deduce that $u_k(t, \cdot) \in H^2(]0, s_0])$ a.e. $t \in T$. We also have a.e. $t \in T_k$:

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 u_k(t, \cdot)}{\partial s^2} \right\|_{L^2(]0, s_0])} \leq C_1(s_0, \alpha) \|h_k(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(]0, s_0])} + C_2(s_0, \alpha) \|u_k(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(]0, s_0])}$$

with $\alpha \equiv \alpha(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{b_0(t)}\right)$ and C_1, C_2 given in Theorem 2.1. We also obtain $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial s}(t, 0) = 0$ a.e. $t \in T_k$ which gives (3.9), where we use the fact that $\mathbf{B}(t, 0)\nu = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$. Integrating in T_k and using (3.27) we easily obtain that u belongs to $H^2(\Sigma_a)$ and satisfies (3.8). \square

4. An application to a FENE model for diluted polymers

We consider the stationary Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equation in \mathbb{R}^d , $d = 2$ or $d = 3$, which comes from the modelisation of the diluted polymers where the molecules are considered as elastic springs (**FENE** models, see for exemple [3], [4], [5] and [6]). We suppose that

- (1) The length of the molecules are no larger than a physical constant supposed equal to 1 by normalization.

- (2) The gradient of the velocity of the fluid is a constant traceless $d \times d$ matrix denoted by \mathbf{G} .
- (3) The force in the elastic springs is given by

$$F(x) = \frac{2\delta x}{1 - \|x\|^2}, \quad \forall x \in B$$

where we denote $\delta > 0$ a physical constant and $B = B(0, 1)$ the ball in \mathbb{R}^d centered in 0 with radius 1.

We search for a density probability ψ defined on B solution of

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta\psi - \nabla \cdot [F(x)\psi - \mathbf{G}x\psi] = 0 & \text{for } x \in B \\ \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} + [F(x)\psi - \mathbf{G}x\psi] \cdot x = 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial B \end{cases}$$

Remark that $F(x) = \nabla\phi(x)$ with $\phi(x) = -\delta \log(1 - \|x\|^2)$, then the problem (4.1) can be written in the form

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot \left[M \nabla \left(\frac{\psi}{M} \right) \right] + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{G}x\psi) = 0 & \text{for } x \in B \\ \left[-M \nabla \left(\frac{\psi}{M} \right) + \mathbf{G}x\psi \right] \cdot x = 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial B \end{cases}$$

where we denote $M(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)^\delta$. This equation has to be completed by the conditions:

$$(4.3) \quad \psi \geq 0$$

$$(4.4) \quad \int_B \psi(x) dx = q$$

with $q > 0$ a given constant.

Let us now introduce the following functional spaces

$$L_M^2 \equiv L_M^2(B) := \left\{ \varphi \in L_{loc}^1(B), \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{M} dx < \infty \right\}$$

$$H_M^1 \equiv H_M^1(B) := \left\{ \varphi \in L_{loc}^1(B), \int_B \left[\frac{\varphi^2}{M} + M \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{M} \right) \right|^2 \right] dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Then the variational formulation of the problem (4.2) is: find $\psi \in H_M^1$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \int_B \left[M \nabla \left(\frac{\psi}{M} \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{M} \right) - \mathbf{G}x\psi \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{M} \right) \right] dx = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in H_M^1.$$

The existence and uniqueness of a solution of (4.5) satisfying also (4.3) and (4.4) was given in [2] and [3].

The goal of this section is to give a supplementary regularity result for ψ . Let us begin by the following preliminary result:

LEMMA 4.1. *For any $\delta > 1$ we have*

$$H_M^1 = \left\{ \varphi \in L_{loc}^1(B), \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{M}} \in H_0^1(B) \right\}$$

and there exist constants $0 < c_1 < c_2$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad c_1 \left\| \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{M}} \right\|_{H_1(B)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{H_M^1} \leq c_2 \left\| \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{M}} \right\|_{H_1(B)}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_M^1.$$

PROOF. Let us consider $\varphi \in H_M^1$ arbitrary. We have

$$\nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{M}} \right) = \sqrt{M} \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{M} \right) - \frac{\nabla M}{2M^{3/2}} \varphi.$$

Since $\nabla M = -2\delta x M^{1-1/\delta}$ we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{M}} \right\|_{H^1(B)}^2 \leq \int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{M} + 2 \int_B M \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{M} \right) \right|^2 + 2\delta^2 \int_B \frac{\|x\|^2}{M^{1+2/\delta}} \varphi^2$$

Now using Theorem 6.2.5 of [7] (see also the inclusion (3.10) of [3]) we deduce that $\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{M}} \in H^1(B)$ and that the first inequality of (4.6) is satisfied.

On the other hand, from the density of $\mathcal{D}(B)$ in H_M^1 (see Remark 3.7 of [6]) we deduce that there exists a sequence $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ such that $\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi$ in H_M^1 . Then

$$\frac{\varphi_k}{\sqrt{M}} \rightarrow \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{M}} \quad \text{in } H^1(B) \quad \text{with } \frac{\varphi_k}{\sqrt{M}} \in \mathcal{D}(B)$$

and this implies $\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{M}} \in H_0^1(B)$.

Let us now consider $v \in H_0^1(B)$ and denote $\varphi = \sqrt{M}v$. We have

$$\int_B \frac{\varphi^2}{M} + \int_B M \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi}{M} \right) \right|^2 = \int_B v^2 + \int_B |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_B \left| \frac{\nabla M}{M} \right|^2 v^2.$$

With the help of the Hardy inequality we deduce that $\varphi \in H_M^1$ and obtain the second inequality of (4.6). \square

Then using the changes $\psi = \sqrt{M}f$ and $\varphi = \sqrt{M}g$, the problem (4.5) can be written in the equivalent form: find $f \in H_0^1(B)$ such that

$$\int_B \left[M \nabla \left(\frac{f}{\sqrt{M}} \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{g}{\sqrt{M}} \right) - \mathbf{G}x \sqrt{M} f \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{g}{\sqrt{M}} \right) \right] dx = 0 \quad \forall g \in H_0^1(B).$$

By an elementary calculus, the above equality writes

$$\int_B \left\{ \nabla f \cdot \nabla g - \mathbf{G}x f \cdot \nabla g + \left[(\delta^2 - 2\delta)M^{-2/\delta} - (\delta^2 + (n-2)\delta + \delta \mathbf{G}x \cdot x)M^{-1/\delta} \right] fg \right\}$$

then $f \in H_0^1(B)$ satisfies the problem

$$-\Delta f + \mathbf{G}x \cdot \nabla f + \left[\frac{\delta^2 - 2\delta}{(1 - \|x\|^2)^2} - \frac{\delta^2 + (n-2)\delta + \delta \mathbf{G}x \cdot x}{1 - \|x\|^2} \right] f = 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(B).$$

Let us now write

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \|x\|^2)^2} = \frac{1}{(1 + \|x\|)^2} \frac{1}{(1 - \|x\|)^2} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(1 - \|x\|)^2} + \frac{3 + \|x\|}{4(1 + \|x\|)^2} \frac{1}{1 - \|x\|}$$

and observe that $\text{dist}(x, \partial B) = 1 - \|x\|$, $\forall x \in B$. Since $\frac{f}{1 - \|x\|} \in L^2(B)$ by Hardy inequality, we deduce that f satisfies

$$-\Delta f + \frac{\delta^2 - 2\delta}{4 \text{dist}^2(x, \partial B)} f = h \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(B)$$

with $h \in L^2(B)$.

Then the result of Theorem 3.2 applies with $b_0 = \frac{\delta^2 - 2\delta}{4}$ provided that

$\frac{\delta^2 - 2\delta}{4} > \frac{3}{4} \iff \delta > 3$ and we get $f \in H^2(B)$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on ∂B .

We then proved the following regularity result:

PROPOSITION 4.2. Under the hypothesis $\delta > 3$ the solution ψ of (4.5) satisfies

$$\frac{\psi}{\sqrt{M}} \in H_0^2(B).$$

Acknowledgements

The author thanks to Petru Mironescu for useful talks on regularity results in elliptic PDEs.

References

- [1] L. Boccardo, L. Orsina, I. Peral, A remark on existence and optimal summability of solutions of elliptic problems involving Hardy potential, *Discrete and continuous Dynamical Systems*, Vol. 16, No. 3, (2006), 513-523.
- [2] L. Chupin, The FENE model for viscoelastic thin film flows, *Methods Appl. Anal.* 16 (2009) no. 2, 217-261.
- [3] I.S. Ciuperca and L.I. Palade, The steady state configurational distribution diffusion equation of the standard FENE dumbbell polymer model: existence and uniqueness of solutions for arbitrary velocity gradients, *Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci. (M3AS)*, Vol. 19, No. 11 (2009) 2039-2064.
- [4] B. Jourdain, C. Le Bris, T. Lelièvre, F. Otto, Long-Time asymptotics of a multiscale model for a polymeric fluid flows, *Arc. Rational Mech. Anal.* 181 (2006) 97-148.
- [5] F. Lin, C. Liu, P. Zhang, On a micro-macro model for polymeric fluids near equilibrium, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), no. 6, 838866.
- [6] N. Masmoudi, Well-Posedness for the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 61 (12) (2008), 1685-1714.
- [7] J. Nečas, *Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques*, Masson, Paris, 1967.

INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, FRANCE
E-mail address: ciuperca@math.univ-lyon1.fr