Homothetic Variant of Fractional Sobolev Space with Application to Navier-Stokes System

Jie Xiao

Communicated by Y. Charles Li, received October 28, 2006.

ABSTRACT. It is proved that for $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $Q_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, not only as an intermediate space of $W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ but also as a homothetic variant of Sobolev space $\dot{L}^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is sharply imbedded in $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is isomorphic to a quadratic Morrey space under fractional differentiation. At the same time, the dot product $\nabla \cdot (Q_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$ is applied to derive the well-posedness of the scaling invariant mild solutions of the incompressible Navier-Stokes system in $\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_{\perp} = (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Contents

1.	Introduction and Summary	227
2.	Proof of Theorem 1.2	233
3.	Proof of Theorem 1.4	239
References		244

1. Introduction and Summary

We begin by the square form of John-Nirenberg's BMO space (cf. [13]) which plays an important role in harmonic analysis and applications to partial differential equations. For a locally integrable complex-valued function f defined on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, with respect to the Lebesgue measure dx, we say that f is of BMO class, denoted $f \in BMO = BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, provided

$$||f||_{BMO} = \left(\sup_{I} (\ell(I))^{-n} \int_{I} |f(x) - f_{I}|^{2} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

 $^{1991\ \}textit{Mathematics Subject Classification}.\ \text{Primary 35Q30, 42B35; Secondary 46E30}.$

Key words and phrases. Homothety, $W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $Q_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, quadratic Morrey space, sharp Sobolev imbedding, incompressible Navier-Stokes system.

The author was supported in part by both NSERC (Canada) and Dean of Science Startup Fund (MUN, Canada).

Here and elsewhere \sup_I means that the supremum ranges over all cubes $I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with edges parallel to the coordinate axes; $\ell(I)$ is the sidelength of I; and $f_I = (\ell(I))^{-n} \int_I f(x) dx$ stands for the mean value of f over I.

On the basis of the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{BMO}$, a large scale of function spaces has been introduced in [11], as defined below.

DEFINITION 1.1. For $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$, let Q_{α} be the space of all measurable complex-valued functions f on \mathbb{R}^n obeying

$$||f||_{Q_{\alpha}} = \sup_{I} \left(\left(\ell(I) \right)^{2\alpha - n} \int_{I} \int_{I} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2\alpha}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

This Q_{α} is a natural extension of BMO according to the following result (proved in [11] and [30]):

$$Q_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} BMO, & \alpha \in (-\infty, 0), \\ \text{New space between } W^{1,n} \text{ and } BMO, & \alpha \in [0, 1), \\ \mathbb{C}, & \alpha \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Here $W^{1,n}=W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the homothetic energy space of all C^1 complex-valued functions f on \mathbb{R}^n with

$$||f||_{W^{1,n}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^n dx\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} < \infty.$$

More importantly, Q_{α} , $\alpha \in (0,1)$, can be regarded as the homothetically invariant counterpart of the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{L}_{\alpha}^2 = \dot{L}_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which consists of all complex-valued functions f on \mathbb{R}^n with the α -energy

$$||f||_{\dot{L}^{2}_{\alpha}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2\alpha}} dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

The reason for saying this is at least that $\|\cdot\|_{Q_{\alpha}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{L}^{2}_{\alpha}}$ enjoy the following property:

$$\|f\circ\phi\|_{Q_\alpha}=\|f\|_{Q_\alpha}\ \ \text{and}\ \ \|f\circ\phi\|_{\dot{L}^2_\alpha}=\lambda^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}\|f\|_{\dot{L}^2_\alpha}$$

for any homothetic map $x \mapsto \phi(x) = \lambda x + x_0; \ \lambda > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the six year period since the paper [11] appeared, it has been found that Q_{α} is a useful and interesting concept; see also [1], [2], [24], [8], [9], [16], [23], [7] and [10]. This means that the study of this new space has not yet ended up – in fact, there are many unexplored problems related to Q_{α} . In this paper, although not attacking one of those open problems in Section 8 in [11], we go well beyond the previous results by studying the relation between this space and the quadratic Morrey space, but also giving an application of the induced facts to the incompressible Navier-Stokes system.

To deal with the former, it is necessary to consider the following variant of [8, Theorem 3.3] that expands Fefferman-Stein's basic result for BMO in [12]: Given a C^{∞} real-valued function ψ on \mathbb{R}^n with

$$\psi \in L^1$$
, $|\psi(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-(n+1)}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 0$ and $\psi_t(x) = t^{-n} \psi(\frac{x}{t})$.

Then for a measurable complex-valued function f on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$(1.1) \quad f \in Q_{\alpha} \Longleftrightarrow \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0, \infty)} r^{2\alpha - n} \int_0^r \int_{|y - x| < r} |f * \psi_t(y)|^2 t^{-(1 + 2\alpha)} dy dt < \infty.$$

Here and henceforth, $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ represents the complex Lebesgue space equipped with p-norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$; * stands for the convolution operating on the space variable; and $U \lesssim V$ means that there exists a constant c > 0 such that $U \leq cV$.

Two particular choices of ψ in (1.1) yield two characterizations of Q_{α} involving the Poisson and heat semi-groups. As for this aspect, denote by $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $e^{t\Delta}(\cdot,\cdot)$ are the Poisson and heat kernels respectively; that is,

$$e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(x,y) = \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})\pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}t(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$$

and

$$e^{t\Delta}(x,y) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right).$$

Of course, for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the notation $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ is the $\beta/2$ -th power of the Laplacian operator

$$-\Delta = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_j^2 = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$$

determined by the partial derivatives $\{\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and the Fourier transform $\widehat{(\cdot)}$

$$\widehat{(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}f(x) = (2\pi|x|)^{\beta}\widehat{f}(x).$$

On the one hand, if

$$\psi_0(x) = \frac{1 + |x|^2 - (n+1)\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})\pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}},$$

then

$$(\psi_0)_t(x) = t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(x,0)$$

and hence for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and a measurable complex-valued function f on \mathbb{R}^n , (1.2)

$$f \in Q_{\alpha} \Longleftrightarrow \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r \in (0, \infty)} r^{2\alpha - n} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{|y - x| < r} \left| \partial_{t} e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}} f(y) \right|^{2} t^{1 - 2\alpha} dy dt < \infty.$$

On the other hand, if

$$\psi_j(x) = -(4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{x_j}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4}\right) \text{ for } j = 1, ..., n,$$

then

$$(\psi_j)_t(x) = t\partial_j e^{t^2 \Delta}(x,0)$$

and so, for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and a measurable complex-valued function f on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$(1.3) \quad f \in Q_{\alpha} \Longleftrightarrow \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0, \infty)} r^{2\alpha - n} \int_0^r \int_{|y - x| < r} |\nabla e^{t^2 \Delta} f(y)|^2 t^{1 - 2\alpha} dy dt < \infty.$$

With the help of the above-mentioned facts, we can establish the following result.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Then

(i) $Q_{\alpha} = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} \hookrightarrow BMO$ is proper with

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{Q_{\alpha}} > 0} \frac{\|f\|_{BMO}}{\|f\|_{Q_{\alpha}}} \le \sqrt{\frac{n^{\frac{n+2\alpha}{2}}}{2}},$$

where a measurable complex-valued function f on \mathbb{R}^n belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$ if and only if

$$||f||_{\mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}} = \sup_{I} \left(\left(\ell(I) \right)^{2\alpha - n} \int_{I} |f(x) - f_{I}|^{2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

(ii) $\dot{L}_{\alpha}^2 = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} L^2 \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}$ is sharp with

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{\dot{L}^{\alpha}_{\alpha}}>0}\frac{\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}}{\|f\|_{\dot{L}^{\alpha}_{\alpha}}}=\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+2\alpha}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\frac{|e^{-2\pi y\cdot(1,0,\ldots,0)}-1|^{2}}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(iii) $Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1} = \nabla \cdot (Q_{\alpha})^n$, where a tempered distribution f on \mathbb{R}^n belongs to $Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}$ if and only if

$$||f||_{Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0,\infty)} \left(r^{2\alpha - n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y - x| < r} |e^{t\Delta} f(y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$ is the so-called Morrey space of square form (cf. [3] and [22]) and $\mathcal{L}_{2,n} = BMO$. So Theorem 1.2 (i) keeps true for $\alpha = 0$ in the sense of $(-\Delta)^0 BMO = BMO$. Quite surprisingly, this part corresponds nicely to Strichartz's $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}BMO$ -equivalence [26, Theorem 3.3]:

$$f \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}BMO \Longleftrightarrow \sup_{I} \left(\left(\ell(I) \right)^{-n} \int_{I} \int_{I} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n + 2\alpha}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

The imbedding without best constant in Theorem 1.2 (ii) is well-known (see for example [21, Theorem 1] and the related references therein) and very useful in the study of the semi-linear wave equations (cf. [20]). A close look at both (i) and (ii) reveals that Q_{α} behaves like a homothetic Sobolev space. In addition, Theorem 1.2 (iii) extends [15, Theorem 1]: $BMO^{-1} = \nabla \cdot (BMO)^n$ that just says: $f \in BMO^{-1}$ if and only if there are $f_j \in BMO$ such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f_j$.

As with the latter, we recall that the Cauchy problem for the incompressible Navier-Stokes system on the half-space $\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+ = (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$:

(1.4)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nabla p = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+; \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+; \\ u|_{t=0} = a, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

is to establish the existence of a solution (velocity)

$$u = u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), ..., u_n(t, x))$$

with a pressure p = p(t, x) of the fluid at time $t \in [0, \infty)$ and position $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that assumes the given data (initial velocity) $a = a(x) = (a_1(x), ..., a_n(x))$. If the solution exists, is unique, and depends continuously on the initial data (with respect to a given topology), then we say that the Cauchy problem is well-posed in that topology.

Of particularly significant is the invariance of (1.4) under the scaling changes:

$$\begin{cases} u(t,x) \mapsto u_{\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda u(\lambda^{2}t, \lambda x); \\ p(t,x) \mapsto p_{\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda^{2}p(\lambda^{2}t, \lambda x); \\ a(x) \mapsto a_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda a(\lambda x). \end{cases}$$

So if (u(t, x), p(t, x), a(x)) satisfies (1.4) then $(u_{\lambda}(t, x), p_{\lambda}(t, x), a_{\lambda}(x))$ is a solution of (1.4) for any $\lambda > 0$. This leads to a consideration of the well-posedness for (1.4) with a Cauchy data being of the scaling invariance. Through the scale invariance

$$||a_{\lambda}||_{(L^{n})^{n}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||(a_{\lambda})_{j}||_{L^{n}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||(a_{j})_{\lambda}||_{L^{n}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||a_{j}||_{L^{n}} = ||a||_{(L^{n})^{n}},$$

Kato proved in [14] that (1.4) has mild solutions locally in time if $a \in (L^n)^n$ and globally if $||a||_{(L^n)^n}$ is small enough (for some generalizations of Kato's result, see e.g. [28] and [31]). Furthermore, in [15], Koch-Tataru found, among other results, that (1.4) still has mild solutions locally in time if $a \in (\overline{VMO^{-1}})^n$ and globally once

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|a_j\|_{BMO^{-1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0, \infty)} \left(r^{-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x| < r} |e^{t\Delta} a_j(y)|^2 dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is sufficiently small. Here and henceforward, by a mild solution u(t, x) of (1.4) we mean that u(t, x) solves the integral equation

$$u(t,x) = e^{t\Delta}a(x) - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}P\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)ds,$$

where $e^{t\Delta}a(x) = (e^{t\Delta}a_1(x), ..., e^{t\Delta}a_n(x))$ and P is the Helmboltz-Weyl projection:

$$P = \{P_{jk}\}_{j,k=1,...,n} = \{\delta_{jk} + R_j R_k\}_{j,k=1,...,n}$$

with δ_{jk} being the Kronecker symbol and $R_j = \partial_j (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ being the Riesz transform

Observe that $\|\cdot\|_{BMO^{-1}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{Q^{-1}_{\alpha;\infty}}$ are also invariant under the scale transform $a(x) \mapsto \lambda a(\lambda x)$. So it is a natural thing to extend the results of Kato and Koch-Tataru to the Q_{α} -setting. To do this, we introduce the following concept.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $T \in (0,\infty]$. Then we say:

(i) A tempered distribution f on \mathbb{R}^n belongs to the space $Q_{\alpha:T}^{-1}$ provided

$$||f||_{Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0,T)} \left(r^{2\alpha - n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x| < r} |e^{t\Delta} f(y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty;$$

- (ii) A tempered distribution f on \mathbb{R}^n belongs to $\overline{VQ_{\alpha}^{-1}}$ provided $\lim_{T\to 0} \|f\|_{Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1}} = 0$:
- (iii) A function g on \mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+ belongs to the space $X_{\alpha;T}$ provided

$$\begin{split} \|g\|_{X_{\alpha;T}} &= \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \sqrt{t} \|g(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ &+ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r^2 \in (0,T)} \left(r^{2\alpha - n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x| < r} |g(t,y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \end{split}$$

In particular, we write

$$Q_{0;T}^{-1} = BMO_T^{-1}, \quad \overline{VQ_0^{-1}} = \overline{VMO^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{0;T} = X_T.$$

Two immediate comments are given below: If

 $f_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda f(\lambda x)\quad\text{and}\quad g_{\lambda}(t,x)=\lambda g(\lambda^2 t,\lambda x)\quad\text{for}\quad \lambda,t>0\quad\text{and}\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n,$ then

$$||f_{\lambda}||_{Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}} = ||f||_{Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}} \text{ and } ||g_{\lambda}||_{X_{\alpha;\infty}} = ||g||_{X_{\alpha;\infty}};$$

that is, $\|\cdot\|_{Q^{-1}_{\alpha;\infty}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{X_{\alpha;\infty}}$ are scaling invariant. Second, we have

$$L^n \subseteq Q_{\alpha;1}^{-1} \subseteq BMO_1^{-1}$$
 and $X_{\alpha;1} \subseteq X_1$.

To see this, note that $\|f\|_{BMO^{-1}} = \|f\|_{Q_{0,\infty}^{-1}} \le \|f\|_{Q_{\alpha,\infty}^{-1}}$. Additionally, recall that $f \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{n}{p}}, \ p>n$ if and only if $\|e^{t\Delta}f\|_{L^p} \lesssim t^{\frac{n-p}{2p}}$ for $t\in(0,1]$; see also [4]. Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain that if $f\in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{n}{p}}, \ p>n$ and $r\in(0,1)$, then

$$\int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x| < r} |e^{t\Delta} f(y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \lesssim r^{n(p-2)} \int_0^{r^2} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^p}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha}$$

and hence $f \in Q_{\alpha;1}^{-1}$. This, together with the well-known inclusion (see e.g. [15]): $L^n \subseteq \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{n}{p}}, \ p > n$, yields the desired inclusion.

Below is our result on the well-posedness for the incompressible Navier-Stokes system.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Then

- (i) The Navier-Stokes system (1.4) has a unique small global mild solution in $(X_{\alpha;\infty})^n$ for all initial data a with $\nabla \cdot a = 0$ and $\|a\|_{(Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1})^n}$ being small.
- (ii) For any $T \in (0, \infty)$ there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the Navier-Stokes system (1.4) has a unique small mild solution in $(X_{\alpha;T})^n$ on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ when the initial data a satisfies $\nabla \cdot a = 0$ and $\|a\|_{(Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1})^n} \le \epsilon$. In particular for all $a \in (\overline{VQ_{\alpha}^{-1}})^n$ with $\nabla \cdot a = 0$ there exists a unique small local mild solution in $(X_{\alpha;T})^n$ on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the case of $\alpha=0$, Theorem 1.4 goes back to Theorems 2-3 of Koch-Tataru in [15]. However, it is perhaps worth pointing out that their Theorems 2-3 do not deduce our Theorem 1.4 even though $(Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1})^n$ and $(\overline{VQ_{\alpha}^{-1}})^n$ are subspaces of $(BMO_T^{-1})^n$ and $(\overline{VMO^{-1}})^n$ respectively, since the $(X_{\alpha;T})^n$ is contained properly in $(X_T)^n$ when $0<\alpha<1$.

In the forthcoming two sections, we provide the proofs of the above-stated theorems. The argument of Theorem 1.2 (i) follows from a chain of integral estimates for singular integral operators (see e.g. [5] and [6]) with being partially inspired by Wu-Xie's work [29], while in the demonstration of Theorem 1.2 (ii) we formulate the integral involved in the Sobolev space as weighted integral of the Fourier transform of the given function and take Lieb's sharp estimate for the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality into account. The justification of Theorem 1.2 (iii) is an extension of Koch-Tataru's argument for the BMO-setting in [15]. In showing Theorem 1.4 (i)-(ii), we improve Lemarié-Rieusset's treatment (cf. [17, Chapter 16]) of Koch-Tataru's proof of settling the case $\alpha = 0$ (see again [15, Theorems 2 and 3]) in order to handle any value $\alpha \in (0,1)$. More precisely, our proof

rests on two technical lemmas of which Lemma 3.1 brings Schur's lemma into play and so makes a difference.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

To verify Theorem 1.2 (i), we must understand the quadratic Morrey space in spirit of (1.2).

LEMMA 2.1. Given $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Let f be a measurable complex-valued function on \mathbb{R}^n . Then (2.1)

$$f \in \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} \Longleftrightarrow \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0,\infty)} r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^r \int_{|y-x| < r} \left| \partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}} f(y) \right|^2 t dy dt < \infty.$$

PROOF. Assume $f \in \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$. Recall

$$\psi_0(x) = \frac{1 + |x|^2 - (n+1)\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})\pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi_0)_t(x) = t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(x,0).$$

For any ball $B = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n , let 2B be the double ball of B and $f_{2B} = |2B|^{-1} \int_{2B} f$ be the mean value of f on 2B. Note that |E| stands for the Lebesgue measure of a set E. Let also

$$f_1 = (f - f_{2B})1_{2B}, \quad f_2 = (f - f_{2B})1_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2B} \quad \text{and} \quad f_3 = f_{2B},$$

where 1_E stands for the characteristic function of a set E. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_0(x) dx = 0$, we conclude

$$t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}} f(y) = (\psi_0)_t * f(y) = (\psi_0)_t * f_1(y) + (\psi_0)_t * f_2(y).$$

Concerning the first term $(\psi_0)_t * f_1(y)$, we have the following estimates

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{B} |(\psi_{0})_{t} * f_{1}(y)|^{2} t^{-1} dy dt & \leq \int_{B} \int_{0}^{\infty} |(\psi_{0})_{t} * f_{1}(y)|^{2} t^{-1} dt dy \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |(\psi_{0})_{t} * f_{1}(y)|^{2} t^{-1} dt \right) dy \\ & \lesssim \int_{2B} |f(y) - f_{2B}|^{2} dy \\ & \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}}^{2}, \end{split}$$

where we have used the L^2 -boundedness: $\|\mathcal{G}(f_1)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L^2}$ for the Littlewood-Paley \mathcal{G} -function of f_1

$$\mathcal{G}(f_1)(y) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| t \partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_1(y) \right|^2 t^{-1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

At the same time, if $(t,y) \in (0,r) \times B$ and B_k is the ball with center x and radius $2^k r$, then we take the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality into account, and obtain the following inequalities for $(\psi_0)_t * f_2(y)$:

$$|(\psi_{0})_{t} * f_{2}(y)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus 2B} \frac{t|f(z) - f_{2B}|}{(t + |x - z|)^{n+1}} dz$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus 2B} \frac{t|f(z) - f_{2B}|}{(r + |x - z|)^{n+1}} dy$$

$$\lesssim t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{k}} \frac{t|f(z) - f_{2B}|}{(r + |x - z|)^{n+1}} dz$$

$$\lesssim t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^{k}r)^{-(n+1)} \int_{B_{k}} |f(z) - f_{2B}| dz$$

$$\lesssim t r^{\alpha - n - 1} ||f||_{\mathcal{L}_{2, n - 2\alpha}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^r \int_B |(\psi_0)_t * f_2(y)|^2 t^{-1} dy dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} ||f||_{\mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}}^2.$$

The above-established estimates yield that the supremum in (2.1) is finite. To handle the converse part, denote by

$$S(I) = \Big\{ (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+: \ t \in (0,\ell(I)] \quad \text{and} \quad x \in I \Big\}.$$

the Carleson box based on a given cube $I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Suppose the supremum condition in (2.1) is satisfied. Then

(2.2)
$$\sup_{I} (\ell(I))^{2\alpha - n} \int_{S(I)} |\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}} f(y)|^2 t dy dt < \infty.$$

In order to verify $f \in \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$, we consider the projection operator

$$\Pi_{\psi_0} F(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_{\perp}} F(t, y) (\psi_0)_t (x - y) t^{-1} dy dt,$$

and prove that if

$$||F||_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}} = \sup_{I} \left(\left(\ell(I) \right)^{2\alpha - n} \int_{S(I)} |F(t, y)|^{2} t^{-1} dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$

then for any cube $J \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

(2.3)
$$\int_{J} |\Pi_{\psi_0} F(x) - (\Pi_{\psi_0} F)_J|^2 dx \lesssim (\ell(J))^{n-2\alpha} ||F||_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}}^2.$$

Given a cube $J \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$, define λJ as the cube concentric with J and with sidelength $\ell(\lambda J) = \lambda \ell(J)$. Let $F_1 = F|_{S(2J)}$ and $F_2 = F - F_1$. Then by a result of Coifman-Mayer-Stein [6, p. 328-329],

$$\int_{J} |\Pi_{\psi_0} F_1(x)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Pi_{\psi_0} F_1(x)|^2 dx
\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+} |F_1(t,y)|^2 t^{-1} dy dt
\lesssim \int_{S(2J)} |F(t,y)|^2 t^{-1} dy dt
\lesssim ||F||_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}}^2 (\ell(J))^{n-2\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, from the definition of Π_{ψ_0} , the boundedness of ψ_0 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality it follows that

$$\int_{J} |\Pi_{\psi_{0}} F_{2}(x)|^{2} dx
= \int_{J} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_{+}} (\psi_{0})_{t}(x-y) F_{2}(t,y) t^{-1} dy dt \right|^{2} dx
\leq \int_{J} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_{+} \setminus S(2J)} |(\psi_{0})_{t}(x-y)| |F_{2}(t,y)| t^{-1} dy dt \right)^{2} dx
\leq \int_{J} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{S(2^{k+1}J) \setminus S(2^{k}J)} |(\psi_{0})_{t}(x-y)| |F_{2}(t,y)| t^{-1} dy dt \right)^{2} dx
\leq \int_{J} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{k} \ell(J) \right)^{-1} \int_{S(2^{k+1}J) \setminus S(2^{k}J)} |(\psi_{0})_{t}(x-y)| |F_{2}(t,y)| dy dt \right)^{2} dx
\leq \int_{J} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{k} \ell(J) \right)^{-(n+1)} \int_{S(2^{k+1}J) \setminus S(2^{k}J)} |F_{2}(t,y)| dy dt \right)^{2} dx
\leq \int_{J} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{k} \ell(J) \right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\int_{S(2^{k+1}J) \setminus S(2^{k}J)} |F_{2}(t,y)|^{2} t^{-1} dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2} dx
\leq \|F\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}}^{2} \left(\ell(J) \right)^{n-2\alpha}.$$

Thus

$$\int_{J} \left| \Pi_{\psi_{0}} F(x) - \left(\Pi_{\psi_{0}} F \right)_{J} \right|^{2} dx \lesssim \int_{J} \left| \Pi_{\psi_{0}} F(x) \right|^{2} dx
\lesssim \int_{J} \left| \Pi_{\psi_{0}} F_{1}(x) \right|^{2} dx + \int_{J} \left| \Pi_{\psi_{0}} F_{2}(x) \right|^{2} dx
\lesssim \|F\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}}^{2} \left(\ell(J) \right)^{n-2\alpha},$$

namely, (2.3) holds. Applying (2.3) to $\Pi_{\psi_0}((\psi_0)_t * f)$ which equals f under (2.2), we achieve $f \in \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 (i). Since the imbedding with that constant can be derived from a routine computation, it suffices to show $Q_{\alpha} = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$, let $F(t,y) = t^{1+\alpha} \partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}} f(y)$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0, \infty)} r^{2\alpha - n} \int_0^r \int_{|y - x| < r} |F(t, y)|^2 t^{-1 - 2\alpha} dy dt \lesssim ||f||_{\mathcal{L}_{2, n - 2\alpha}}^2,$$

and consequently, $\Pi_{\psi_0} F \in Q_{\alpha}$ thanks to [8, Theorem 7.0 (i)]. Note however that

$$\widehat{F(t,\cdot)}(x) = -t^{\alpha+2}|x|\widehat{f}(x)\exp(-t|x|).$$

So a calculation infers

$$\widehat{\Pi_{\psi_0}F}(x) = 2^{-(2+\alpha)}\Gamma(2+\alpha)|x|^{-\alpha}\widehat{f}(x) = 2^{-2}\pi^{\alpha}\Gamma(2+\alpha)(\widehat{-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}f(x).$$

Therefore, $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}f$ belongs to Q_{α} .

Conversely, suppose $g \in Q_{\alpha}$. Setting $G(t,y) = t^{1-\alpha}\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}g(y)$, we deduce $\|G\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}} \lesssim \|g\|_{Q_{\alpha}}$ by using (1.2). Thus (2.3) is valid for this $G(\cdot,\cdot)$. From the argument of Lemma 2.1 it is easily derived that $\Pi_{\psi_0}G \in \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$. Since

$$\widehat{\Pi_{\psi_0}G}(x) = 2^{-2}\pi^{-\alpha}\Gamma(2-\alpha)(\widehat{-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}g(x),$$

we conclude that $f = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} g \in \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$ and $g = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f$. This completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 (ii). According to [27, p. 175], we use Fubini's theorem, Plancherel's formula, the change of variables $y = |x|^{-1}z$ and an orthonormal transform to obtain

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{\dot{L}^{2}_{\alpha}}^{2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(x+y) - f(x)|^{2} dx \right) |y|^{-(n+2\alpha)} dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |e^{-2\pi y \cdot x} - 1|^{2} |y|^{-(n+2\alpha)} dy \right) |\hat{f}(x)|^{2} dx \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |e^{-2\pi z \cdot (1,0,\dots,0)} - 1|^{2} |z|^{-(n+2\alpha)} dz \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\hat{f}(x)|^{2} |x|^{2\alpha} dx. \end{split}$$

Accordingly, $\dot{L}_{\alpha}^2 = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} L^2$. Note that $\hat{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot y) dy$ and

$$e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(y) \exp\left(-2\pi(iy\cdot x + |y|t)\right) dy.$$

So, by differentiation and integration (cf. [25, p. 83]), we find

$$\|\nabla e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f\|_{L^2}^2 = 8\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 |\hat{f}(x)|^2 \exp(-4\pi|x|t) dx.$$

Consequently,

(2.4)
$$\int_0^\infty \|\nabla e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f\|_{L^2}^2 t^{1-2\alpha} dt = \frac{8\pi^2 \Gamma(2(1-\alpha))}{(4\pi)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{2\alpha} |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx.$$

Moreover, the preceding consideration actually tells us that proving the desired sharp imbedding amounts to verifying the following best inequality

(2.5)
$$||f||_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}^2 \le \tau_{n,\alpha} \int_0^\infty ||\nabla e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f||_{L^2}^2 t^{1-2\alpha} dt,$$

where

$$\tau_{n,\alpha} = \frac{2^{1-4\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-2\alpha}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\alpha} \Gamma\left(2(1-\alpha)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+2\alpha}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{n}}.$$

To this end, we use $\langle f, g \rangle$ as $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$, and then get

$$|\langle f, g \rangle|^2 = |\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle|^2 \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{2\alpha} |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2\alpha} |\hat{g}(x)|^2 dx \right).$$

Because (cf. [19, Corollary 5.10])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2\alpha} |\hat{g}(x)|^2 dx = \frac{\pi^{2\alpha - \frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n - 2\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{g(x) \overline{g(y)}}{|x - y|^{n - 2\alpha}} dx dy,$$

it follows from Lieb's sharp version $[\mathbf{18}]$ of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality that

$$|\langle f, g \rangle|^2 \le \frac{\pi^{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-2\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+2\alpha}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{n}} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{2\alpha} |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx.$$

In the last inequality we take $g = f|f|^{\frac{4\alpha}{n-2\alpha}}$ for $f \in \dot{L}^2_{\alpha}$, and use (2.4) to achieve (2.5) whose equality can be checked for $f(x) = (1+|x|^2)^{(2\alpha-n)/2}$ through a direct calculation.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 (iii) depends on the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. Given $\alpha \in (0,1)$. For j,k=1,...,n let $f_{j,k}=\partial_j\partial_k(-\Delta)^{-1}f$. If $f\in Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}$ then $f_{j,k}\in Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}$.

PROOF. Assume that ϕ is a C^{∞} real-valued function on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support supp $\phi \subset B(0,1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$. Recall $\phi_r(x) = r^{-n}\phi(x/r)$, and write $g_r(t,x) = \phi_r * \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} e^{t\Delta} f(x)$. Then

$$e^{t\Delta}f_{j,k}(x) = \partial_j\partial_k(-\Delta)^{-1}e^{t\Delta}f(x) = f_r(t,x) + g_r(t,x).$$

If $\dot{B}_{1}^{1,1}$ stands for the predual of the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}$, then $f\in Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}$ yields $f\in BMO^{-1}\subseteq \dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}$ (see also [Le, p. 160, Lemma 16.1]) and

$$||g_r(t,\cdot)||_{L^{\infty}} \le ||\phi_r||_{\dot{B}_1^{1,1}} ||\partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} e^{t\Delta} f||_{\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}} \lesssim r^{-1} ||f||_{\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}}.$$

Consequently,

$$(2.6) \qquad \int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x| < r} |g_r(t,y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}}^2 \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|f\|_{Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}}^2.$$

Next, we estimate f_r . Take another C^{∞} real-valued function ψ with compact support in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\psi=1$ on $B(0,10)=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x|<10\}$. Define $\psi_{r,x}=\psi\left(\frac{y-x}{r}\right)$ and write $f_r=F_{r,x}+G_{r,x}$ where

$$G_{r,x} = \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} \psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f - \phi_r * \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} \psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f.$$

Thus, we employ the Plancherel formula for the space variable to get

$$\int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|\partial_{j}\partial_{k}(-\Delta)^{-1}\psi_{r,x}e^{t\Delta}f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}$$

$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|(\partial_{j}\partial_{k}(-\widehat{\Delta})^{-1}\psi_{r,x}e^{t\Delta}f)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}$$

$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{r^{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |y_{j}y_{k}|y|^{-2}(\widehat{\psi_{r,x}e^{t\Delta}}f)(y)|^{2}dy\right)\frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}$$

$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|\widehat{\psi_{r,x}e^{t\Delta}}f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}$$

$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|\psi_{r,x}e^{t\Delta}f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}.$$

And, by Minkowski's inequality (for ϕ_r) as well as the Plancherel formula again, we have

$$\int_0^{r^2} \|\phi_r * \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} \psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^\alpha} \lesssim \int_0^{r^2} \|\psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^\alpha}.$$

The last two estimates imply

$$\int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|G_{r,\cdot}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \lesssim \int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|\psi_{r,x}e^{t\Delta}f\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}.$$

To control $F_{r,x}$, we bring the following estimate (proved in [17, p. 161])

$$\int_{|y-x| < r} |F_{r,x}(t,y)|^2 dy \lesssim r^{n+1} \int_{|w-x| \ge 10r} |e^{t\Delta} f(w)|^2 |x-w|^{-(n+1)} dw$$

into play, and get

$$\int_{0}^{r^{2}} \left(\int_{|y-x| < r} |F_{r,x}(t,y)|^{2} dy \right) \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}
\lesssim r^{n+1} \int_{|w-x| \ge 10r} |x-w|^{-(n+1)} \left(\int_{0}^{r^{2}} |e^{t\Delta} f(w)|^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \right) dw
\lesssim r^{n+1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{10^{l} r \le |w-x| \le 10^{l+1} r} |x-w|^{-(n+1)} \left(\int_{0}^{r^{2}} |e^{t\Delta} f(w)|^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \right) dw
\lesssim \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 10^{-l(n+1)} \int_{|w-x| \le 10^{l+1} r} \left(\int_{0}^{r^{2}} |e^{t\Delta} f(w)|^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \right) dw
\lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} ||f||_{Q^{-1}}^{2} .$$

The integral estimates on $F_{r,x}$ and $G_{r,x}$ give

(2.7)
$$\int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x| < r} |f_r(t,y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} ||f||_{Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}}^2.$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7) gives $f_{j,k} \in Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}$, as required.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 (iii). If $f \in \nabla \cdot (Q_{\alpha})^n$, then there are $f_1, ..., f_n \in Q_{\alpha}$ such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f_j$. So the Minkowski inequality derives

$$||f||_{Q_{\alpha,\infty}^{-1}} \le \sum_{j=1}^n ||\partial_j f_j||_{Q_{\alpha,\infty}^{-1}} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^n ||f_j||_{Q_\alpha}.$$

This means $f \in Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}$.

Conversely, let $f \in Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}$. If $f_{j,k} = \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} f$, j,k = 1,...,n, then $f_{j,k} \in Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}$ by Lemma 2.2, and hence $f_k = -\partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} f \in Q_\alpha$. This leads to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \widehat{\partial_k f_k} = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \widehat{f_{k,k}} = \widehat{f},$$

completing the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

To prove Theorem 1.4 we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Given a number $T \in (0,\infty]$ and a function $f(\cdot,\cdot)$ on \mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+ . Let $Af(t,x) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta f(s,x) ds$. Then

(3.1)
$$\int_0^T \|Af(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \lesssim \int_0^T \|f(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}.$$

PROOF. It suffices to justify (3.1) for $T = \infty$. This is because: If $T < \infty$, then one may extend f by putting f = 0 on (T, ∞) , since Af counts only on the values of f on $(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, we may define f = 0 = Af for $t \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Recall $e^{t\Delta}(x,0) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t})$. Define

$$\Omega(t,x) = \begin{cases} \Delta e^{t\Delta}(x,0), & t > 0 \\ 0, & t \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we read that

$$Af(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega(t-s, x-y) f(s,y) dy ds,$$

and hence A becomes a convolution operator over $\mathbb{R}^{1+n} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Since

$$\widehat{\Omega(t,\cdot)}(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega(t,x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \zeta) dx = -(2\pi)^2 |\zeta|^2 \exp\left(-(2\pi)^2 t |\zeta|^2\right), \quad t > 0,$$
 we conclude

$$\widehat{Af(t,\cdot)}(\zeta)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega(t-s,x-y) f(s,y) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \zeta) dx \right) dy ds$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+n}} f(s,y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega(t-s,u) \exp(-2\pi i (u+y) \cdot \zeta) du \right) dy ds$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(s,y) \exp(-2\pi i y \cdot \zeta) \left(-(2\pi)^2 |\zeta|^2 \exp\left(-(2\pi)^2 (t-s)|\zeta|^2 \right) \right) dy ds$$

$$= -(2\pi)^2 \int_0^t |\zeta|^2 \exp\left(-(2\pi)^2 (t-s)|\zeta|^2 \right) \widehat{f(s,\cdot)}(\zeta) ds.$$

This formula, together with the Fubini theorem and the Plancherel formula, implies

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left\| Af(t,\cdot) \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\widehat{Af(t,\cdot)}(x)|^{2} dx \right) \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{|\zeta|^{2}}{\exp\left((2\pi)^{2}(t-s)|\zeta|^{2}\right)} |\widehat{f(s,\cdot)}(\zeta)| ds \right)^{2} d\zeta \right) \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{|\zeta|^{2}}{\exp\left((2\pi)^{2}(t-s)|\zeta|^{2}\right)} |\widehat{f(s,\cdot)}(\zeta)| ds \right)^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \right) d\zeta$$

$$= (2\pi)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1_{\{0 \leq s \leq t\}} \right) \frac{|\zeta|^{2} |\widehat{f(s,\cdot)}(\zeta)|}{\exp\left((2\pi)^{2}(t-s)|\zeta|^{2}\right)} ds \right)^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \right) d\zeta.$$

This tells us that if one can prove

$$(3.2) \qquad \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \left(1_{\{0 \le s \le t\}} \right) \frac{|\zeta|^2 |\widehat{f(s,\cdot)}(\zeta)|}{\exp\left((t-s)|\zeta|^2 \right)} ds \right)^2 \frac{dt}{t^\alpha} \lesssim \int_0^\infty |\widehat{f(t,\cdot)}(\zeta)|^2 \frac{dt}{t^\alpha},$$

then the Plancherel formula can be used again to yield

$$\int_0^\infty \left\| Af(t,\cdot) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^\alpha} \lesssim \int_0^\infty \left\| f(t,\cdot) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^\alpha}.$$

as desired.

To verify (3.2), we rewrite its left side as

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty K(s,t) F(s,\zeta) ds \right)^2 dt,$$

where

$$F(s,\zeta) = s^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\widehat{f(s,\cdot)}(\zeta)| \quad \text{and} \quad K(s,t) = \left(1_{\{0 \le s \le t\}}\right) \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{|\zeta|^2}{\exp((t-s)|\zeta|^2)}.$$

Clearly.

$$\int_0^\infty K(s,t)ds = |\zeta|^2 \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp(-(t-s)|\zeta|^2)ds \le 1 - \exp(-t|\zeta|^2) \le 1$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty K(s,t)dt = |\zeta|^2 \int_s^\infty \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp(-(t-s)|\zeta|^2)dt \le 1.$$

Therefore, by Schur's lemma we get

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty K(s,t) F(s,\zeta) ds \right)^2 dt \lesssim \int_0^\infty \left(F(t,\zeta) \right)^2 dt,$$

reaching (3.2).

LEMMA 3.2. Given $\alpha \in (0,1)$. For a function f on $(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ let

$$C(f;\alpha) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0,1)} r^{2\alpha - n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x| < r} |f(t,y)| t^{-\alpha} dt dy.$$

Then

$$(3.3) \qquad \int_0^1 \left\| \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} \int_0^t f(s,\cdot) ds \right\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \lesssim C(f;\alpha) \int_0^1 \left\| f(t,\cdot) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}$$

PROOF. In the sequel, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the inner product in L^2 with respect to the space variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. So

$$\| \cdots \|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} \int_{0}^{t} f(s, y) ds \right|^{2} dy$$

$$= \left\langle \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} \int_{0}^{t} f(s, y) ds, \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} \int_{0}^{t} f(s, y) ds \right\rangle$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} f(s, \cdot), \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} f(h, \cdot) \right\rangle ds dh.$$

This gives

$$\int_{0}^{1} \| \cdots \|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} = 2\Re \left(\iint_{0 < h < s < 1} \left\langle f(s, \cdot), \int_{s}^{1} (-\Delta) e^{2t\Delta} f(h, \cdot) t^{-\alpha} dt \right\rangle ds dh \right) \\
\lesssim \iint_{0 < h < s < 1} \left\langle |f(s, \cdot)|, (e^{2\Delta} - e^{2s\Delta})|f(h, \cdot)| \right\rangle ds dh \\
\lesssim \int_{0}^{1} \left\langle |f(s, \cdot)|, \int_{0}^{s} (e^{2\Delta} - e^{2s\Delta})|f(h, \cdot)| dh \right\rangle s^{-\alpha} ds \\
\lesssim \left(\int_{0}^{1} \|f(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{1}} s^{-\alpha} ds \right) \sup_{s \in (0, 1]} \left\| \int_{0}^{s} e^{2s\Delta} |f(h, \cdot)| dh \right\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

As estimated in [17, p. 163], it follows that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n, s \in (0,1]} \int_0^s e^{2s\Delta} |f(h,z)| dh \lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0,1)} r^{-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x| < r} |f(s,y)| dy ds.$$

This estimate in turn implies

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n, s \in (0,1]} \int_0^s e^{2s\Delta} |f(h,z)| dh \lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in (0,1)} r^{2\alpha - n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x| < r} |f(s,y)| s^{-\alpha} ds dy$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \| \cdots \|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \lesssim C(f; \alpha) \left(\int_0^1 \| f(s, \cdot) \|_{L^1} s^{-\alpha} ds \right),$$

giving (3.3).

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (i)-(ii). In accordance with the Picard contraction principle (cf. [17, p. 145, Theorem 15.1]), we find that proving Theorem 1.4 amounts to demonstrating that the bilinear operator

$$B(u,v) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) ds$$

is bounded from $(X_{\alpha;T})^n \times (X_{\alpha;T})^n$ to $(X_{\alpha;T})^n$. Naturally, $u \in (X_{\alpha;T})^n$ and $a \in (Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1})^n$ are respectively equipped with the norms

$$||u||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} = \sum_{j=1}^n ||u_j||_{X_{\alpha;T}} \text{ and } ||a||_{(Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1})^n} = \sum_{j=1}^n ||a_j||_{Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1}}.$$

Step 1. L^{∞} -bound. We are about to prove that if $t \in (0,T)$ then

$$(3.4) |B(u,v)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} ||u||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} ||v||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}.$$

If $\frac{t}{2} \le s < t$ then

$$\|e^{(t-s)\Delta}P\nabla \cdot (u\otimes v)\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}\|v\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \lesssim (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}s^{-1}\|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}\|v\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}.$$

If $0 < s < \frac{t}{2}$ then

$$|e^{(t-s)\Delta}P\nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)|$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(s,y)||v(s,y)|}{(\sqrt{t}+|x-y|)^{n+1}} dy$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (\sqrt{t}(1+|k|)^{-(n+1)} \int_{x-y \in \sqrt{t}(k+[0,1]^n)} |u(s,y)||v(s,y)| dy.$$

An application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{x-y \in \sqrt{t}(k+[0,1]^{n})} |u(s,y)| |v(s,y)| dy ds$$

$$\lesssim t^{\alpha} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{x-y \in \sqrt{t}(k+[0,1]^{n})} \frac{|u(s,y)|^{2}}{s^{\alpha}} dy ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{x-y \in \sqrt{t}(k+[0,1]^{n})} \frac{|v(s,y)|^{2}}{s^{\alpha}} dy ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\lesssim t^{\frac{n}{2}} ||u||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^{n}} ||v||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^{n}}.$$

From the foregoing inequalities it follows that

$$|B(u,v)| \lesssim \int_{0}^{\frac{t}{2}} |e^{(t-s)\Delta}P\nabla \cdot (u\otimes v)|ds + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} |e^{(t-s)\Delta}P\nabla \cdot (u\otimes v)|ds$$

$$\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} ||u||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^{n}} ||v||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^{n}} + \left(\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} s^{-1}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds\right) ||u||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^{n}} ||v||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^{n}}$$

$$\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} ||u||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^{n}} ||v||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^{n}},$$

establishing (3.4).

Step 2. L^2 -bound. We are about to show that if $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r^2 \in (0,T)$ then

$$(3.5) r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{|u-x| \le r} |B(u,v)|^2 s^{-\alpha} dy ds \lesssim ||u||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}^2 ||v||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}^2.$$

To do so, let $1_{r,x}(y) = 1_{\{|y-x|<10r\}}(y)$, i.e., the characteristic function on the ball $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y-x|<10r\}$, and set $B(u,v) = B_1(u,v) - B_2(u,v) - B_3(u,v)$, where

$$B_1(u,v) = \int_0^s e^{(s-h)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot ((1-1_{r,x})u \otimes v) dh,$$

$$B_2(u,v) = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P \nabla \cdot \int_0^s e^{(s-h)\Delta} \Delta \Big((-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (I - e^{h\Delta}) (1_{r,x}) u \otimes v \Big) dh,$$

and

$$B_3(u,v) = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P \nabla \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{s\Delta} \Big(\int_0^s (1_{r,x}) u \otimes v \Big) dh \Big).$$

Here and hence after, I stands for the identity operator. When $0 < s < r^2$ and |y-x| < r, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality produces

$$\begin{split} &|B_1(u,v)|\\ \lesssim &\int_0^s \int_{|z-x|\geq 10r} \frac{|u(h,z)||v(h,z)|}{(\sqrt{s-h}+|y-z|)^{n+1}} dz dh\\ \lesssim &\int_0^{r^2} \int_{|z-x|\geq 10r} \frac{|u(h,z)||v(h,z)|}{|x-z|^{n+1}} dz dh\\ \lesssim &\left(\int_0^{r^2} \int_{|z-x|\geq 10r} \frac{|u(h,z)|^2}{|x-z|^{n+1}} dz dh\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^{r^2} \int_{|y-x|\geq 10r} \frac{|v(h,z)|^2}{|x-z|^{n+1}} dz dh\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ \lesssim &r^{-1} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}. \end{split}$$

$$\int_0^{r^2} \int_{|u-x| < r} |B_1(u,v)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} ||u||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}^2 ||v||_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}^2.$$

For $B_2(u,v)$, put

$$M(h,y) = 1_{r,x}(u \otimes v) = 1_{r,x}(y) \big(u(h,y) \otimes v(h,y) \big).$$

By the L^2 -boundedness of the Riesz transform and Lemma 3.1 we achieve

$$\int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|B_{2}(u,v)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \lesssim \int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|\int_{0}^{s} e^{(s-h)\Delta} \Delta \Big((-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (I - e^{h\Delta}) M(h,\cdot) \Big) dh \|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \lesssim \int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|\Big((-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (I - e^{s\Delta}) M(s,\cdot) \Big) \|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{ds}{s^{\alpha}}.$$

Owing to $\sup_{s\in(0,\infty)}s^{-1}(1-\exp(-s^2))<\infty$, we conclude that $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I-e^{s\Delta})$ is bounded on L^2 with operator norm $\lesssim \sqrt{s}$. This, plus the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, gives

$$\int_0^{r^2} \|B_2(u,v)\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}^2 \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}^2.$$

Similarly for $B_3(u,v)$, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|B_{3}(u,v)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \lesssim \int_{0}^{r^{2}} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{t\Delta} \int_{0}^{t} M(s,\cdot) ds \|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}}$$

$$\lesssim r^{4+n-2\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\tau\Delta} \int_{0}^{\tau} |M(r^{2}\theta, r\cdot)| d\theta \|_{L^{2}}^{2} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha}}.$$

Now, making a use of Lemma 3.2 we achieve

$$\int_0^1 \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\tau \Delta} \int_0^\tau |M(r^2\theta,r\cdot)| d\theta \right\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{d\tau}{\tau^\alpha} \lesssim D(M;\alpha) \int_0^1 \left\| M(r^2\theta,r\cdot) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{d\theta}{\theta^\alpha},$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lcl} D(M;\alpha) & = & \sup_{\rho \in (0,1)} \rho^{-n} \int_0^{\rho^2} \int_{|w-x| < \rho} |M(r^2\theta,rw)| \tau^{-\alpha} dw d\tau \\ & \lesssim & r^{-2} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}. \end{array}$$

Observe also that

$$\int_0^1 \|M(r^2\theta,r\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{d\theta}{\theta^\alpha} \lesssim r^{-2} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}.$$

So, it follows that

$$\int_0^{r^2} \|B_3(u,v)\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}^2 \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}^2.$$

Adding the previous estimates on $B_j(u, v)$, j = 1, 2, 3 together gives (3.5).

Clearly, the boundedness of $B(\cdot,\cdot):(X_{\alpha;T})^n\times (X_{\alpha;T})^n\to (X_{\alpha;T})^n$ follows from (3.4) and (3.5). Furthermore, the case $T=\infty$ produces (i); and the other case $T\in(0,\infty)$ yields (ii). The proof is complete.

References

- D. R. Adams and J. L. Lewis, On Morrey-Besov inequalities, Studia Math. 74 (1982), 169– 182.
- M. Andersson and H. Carlsson, Q_p spaces in strictly pseudoconvex domains, J Anal. Math., 84 (2001), 335–359.
- 3. S. Campanato, Proprietá di inclusione per spazi di Morrey, Ricerche Mat., 12 (1963), 67-86.
- M. Cannone, Y. Meyer and F. Planchon, Solutions auto-similaires des équations de Navier-Stokes in R³, Exposé n. VIII, Séminaire X-EDP, Ecole Polytechnique, 1963.
- M. Christ, Lectures on Singular Integral Operators, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 77, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
- R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E. M. Stein, Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis, J. Funct. Anal., 62 (1985), 304–335.
- 7. L. Cui and Q. Yang, On the generalized Morrey spaces, Siberian Math. J., 46 (2005), 123-141.
- G. Dafni and J. Xiao, Some new tent spaces and duality theorems for fractional Carleson measures and Q_α(Rⁿ), J. Funct. Anal., 208 (2004), 377–422.
- G. Dafni and J. Xiao, The dyadic structure and atomic decomposition of Q spaces in several variables, Tohoku Math. J., 57 (2005), 119–145.
- 10. M. Englis, Q_p -spaces: generalizations to bounded symmetric domains, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Finite or Infinite Dimensional Complex Analysis and Applications, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2005, pp. 53–71.
- M. Essén, S. Janson, L. Peng and J. Xiao, Q spaces of several real variables, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 49 (2000), 575–615.
- 12. C. Fefferman and E. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math., 129 (1972), 137–193.
- F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 18 (1965), 415–426.
- 14. T. Kato, Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in \mathbb{R}^m , with applications to weak solutions, Math. Z., **187** (1984), 471–480.
- H. Koch and D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations, Adv. Math., 157 (2001), 22–35.
- V. Latvala, On subclasses of BMO(B) for solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations, Analysis, 19 (1999), 103–116.
- 17. P. G. Lemari-Rieusset, Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem, Boca Raton, Fla.: Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2002.
- E. Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities, Ann. Math., 118 (1983), 349–374.
- E. Lieb and M. Loss, Analysis, 2nd ed. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

- H. Lindblad and C. D. Sogge, On existence and scattering with minimal regularity for semilinear wave equations, J. Funct. Anal. 130 (1995), 357–426.
- V. Mazýa and T. Shaposhnikova, On the Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 195 (2002), 230–238.
- 22. J. Peetre, On the theory of $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$, J. Funct. Anal., 4 (1969), 71–87.
- 23. L. Peng and Q. Yang, Predual spaces for Q spaces, Preprint, 2005.
- S. Pott and M. Smith, Paraproducts and Hankel operators of Schatten class via p-John-Nirenberg theorem, J. Funct. Anal., 217 (2004), 37–78.
- E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- R. S. Strichartz, Bounded mean oscillation and Sobolev spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 29 (1980), 539–558.
- R. S. Strichartz, A Guide to Distribution Theory and Fourier Transforms, World Scientific, 2003.
- M. E. Taylor, Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier-Stokes and other evolution equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 17 (1992), 1407–1456.
- Z. Wu and C. Xie, Q spaces and Morrey spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 201 (2003), 282–297.
- 30. J. Xiao, A sharp Sobolev trace inequality for the fractional-order derivatives, Bull. Sci. Math., 130 (2006), 87–96.
- 31. M. Yamazaki, *The Navier-Stokes equation in various function spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **204** (2001), 111–132.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND,

St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

E-mail address: jxiao@math.mun.ca