# The low regularity global solutions for the critical generalized KdV equation

Changxing Miao, Shuanglin Shao, Yifei Wu, and Guixiang Xu

Communicated by Terence Tao, received May 21, 2010.

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Cauchy problem of the mass-critical generalized KdV equation is globally well-posed in Sobolev spaces  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  for s>6/13; we require that the mass is strictly less than that of the ground state in the focusing case. The main approach is the "I-method" together with some multilinear correction analysis. The result improves the previous works of Fonseca, Linares, Ponce (2003) and Farah (2009).

### Contents

1.	Introduction	265
2.	Notations and some preliminary estimates	268
3.	I-method and the Multilinear Estimates	270
4.	Fixed-time bound and almost conservation law	274
5.	Theorem 3.1 implies Theorem 1.1	285
References		287

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the mass-critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation (gKdV):

(1.1) 
$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u = \mu \partial_x (u^5), \qquad u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R},$$

$$(1.2) u(x,0) = u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}),$$

where  $\mu=\pm 1$ ,  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the usual inhomogeneous Sobolev space of order s. When  $\mu=1$ , the equation (1.1) is called "defocusing", while when  $\mu=-1$  it is called "focusing". The equation (1.1) is mass-critical since the scaling

$$u(x,t) \to \lambda^{-1/2} u(x/\lambda, t/\lambda^3), \ \lambda > 0,$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35Q53; Secondary 47J35. Key words and phrases. gKdV equation, Bourgain space, Well-posedness, I-method.

leaves both the equation and the mass  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x,t)|^2 dx$  invariant.

The Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) was shown by Kenig, Ponce, Vega [26] to be locally well-posed in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  for  $s \geq 0$ , see also [22] for s > 3/2. In other words, for any  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ , there exists a positive time  $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^s})$  (when s = 0, T also depends on the profile of the initial data  $u_0$ ), such that the solution to (1.1)-(1.2) exists and is unique in a certain Banach space of functional  $X \subset C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ ; moreover, the solution map is continuous from  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  to  $C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ . It appears that the index s = 0 is sharp since there are examples to show that the critical gKdV equation is ill-posed for s < 0, see [2]. If the lifetime of the solution T can be taken arbitrarily large, we say that (1.1)-(1.2) is globally well-posed.

As is well-known, the local solution to equation (1.1)-(1.2) enjoys the mass conservation law,

(1.3) 
$$M(u(t)) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{P}} |u(x,t)|^2 dx = M(u_0),$$

and the  $H^1$ -solution enjoys the energy conservation law,

(1.4) 
$$E(u(t)) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} |\partial_x u(x,t)|^2 + \frac{\mu}{6} |u(x,t)|^6 dx = E(u_0).$$

Hence, an immediate conclusion on global wellposedness for  $H^1$ -initial data follows from the local theory in [26] and the equation (1.4) above in the defocusing case. In the focusing case, the same conclusion holds under the condition  $||u_0||_{L^2} < ||Q||_{L^2}$  by the sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see [31]),

$$(1.5) ||u||_{L^{6}}^{6} \le 3(||u||_{L^{2}}/||Q||_{L^{2}})^{4}||\partial_{x}u||_{L^{2}}^{2},$$

where  $Q = [3\mathrm{sech}^2(2x)]^{\frac{1}{4}}$  is the ground state solution to the elliptic equation

$$\partial_{xx}Q + Q^5 = Q.$$

Moreover, the local theory in [26] implies the global well-posedness in  $L^2$  when the initial data has sufficiently small  $L^2$  norm. However, unlike in the case of  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , where the equation (1.1) is "subcritical" with respect to the regularity of initial data, the usual iteration argument involving the Strichartz estimates and the mass conservation law will not yield the global wellposedness directly for large  $L^2$  data. So the question of  $L^2$ -global wellposedness and scattering is regarded as an open conjecture in the field; it is far from resolution, despite much recent progress [27, 28].

Therefore, a natural question arises: what is the least  $s_0 > 0$  such that for  $s > s_0$ , if  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ , the solution to (1.1)-(1.2) is globally well-posed? The question is partly plausible in light of the recent exciting progresses in nonlinear dispersive equations such as nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLS), nonlinear wave equations (NLW), etc. They are made possibly by the well-known strategies: Bourgain's "Fourier truncation method" in [3] and the "I-method" by I-team (Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, Tao), see e.g., [6], [10]. The "Fourier truncation method" works well provided that there is some smoothing effect arising from the non-linearity, while "I-method" can still work in the case that there are derivatives in the non-linearity and such smoothing is not available, and often the latter gives a sharper result (see [23, 24] for a discussion). Interested readers may consult [6] for a discussion on Bourgain's high/low trick in "Fourier truncation method" and examples of applications of the first-generation "I-method" and second generation "I-method" to KdV and mKdV equations. For the recent developments

of "I-method", we refer to [5, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 30] on the applications in the context of nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS), refer to [7, 9] on the applications in the context of Schrödinger equation with derivative (DNLS), refer to [6, 10, 15, 21, 32] on the applications in the context of gKdV equations.

The global well-posedness of (1.1)-(1.2) below the energy space  $H^1$  was considered by Farah [18], Fonseca, Linares and Ponce [19]. The authors in [19] proved the global existence in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  for s > 3/4 by applying Bourgain's "Fourier truncation method". This was improved very recently in [18], which lower the index to s > 3/5 by I-method introduced in [8]. The condition  $||u_0|| < ||Q||_{L^2}$  is imposed for both results in the focusing case.

Our main result in this paper is the following improvement.

THEOREM 1.1. The Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) is globally well-posed in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  for s > 6/13 when  $\mu = 1$ . The same conclusion holds under the assumption  $||u_0|| < ||Q||_{L^2}$  when  $\mu = -1$ .

To prove this theorem, we will follow the general scheme of "I-method" by adding a "correction-term" to the first modified energy E(Iu) as in [9, 10] and using the multilinear correction analysis. If we add it in a naive way, the multiplier introduced in order to obtain the second modified energy is singular in the sense that its  $L^{\infty}$  norm is infinity for a set of nonzero measure. This difficulty is also noted in [18, Proposition 3.1]. To overcome it, our approach here follows along similar lines of refining the modified energy by performing a resonant decomposition to the singular multiplier as in [13] by Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao, see also [1, 4]. More precisely we will split the multiplier  $M_6$  arising from the derivative of the first modified energy into two parts:

$$M_6 := \bar{M}_6 + \tilde{M}_6$$

under the principle that  $\bar{M}_6$  contains some low-frequency terms, which is referred to as "resonant term", and  $\tilde{M}_6$  contains the rest, which is referred to as the "non-resonant term". For  $\tilde{M}_6$ , we will use a point-wise estimate (in t) and reduce it to an error term in the final bootstrap argument, see Lemma 4.3 and the argument in Section 5. For  $\bar{M}_6$ , we perform a careful multilinear analysis by using  $X_{s,b}$ -type estimates.

Our key point for such an improvement s > 6/13 is due to a better energy increment bound,  $N^{-\frac{7}{2}+}$ , see the statements in Theorem 3.1. This improves the previous estimate,  $N^{-2+}$  in [18], which gives s > 3/5.

We will focus on the focusing equation (1.1) under the assumption that  $||u_0||_2 < ||Q||_2$ . This assumption guarantees that the kinetic energy in (1.4) is comparable to the energy thanks to the sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (1.5). The same analysis will go through the defocusing case.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations and state some preliminary estimates that will be used throughout this paper. In Section 3, we establish a variant of local well-posedness theory, set up the I-method. In Section 4, we establish some fixed time bound for the error term and obtain an upper bound on the increment of the new modified energy. In Section 5, we prove the global well-posedness in Theorem 1.1.

# 2. Notations and some preliminary estimates

**2.1. Notations.** We use  $A \lesssim B$  or  $B \gtrsim A$  to denote the statement that  $A \leq CB$  for some positive constant  $0 < C < \infty$  which does not depend on the functions but may vary from line to line. We use the notation  $A \sim B$  whenever  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ . If the constants appearing in  $\lesssim$  or  $\gtrsim$  depend upon some additional parameters, we will indicate them with subscripts; for example,  $A \lesssim_{\epsilon} B$ denotes the assertion that  $A \leq C_{\epsilon}B$  for some positive constant  $C_{\epsilon}$  depending on  $\epsilon$ ; similarly for  $A \sim_{\epsilon} B$ , etc.

We use  $A \ll B$ , or sometimes A = o(B) to state the statement  $A \leq C^{-1}B$  for a sufficiently large constant C > 0. The notation a+ denotes  $a + \epsilon$ , and a- for  $a-\epsilon$  for arbitrarily small exponents  $\epsilon>0$ , and allow the implied constants in  $\lesssim$ notation to depend on  $\epsilon$ .

We also set

$$\langle \cdot \rangle = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{1/2}, \ D_x^{\alpha} = (-\partial_x^2)^{\alpha/2}, \ J_x^{\alpha} = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{\alpha/2}$$

We use  $||f||_{L^p_xL^q_t}$  to denote the mixed norm  $\left(\int ||f(x,\cdot)||_{L^q_t}^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Now we record some definitions. For  $s,b\in\mathbb{R}$ , we define the Bourgain space

 $X_{s,h}$  to be the closure of the Schwartz class under the norm

(2.6) 
$$||u||_{X_{s,b}} \equiv \left( \iint \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} \langle \tau - \xi^3 \rangle^{2b} |\tilde{u}(\xi,\tau)|^2 d\xi d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  denotes the space-time Fourier transform of u defined by

$$\tilde{u}(\xi,\tau) = \iint e^{-i(x\xi+t\tau)} u(x,t) dx dt;$$

similarly we denote by  $\widehat{f}$  the Fourier transform of f(x,t) in the spatial variable. For any interval  $\Omega$ , we define  $X_{s,b}^{\Omega}$  to be the restriction of  $X_{s,b}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$  with the norm

(2.7) 
$$||u||_{X_{s,b}^{\Omega}} = \inf\{||U||_{X_{s,b}} : U|_{t \in \Omega} = u|_{t \in \Omega}\}.$$

When  $\Omega = [-\delta, \delta]$ , we will write  $X_{s,b}^{\Omega}$  as  $X_{s,b}^{\delta}$ . Let 0 < s < 1 and  $N \gg 1$  be fixed. The Fourier multiplier operator  $I_{N,s}$  is defined by

(2.8) 
$$\widehat{I_{N,s}u}(\xi) = m_{N,s}(\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi),$$

where the multiplier  $m_{N,s}(\xi)$  is a smooth, monotone and radial function satisfying  $0 < m_{N,s}(\xi) \le 1$  and

(2.9) 
$$m_{N,s}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \le N, \\ \left(\frac{N}{|\xi|}\right)^{1-s}, & |\xi| > 2N. \end{cases}$$

Sometimes we denote  $I_{N,s}$  and  $m_{N,s}$  by I and m respectively if there is no confusion.

Remark 2.1. The operator  $I_{N,s}$  maps  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  into  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  with equivalent norms for any s < 1. More precisely, there exists some positive constant C such that

(2.10) 
$$C^{-1} \|u\|_{H^s} \le \|I_{N,s}u\|_{H^1} \le CN^{1-s} \|u\|_{H^s}.$$

Moreover,  $I_{N,s}$  can be extended to a map (still denoted by  $I_{N,s}$ ) from  $X_{s,b}$  to  $X_{1,b}$  which satisfies that for any  $s < 1, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$C^{-1}\|u\|_{X_{s,h}} \le \|I_{N,s}u\|_{X_{1,h}} \le CN^{1-s}\|u\|_{X_{s,h}}.$$

**2.2. Preliminary estimates.** We state some preliminary estimates which will be used throughout the paper. We start with some well-known Strichartz estimates, see e.g., [25, 26].

Lemma 2.1. For  $u \in X_{0,\frac{1}{\alpha}+}$ , we have

By Sobolev's embedding, we have the following estimate.

LEMMA 2.2. For  $u \in X_{\frac{1}{\alpha}+,\frac{1}{\alpha}+}$ , we have

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}_{x,t}} \lesssim ||u||_{X_{\frac{1}{2}+,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

We recall the following bilinear estimate from [20, Corollary 3.2] and [18, Lemma 2.1].

LEMMA 2.3. For any  $f_1, f_2 \in X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}$  supported on the frequencies  $\{|\xi_i| \sim N_i\}$ , i = 1, 2. If  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \sim |\xi_1 + \xi_2|$  for all  $\xi_i \in \text{Supp } \widehat{f_i}$ , i = 1, 2, then

For the sake of completeness, we provide a proof by using Plancherel's theorem.

PROOF. To prove (2.13), it suffices to prove

(2.14) 
$$||D_x e^{-t\partial_x^3} \phi_1 e^{-t\partial_x^3} \phi_2||_{L^2_{t,x}} \lesssim ||\phi_1||_{L^2} ||\phi_2||_{L^2},$$

where  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \sim |\xi_1 + \xi_2|$  for all  $\xi_i \in \text{Supp } \widehat{\phi}_i$ . We write

We change variables as follows,  $a := \xi_1 + \xi_2$  and  $b := \xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3$ ; then the Jocabian

$$J := \left| \frac{\partial(a,b)}{\partial(\xi_1,\xi_2)} \right| \sim |\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2| = |(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\xi_1 - \xi_2)| \sim |\xi_1|^2$$

by the assumption on the Fourier supports of  $\phi_i$ , i = 1, 2. Then we apply Plancherel's theorem to the left hand side of (2.14) followed by a changing of variables back, we see that it is bounded by

(2.15) 
$$\lesssim \left( \iint \frac{\left( |\xi_1| \widehat{\phi_1} \widehat{\phi_2} \right)^2}{J} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \|\phi_1\|_{L^2} \|\phi_2\|_{L^2}.$$

This proves (2.14), and hence Lemma 2.3.

#### 3. I-method and the Multilinear Estimates

**3.1. A Variant Local Well-posedness.** In this subsection, we will establish a variant local well-posedness result.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let s > 0, then Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) is locally well-posed for the initial data  $u_0$  with  $I_{N,s}u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, the solution u exists on the interval  $[0,\delta]$  with the lifetime

(3.16) 
$$\delta \sim ||I_{N,s}u_0||_{H^1}^{-\mu}$$

for some  $\mu > 0$ , and

(3.17) 
$$||I_{N,s}u(t)||_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^{\delta}} \lesssim ||I_{N,s}u_0||_{H^1}.$$

It can be established by a standard iteration argument; we present it here for sake of completeness; see also [18, Theorem 5.1] for  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ .

PROOF. The proof proceeds by the usual fixed point argument on the space  $X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^{I}(J)$ . By Duhamel's principle, Lemma 2.11 and Proposition 2.12 in [29], we have

$$||Iu||_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(J)} = ||S(t)(Iu_0) + \int_0^t S(t-s)I(u^4\partial_x u)(s)ds||_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}(J)}$$

$$\lesssim ||Iu_0||_{H^1} + ||I(u^4\partial_x u)||_{X_{1,-\frac{1}{2}+}(J)}$$

$$\lesssim ||Iu_0||_{H^1} + \delta^{\epsilon} ||I(u^4\partial_x u)||_{X_{1,-\frac{1}{2}++}(J)}$$

for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $a + + = a + 2\epsilon$ . Hence it suffices to show that

$$||I(u^4\partial_x u)||_{X_{1,-\frac{1}{2}++}} \lesssim ||Iu||_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}}^5.$$

Using Lemma 12.1 in [12] or the argument of Lemma 5.2 in [7], we only need to prove that

(3.18) 
$$\|u^4 \partial_x u\|_{X_{s, -\frac{1}{2}++}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s, \frac{1}{2}+}}^5 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < s < 1.$$

Indeed, from Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^{5}_xL^{10}_t}\lesssim \|f\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

which, interpolating with  $\|f\|_{L^\infty_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \|f\|_{X_{\frac12+,\frac12+}}$ , implies that

$$||f||_{L_x^{5+}L_t^{10+}} \lesssim ||f||_{X_{0+,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

In addition, by duality of  $||f||_{L^5_xL^{10}_t} \lesssim ||f||_{X_{0,\frac{1}{1}}}$ , we also have

$$||f||_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}-}} \lesssim ||f||_{L_x^{\frac{5}{4}}L_t^{\frac{10}{9}}},$$

which, interpolating with  $\left\|f\right\|_{X_{0,0}}=\left\|f\right\|_{L^2_xL^2_t},$  implies that

$$\|f\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{\lambda}++}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{x}^{\frac{5}{4}+}L_{x}^{\frac{10}{9}+}}.$$

Hence, by the fractional Leibniz rule ([29]) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left\| u^4 \partial_x u \right\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2}++}} \lesssim \left\| u^3 \langle \nabla \rangle^s u \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{5}{4}+} L_t^{\frac{10}{9}+}} + \left\| u^4 \langle \nabla \rangle^s \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{5}{4}+} L_t^{\frac{10}{9}+}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| u \right\|_{L_x^{5+} L_t^{10+}}^3 \left\| \langle \nabla \rangle^s u \right\|_{L_x^{5} L_t^{10}} \left\| \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2} + \left\| u \right\|_{L_x^{5+} L_t^{10+}}^4 \left\| \langle \nabla \rangle^s \partial_x u \right\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2} \\ & \lesssim \left\| u \right\|_{X_{0+,\frac{1}{2}+}}^3 \left\| \langle \nabla \rangle^s u \right\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \left\| u \right\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} + \left\| u \right\|_{X_{0+,\frac{1}{2}+}}^4 \left\| \langle \nabla \rangle^s u \right\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| u \right\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{3}+}}^5 \end{split}$$

for s > 0. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

**3.2. I-method and modified energy.** From now on, we take  $\mu = -1$  and u be the real-valued solution of (1.1)-(1.2) throughout the paper.

First we record the classical set-up for the "I-method", see Section 2 in [10] or Section 3 in [13]. Given a smooth tempered symbol  $M_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$  defined on the hyperplane with the push-forward Lebesgue measure  $d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{k-1}$ ,

(3.19) 
$$\Gamma_k := \{ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) : \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 0 \},$$

we define the quantity

$$\Lambda_k(M_k) := \int_{\Gamma_k} M_k(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k) \prod_{j=1}^k \widehat{u}(\xi_j, t) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_{k-1}.$$

Then by using the equation (1.1) and a direct computation, we have the following differentiation formula.

LEMMA 3.1 (Differentiation formula). Let  $\Lambda_k$  and  $M_k$  be defined as above. Then (3.20)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Lambda_k(M_k) = \Lambda_k(M_k\alpha_k) - ik\Lambda_{k+4}(M_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_k + \dots + \xi_{k+4})(\xi_k + \dots + \xi_{k+4})),$$

where  $\alpha_k$  is the symbol defined by

$$\alpha_k := i(\xi_1^3 + \dots + \xi_k^3).$$

We define the "first-generation" modified energy by

(3.21) 
$$E_I^1(u(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x Iu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{6} \|Iu(t)\|_{L^6}^6.$$

Then it follows from the Fourier inversion formula that

(3.22) 
$$E_I^1(u(t)) = \Lambda_2(\sigma_2) + \Lambda_6(\sigma_6)$$

where  $\sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_6$  are symbols defined by

$$\sigma_2 := -\frac{1}{2}m(\xi_1)m(\xi_2)\xi_1\xi_2; \quad \sigma_6 := -\frac{1}{6}m(\xi_1)\cdots m(\xi_6).$$

Furthermore by the differentiation formula (3.20), we have

(3.23)

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{I}^{1}(u(t)) = \Lambda_{2}(\sigma_{2}\alpha_{2}) - 2i\Lambda_{6}(\sigma_{2}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} + \dots + \xi_{6})(\xi_{2} + \dots + \xi_{6})) + \Lambda_{6}(\sigma_{6}\alpha_{6}) 
- 6i\Lambda_{10}\Big(\sigma_{6}(\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{5}, \xi_{6} + \dots + \xi_{10})(\xi_{6} + \dots + \xi_{10})\Big) 
= \Lambda_{6}\Big(-2i\sigma_{2}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} + \dots + \xi_{6})(\xi_{2} + \dots + \xi_{6}) + \sigma_{6}\alpha_{6}\Big) 
+ \Lambda_{10}\Big(-6i\sigma_{6}(\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{5}, \xi_{6} + \dots + \xi_{10})(\xi_{6} + \dots + \xi_{10})\Big) 
=: \Lambda_{6}(M_{6}) + \Lambda_{10}(M_{10}),$$

Note that the first term vanishes because  $\alpha_2$  vanishes on  $\Gamma_2$ . Here by a similar symmetrization consideration as in [10, Section 2 and 3] or [13, Section 3], we have

(3.24) 
$$\Lambda_6(M_6, u) = \Lambda_6([M_6]_{sym}), \ \Lambda_{10}(M_{10}, u) = \Lambda_{10}([M_{10}]_{sym});$$

Since  $\alpha_6$  and  $\sigma_6$  are already symmetric with respect to the group  $S_k$ , the group of all permutations on k objects, we have

$$[M_6]_{sym} = -2i[\sigma_2(\xi_1, \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_6)(\xi_2 + \dots + \xi_6)]_{sym} + \sigma_6\alpha_6$$

$$=: M_6^1 + M_6^2;$$

$$[M_{10}]_{sym} = -6i[\sigma_6(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_5, \xi_6 + \dots + \xi_{10})(\xi_6 + \dots + \xi_{10})]_{sym}.$$

For readers' convenience, we record the definition of the symmetrization of a multiplier from [10, Definition 1].

DEFINITION 3.1. A k-multiplier is a function  $m : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{C}$ . A k-multiplier is symmetric if  $m(\xi) = m(g(\xi))$  for all  $g \in S_k$ . The symmetrization of a k-multiplier m is the multiplier

$$[m]_{sum}(\xi) := \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{g \in S_k} m(g(\xi)).$$

REMARK 3.1. An example of a symmetric 2-multiplier is  $\sigma_2 = -\frac{1}{2}m(\xi_1)m(\xi_2)\xi_1\xi_2$  defined above, and by an explicit computation

$$M_{6}^{1} = -2i[\sigma_{2}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} + \dots + \xi_{6})(\xi_{2} + \dots + \xi_{6})]_{sym}$$

$$= \frac{i}{6}(m^{2}(\xi_{1})\xi_{1}^{3} + \dots + m^{2}(\xi_{6})\xi_{6}^{3}).$$

$$[M_{10}]_{sym} = -6i[\sigma_{6}(\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{5}, \xi_{6} + \dots + \xi_{10})(\xi_{6} + \dots + \xi_{10})]_{sym}$$

$$= C \sum_{\{a, \dots, j\} = \{1, \dots, 10\}} m(\xi_{a})m(\xi_{b})m(\xi_{c})m(\xi_{d})m(\xi_{f} + \dots + \xi_{j})$$

$$\times (\xi_{f} + \dots + \xi_{j})$$

for some explicit nonzero constant C.

Remark 3.2 (Two convenient reductions). There are two well-known reductions which we will use throughout the rest of the paper.

(1) By symmetrization, the first reduction is that we could order the magnitudes of  $\xi_i$ : for example, assume that  $|\xi_1| \geq \cdots \geq |\xi_6|$  in estimating  $\Lambda_{\varepsilon}$ 

(2) In various 6-linear or 10 linear estimates below, we may make a Littlewood-Paley decomposition and restrict attention to the contribution arising in  $|\xi_i| \sim N_i$  where  $N_i$  is dyadic; from the discussion above, we may assume that  $N_1 \geq \ldots \geq N_{10}$ . If  $N_1 \ll N$ ,

$$M_6 = 0$$
, and  $M_{10} = 0 \Rightarrow E_I^1(u(t))$  is conserved for all time.

Then global wellposedness for this solution u would follow. Hence without loss of generality, we will take  $N_1 \gtrsim N$ , then the support information of  $\Gamma_6$  or  $\Gamma_{10}$  will give  $|N_2| \gtrsim N$ , and hence  $N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim N$ , which is our second reduction.

If following a direct analogous reasoning as in [10], from the expression for  $\frac{d}{dt}E_I^1(u(t))$  in (3.23), a "natural" choice of the second modified energy would be

$$E_I^2(u(t)) = \Lambda_6(\check{\sigma}_6) + E_I^1(u(t))$$

with the choice

$$\check{\sigma}_6 := -M_6/\alpha_6,$$

which however yields no better results than the choice below. As forecasted in the introduction, the strategy is to split  $M_6$  into two parts:

$$M_6 = \bar{M}_6 + \tilde{M}_6,$$

where  $\bar{M}_6$  and  $\tilde{M}_6$  are defined to be "resonant" and "non-resonant" parts, respectively. We set

$$|\xi_A| \ge |\xi_B| \ge |\xi_C| \ge |\xi_D| \ge |\xi_E| \ge |\xi_F|$$

and let

$$\Omega_{1} := \left\{ (\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{6}) \in \Gamma_{6} : |\xi_{A}| \sim |\xi_{B}| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_{C}|, |\xi_{A}^{3} + \xi_{B}^{3}| \gg |\xi_{C}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{F}^{3}| \right\}; 
\Omega_{2} := \left\{ (\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{6}) \in \Gamma_{6} : |\xi_{C}| \gtrsim N, |\xi_{C}| \gg |\xi_{D}| \right\}; 
\Omega_{3} := \left\{ (\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{6}) \in \Gamma_{6} : |\xi_{D}| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_{E}|, |\xi_{A} + \xi_{B}| \gg |\xi_{E} + \xi_{F}|, |m^{2}(\xi_{A})\xi_{A}^{3} + \dots + m^{2}(\xi_{D})\xi_{D}^{3}| \gg |\xi_{E}^{3} + \xi_{F}^{3}|, |\xi_{A} + \xi_{B}| |\xi_{A} + \xi_{C}| |\xi_{B} + \xi_{C}| \gg |\xi_{E}| |\xi_{A}|^{2} \right\}.$$

Then we rewrite (3.23) by

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_I^1(u(t)) = \Lambda_6(\bar{M}_6) + \Lambda_6(\tilde{M}_6) + \Lambda_{10}(M_{10}),$$

where, for  $\Omega := \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3$ ,

(3.26) 
$$\bar{M}_6 := (\chi_{\Gamma_6} - \chi_{\Omega}) M_6^1;$$

$$\tilde{M}_6 := \chi_{\Omega} M_6^1 + \chi_{\Gamma_6} M_6^2.$$

Now we are ready to define a new modified energy  $E_I^2(u(t))$  by

(3.27) 
$$E_I^2(u(t)) := \Lambda_6(\tilde{\sigma}_6) + E_I^1(u(t)),$$

where

$$\tilde{\sigma}_6 := -\tilde{M}_6/\alpha_6.$$

Then by applying the differentiation formula (3.20) again, we see that

(3.29) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}E_I^2(u(t)) = \Lambda_6(\bar{M}_6) + \Lambda_{10}(\bar{M}_{10}),$$

where

(3.30) 
$$\bar{M}_{10} := -6i \left[ \left( \sigma_6(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_5, \xi_6 + \dots + \xi_{10}) + \tilde{\sigma}_6(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_5, \xi_6 + \dots + \xi_{10}) \right) (\xi_6 + \dots + \xi_{10}) \right]_{sym}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1 (Existence of an almost conserved quantity). Let the notations be defined as above. Then for a solution u to (1.1) which is smooth-in-time, Schwarz-in-space on a time interval  $[0, \delta]$ , we have

• (Fixed-time bound) For 1/3 < s < 1,

$$|\Lambda_6(\tilde{\sigma}_6)(t)| \lesssim N^{0-} ||Iu(t)||_{H_x^1}^6.$$

• (Almost conservation law) For  $t \in [0, \delta]$ ,

$$(3.32) \left| E_I^2(u(t)) - E_I^2(u_0) \right| \le CN^{-\frac{7}{2} + \left( \|Iu\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^{\delta}}^6 + \|Iu\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}^{\delta}}^{10} \right)}.$$

We will show that Theorem 3.1 implies Theorem 1.1 in Section 5. Now we focus on establishing the claims in Theorem 3.1, which will occupy the next section.

#### 4. Fixed-time bound and almost conservation law

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.1. We start with a few basic facts which will be only used in this section and are taken from [10]. The first is the following well-known arithmetic fact [10, (4.2)].

$$(4.33) \quad \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 = 0 \Rightarrow \xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3 + \xi_3^3 + \xi_4^3 = 3(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_1 + \xi_4).$$

Then we record the following forms of the mean value theorem. For  $m(\xi) = N^{1-s}|\xi|^{s-1}$  for  $|\xi| \gtrsim N$  and 0 < s < 1, let  $f(\xi) := m^2(\xi)\xi^3$ . Then

Lemma 4.1. For  $|a| \ge |b| \gtrsim N$ , then

$$(4.34) |f(a) + f(b)| \lesssim |a|^2 |a + b|.$$

For  $|\eta|, |\lambda| \ll |\xi|,$ 

$$(4.35) |f(\xi + \eta + \lambda) - f(\xi + \eta) - f(\xi + \lambda) + f(\xi)| \le |\eta||\lambda||\xi|.$$

(4.35) is often called "double mean value theorem."

PROOF. We begin with proving (4.34). If ab > 0, then it follows from the triangle inequality and the fact  $m \le 1$ . If ab < 0, it follows the mean value theorem in Calculus: there exists  $\xi_0$  satisfying  $N \le |b| \le |\xi_0| \le |a|$ ,

LHS of 
$$(4.34) \leq |\partial_{\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=\varepsilon_0} f(\xi)(a+b)| \leq |a|^2 |a+b|$$

for  $|\partial_{\xi}|_{\xi=\xi_0} f(\xi)| \sim m^2(\xi) |\xi|^2 \lesssim |a|^2$ . For (4.35),

$$|f(\xi + \eta + \lambda) - f(\xi + \eta) - f(\xi + \lambda) + f(\xi)|$$

$$= \left| \int_0^{\eta} f'(\xi + \lambda + x) dx - \int_0^{\eta} f'(\xi + x) dx \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_0^{\eta} \left( f'(\xi + \lambda + x) - f'(\xi + x) \right) dx \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_0^{\eta} \int_0^{\lambda} f''(\xi + y + x) dy dx \right|$$

Then (4.35) follows because for any  $|x|, |y| \ll |\xi|, |f''(\xi+y+x)| \sim |f''(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|$ .  $\square$ 

**4.1. Fixed-time bound.** The first part of Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. Let the notations be as in (3.26) and (3.28). Then

(4.37) 
$$|\tilde{M}_6| \lesssim |\alpha_6|, i.e., |\tilde{\sigma}_6| \lesssim 1.$$

PROOF. By a symmetry consideration, we may assume that

$$|\xi_1| \ge |\xi_2| \ge |\xi_3| \ge |\xi_4| \ge |\xi_5| \ge |\xi_6|$$
.

By the definitions of  $\tilde{M}_6$  and  $M_6^2$ , we only need to show

$$\left|\chi_{\Omega} M_6^1\right| \lesssim |\alpha_6|,$$

since  $|\sigma_6| \lesssim 1$  always holds. We will prove this bound case by case by analyzing it on domains  $\Omega_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3. Recall that

$$|M_6^1| \sim |m^2(\xi_1)\xi_1^3 + \dots + m^2(\xi_6)\xi_6^3|.$$
  
 $|\alpha_6| \sim |\xi_1^3 + \dots + \xi_6^3|.$   
On  $\Gamma_6$ ,  $\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_6 = 0$ .

Case 1. On  $\Omega_1$ , there holds that  $|\xi_3^3 + \dots + \xi_6^3| \ll |\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3|$  and  $m(\xi_3) = \dots = m(\xi_6) \equiv 1$ . It follows that

$$(4.39) |\alpha_6| \gtrsim |\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3| \gtrsim |\xi_1 + \xi_2|(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2),$$

as  $|\xi_1 \xi_2| \le (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)/2$  always holds and then  $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 - \xi_1 \xi_2 \ge (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)/2$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1,

$$|M_{6}^{1}| \sim |m^{2}(\xi_{1})\xi_{1}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{2})\xi_{2}^{3} + \xi_{3}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{6}^{3}|$$

$$\lesssim |m^{2}(\xi_{1})\xi_{1}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{2})\xi_{2}^{3}| + |\xi_{3}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{6}^{3}|$$

$$\lesssim |(\xi_{1} + \xi_{2})|\xi_{1}|^{2}| + |\xi_{3}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{6}^{3}|$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2}) + |\xi_{3}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{6}^{3}|$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2}).$$

Thus (4.38) follows from (4.39) and (4.40).

Case 2. On  $\Omega_2$ , since  $|\xi_3| \gg |\xi_4|$ , there always holds that  $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \sim |\xi_3|$ ; moreover we have  $\xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 < 0$ ; otherwise, if  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  would have the same sign, then from the support information of  $\Gamma_6$  and  $|\xi_4| \ll |\xi_3|$ ,

$$2|\xi_2| \le |\xi_1 + \xi_2| = |\xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_6| \le 3|\xi_3|/2 \le 3|\xi_2|/2$$

which is obviously a contradiction since  $|\xi_2| > 0$ . Then (4.41)

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_{6}| &\gtrsim \left| (\xi_{1} + \xi_{2})(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2} - \xi_{1}\xi_{2}) + (\xi_{3} + \xi_{4})(\xi_{3}^{2} + \xi_{4}^{2} - \xi_{3}\xi_{4}) \right| + o(|\xi_{3}|(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2})) \\ &= \left| (\xi_{1} + \xi_{2})(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2} - \xi_{1}\xi_{2}) + \left( -(\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}) - (\xi_{5} + \xi_{6}) \right)(\xi_{3}^{2} + \xi_{4}^{2} - \xi_{3}\xi_{4}) \right| \\ &+ o(|\xi_{3}|(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2})) \\ &\gtrsim \left| (\xi_{1} + \xi_{2})(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2} - \xi_{1}\xi_{2} - 3\xi_{3}^{2}/2) \right| + o(|\xi_{3}|(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2})) \\ &= \left| (\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}) \left( (\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2} - \xi_{3}^{2}/2) + (-\xi_{1}\xi_{2} - \xi_{3}^{2}) \right) \right| + o(|\xi_{3}|(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2})) \\ &\gtrsim |\xi_{3}|(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2}). \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality follows since  $|\xi_5^3 + \xi_6^3| \ll |\xi_3|(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)$ , the third follows since  $|\xi_3^2 + \xi_4^2 - \xi_3 \xi_4| \le 3|\xi_3|^2/2$  and  $|(\xi_5 + \xi_6)(\xi_3^2 + \xi_4^2 - \xi_3 \xi_4)| \ll |\xi_3|(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)$ , and the last follows since  $(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2/2) \ge (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)/2$  and  $-\xi_1\xi_2 - \xi_3^2 > 0$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1,,

$$|M_6^1| \le |m^2(\xi_1)\xi_1^3 - m^2(\xi_2)(-\xi_2)^3| + |m^2(\xi_3)\xi_3^3| + |\xi_4^3 + \xi_5^3 + \xi_6^3|$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_1 + \xi_2||\xi_1^2| + |\xi_3|^3$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_3|(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2).$$

Thus (4.38) follows from (4.41) and (4.42) again.

Case 3. We consider  $\Omega_3$ . In fact we will consider  $\Omega_3 \setminus \Omega_2$  by Case 2. We split  $\Omega_3 \setminus \Omega_2$  into two parts: (4.43)

Subcase 3a :  $|\xi_1| \gg |\xi_3| \sim |\xi_4|$ ; Subcase 3b :  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \sim |\xi_3| \sim |\xi_4|$ .

**Subcase 3a.** On  $\Omega_3$ , there holds that  $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \gg |\xi_5 + \xi_6|$ . Thus by the same consideration as in (4.41),

$$\begin{aligned} (4.44) \\ |\alpha_{6}| \gtrsim |\xi_{1}^{3} + \xi_{2}^{3} + \xi_{3}^{3} + \xi_{4}^{3}| + o(|\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|\xi_{1}^{2}) \\ &= |(\xi_{1} + \xi_{2})(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2} - \xi_{1}\xi_{2}) + (-(\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}) - (\xi_{5} + \xi_{6}))(\xi_{3}^{2} + \xi_{4}^{2} - \xi_{3}\xi_{4})| \\ &+ o(|\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|\xi_{1}^{2}) \\ &\geq |(\xi_{1} + \xi_{2})(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2} - \xi_{1}\xi_{2} - \xi_{3}^{2} - \xi_{4}^{2} + \xi_{3}\xi_{4})| + o(|\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|\xi_{1}^{2}) \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows since  $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 - \xi_1 \xi_2 \ge (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)/2$  and  $|\xi_4| \le |\xi_3| \ll$  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2|$ .

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1,

 $\geq |\xi_1 + \xi_2|\xi_1^2$ .

$$|M_{6}^{1}| \lesssim |m^{2}(\xi_{1})\xi_{1}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{2})\xi_{2}^{3}| + |m^{2}(\xi_{3})\xi_{3}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{4})\xi_{4}^{3}| + |\xi_{5}^{3} + \xi_{6}^{3}|$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|\xi_{1}^{2} + |\xi_{3} + \xi_{4}|\xi_{3}^{2} + |\xi_{5} + \xi_{6}|\xi_{5}^{2}$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|\xi_{1}^{2}.$$
(4.45)

Case 3b. We may assume that  $\xi_1 > 0$  by symmetry. Then one of the following three subcases always occurs:

3b-I: 
$$\xi_1 > 0, \xi_2 < 0, \xi_3 < 0, \xi_4 < 0;$$
  
3b-II:  $\xi_1 > 0, \xi_2 < 0, \xi_3 < 0, \xi_4 > 0;$ 

3b-III: 
$$\xi_1 > 0, \xi_2 > 0, \xi_3 < 0, \xi_4 < 0.$$

In fact, as  $|\xi_2| \leq |\xi_1|$ ,  $|\xi_1| > 0$  will imply that  $|\xi_1| + |\xi_2| \geq 0$ . On  $|\Omega_3|$ , there holds that

$$|\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4| = |\xi_5 + \xi_6| \ll |\xi_1 + \xi_2| \sim |\xi_3 + \xi_4|,$$

which implies that  $(\xi_1 + \xi_2)$  and  $(\xi_3 + \xi_4)$  has different signs, i.e.,  $\xi_3 + \xi_4 \leq 0$ , thus  $\xi_3 < 0$  as  $|\xi_4| \le |\xi_3|$ . Then we have the classifications as above; note that we would have the case where  $\xi_1 > 0, \xi_2 > 0, \xi_3 < 0, \xi_4 > 0$ , but it is ruled out because, otherwise  $|\xi_5 + \xi_6| \sim |\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4| \gtrsim |\xi_1| \sim |\xi_1 + \xi_2|$ , a contradiction.

We claim that, the classifications above and  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \sim |\xi_3| \sim |\xi_4|$  will imply that

$$(4.46) |m^2(\xi_1)\xi_1^3 + \dots + m^2(\xi_4)\xi_4^3| \lesssim |\xi_1 + \xi_2||\xi_2 + \xi_3||\xi_1 + \xi_3|.$$

Assuming (4.46), we prove (4.38). On  $\Omega_3$ , there holds  $|\xi_5^3 + \xi_6^3| \ll |\xi_1 + \xi_2||\xi_2 + \xi_3||\xi_1 + \xi_3|$ , we have

$$(4.47) |M_6^1| \lesssim |(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_2 + \xi_3)|.$$

Then (4.38) will follow from

$$(4.48) |\alpha_6| \gtrsim |(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_2 + \xi_3)|.$$

Indeed, we set  $\bar{\xi}_4 := \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_6$ ; then

$$\alpha_6 \geq |\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3 + \xi_3^3 + \bar{\xi_4}^3| - |\bar{\xi_4}^3 - \xi_4^3| - |\xi_5^3 + \xi_6^3|.$$

On the one hand, by the arithmetical fact (4.33),  $|\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3 + \xi_3^3 + \bar{\xi_4}^3| \sim |(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_2 + \xi_3)|$ . On the other hand, we have,

$$|\bar{\xi}_4^3 - \xi_4^3|, |\xi_5^3 + \xi_6^3| \ll |(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_2 + \xi_3)|.$$

so (4.48) follows. Hence (4.38) follows.

Now we focus on proving (4.46). Let  $\bar{\xi}_4$  be defined as above, and write

$$m^{2}(\xi_{1})\xi_{1}^{3} + \dots + m^{2}(\xi_{4})\xi_{4}^{3} = m^{2}(\xi_{1})\xi_{1}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{2})\xi_{2}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{3})\xi_{3}^{3} + m^{2}(\overline{\xi_{4}})\overline{\xi_{4}}^{3} - \left(m^{2}(\overline{\xi_{4}})\overline{\xi_{4}}^{3} - m^{2}(\xi_{4})\xi_{4}^{3}\right).$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$(4.50) \left| m^2(\overline{\xi_4})\overline{\xi_4}^3 - m^2(\xi_4)\xi_4^3 \right| \lesssim |\xi_5 + \xi_6| |\xi_4|^2 \lesssim |\xi_1|^2 |\xi_5| \ll |\xi_1 + \xi_2| |\xi_1 + \xi_3| |\xi_2 + \xi_3|,$$

then establishing (4.46) reduces to

$$(4.51) \left| m^2(\xi_1)\xi_1^3 + m^2(\xi_2)\xi_2^3 + m^2(\xi_3)\xi_3^3 + m^2(\overline{\xi_4})\overline{\xi_4}^3 \right| \lesssim |\xi_1 + \xi_2||\xi_1 + \xi_3||\xi_2 + \xi_3|.$$

We will establish (4.51) for the **Case 3b-I** as the other cases can be treated similarly. Recall in this case,  $\xi_1 > 0$ ,  $\xi_2 < 0$ ,  $\xi_3 < 0$  and  $\xi_4 < 0$ . Let  $\xi := \xi_3$ ,  $\lambda = -\xi_1 - \xi_3$  and  $\eta = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ . If  $|\lambda|, |\eta| \ll |\xi|$ , then the double mean value theorem (4.35) in Lemma 4.1 implies that, as  $\xi_3 \xi_2 > 0$ ,

(4.52) LHS of (4.51) 
$$\lesssim |\xi||\lambda||\eta| \lesssim |(\xi_2 + \xi_3)(\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_1 + \xi_2)|$$
.

If  $|\eta| \sim |\xi_3|$ , then by Lemma 4.1,

(4.53) LHS of (4.51) 
$$\lesssim |m^2(\xi_1)\xi_1^3 + m^2(\xi_3)\xi_3^3| + |m^2(\xi_2)\xi_2^3 + m^2(\bar{\xi}_4)\bar{\xi}_4^3|$$
  
 $\lesssim |\xi_1|^2|\xi_1 + \xi_3| + |\xi_2|^2|\xi_2 + \bar{\xi}_4|$   
 $\lesssim |\xi_3||\xi_3||\xi_1 + \xi_3| \sim |\xi_2 + \xi_3||\eta||\xi_1 + \xi_3|$   
 $\lesssim |\xi_2 + \xi_3||\xi_1 + \xi_2||\xi_1 + \xi_3|.$ 

If  $|\lambda| \sim |\xi_3|$ , the reasoning is similar: Indeed, by Lemma 4.1,

(4.54) LHS of (4.51) 
$$\lesssim |m^{2}(\xi_{1})\xi_{1}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{2})\xi_{2}^{3}| + |m^{2}(\xi_{3})\xi_{3}^{3} + m^{2}(\bar{\xi}_{4})\bar{\xi}_{4}^{3}|$$
  
 $\lesssim |\xi_{1}|^{2}|\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}| + |\xi_{1}|^{2}|\xi_{3} + \bar{\xi}_{4}|$   
 $\lesssim |\xi_{3}||\xi_{3}||\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}| \sim |\xi_{2} + \xi_{3}||\lambda||\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|$   
 $\lesssim |\xi_{2} + \xi_{3}||\xi_{1} + \xi_{3}||\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|.$ 

To conclude, (4.51) follows. This completes the proof for Lemma (4.2).

Now we can establish the first part of Theorem 3.1.

LEMMA 4.3. For any 1/3 < s < 1, we have

$$(4.55) |\Lambda_6(\tilde{\sigma}_6)(t)| \lesssim N^{0-} ||Iu(t)||_{H_1^1}^6.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 4.2, it suffices to show

$$(4.56) \qquad \int_{\Gamma_6} \frac{\left| \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1, t) \cdots \widehat{f}_6(\xi_6, t) \right|}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle m(\xi_1) \cdots \langle \xi_6 \rangle m(\xi_6)} \lesssim N^{0-} \|f_1(t)\|_{L_x^2} \cdots \|f_6(t)\|_{L_x^2}.$$

By using Remark 3.2, we may assume that  $|\xi_1| \ge \cdots \ge |\xi_6|$  and  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \gtrsim N$ . On the other hand, for  $3 \le i \le 6$ ,  $m(\xi_i)\langle \xi_i \rangle \ge \langle \xi_i \rangle^s$  for 0 < s < 1, then

$$\lesssim N^{2(s-1)} \int \frac{\widehat{f}_{1}(\xi_{1}) \cdots \widehat{f}_{6}(\xi_{6})}{\langle \xi_{1} \rangle^{s} \cdots \langle \xi_{6} \rangle^{s}} 
(4.57) \qquad \lesssim N^{6(s-1)} \int \frac{\widehat{f}_{1}(\xi_{1}) \cdots \widehat{f}_{6}(\xi_{6})}{\langle \xi_{3} \rangle^{3s/2} \cdots \langle \xi_{6} \rangle^{3s/2}} 
\lesssim N^{0-} ||f_{1}(t)||_{L_{x}^{2}} ||f_{2}(t)||_{L_{x}^{2}} ||J_{x}^{-\frac{1}{2}-} f_{3}(t)||_{L_{\infty}^{\infty}} \cdots ||J_{x}^{-\frac{1}{2}-} f_{6}(t)||_{L_{\infty}},$$

where we have used 1/3 < s < 1. Hence (4.55) follows from Sobolev's inequality.  $\square$ 

**4.2.** An upper bound on the increment of  $E_I^2(u(t))$ . In this subsection, we will establish the second half of Theorem 3.1. As is well known, the almost conservation law of  $E_I^2(u(t))$  is the key ingredient in the proof of the global well-posedness below  $H^1$ , which relies on the following 6-linear and 10-linear estimates.

Proposition 4.1. For any s > 3/8, we have

(4.58) 
$$\left| \int_0^\delta \Lambda_6(\bar{M}_6) dt \right| \lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \|Iu\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}}^6.$$

PROOF. By using Remark 3.2, we may assume that

$$|\xi_1| \ge |\xi_2| \ge |\xi_3| \ge |\xi_4| \ge |\xi_5| \ge |\xi_6|,$$
  
 $|\xi_i| \sim N_i, i = 1, \dots, 6, \text{ and } N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim N.$ 

To recover the sum at the end we need to borrow a  $N_1^{0-}$ , but this will not be mentioned as it at most pays a price equivalent  $N^{0+}$  in the end. By Plancherel's theorem, we only need to show

$$\left| \int_0^{\delta} \int_{\Gamma_6} \frac{\bar{M}_6(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_6) \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_6(\xi_6)}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle m(\xi_1) \cdots \langle \xi_6 \rangle m(\xi_6)} \right| \lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2} +} \|f_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2} +}^{\delta}} \cdots \|f_6\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2} +}^{\delta}}.$$

Because of the definition  $||u||_{X_{s,b}^{\delta}([0,\delta]\times\mathbb{R})}:=\inf\{||U||_{X_{s,b}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}:U|_{[0,\delta]}=u\}$ , it reduces to show that (4.59)

$$\int dt \int_{\Gamma_6} \frac{\left| \overline{M}_6(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_6) \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \dots \widehat{f}_6(\xi_6) \right|}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle m(\xi_1) \dots \langle \xi_6 \rangle m(\xi_6)} \lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2} +} \|f_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2} +}} \dots \|f_6\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2} +}}.$$

In view of this inequality, we may assume that the spatial Fourier transforms of the  $f_i$  are nonnegative, which will be used in the arguments throughout the paper

without being mentioned. Now we split it into five regions:

$$\begin{split} A_1 := & \{ \xi \in (\Gamma_6 \setminus \Omega) : |\xi_2| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_3| \}; \\ A_2 := & \{ \xi \in (\Gamma_6 \setminus \Omega) : |\xi_3| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_4| \}; \\ A_3 := & \{ \xi \in (\Gamma_6 \setminus \Omega) : |\xi_4| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_5| \}; \\ A_4 := & \{ \xi \in (\Gamma_6 \setminus \Omega) : |\xi_5| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_6| \}; \\ A_5 := & \{ \xi \in (\Gamma_6 \setminus \Omega) : |\xi_6| \gtrsim N \}. \end{split}$$

Estimate in  $A_1$ . In  $A_1$ , there holds that

$$(4.60) |\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3| \lesssim |\xi_3^3 + \dots + \xi_6^3|;$$

also  $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| = |\xi_3 + \dots + \xi_6|$  and  $|\xi_3| \ll |\xi_2|$  imply that  $\xi_1 \xi_2 < 0$ . The two estimates above imply that

$$(4.61) |\xi_1 + \xi_2| = \frac{|\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3|}{|\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 - \xi_1 \xi_2|} \lesssim |\xi_3| \frac{\xi_3^2}{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2} \ll |\xi_3|.$$

Then by Lemma 4.1,

$$|\bar{M}_{6}| \leq |m^{2}(\xi_{1})\xi_{1}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{2})\xi_{2}^{3}| + |\xi_{3}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{6}^{3}|$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|\xi_{1}^{2} + |\xi_{3}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{6}^{6}|$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}||\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2} - \xi_{1}\xi_{2}| + |\xi_{3}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{6}^{3}|$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_{1}^{3} + \xi_{2}^{3}| + |\xi_{3}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{6}^{3}|$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_{3}^{3} + \dots + \xi_{6}^{3}| \lesssim |\xi_{3}||\xi_{4}||\xi_{5}|.$$

Therefore, by Plancherel's theorem in the spatial variable, Hölder's inequality followed by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, the left-hand side of (4.59) is bounded by

$$\lesssim N^{2s-2} \int dt \int_{A_{1}} \frac{\widehat{f}_{1}(\xi_{1}) \cdots \widehat{f}_{6}(\xi_{6})}{|\xi_{1}|^{s} |\xi_{2}|^{s} \langle \xi_{6} \rangle}$$

$$\lesssim N^{2s-2} \int dt \int_{\Gamma_{6}} |\xi_{1}|^{-s-1} |\xi_{2}|^{-s-1} \langle \xi_{5} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \left( |\xi_{1}| \widehat{f}_{1} \widehat{f}_{3} \right) \left( |\xi_{2}| \widehat{f}_{2} \widehat{f}_{4} \right)$$

$$\left( \langle \xi_{5} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \widehat{f}_{5} \right) \left( \langle \xi_{6} \rangle^{-1} \widehat{f}_{6} \right)$$

$$\lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \int dt \int_{\Gamma_{6}} \left( |\xi_{1}| \widehat{f}_{1} \widehat{f}_{3} \right) \left( |\xi_{2}| \widehat{f}_{2} \widehat{f}_{4} \right) \left( \langle \xi_{5} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \widehat{f}_{5} \right) \left( \langle \xi_{6} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \widehat{f}_{6} \right)$$

$$\lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \int dt \int_{\Gamma_{6}} \left( |\xi_{1}| \widehat{f}_{1} \widehat{f}_{3} \right) \left( |\xi_{2}| \widehat{f}_{2} \widehat{f}_{4} \right) \left( \langle \xi_{5} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \widehat{f}_{5} \right) \left( \langle \xi_{6} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \widehat{f}_{6} \right)$$

$$\lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \int dt \int_{\Gamma_{6}} \left( |\xi_{1}| \widehat{f}_{1} \widehat{f}_{3} \right) \left( |\xi_{2}| \widehat{f}_{2} \widehat{f}_{4} \right) \left( \langle \xi_{5} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \widehat{f}_{5} \right) \left( \langle \xi_{6} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \widehat{f}_{6} \right)$$

$$\lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \|D_{x} f_{1} f_{3}\|_{L_{x,t}^{2}} \|D_{x} f_{2} f_{4}\|_{L_{x,t}^{2}} \|J_{x}^{-\frac{1}{2}-} f_{5}\|_{L_{x,t}^{\infty}} \|J_{x}^{-\frac{1}{2}-} f_{6}\|_{L_{x,t}^{\infty}}$$

$$\lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \|f_{1}\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \cdots \|f_{6}\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}},$$

where we have used the fact that  $|\xi_3| \ll |\xi_1|$  and  $|\xi_4| \ll |\xi_2|$ .

**Estimate in**  $A_2$ . Note that  $A_2 = \emptyset$ , thus  $\overline{M}_6 = 0$ .

**Estimate in**  $A_3$ . We may split  $A_3$  into three regions again,

$$A_{31} := \{ \xi \in A_3 : |\xi_1 + \xi_2| \lesssim |\xi_5 + \xi_6| \};$$

$$A_{32} := \{ \xi \in A_3 : |m^2(\xi_1)\xi_1^3 + \dots + m^2(\xi_4)\xi_4^3| \lesssim |\xi_5^3 + \xi_6^3| \};$$

$$A_{33} := \{ \xi \in A_3 \setminus (A_{31} \cup A_{32}) : |(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_2 + \xi_3)| \lesssim |\xi_5| |\xi_1|^2 \}.$$

We claim that in all three cases.

$$(4.63) |\bar{M}_6| \lesssim |\xi_5||\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2|.$$

Indeed,

• In  $A_{31}$ , we also have  $|\xi_3 + \xi_4| \lesssim |\xi_5 + \xi_6|$ , which implies that

$$\begin{split} |\bar{M}_{6}| &\leq |m^{2}(\xi_{1})\xi_{1}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{2})\xi_{2}^{3}| + |m^{2}(\xi_{3})\xi_{3}^{3} + m^{2}(\xi_{4})\xi_{4}^{3}| + |\xi_{5}^{3} + \xi_{6}^{3}| \\ &\lesssim |\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}|(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2}) + |\xi_{3} + \xi_{4}|(\xi_{3}^{2} + \xi_{4}^{2}) + |\xi_{5} + \xi_{6}|(\xi_{5}^{2} + \xi_{6}^{2}) \\ &\lesssim |\xi_{5}|(\xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2}). \end{split}$$

- In  $A_{32}$ ,  $|\bar{M}_6| \lesssim |\xi_5^3 + \xi_6^3| \lesssim |\xi_5|(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)$ . In  $A_{33}$ , since  $|\xi_3| \sim |\xi_4|$  in  $\Gamma \setminus \Omega_2$ , we split it into two cases as in (4.43). If  $|\xi_1| \gg |\xi_3| \sim |\xi_4|$ , then  $|\xi_1 + \xi_3|, |\xi_1 + \xi_4| \sim |\xi_1|$ . Then by Lemma

$$|\bar{M}_6| \lesssim |\xi_1 + \xi_2||\xi_1|^2 \sim |(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_2 + \xi_3)| \lesssim |\xi_5||\xi_1|^2$$
.

The last inequality follows from the support of  $A_{33}$ .

– If  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_4|$ , we classify  $\{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$  into the cases as in Case 3b in Lemma 4.2. Note that (4.46) still holds as establishing it only uses the information that  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \sim |\xi_3| \sim |\xi_4|$  and  $|\xi_5 + \xi_6| \ll |\xi_1 + \xi_2|$ . Hence we have

$$|\bar{M}_6| \lesssim |(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_2 + \xi_3)| \lesssim |\xi_5||\xi_1|^2.$$

from the definition of  $A_{33}$ . Thus (4.63) follows.

Therefore, by (4.63) and Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, for s > 3/8,

LHS of 
$$(4.59) \lesssim$$

$$\begin{split} N^{4s-4} & \int dt \int_{A_3} \frac{\widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_6(\xi_6)}{|\xi_1|^{s-1} |\xi_2|^{s-1} |\xi_3|^s |\xi_4|^s \langle \xi_6 \rangle} \\ & \lesssim N^{4s-4} \int dt \int_{A_3} \frac{(|\xi_1| \widehat{f}_1 \widehat{f}_5) (|\xi_2| \widehat{f}_2) (|\xi_3|^{-1/4} \widehat{f}_3) (|\xi_4|^{-1/4} \widehat{f}_4) \langle \xi_6 \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2} - \widehat{f}_6}}{|\xi_1|^s |\xi_2|^s |\xi_3|^{s-1/4} |\xi_4|^{s-1/4}} \\ & \lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}} \, \|D_x f_1 f_5\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \, \|D_x f_2\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \, \Big\|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} f_3\Big\|_{L^4_x L^\infty_t} \, \Big\|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} f_4\Big\|_{L^4_x L^\infty_t} \, \Big\|J_x^{-\frac{1}{2} - f_6}\Big\|_{L^\infty_{x,t}} \\ & \lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}} \, \|f_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \cdots \|f_6\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \end{split}$$

where we use the fact  $|\xi_5| \ll |\xi_1|$  in this case.

**Estimate in**  $A_4$ . Since  $|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \lesssim |\xi_3|$ , we always have

$$(4.64) |\bar{M}_6| \lesssim |\xi_1||\xi_2||\xi_3|.$$

If  $|\xi_i| = |\xi_1| + o(|\xi_1|)$ , for all  $i = 2, \dots, 5$ , then  $|\xi_6| = |\xi_1| + \dots + |\xi_5| \gtrsim |\xi_1|$ , a contradiction to  $A_4$ . So there exists some  $2 \le i \le 5$  such that  $|\xi_1| - |\xi_i| \gtrsim |\xi_1|$ . If i = 2 or 3 or 4,  $|\xi_1| - |\xi_i| \gtrsim |\xi_1|$ ; then since  $|\xi_i| \geq |\xi_5|$ , we have  $|\xi_1| - |\xi_5| \geq$  $|\xi_1| - |\xi_i| \gtrsim |\xi_1|$ . So in any case, we have  $|\xi_1| - |\xi_5| \gtrsim |\xi_1|$ . Then this implies

 $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_1 - \xi_5|$ . Therefore, due to  $|\xi_3| \sim |\xi_4|$ , for any s > 3/8, by Lemma 2.3,

LHS of 
$$(4.59) \lesssim$$

$$\begin{split} N^{5s-5} & \int dt \int_{A_4} \frac{\widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_6(\xi_6)}{|\xi_1|^{s-1} |\xi_2|^{s-1} |\xi_3|^{s-1} |\xi_4|^s |\xi_5|^s \langle \xi_6 \rangle} \\ & \lesssim N^{5s-5} \int dt \int_{A_4} \frac{(|\xi_1|\widehat{f}_1\widehat{f}_5)(|\xi_2|\widehat{f}_2)(|\xi_3|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_3)(|\xi_4|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_4) \langle \xi_6 \rangle^{-1} \widehat{f}_6}{|\xi_1|^s |\xi_2|^s |\xi_3|^{s-5/4} |\xi_4|^{s-1/4} |\xi_5|^s} \\ & \lesssim N^{5s-5} \int dt \int_{A_4} \frac{(|\xi_1|\widehat{f}_1\widehat{f}_5)(|\xi_2|\widehat{f}_2)(|\xi_3|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_3)(|\xi_4|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_4) \langle \xi_6 \rangle^{-1} \widehat{f}_6}{|\xi_3|^{3s-5/4} |\xi_4|^{s-1/4} |\xi_5|^s} \\ & \lesssim N^{5s-5} \int dt \int_{A_4} \frac{(|\xi_1|\widehat{f}_1\widehat{f}_5)(|\xi_2|\widehat{f}_2)(|\xi_3|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_3)(|\xi_4|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_4) \langle \xi_6 \rangle^{-1} \widehat{f}_6}{|\xi_4|^{4s-3/2} |\xi_5|^s} \\ & \lesssim N^{5s-5} \int dt \int_{A_4} \frac{(|\xi_1|\widehat{f}_1\widehat{f}_5)(|\xi_2|\widehat{f}_2)(|\xi_3|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_3)(|\xi_4|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_4) \langle \xi_6 \rangle^{-1} \widehat{f}_6}{|\xi_4|^{4s-3/2} |\xi_5|^s} \\ & \lesssim N^{5s-5} \int dt \int_{A_4} \frac{(|\xi_1|\widehat{f}_1\widehat{f}_5)(|\xi_2|\widehat{f}_2)(|\xi_3|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_3)(|\xi_4|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_4) \langle \xi_6 \rangle^{-1} \widehat{f}_6}{|\xi_5|^{5s-3/2}} \\ & \lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}} \|D_x f_1 f_5\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \|D_x f_2\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} f_3\|_{L^4_x L^\infty_t} \|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} f_4\|_{L^4_x L^\infty_t} \|J_x^{-\frac{1}{2}-} f_6\|_{L^\infty_{x,t}} \\ & \lesssim N^{-\frac{7}{2}} \|f_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \cdots \|f_6\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}. \end{split}$$

**Estimate in**  $A_5$ . Again by (4.64), Lemma 2.1 and the fact that  $|\xi_3| \sim |\xi_4|$ , for s > 3/8, we have

LHS of 
$$(4.59) \le$$

$$\begin{split} N^{6s-6} & \int dt \int_{A_5} \frac{\widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_6(\xi_6)}{|\xi_1|^{s-1}|\xi_2|^{s-1}|\xi_3|^{s-1}|\xi_4|^s|\xi_5|^s|\xi_6|^s} \\ & \lesssim N^{6s-6} \int dt \int_{A_5} \frac{(|\xi_1|\widehat{f}_1)(|\xi_2|\widehat{f}_2)(|\xi_3|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_3) \cdots (|\xi_6|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_6)}{|\xi_1|^s|\xi_2|^s|\xi_3|^{s-5/4}|\xi_4|^{s-1/4}|\xi_5|^{s-1/4}|\xi_6|^{s-1/4}} \\ & \lesssim N^{6s-6} \int dt \int_{A_5} \frac{(|\xi_1|\widehat{f}_1)(|\xi_2|\widehat{f}_2)(|\xi_3|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_3) \cdots (|\xi_6|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_6)}{|\xi_3|^{3s-5/4}|\xi_4|^{s-1/4}|\xi_5|^{s-1/4}|\xi_6|^{s-1/4}} \\ & \lesssim N^{6s-6} \int dt \int_{A_5} \frac{(|\xi_1|\widehat{f}_1)(|\xi_2|\widehat{f}_2)(|\xi_3|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_3) \cdots (|\xi_6|^{-1/4}\widehat{f}_6)}{|\xi_4|^{4s-3/2}|\xi_5|^{s-1/4}|\xi_6|^{s-1/4}} \\ & \lesssim N^{-4} \, \|D_x f_1\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \, \|D_x f_2\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \, \Big\|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} f_3\Big\|_{L^4_x L^\infty_t} \cdots \Big\|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} f_6\Big\|_{L^4_x L^\infty_t} \\ & \lesssim N^{-4} \, \|f_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{8}+}} \cdots \|f_6\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{8}+}}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof for Proposition 4.1.

Now let us turn to establishing the 10-linear estimate. We first establish a pointwise bound on  $\bar{M}_{10}$ .

LEMMA 4.4. If 
$$|\xi_A| \sim |\xi_B| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_C| \ge |\xi_D| \ge \cdots \ge |\xi_J|$$
, we have (4.65)  $|\bar{M}_{10}| \lesssim |\xi_C|$ .

PROOF. By Remark 3.2, we may assume that

$$|\xi_1| \ge \dots \ge |\xi_{10}|$$
, and  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \gtrsim N$ .

Set  $\Xi = \{3, 4, \dots, 10\}$ . We rewrite

$$\begin{split} \bar{M}_{10} &= C \sum_{\{a,\cdots,h\}\subset\Xi} \Big\{ \big[ \sigma_6(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_a,\xi_b,\xi_c,\xi_d+\xi_e+\xi_f+\xi_g+\xi_h) \\ &+ \tilde{\sigma}_6(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_a,\xi_b,\xi_c,\xi_d+\xi_e+\xi_f+\xi_g+\xi_h) \big] (\xi_d+\xi_e+\xi_f+\xi_g+\xi_h) \\ &+ \big[ \sigma_6(\xi_a,\cdots,\xi_e,\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_f+\xi_g+\xi_h) \\ &+ \tilde{\sigma}_6(\xi_a,\cdots,\xi_e,\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_f+\xi_g+\xi_h) \big] (\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_f+\xi_g+\xi_h) \\ &+ \big[ \sigma_6(\xi_1,\xi_a,\cdots,\xi_d,\xi_2+\xi_e+\cdots+\xi_h) (\xi_2+\xi_e+\cdots+\xi_h) \\ &+ \sigma_6(\xi_2,\xi_a,\cdots,\xi_d,\xi_1+\xi_e+\cdots+\xi_h) (\xi_1+\xi_e+\cdots+\xi_h) \big] \\ &+ \big[ \tilde{\sigma}_6(\xi_1,\xi_a,\cdots,\xi_d,\xi_2+\xi_e+\cdots+\xi_h) (\xi_2+\xi_e+\cdots+\xi_h) \big] \Big\} \\ &=: \bar{M}_{10}^0 + \bar{M}_{10}^1 + \bar{M}_{10}^2 + \bar{M}_{10}^3. \end{split}$$

Since  $|\sigma_6|, |\tilde{\sigma}_6| \lesssim 1$  and  $|\xi_d + \cdots + \xi_h| \lesssim |\xi_C|$ , it follows that

$$|\bar{M}_{10}^{0}| \lesssim |\xi_{C}|.$$

Similarly  $|\bar{M}_{10}^1| \lesssim |\xi_3|$ , as  $|\sigma_6|$ ,  $|\tilde{\sigma}_6| \lesssim 1$  and  $|\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_f + \dots + \xi_h| \lesssim |\xi_3|$ . In order to prove  $|\bar{M}_{10}^2| \lesssim |\xi_3|$ , by the definition of  $\sigma_6$ , we only need to show

$$|m(\xi_1)m(\xi_2 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h)(\xi_2 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h) + m(\xi_2)m(\xi_1 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h)(\xi_1 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h)| \lesssim |\xi_3|.$$

Indeed, the left hand side of the above

$$\leq |m(\xi_{1}) + m(\xi_{2})|m(\xi_{2} + \xi_{e} + \dots + \xi_{h})|(\xi_{2} + \xi_{e} + \dots + \xi_{h})| + m(\xi_{2}) - m(\xi_{2} + \xi_{e} + \dots + \xi_{h})(\xi_{2} + \xi_{e} + \dots + \xi_{h})$$

$$+ m(\xi_{1} + \xi_{e} + \dots + \xi_{h})(\xi_{1} + \xi_{e} + \dots + \xi_{h})|$$

$$\leq |\xi_{3}| + |\xi_{1} + \xi_{2}| \leq |\xi_{3}|,$$

where we have used  $m \leq 1$  and the usual mean value theorem applied to the second term: if  $f(\xi) = m(\xi)\xi$  for  $|\xi| \gtrsim N$ , then  $|\partial_{\xi}f(\xi)| \lesssim 1$ .

For  $\bar{M}_{10}^3$ , since  $\tilde{\sigma}_6 = -\chi_{\Omega} \frac{M_6^1}{\alpha_6} - \chi_{\Gamma_6} \sigma_6$ , it is concluded by the following lemma and the estimate on  $\bar{M}_{10}^2$ .

LEMMA 4.5. Assume that  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_a|, \cdots, |\xi_h|$ . then the following estimates hold:

(4.66)

$$\chi_{\Omega_{1}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{a},\cdots,\xi_{d},\xi_{2}+\xi_{e}+\cdots+\xi_{h}) = \chi_{\Omega_{1}}(\xi_{2},\xi_{a},\cdots,\xi_{d},\xi_{1}+\xi_{e}+\cdots+\xi_{h});$$

$$\chi_{\Omega_{1}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{a},\cdots,\xi_{d},\xi_{2}+\xi_{e}+\cdots+\xi_{h}) \cdot \left[ \frac{M_{6}^{1}(\xi_{1},\xi_{a},\cdots,\xi_{d},\xi_{2}+\xi_{e}+\cdots+\xi_{h})}{\alpha_{6}(\xi_{1},\xi_{a},\cdots,\xi_{d},\xi_{2}+\xi_{e}+\cdots+\xi_{h})} \right]$$

$$(4.67)$$

$$\times (\xi_{2}+\xi_{e}+\cdots+\xi_{h}) + \frac{M_{6}^{1}(\xi_{2},\xi_{a},\cdots,\xi_{d},\xi_{1}+\xi_{e}+\cdots+\xi_{h})}{\alpha_{6}(\xi_{2},\xi_{a},\cdots,\xi_{d},\xi_{1}+\xi_{e}+\cdots+\xi_{h})} \cdot (\xi_{1}+\xi_{e}+\cdots+\xi_{h})$$

$$\lesssim |\xi_{3}|.$$

PROOF. By Remark 3.2, we may also assume that

$$|\xi_1| \ge \cdots \ge |\xi_{10}|$$
, and  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \gtrsim N$ .

We first note that  $\Omega = \Omega_1$  if  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_3|$ . Then we set up some notations for short: for  $\{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h\} \in \Xi$  with  $\Xi$  being defined in Lemma 4.4,

$$\overline{\xi_1} := \xi_1 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h; 
\overline{\xi_2} := \xi_2 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h; 
M := M_6^1(\xi_1, \xi_a, \dots, \xi_d, \xi_2 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h); 
M' := M_6^1(\xi_2, \xi_a, \dots, \xi_d, \xi_1 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h); 
\alpha := \alpha_6(\xi_1, \xi_a, \dots, \xi_d, \xi_2 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h); 
\alpha' := \alpha_6(\xi_2, \xi_a, \dots, \xi_d, \xi_1 + \xi_e + \dots + \xi_h).$$

To prove (4.66), noting that  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \sim |\overline{\xi_1}| \sim |\overline{\xi_2}| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_a|, \cdots |\xi_d|$ , we have

$$|\xi_1^3 + \overline{\xi_2}^3| \sim |\xi_1 + \overline{\xi_2}|(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) \sim |\xi_2^3 + \overline{\xi_1}^3|.$$

Thus (4.66) follows from the definition.

To prove (4.67), we need to show that,

$$\left| \frac{M}{\alpha} \overline{\xi_2} + \frac{M'}{\alpha'} \overline{\xi_1} \right| \lesssim |\xi_3|, \, \forall \, (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_a, \cdots, \xi_h) \in \Omega_1(\xi_1, \xi_a, \cdots, \xi_d, \overline{\xi_2}).$$

A computation gives that

$$(4.69) \frac{M}{\alpha} \overline{\xi_2} + \frac{M'}{\alpha'} \overline{\xi_1} = \frac{\alpha' - \alpha}{\alpha'} \frac{M}{\alpha} \overline{\xi_2} + \frac{M - M'}{\alpha'} \overline{\xi_2} + \frac{M'}{\alpha'} (\overline{\xi_1} + \overline{\xi_2}) =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

For  $I_3$ , by the proof of Lemma 4.2, we have  $|M| \lesssim |\alpha|$  and  $|M'| \lesssim |\alpha'|$ . Therefore,

$$(4.70) |I_3| \lesssim |\overline{\xi_1} + \overline{\xi_2}| \lesssim |\xi_3|.$$

For  $I_1$ , since  $\xi_1 - \xi_2 - (\overline{\xi_1} - \overline{\xi_2}) = 0$ , the identity (4.33) gives that

$$\alpha - \alpha' = i(\xi_1^3 + \overline{\xi_2}^3 + (-\overline{\xi_1})^3 + (-\xi_2)^3) = 3i(\xi_1 + \overline{\xi_2})(\xi_1 - \overline{\xi_1})(\xi_1 - \xi_2),$$

which, together with that fact that  $\xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 < 0$ , in turn gives that

$$|\alpha - \alpha'| \sim |\xi_1 + \overline{\xi_2}||\overline{\xi_1} - \xi_1||\xi_1|.$$

Therefore, we see that

$$(4.71) |I_1| \lesssim |\overline{\xi_1} - \xi_1| \lesssim |\xi_3|,$$

since  $|M| \lesssim |\alpha|$  and the definition of  $\Omega_1$  gives that

$$(4.72) |\alpha'| \gtrsim |\xi_2^3 + \overline{\xi_1}^3| \gtrsim |\xi_1 + \overline{\xi_2}|(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2).$$

For  $I_2$ , by the double mean value theorem in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$|M - M'| = \frac{1}{6} |m^2(\xi_1)\xi_1^3 + m^2(\overline{\xi_2})\overline{\xi_2}^3 - m^2(\overline{\xi_1})\overline{\xi_1}^3 - m^2(\xi_2)\xi_2^3|$$
  
 
$$\lesssim |\xi_1 + \overline{\xi_2}||\overline{\xi_1} - \xi_1||\xi_1|$$

as  $|\xi_1 + \overline{\xi_2}| \ll |\xi_1|$  and  $|\overline{\xi_1} - \xi_1| \ll |\xi_1|$ . Therefore as for  $I_1$ , by (4.72) again,

$$|I_2| \lesssim |\overline{\xi_1} - \xi_1| \lesssim |\xi_3|.$$

This completes the proof for this lemma.

Finally the following Proposition will establish the second half of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 4.2. For any s > 1/4, we have

(4.73) 
$$\left| \int_0^{\delta} \Lambda_{10}(\bar{M}_{10}) dt \right| \lesssim N^{-\frac{15}{4} +} ||Iu||_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}}^{10}.$$

PROOF. By Remark 3.2 or as in the proof of the previous Proposition 4.1, we may assume that

$$|\xi_1| \geq |\xi_2| \geq |\xi_3| \cdots \geq |\xi_{10}|, |\xi_i| \sim N_i, i = 1, \cdots, 10, \text{ and } N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim N.$$

By the argument at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 4.1 and Plancherel's theorem, it suffices to show

$$\int dt \int_{\Gamma_{10}} \frac{\left| \overline{M}_{10}(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{10}) \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_{10}(\xi_{10}) \right|}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle m(\xi_1) \cdots \langle \xi_{10} \rangle m(\xi_{10})} \lesssim N^{-\frac{15}{4} +} \|f_1\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2} +}} \cdots \|f_{10}\|_{X_{0, \frac{1}{2} +}}.$$

We may also assume that the spatial Fourier transforms of all  $f_i$  are non-negative. Now we divide it into two regions:

$$B_1 = \{ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{10}) \in \Gamma_{10} : |\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \gtrsim N \gg |\xi_3|. \}; B_2 = \{ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{10}) \in \Gamma_{10} : |\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \ge |\xi_3| \gtrsim N \}.$$

**Estimate in**  $B_1$ . By Lemma 4.4, there holds that  $|\bar{M}_{10}| \lesssim |\xi_3|$ . Then, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, the left-hand side of (4.74) is bounded by

$$\begin{split} N^{2s-2} & \int dt \int_{B_1} \frac{\widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_{10}(\xi_{10})}{|\xi_1|^s |\xi_2|^s \langle \xi_4 \rangle \cdots \langle \xi_{10} \rangle} \\ & \lesssim N^{-4} \, \|D_x f_1 f_3\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \, \|D_x f_2 f_4\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \, \|J_x^{-1} f_5\|_{L^\infty_{x,t}} \cdots \|J_x^{-1} f_{10}\|_{L^\infty_{x,t}} \\ & \lesssim N^{-4} \, \|f_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \cdots \|f_{10}\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}. \end{split}$$

**Estimate in**  $B_2$ . By Lemma 4.2, we have  $|\bar{M}_{10}| \lesssim |\xi_1|$ . Then, by the fact

$$\langle \xi \rangle m(\xi) = \langle \xi \rangle$$
, for  $|\xi| < N$ ;  $\langle \xi \rangle m(\xi) \sim N^{1-s} |\xi|^s$ , for  $|\xi| \ge N$ ,

we have for s > 1/4, the left-hand side of (4.74) is bounded by

$$N^{3s-3} \int dt \int_{B_2} \frac{|\xi_1| |\xi_2| |\xi_3|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cdots |\xi_6|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \langle \xi_7 \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \cdots \langle \xi_{10} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_{10}(\xi_{10})}{|\xi_1|^{2s+1} |\xi_3|^{s-\frac{1}{4}} m(\xi_4) \langle \xi_4 \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdots m(\xi_6) \langle \xi_6 \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}} m(\xi_7) \langle \xi_7 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} - \cdots m(\xi_{10}) \langle \xi_{10} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \lesssim N^{-\frac{15}{4}} \|D_x f_1\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2} \|D_x f_2\|_{L_x^{\infty} L_t^2} \|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} f_3\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{\infty}} \cdots \|D_x^{-\frac{1}{4}} f_6\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{\infty}} \\ \times \|J_x^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_7\|_{L_{\infty,t}^{\infty}} \cdots \|J_x^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_{10}\|_{L_{x,t}^{\infty}} \\ \lesssim N^{-\frac{15}{4}} \|f_1\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}} \cdots \|f_{10}\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

where we have used the fact that  $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_2| \geq |\xi_3| \gtrsim N$  and the fact that s > 1/4, and also  $m(\xi_i)\langle \xi_i \rangle^{3/4} \gtrsim 1$  for i = 4, 5, 6 and  $m(\xi_j)\langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2-} \gtrsim 1$  for j = 7, 8, 9, 10.  $\square$ 

# 5. Theorem 3.1 implies Theorem 1.1

In this section, we show how Theorem 1.1 is implied by Theorem 3.1 with the help of the modified local theory in Proposition 3.1. We will follow the steps in [10].

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Fix  $u_0$ , T > 0 and 3/8 < s < 1 and write  $A := 1 + ||u_0||_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}$ . Our goal is to show that the corresponding solution u to (1.1) and (1.2) exists on [0, T].

**Rescaling**. We choose the rescaling parameter  $\lambda > 1$  which will be determined shortly. We rescale u by

(5.75) 
$$u_{\lambda}(x,t) = \lambda^{-1/2} u(x/\lambda, t/\lambda^3); \quad u_{0,\lambda}(x) = \lambda^{-1/2} u_0(x/\lambda).$$

Then  $u_{\lambda}(x,t)$  is still the solution of (1.1) with the initial data  $u(x,0) = u_{0,\lambda}(x)$ ; and u(x,t) exists on [0,T] if and only if  $u_{\lambda}(x,t)$  exists on  $[0,\lambda^3T]$ .

Moreover a computation gives that, for  $\lambda > 1$ ,

(5.76) 
$$\|\partial_x Iu_{\lambda}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{1-s}/\lambda^s \cdot \|u(t)\|_{H^s}.$$

Hence, if we choose  $\lambda \sim N^{\frac{1-s}{s}}$  and specify t=0, we have

$$||Iu_{0,\lambda}||_{H^1} \lesssim_A 1.$$

An application of Proposition 3.1 gives two things: if  $\delta$  denotes the lifetime of local solution u,

$$(5.78) \delta \sim_A 1,$$

(5.79) 
$$||Iu_{\lambda}(t)||_{X_{1,1/2+}^{\delta}} \lesssim ||Iu_{0,\lambda}||_{H_{x}^{1}}.$$

**Iteration**. We make two observations. Since  $m(\xi) \leq 1$ , we first see that

$$(5.80) ||Iu_{\lambda}(t)||_{L_{x}^{2}} \leq ||u_{\lambda}(t)||_{L_{x}^{2}} = ||u_{0,\lambda}||_{L_{x}^{2}} = ||u_{0}||_{L_{x}^{2}} < ||Q||_{L^{2}}.$$

Then by the sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (1.5) and (5.76),

(5.81) 
$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x I u_{\lambda}(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 &\sim E_I^1(u_{\lambda}(t)), \\ \|I u_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H_x^1}^2 &\sim \|\partial_x I u_{\lambda}(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \sim E_I^1(u_{\lambda}(t)) + \|u_0\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.27) and (3.29), the rescaled solution satisfies (5.82)

$$E_I^1(u_{\lambda}(t)) = E_I^1(u_{0,\lambda}) + \Lambda_6(\tilde{\sigma}_6)(0) + \int_0^t \left(\Lambda_6(\bar{M}_6) + \Lambda_{10}(\bar{M}_{10})\right) ds - \Lambda_6(\tilde{\sigma}_6)(t).$$

By Theorem 3.1 and (5.79), we have for any  $t \in [0, \delta]$ , (5.83)

$$\begin{split} \dot{E_I^1}(u_{\lambda}(t)) \leq & E_I^1(u_{0,\lambda}) + C_1 N^{0-} \|Iu_{0,\lambda}\|_{H^1}^6 \\ & + C_2 N^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \left( \|Iu_{\lambda}\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}}^6 + \|Iu_{\lambda}\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}+}}^{10} \right) + C_1 N^{0-} \|Iu_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^1}^6 \\ \leq & E_I^1(u_{0,\lambda}) + C_1 N^{0-} \|Iu_{0,\lambda}\|_{H^1}^6 \\ & + C_3 N^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \left( \|Iu_{0,\lambda}\|_{H^1}^6 + \|Iu_{0,\lambda}\|_{H^1}^{10} \right) + C_1 N^{0-} \|Iu_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^1}^6. \end{split}$$

By (5.81),  $||Iu_{\lambda}(t)||^2_{H^1_x} \sim E^1_I(u_{\lambda}(t)) + ||u_0||^2_2$ , so if choosing a suitably large N, a bootstrap argument yields (5.84)

$$E_I^1(u_{\lambda}(t)) \le 2E_I^1(u_{0,\lambda}) + 2C_1N^{0-} ||Iu_{0,\lambda}||_{H^1}^6 + 2C_3N^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \left( ||Iu_{0,\lambda}||_{H^1}^6 + ||Iu_{0,\lambda}||_{H^1}^{10} \right).$$

Thus if assuming that  $2E_I^1(u_{0,\lambda}) \leq C_A$  and choosing a large N, we see that

$$\forall t \in [0, \delta], E_I^1(u_\lambda(t)) \le 2C_A.$$

Then we may extend the lifetime of the local solution u(t) to  $t \sim_A 2$ ; in other words, the lifetime of the local solution remain uniformly of size 1. Repeating this process M times, we obtain

(5.85) 
$$E_{I}^{1}(u_{\lambda}(t)) \leq 2E_{I}^{1}(u_{0,\lambda}) + 2C_{1}N^{0-} ||Iu_{0,\lambda}||_{H^{1}}^{6} + 2C_{3}MN^{-\frac{7}{2}+} \left( ||Iu_{0,\lambda}||_{H^{1}}^{6} + ||Iu_{0,\lambda}||_{H^{1}}^{10} \right).$$

Therefore,  $E_I^1(u_\lambda(t)) \leq 2C_A$  provided  $M \lesssim N^{7/2-}$ , which implies that the solution  $u_\lambda$  exists on  $[0, N^{7/2-}]$ . Hence, u exists on  $[0, \lambda^3 T]$  with the relation

$$(5.86) N^{\frac{7}{2}-} \gtrsim \lambda^3 T \sim N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{s}} T.$$

Thus  $T=N^{0+}$  as long as s>6/13, which implies Theorem 1.1 by choosing a large N.

REMARK 5.1 (A polynomial bound). From the argument above, there exists a polynomial bound for the solutions on the  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  norm. Indeed, for  $\lambda^s \sim N^{1-s}$ , we have for large t > 0,

$$(5.87) ||u(t)||_{H^s} \lesssim ||Iu(t)||_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^s ||Iu_\lambda(\lambda^s t)||_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^s \sim N^{1-s} \lesssim t^{\frac{2s(1-s)}{13s-6}+1}.$$

Acknowledgements. C. Miao and G. Xu were partly supported by the NSF of China (No.10725102, No.10801015). S. Shao was supported by National Science Foundation under agreement No. DMS-0635607 during the early preparations of this work. Y. Wu was partly supported by Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not reflect necessarily the views of the National Science Foundation.

The first, second and fourth authors would like to thank L. G. Farah for informing us a mistake in a previous version. The second author would like to thank

Markus Keel for his explanation on Bourgain's high/low trick in "Fourier truncation method" and the idea of the "I-method."

#### References

- Bambusi, D.; Delort, J. M.; Grebert, G.; and Szeftel, J.: Almost global existence for Hamiltonian semi-linear Klein-Gordon equations with small Cauchy data on Zoll manifolds. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 60 (11), 1665-1690, (2007).
- [2] Birnir, B.; Kenig, C. E.; Ponce, G.; Svanstedt, N.; and Vega, L.: On the ill-posedness of the IVP for generalized Korteweg-de Vries and nonlinear Schrödinger equations. J. London Math. Soc., 53 (2), 551–559, (1996).
- [3] Bourgain, J.: Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. II: the KdV-Equation. Geom. Funct. Anal., 3, 209–262, (1993).
- [4] Bourgain, J.: Remark on normal forms and the "I-method" for periodic NLS. J. Anal. Math., 94, 127–157, (2004).
- [5] Colliander, J.; Grillakis, M.; and Tzirakis, N.: Improved interaction Morawetz inequalities for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on ℝ<sup>2</sup>. IMRN, 23, Art. ID rnm090, 30pp, (2007).
- [6] Colliander, J.; Keel, M.; Staffilani, G.; Takaoka, H.; and Tao, T.: Global well-posedness for the KdV in Sobolev spaces of negative indices. Elect. J. Differ. Eqns., 26, 1–7, (2001).
- [7] Colliander, J.; Keel, M.; Staffilani, G.; Takaoka, H.; and Tao, T.: Global well-posedness result for Schrödigner equations with derivative. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 33 (2), 649–669, (2001).
- [8] Colliander, J.; Keel, M.; Staffilani, G.; Takaoka, H.; and Tao, T.: Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Math. Res. Lett., 9, 659–682, (2002).
- [9] Colliander, J.; Keel, M.; Staffilani, G.; Takaoka, H.; and Tao, T.: A refined global well-posedness result for Schrödinger equations with derivatives. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 34, 64-86, (2002).
- [10] Colliander, J.; Keel, M.; Staffilani, G.; Takaoka, H.; and Tao, T.: Sharp global well-posedness for KdV and modified Kdv on ℝ and T. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 16, 705–749, (2003).
- [11] Colliander, J.; Keel, M.; Staffilani, G.; Takaoka, H.; and Tao, T.: Global existence and scattering for rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equations on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **57** (8), 987–1014, (2004).
- [12] Colliander, J.; Keel, M.; Staffilani, G.; Takaoka, H.; and Tao, T.: Multilinear estimates for periodic KdV equations, and applications. J. Funct. Anal., 211 (1), 173–218, (2004).
- [13] Colliander, J.; Keel, M.; Staffilani, G.; Takaoka, H.; and Tao, T.: Resonant decompositions and the I-method for cubic nonlinear Schrödinger on ℝ<sup>2</sup>. Discrete and Contin. Dyn. Syst., 21 (3), 665–686, (2008).
- [14] Colliander, J.; and Roy, T.: Bootstrapped Morawetz estimates and resonant decomposition for low regularity global solutions of cubic NLS on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Preprint: arXiv: 0811.1803.
- [15] Colliander, J.; Staffilani, G.; and Takaoka, H.: Global Wellposedness of KdV below L<sup>2</sup>. Math. Res. Lett., 6 (5-6), 755-778, (1999).
- [16] De Silva, D.; Pavlovic, N.; Staffilani, G.; and Tzirakis, N.: Global well-posedness for the L<sup>2</sup>-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions. Comm. Pure Appl. Anal., 6 (4), 1023-1041, (2007).
- [17] Fang, Y. F.; and Grillakis. M. G.: On the global existence of rough solutions of the cubic defocusing Schrödinger equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{2+1}$ . J. Hyper. Diff. Eqns., 4 (2), 1–25, (2007).
- [18] Farah, L. G.: Global rough solutions to the critical generalized KdV equation. Preprint: arXiv: 0908.0344.
- [19] Fonseca, G.; Linares, F.; and Ponce, G.: Global existence for the critical generalized KdV equation. Prom. Amer. Math. Soc., 131 (6), 1847–1855 (electronic), (2003).
- [20] Grünrock, A.: New applications of the Fourier restriction norm method to wellposedness problems for nonlinear evolution equations. Dissertation, University of Wuppertal, (2002).
- [21] Grünrock, A.; Panthee, M.; and De Silva J.: A remark on global well-posedness below L<sup>2</sup> for the gKdV-3 equation. Preprint: arXiv: 0707.2722.
- [22] Kato, T.: On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation. Studies in applied mathematics, Adv. Math. Supp. Stud., 8, 93–128. Academic Press, New York, (1993).

- [23] Keel, M.; and Tao, T.: Local and global well-posedness of wave maps on ℝ<sup>1+1</sup> for rough data. IMRN, 21, 1117–1156, (1998).
- [24] Keel, M., and Tao, T.: Global well-posedness for nonlinear wave equations below the energy norm. http://www.math.ucla.edu/~tao/preprints.
- [25] Kenig, C. E.; Ponce, G.; and Vega, L.: The Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indics. Duke Math. J., 71 (1), 1–21, (1993).
- [26] Kenig, C. E.; Ponce, G.; and Vega, L.: Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 46 (4), 527–620, (1993).
- [27] Killip, R.; Kwon, S.; Shao, S.; and Visan, M.: On the mass-critical generalized KdV equation. Preprint: arXiv 0907.5412v1.
- [28] Shao, S.: The linear profile decomposition for the Airy equation and the existence of maximizers for the Airy Strichartz inequality. Preprint: arXiv:0809.0157.
- [29] T. Tao. Nonlinear dispersive equations, volume 106 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 2006. Local and global analysis.
- [30] Visan, M.; and Zhang, X.: Global well-posedness and scattering for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations below the energy space. Diff. Integ. Equat., 22 (1-2), 99-124, (2009).
- [31] Weinstein, M. I.: Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates. Comm. Math. Phys., 87 (4), 567–576, (1983).
- [32] Zhang, Z.: Global well-posedness for gKdV-3 in Sobolev spaces of negative index. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), **24** (5), 857–866, (2008).

Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P. O. Box 8009, Beijing, China, 100088,

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: miao\_changxing@iapcm.ac.cn}$ 

Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: slshao@ima.umn.edu}$ 

Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, 510640,

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: yerfmath@yahoo.cn}$ 

Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P. O. Box 8009, Beijing, China, 100088,

E-mail address: xu\_guixiang@iapcm.ac.cn