

FAST COMMUNICATION

A SHARP BOUND ON THE  $L^2$  NORM OF THE SOLUTION OF A  
 RANDOM ELLIPTIC DIFFERENCE EQUATION\*

TOMASZ KOMOROWSKI<sup>†</sup> AND LENYA RYZHIK<sup>‡</sup>

**Abstract.** We consider a stationary solution of the Poisson equation  $(\lambda + L^\omega)\phi_\lambda(x; \omega) = -\partial^* b(x; \omega)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  and  $L^\omega$  is a random, discrete, elliptic operator given by  $L^\omega u(x) := \partial^* [a(x; \omega) \partial u(x)]$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $\partial f(x) := f(x+1) - f(x)$  and  $\partial^* f(x) := f(x-1) - f(x)$  for an arbitrary function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The coefficients  $\{(a(x; \omega), b(x; \omega)), x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  form a stationary random field over a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We prove that if the field of coefficients is sufficiently strongly mixing then  $\|\phi_\lambda(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}$  — the  $L^2$  norm of with respect to the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  — behaves as  $\hat{C}\lambda^{-1/4}$ , as  $\lambda \ll 1$  for some constant  $\hat{C} > 0$ . In addition  $\|\partial\phi_\lambda(0) - \partial\phi_0(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C\lambda^{1/4}$  for  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  and some constant  $C > 0$ . These results complement those of [A. Gloria, F. Otto, preprint, 2010] and [J.C. Mourrat, preprint, 2010] that hold for an analogous problem in the multidimensional setting.

**Key words.** Stochastic homogenization, corrector field, mixing, elliptic difference equation.

**2000 mathematics subject classifications.** 35B27, 39A50, 60H25.

1. Introduction

Suppose that  $\{(a(x; \omega), b(x; \omega)), x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a stationary random field over a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We shall be concerned with the stationary solutions of the equation

$$(\lambda + L^\omega)\phi_\lambda(x; \omega) = -\partial^* b(x; \omega), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is small,

$$L^\omega u(x) := \partial^* [a(x; \omega) \partial u(x)], \quad x \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $u: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\partial u(x) := u(x+1) - u(x)$  is the discrete difference operator with adjoint  $\partial^* u(x) := u(x-1) - u(x)$ . We assume that there exist constants  $0 < a_* < a^* < +\infty$  and  $b^* < +\infty$ , so that

$$a(x; \omega) \in [a_*, a^*], \quad |b(x; \omega)| \leq b^*, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{P} \text{ a.s. in } \omega. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that the operator  $L^\omega$  is positive-definite and is the discrete version of  $(-\nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla))$  in the continuous case, thus all  $\lambda > 0$  belong to its resolvent set. This observation allows us to find a (unique) stationary solution of (1.1) for any  $\lambda > 0$ ; see e.g. [7] for a details. On the other hand, since  $L^\omega 1 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_0 = 0$  belongs to the spectrum of the operator.

We shall be concerned with the limiting behavior of  $\phi_\lambda(x)$ , as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ .

It has been shown recently (somewhat surprisingly) in [6] (see also [8] for another, more probabilistic, argument) that when  $d \geq 3$  ( $d \geq 9$  in [8]), and the coefficients  $a(x) = b(x)$  (in [8]  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are allowed to be different) are i.i.d.,  $\|\phi_\lambda(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}$  stays bounded

\*Received: June 11, 2010; accepted: October 12, 2010. Communicated by Markos Katsoulakis.

<sup>†</sup>Institute of Mathematics, UMCS, pl. Marii-Curie Skłodowskiej 1, 20-031 Lublin, Poland AND Mathematical Institute, IMPAN, Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland (komorow@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl).

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305, USA (ryzhik@math.stanford.edu).

as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ . We denote here by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{P}}$  the  $L^2$  norm with respect to the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ :

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{P}} = \left[ \int f^2(\omega) d\mathbb{P} \right]^{1/2}.$$

When  $d=2$  one can prove, see *ibid.*, a logarithmic bound  $\|\phi_{\lambda}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C \log^{\gamma} \lambda^{-1}$  for  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ . In the present note we complete the picture by proving that in one dimension  $\|\phi_{\lambda}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \sim \hat{C} \lambda^{-1/4}$ , with an explicit constant  $\hat{C} > 0$ , as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$  (see Theorem 1.1 below), provided that the field  $a(x)$  is sufficiently strongly mixing. The case when  $a(x) = b(x)$  is of particular interest in the homogenization theory as the respective field  $\phi_{\lambda}(x)$ , called *the corrector*, can be used to show the convergence of solutions of equations with fast varying coefficients. A somewhat related question of determining the convergence rate for homogenization in one dimension has been considered in [1].

Our second result concerns the rate of convergence of the gradient of the  $\lambda$ -corrector in one dimension. It has been shown in [13] (see also [2] for the discrete setting) that in the continuum case when  $d \geq 3$  and the coefficients are sufficiently strongly mixing there exist constants  $C, \gamma > 0$  such that  $\|\nabla \phi_{\lambda}(0) - \nabla \phi_0(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C \lambda^{\gamma}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ . In fact, in the discrete setting, for an i.i.d. field  $a(x)$  one can show that  $\gamma$  can be chosen arbitrarily in the interval  $(0, (d-2)/(d+8))$ ; see [2]. When  $d=2$  the corresponding result is slightly weaker (see [10], Lemma 7.1) – it asserts that  $\|\nabla \phi_{\lambda}(0) - \nabla \phi_0(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C \lambda^{\gamma/\log \log(\lambda^{-1})}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  for some  $C, \gamma > 0$ . We prove that in the case  $d=1$ , under the aforementioned mixing assumption,  $\|\partial \phi_{\lambda}(0) - \partial \phi_0(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C \lambda^{1/4}$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ , where  $C > 0$  is a constant.

Finally, we use our approach to obtain estimates of the convergence rate of solutions of parabolic equations with random coefficients and random initial data towards the expected value of the initial data; see Theorem 3.1. This property is known as stabilization of solutions of the heat equation and has been introduced by Zhikov in [14]. Our contribution is to establish the rate of convergence to equilibrium.

The method of the proof relies on a Feynman-Kac type of representation of the gradient of the corrector given by Formula (2.4) below. This representation in turn allows us to write the corrector itself in terms of the Green's function of the symmetric, simple random walk, which is given explicitly. These formulas together allow us to describe the precise asymptotics of both  $\phi_{\lambda}(0)$  and  $\phi'_{\lambda}(0)$ , as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ ; see Theorem 1.1.

**The main result.** We assume that the field  $\{(a(x), b(x)), x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  satisfies (1.2), and the following:

- (1) Stationarity: For any  $N \geq 1$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  the laws of  $(a(x_1), b(x_1), \dots, a(x_N), b(x_N))$  and  $(a(x_1+x), b(x_1+x), \dots, a(x_N+x), b(x_N+x))$  are identical. Under this hypothesis there exists a unique stationary solution to (1.1) for each  $\lambda > 0$ ; see [7].
- (2) Mixing: Denote by  $\int_{\mathbb{Z}}$  the summation over all integers,  $B(x) := b(x)/a(x)$  and

$$\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{a(x)} - \frac{1}{\hat{a}}, \quad \beta(x) = B(x) - \hat{b},$$

where  $\hat{a} := \langle a^{-1}(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}^{-1}$ , and  $\hat{b} = \langle B(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}$ , so that  $\langle \alpha(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} = \langle \beta(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} = 0$ . We require that the two point statistics satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}} x^2 [|\langle \alpha(x) \alpha(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}| + |\langle \beta(x) \beta(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}|] dx < +\infty, \quad (1.3)$$

and, in addition, the higher moments satisfy

$$\mathcal{I}_N := \sup_{x_2, \dots, x_{2N}} \int_{[x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_{2N-1} \geq x_{2N}]} \left| \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{2N} \gamma_{k_i}(x_i) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \right| dx_1 dx_3 \dots dx_{2N-1} < +\infty \tag{1.4}$$

for  $N = 1, \dots, 5$ ,  $k_i = 0, 1$ , and  $\gamma_0 = \alpha(x)$ ,  $\gamma_1(x) = \beta(x)$ .

The main result of this note is the following.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Under the foregoing hypotheses we have*

$$\|\phi_\lambda(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} = C_* \lambda^{-1/4} + O(1) \quad \text{as } \lambda \downarrow 0, \quad C_* = \hat{a}^{1/4} G_0^{1/2} / 2 \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$G_0 := \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle \Gamma(x) \Gamma(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} dx$$

and

$$\Gamma(x) := \hat{a} \hat{b} \alpha(x) + \beta(x). \tag{1.6}$$

In addition, there exists  $\hat{C} > 0$  such that

$$\|\partial \phi_\lambda(0) - \partial \phi_0(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq \hat{C} \lambda^{1/4} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in (0, 1]. \tag{1.7}$$

**2. The proof of Theorem 1.1**

**2.1. The proof of (1.5).** In order to obtain a precise asymptotics in (1.5) we will split the field  $\phi_\lambda$  into several terms (see decomposition (2.7) below), and estimate each of them separately. Denote  $\psi_\lambda(x) := a(x) \partial \phi_\lambda(x)$ . Using Equation (1.1) we obtain

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial^* f_\lambda(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$f_\lambda(x) := \psi_\lambda(x) - \psi_0(x). \tag{2.2}$$

Note that the field  $\psi_\lambda(x)$  converges, as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$ , in  $L^2(\mathbb{P})$  to  $\psi_0(x) := \hat{a} \hat{b} - b(x)$ . This can be seen as follows. Using Theorem 2.4 of [11] one can deduce that  $\partial \phi_\lambda(x)$  converges to some stationary field  $\Phi_*(x)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ . From (1.1) we get  $\partial^*[a(x)\Phi_*(x)] = -\partial^*b(x)$ , hence  $\Phi_*(x) = -B(x) + Ca^{-1}(x)$  for some deterministic constant  $C$ . Since  $\langle \Phi_*(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} = 0$  we conclude that  $C = \hat{a} \hat{b}$  and the assertion follows due to the fact that  $\psi_0(x) = a(x)\Phi_*(x)$ .

Observe that  $\psi_\lambda(x)$  satisfies

$$(\lambda/2)a^{-1}(x)\psi_\lambda(x) + (1/2)\partial^*\partial\psi_\lambda(x) = -(1/2)\partial^*\partial b(x), \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, it can be written as

$$\psi_\lambda(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbf{E}[e_\lambda(t, x) \partial^* \partial b(X_t^x)] dt, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$e_\lambda(t, x) := \exp \left\{ -(\lambda/2) \int_0^t a^{-1}(X_s^x) ds \right\}.$$

Here  $\{X_t^x, t \geq 0\}$  is a symmetric, simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$  with continuous time starting at  $x$ , given over another probability space  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Q})$ , and  $\mathbf{E}$  denotes the expectation with respect to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We shall drop the superscript  $x$  in the case when the walk starts at the origin. Using the fact that

$$M_t = b(X_t^x) - b(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \partial^* \partial b(X_s^x) ds$$

is a mean zero martingale, we conclude that (recall  $B(x) = b(x)a^{-1}(x)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\lambda(x) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbf{E}[e_\lambda(t, x) db(X_t^x)] dt = \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbf{E}[e_\lambda(t, x) B(X_t^x)] dt - b(x) \\ &= \psi_0(x) - \hat{a}\hat{b} + \sum_{i=0}^n D_\lambda^{(i)}(x) + R_\lambda^{(n)}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$D_\lambda^{(i)}(x) := \frac{1}{i!} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^{i+1} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbf{E} \left\{ B(X_t^x) \left[ \int_0^t \alpha(X_s^x) ds \right]^i \right\} \exp \{ -t\hat{a}^{-1}\lambda/2 \} dt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda^{(n)}(x) &:= \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbf{E} \left\{ B(X_t^x) \left[ e_\lambda(t, x) - \exp \{ -t\hat{a}^{-1}\lambda/2 \} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{i!} \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t \alpha(X_s^x) ds \right\}^i \right] \right\} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Substituting (2.5) into the right hand side of (2.1) we obtain

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \phi_\lambda^{(i)}(x) + r_\lambda^{(n)}(x), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda^{(0)}(x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial^* \left[ \hat{a}\hat{b} - D_\lambda^{(0)}(x) \right], \\ \phi_\lambda^{(i)}(x) &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial^* D_\lambda^{(i)}(x), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$r_\lambda^{(n)}(x) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial^* R_\lambda^{(n)}(x). \quad (2.9)$$

As we will see, the main contribution to  $\phi_\lambda$  comes from  $\phi_\lambda^{(0)}(x) + \phi_\lambda^{(1)}(x)$  and is of the order  $O(\lambda^{-1/4})$ , while the other terms are of the size at most  $O(1)$ , provided that  $n \geq 3$ .

Before we proceed to the estimates, note that simple symmetry considerations give, for  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_\lambda^{(i)}(x) &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{i+1} \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\{-t\hat{a}^{-1}\lambda/2\} dt \int_{\Delta_i(t)} \mathbf{E} \left\{ B(X_t^x) \left[ \prod_{k=1}^i \alpha(X_{s_k}^x) \right] \right\} ds_1 \dots ds_i \\
 &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{i+1} \int_0^{+\infty} ds_1 \int_{s_1}^{+\infty} ds_2 \dots \int_{s_{i-1}}^{+\infty} ds_i \int_{s_i}^{+\infty} ds_{i+1} \exp\{-s_{i+1}\hat{a}^{-1}\lambda/2\} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{i+1}} \left\{ B(x_{i+1})p(s_{i+1} - s_i, x_{i+1} - x_i) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left[ \prod_{k=1}^i \alpha(x_k)p(s_k - s_{k-1}, x_k - x_{k-1}) \right] \right\} dx_1 \dots dx_i,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.10}$$

where  $\Delta_i(t) := [(s_1, \dots, s_i) : 0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_i]$ ,  $s_0 := 0$ , and  $x_0 := x$ . Recall that the Green's function corresponding to the operator  $\mu + (1/2)\partial^* \partial$  is

$$G_\mu(x) := \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\mu t} p(t, x) dt,$$

where  $p(t, x) := \mathbb{Q}[X_t = x]$  for  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is explicitly given by (see, e.g. (3.134) p. 141 of [4])

$$G_\mu(x) = \xi(1 - \xi^2)^{-1/2} q_\xi^{|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.11}$$

with  $\xi := (1 + \mu)^{-1}$  and  $q_\xi := (1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2})\xi^{-1}$ . Observe that for small  $\mu$  we have

$$\xi_1 = 1 - \mu + o(\mu), \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$q_{\xi_1} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi_1^2}}{\xi_1} = 1 - \sqrt{2\mu} + o(\sqrt{\mu}). \tag{2.13}$$

Integrating out the  $s_{i+1}$ -variable in (2.10) and using the definition of the Green's function we can write

$$D_\lambda^{(i)}(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{i+1} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{i+1}} \prod_{k=1}^i [\alpha(x_k) G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_{k-1} - x_k)] \tag{2.14}$$

$$\times B(x_{i+1}) G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_i - x_{i+1}) dx_1 \dots dx_{i+1}, \quad i \geq 1. \tag{2.15}$$

When  $i = 0$  we can write

$$D_\lambda^{(0)}(x) - \hat{a}\hat{b} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x - x_1) \beta(x_1) dx_1, \tag{2.16}$$

where, as we recall,  $\beta(x) = B(x) - \hat{b}$ .

**Asymptotics of  $r_\lambda^{(n)}$ .** We begin the proof of (1.5) with the estimate of  $r_\lambda^{(n)}$  since some elements of the proof of this bound will be used later in estimating the other terms.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  is odd. Then there exists a constant  $C_r$  such that*

$$\|r_\lambda^{(n)}\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C_r \lambda^{(n+1)/4-1}, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, 1]. \tag{2.17}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  so that

$$\|R_\lambda^{(n)}\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C \lambda^{(n+1)/4}, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, 1], \tag{2.18}$$

with  $R_\lambda^{(n)}(x)$  given by (2.6), and  $R_\lambda^{(n)} := R_\lambda^{(n)}(0)$ . We use an elementary inequality

$$\left| e^{-a} - \sum_{i=0}^n e^{-b} \frac{(b-a)^i}{i!} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \max\{e^{-a}, e^{-b}\} |b-a|^{n+1},$$

valid for any  $a, b > 0$ . This inequality and the ellipticity assumption (1.2) together imply that

$$|R_\lambda^{(n)}| \leq C_1 \lambda^{n+2} \int_0^{+\infty} V(t) \exp\{-(\lambda/2)(a^*)^{-1}t\} dt, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$V(t) := \mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^t \alpha(X_s) ds \right]^{n+1},$$

with a deterministic constant  $C_1 > 0$ . Calculations similar to those leading to (2.14) yield

$$|R_\lambda^{(n)}| \leq C_2 \lambda^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} [\alpha(x_i) G_{\lambda_1}(x_i - x_{i-1})] dx_1 \dots dx_{n+1}, \tag{2.20}$$

where  $\lambda_1 := a^* \lambda / 2$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [R_\lambda^{(n)}]^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} &\leq C_2^2 \lambda^{2n+2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{2n+2}} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{2n+2} \alpha(x_i) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} [G_{\lambda_1}(x_i - x_{i-1}) G_{\lambda_1}(x_{i+n+2} - x_{i+n+1})] dx_1 \dots dx_{2n+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where  $x_0 = x_{2n+3} = 0$ . Using (2.11), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle [R_\lambda^{(n)}]^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \\ &\leq C_3 \lambda^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{2n+2}} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{2n+2} \alpha(x_i) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} [q_{\xi_1}^{|x_i - x_{i-1}|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_{i+n+2} - x_{i+n+1}|}] dx_1 \dots dx_{2n+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

and  $\xi_1 := (1 + \lambda_1)^{-1}$ .

We divide  $\mathbb{Z}^{2n+2}$  into simplicies  $\Delta_\sigma := [x_{\sigma(2n+2)} \geq \dots \geq x_{\sigma(1)}]$ , where  $\sigma$  is a permutation of the set  $\{1, \dots, 2n+2\}$ . Each simplex is further split as  $\Delta_\sigma = \Delta_\sigma^{(1)} \cup \Delta_\sigma^{(2)}$ . Here  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n+2})$  is in  $\Delta_\sigma^{(1)}$  if  $0 \in [x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(2n+2)}]$ , and in  $\Delta_\sigma^{(2)}$  if  $0 \notin [x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(2n+2)}]$ .

LEMMA 2.2. *We have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \left[ q_{\xi_1}^{|x_i - x_{i-1}|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_{i+n+2} - x_{i+n+1}|} \right] \leq q_{\xi_1}^{x_{\sigma(2n+2)} + |x_{\sigma(2)}|} \quad \text{on } \Delta_\sigma^{(1)}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \left[ q_{\xi_1}^{|x_i - x_{i-1}|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_{i+n+2} - x_{i+n+1}|} \right] \leq q_{\xi_1}^{x_{\sigma(2n+2)}} \quad \text{on } \Delta_\sigma^{(2)'} := \Delta_\sigma^{(2)} \cap [x_{\sigma(2n+2)} > 0], \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \left[ q_{\xi_1}^{|x_i - x_{i-1}|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_{i+n+2} - x_{i+n+1}|} \right] \leq q_{\xi_1}^{|x_{\sigma(2)}|} \quad \text{on } \Delta_\sigma^{(2)''} := \Delta_\sigma^{(2)} \cap [x_{\sigma(2n+2)} < 0]. \quad (2.25)$$

*Proof.* In order to show (2.23), suppose that  $x_{\sigma(2)} = x_j$  and  $x_{\sigma(2n+2)} = x_k$ . If  $j \leq n+1$  and  $k \geq n+2$ , then since  $x_{2n+3} = x_0 = 0$  and

$$x_{\sigma(2)} \leq 0 \leq x_{\sigma(2n+2)} \quad \text{on } \Delta_\sigma^{(1)}, \quad (2.26)$$

it is clear that

$$x_{\sigma(2n+2)} + |x_{\sigma(2)}| \leq |x_k - x_{k+1}| + \dots + |x_{2n+2} - x_{2n+3}| + |x_0 - x_1| + \dots + |x_{j-1} - x_j|, \quad (2.27)$$

and (2.23) holds since  $q_{\xi_1} \in (0, 1)$ . When  $j, k \leq n+1$  we can write, using (2.26),

$$x_{\sigma(2n+2)} + |x_{\sigma(2)}| = |x_{\sigma(2n+2)} - x_{\sigma(2)}| \leq |x_0 - x_1| + \dots + |x_n - x_{n+1}|, \quad (2.28)$$

whence (2.23) holds. The case  $j, k \geq n+2$  can be verified analogously.

In order to verify that (2.24) and (2.25) hold, we simply note that, say, for (2.24) if  $\sigma(2n+2) \leq n+1$  then we would use the fact that

$$x_{\sigma(2n+2)} = |x_{\sigma(2n+2)} - x_0| \leq |x_1 - x_0| + \dots + |x_{n+1} - x_n|,$$

and the other cases are very similar.  $\square$

We now finish the proof of Lemma 2.1. The integral in (2.22) can be written as

$$\int_{\Delta_\sigma} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{2n+2} \alpha(x_k) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \left[ q_{\xi_1}^{|x_i - x_{i-1}|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_{i+5} - x_{i+4}|} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_{2n+2} = I_1 + I_2,$$

where  $I_\ell$  correspond to the integration over domains  $\Delta_\sigma^{(\ell)}$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2$ . Using the mixing condition (1.4) for  $N = n+1$  and (2.23) we conclude that, with

$$A_\sigma^{(1)} := \{ [x_{\sigma(2n+2)} \geq x_{\sigma(2n)} \geq \dots \geq x_{\sigma(2)}], 0 \in [x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(2n+2)}] \},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &\leq \int_{A_\sigma^{(1)}} q_{\xi_1}^{x_{\sigma(2n+2)} + |x_{\sigma(2)}|} dx_{\sigma(2)} \dots dx_{\sigma(2n+2)} \\
 &\quad \times \sup_{x_{\sigma(2n+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(2)}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} \left| \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{2n+2} \alpha(x_i) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \right| dx_{\sigma(1)} \dots dx_{\sigma(2n+1)} \right] \\
 &\leq \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \int_{A_\sigma^{(1)}} q_{\xi_1}^{x_{\sigma(2n+2)} + |x_{\sigma(2)}|} dx_{\sigma(2)} \dots dx_{\sigma(2n+2)} \\
 &\leq \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} q_{\xi_1}^{(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |x_i|)/n+1} dx_1 \dots dx_{n+1} \\
 &\leq \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \left(1 - q_{\xi_1}^{1/(n+1)}\right)^{-(n+1)} \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{(n+1)/2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . We have used (2.13) in the last step. On the other hand the mixing conditions (1.4) and (2.24), (2.25) yield

$$I_2 \leq C \int_{[x_{\sigma(2n+2)} \geq x_{\sigma(2n)} \geq \dots \geq x_{\sigma(2)} \geq 0]} q_{\xi_1}^{x_{\sigma(2n+2)}} dx_{\sigma(2)} \dots dx_{\sigma(2n+2)} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{(n+1)/2}}$$

Coming back to (2.22) we conclude that

$$\langle [R_\lambda^{(n)}]^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C_n \lambda^{(n+1)/2}, \tag{2.29}$$

which in turn implies (2.18). This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Asymptotics of  $\phi_\lambda^{(0)}(0) + \phi_\lambda^{(1)}(0)$ .** Here, we identify the leading order contribution in (1.5).

LEMMA 2.3. *We have*

$$\|\phi_\lambda^{(0)}(0) + \phi_\lambda^{(1)}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} = C_* \lambda^{-1/4} + O(1) \quad \text{as } \lambda \downarrow 0, \tag{2.30}$$

with the constant  $C_*$  as in (1.5).

*Proof.* From (2.8) and (2.16) we conclude that

$$\phi_\lambda^{(0)}(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \partial^* G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_1) \beta(x_1) dx_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} g(x_1; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} \beta(x_1) dx_1, \tag{2.31}$$

where  $\xi_1 := [1 + \lambda/(2\hat{a})]^{-1}$ , and

$$g(x; \xi) := \begin{cases} 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1-\xi}{1+\xi}}, & \text{when } x \geq 1, |\xi| \leq 1, \\ -\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{1-\xi}{1+\xi}}\right), & \text{when } x \leq 0, |\xi| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|g(x; \xi) - \text{sgn}(x)| \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in (0, 1], |\xi| \in [1/2, 1], \tag{2.32}$$



with the convention  $\text{sgn}(x) := 1$  for  $x \geq 1$  and  $\text{sgn } x := -1$  for  $x \leq 0$ . Likewise, using (2.8) and (2.10) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda^{(1)}(0) &= -\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} \partial^* G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_1) G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_2 - x_1) \alpha(x_1) B(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda \xi_1}{4\sqrt{1-\xi_1^2}} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} g(x_1; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1-x_2|} \alpha(x_1) B(x_2) dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Using the decomposition  $B(x) = \hat{b} + \beta(x)$ , we obtain from (2.31) and (2.33) that  $\phi_\lambda^{(0)}(0) + \phi_\lambda^{(1)}(0) = J_1 + J_2$ , with

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} g(x_1; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} \Gamma(x_1) dx_1 dx_2,$$

and

$$J_2 = \frac{(\hat{a}\lambda)^{1/2}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\xi_1}{1+\xi_1} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} g(x_1; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1-x_2|} \alpha(x_1) \beta(x_2) dx_1 dx_2.$$

Here  $\Gamma(x)$  is given by (1.6).

**Asymptotics of  $J_1$ .** By virtue of (1.3) and (2.32), we deduce that, as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_1\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} g(x; \xi_1) g(x'; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x|+|x'|} \langle \Gamma(x-x') \Gamma(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} dx dx' \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} \text{sgn } x \text{sgn } x' q_{\xi_1}^{|x|+|x'|} \langle \Gamma(x-x') \Gamma(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} dx dx' + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(q_{\xi_1} e^{i\zeta})|^2 G(\zeta) d\zeta + O(1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

where

$$F(z) = -1 + 2i \text{Im} \left[ \int_{x \geq 1} z^x \right] = 2i(\text{Im} z) |1-z|^{-2} - 1,$$

and

$$G(\zeta) := \int_{\mathbb{Z}} e^{i\zeta x} \langle \Gamma(x) \Gamma(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} dx. \tag{2.35}$$

Bochner's theorem implies that

$$0 \leq G(\zeta) \leq G_* := \int_{\mathbb{Z}} |\langle \Gamma(x) \Gamma(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}| dx < +\infty,$$

due to (1.3). In order to pass to the limit  $\lambda \downarrow 0$  we use (2.12) and (2.13), and obtain

$$\xi_1 = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2\hat{a}} + o(\lambda)$$

and

$$q_{\xi_1} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi_1^2}}{\xi_1} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\hat{a}}} + o(\sqrt{\lambda}).$$

Thanks to (1.3) we have  $|G(\zeta) - G(0)| \sim \zeta^2$  for  $\zeta \ll 1$ . One can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} C_*^2 &:= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \sqrt{\lambda} \|J_1\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \sqrt{\lambda} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(q_{\xi_1} e^{i\zeta})|^2 G(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi} \\ &= \frac{G(0)}{4} \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \sqrt{\lambda} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(q_{\xi_1} e^{i\zeta})|^2 \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(q_{\xi_1} e^{i\zeta})|^2 d\zeta &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{sgn} x \operatorname{sgn} x' q_{\xi_1}^{|x|+|x'|} e^{i\zeta x - i\zeta x'} dx dx' d\zeta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} q_{\xi_1}^{2|x|} dx = \frac{1 + q_{\xi_1}^2}{1 - q_{\xi_1}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$C_*^2 = \frac{G(0)}{4} \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \lambda^{1/2} \frac{1 + q_{\xi_1}^2}{1 - q_{\xi_1}^2} = \frac{\hat{a}^{1/2} G(0)}{4}, \tag{2.37}$$

which is the constant appearing in (1.5) in Theorem 1.1.

**Asymptotics of  $J_2$ .** The  $L^2$ -norm of  $J_2$  satisfies

$$\|J_2\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 \leq C \lambda \int_{\mathbb{Z}^4} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_3|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1-x_2|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_3-x_4|} |\langle \alpha(x_1)\alpha(x_3)\beta(x_2)\beta(x_4) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4,$$

with some constant  $C > 0$ . To estimate the right side we use the mixing condition (1.4) in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. We divide the domain of integration  $\mathbb{Z}^4$  into subdomains of the form  $\Delta_{\sigma} := [x_{\sigma(1)} \geq x_{\sigma(2)} \geq x_{\sigma(3)} \geq x_{\sigma(4)}]$  where  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ . In case the permutation equals identity we can estimate it by

$$C' \lambda \int_{x_2, x_4} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_2|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_4|} dx_2 dx_4 \left\{ \sup_{x_2, x_4} \int_{[x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4]} |\langle \alpha(x_1)\alpha(x_3)\beta(x_2)\beta(x_4) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}| dx_1 dx_3 \right\}$$

This expression can be further estimated by

$$C'' \lambda (1 - q_{\xi_1})^{-2} \leq C_1, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, 1],$$

with some  $C'', C_1$ . The cases corresponding to other domains can be dealt with similarly. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

**Asymptotics of  $\phi_{\lambda}^{(i)}$  for  $i \geq 2$ .** Next, we show that the contribution of both  $\phi_{\lambda}^{(2)}$  and  $\phi_{\lambda}^{(3)}$  in  $\phi_{\lambda}$  is small.

LEMMA 2.4. *There exist constants  $C_*^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 2, 3$  such that*

$$\|\phi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C_*^{(i)} \lambda^{i/2-1} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (0, 1]. \tag{2.38}$$

*Proof.* We start with the argument for  $i=2$ . A simple calculation, using (2.1) and (2.14), shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda^{(2)}(0) &= -\frac{\lambda^2}{8} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} [\partial^* G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_1)] G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_2 - x_1) G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_3 - x_2) \\ &\quad \times \alpha(x_1) \alpha(x_2) B(x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\ &= K_1 + K_2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &:= 2^{3/2} \xi_1^{1/2} (1 + \xi_1)^{-1/2} \frac{\lambda^{1/2} \hat{a}^{3/2} \hat{b}}{8} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} g(x_1; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1 - x_2|} \alpha(x_1) \alpha(x_2) dx_1 dx_2, \\ K_2 &:= \frac{\hat{a} \lambda \xi_1}{4(1 + \xi_1)} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^3} g(x_1; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1 - x_2|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_2 - x_3|} \alpha(x_1) \alpha(x_2) \beta(x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

The  $L^2$  norm of  $K_1$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_1\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 &\leq C \lambda \int_{\mathbb{Z}^4} g(x_1; \xi_1) g(x_3; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1 - x_2|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_3|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_3 - x_4|} \left| \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^4 \alpha(x_i) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \right| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \\ &\leq C' \lambda \int_{\mathbb{Z}^4} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_3|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1 - x_2|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_3 - x_4|} \left| \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^4 \alpha(x_i) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \right| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4, \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

with some constants  $C, C' > 0$ . To estimate the utmost right side of (2.40) we use the mixing condition (1.4) with  $N=2$ . We divide the domain of integration  $\mathbb{Z}^4$  into the subdomains of the form  $\Delta_\sigma := [x_{\sigma(1)} \geq x_{\sigma(2)} \geq x_{\sigma(3)} \geq x_{\sigma(4)}]$ , where  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ , and use an argument detailed in the proof of Lemma 2.2 below. When the permutation equals the identity we can estimate this term by

$$C' \lambda \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_2|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_4|} dx_2 dx_4 \left\{ \sup_{x_2, x_4} \int_{[x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4]} \left| \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^4 \alpha(x_i) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}} \right| dx_1 dx_3 \right\}.$$

The last expression can be further estimated by

$$C'' \lambda (1 - q_{\xi_1})^{-2} \leq C_1, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, 1]$$

for some  $C'', C_1$ . The other domains of integration can be dealt with similarly. The considerations for  $\|K_2\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2$  are similar. Finally, to estimate  $\|\phi_\lambda^{(i)}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2$  for  $i \geq 3$  we can easily generalize the above argument applying the mixing condition (1.4) for  $N=i$ .  $\square$

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 we use expansion (2.7) for  $n=3$ . The result is a direct consequence of Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, and 2.4.

**2.2. The gradient estimate.** We now prove (1.7).

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$\|\psi_\lambda(0) - \psi_0(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C \lambda^{1/4}, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, 1], \tag{2.41}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . Using (2.5) it is enough to estimate

$$\|D_\lambda^{(0)} + D_\lambda^{(1)} - \hat{a}\hat{b}\|_{\mathbb{P}},$$

$\|D_\lambda^{(i)}\|_{\mathbb{P}}$  for  $i=2,3$ , and  $\|R_\lambda\|_{\mathbb{P}}$ . We have used a shorthand notation  $D_\lambda^{(i)} := D_\lambda^{(i)}(0)$ . From (2.14) we obtain after elementary calculations the decomposition  $D_\lambda^{(1)} = L_1 + L_2$ , where

$$L_1 := \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(x_1) G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_1) dx_1,$$

$$L_2 := \frac{\lambda \hat{a} \xi_1^2}{4(1 + \xi_1)} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} \alpha(x_1) \beta(x_2) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1 - x_2|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} dx_1 dx_2.$$

Thus,

$$\|L_1\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 = \frac{\xi_1^2 \lambda^2}{4(1 - \xi_1^2)} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} q_{\xi_1}^{|x|+|x'|} \langle \Gamma(x - x') \Gamma(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} dx dx' = \frac{\lambda \hat{a}}{2^4 \pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F_1(q_{\xi_1} e^{i\zeta})|^2 G(\zeta) d\zeta + O(\lambda),$$

where  $G(\zeta)$  is given by (2.35),  $F_1(z) := (1 - |z|^2)|1 - z|^{-2}$  is the Poisson kernel in dimension  $d=2$ . Since  $|G(\zeta) - G(0)| \sim \zeta^2$  for  $\zeta \ll 1$  one can easily deduce that

$$\|L_1\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 = \frac{G(0)\lambda \hat{a}}{2^4 \pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F_1(q_{\xi_1} e^{i\zeta})|^2 d\zeta + O(\lambda).$$

We have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |F_1(q_{\xi_1} e^{i\zeta})|^2 d\zeta \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\zeta}{1 - q_{\xi_1} + \zeta^2}$$

for  $\lambda \in (0,1]$  and some constant  $C_1 > 0$  and  $1 - q_{\xi_1} \sim \lambda^{1/2}$ . Hence, after elementary computations, we get

$$\|L_1\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 \leq C_2 \lambda^{1/2}$$

for  $\lambda \in (0,1]$  and some constant  $C_2 > 0$ .

To estimate  $\|L_2\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2$  we repeat essentially the estimates of  $\|J_2\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2$  and obtain

$$\|L_2\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 \leq C \lambda$$

for  $\lambda \in (0,1]$  and some constant  $C > 0$ .

The computation that  $\|D_\lambda^{(i)}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 \leq C_i \lambda^{1/2}$  for  $i=2,3$  (in fact both these quantities are of order  $o(\lambda^{1/2})$ ) is quite routine, taking into account the arguments contained in the proofs of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4. This ends the proof of (1.7) and that of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

### 3. Asymptotics of transition semigroup of the environment process

Expansion (2.8) can be used to describe the asymptotics of the solution of the initial value problem

$$(\partial_t + L^\omega) \Phi(t, x; \omega) = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\Phi(0, x; \omega) = c(x; \omega),$$

as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $\{(a(x; \omega), c(x; \omega)), x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a stationary field satisfying assumptions (1) and (2) from Section 1. In addition, we assume  $\langle c(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} = 0$ .

We obtain, in the one dimensional situation, an estimate of the rate of convergence in the stabilization problem. Namely, the following result holds.

THEOREM 3.1. *Under the above assumptions there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\Phi(t, x)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 dt \leq \frac{C}{T^{1/2}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, T > 1. \tag{3.2}$$

REMARK 3.1. The property expressed in (3.2) is known as *the stabilization (in the mean) of solutions of the heat conduction equation* (see [14]), and has been considered in various versions in a number of papers; see e.g. [15, 16, 3] and the references therein.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** The proof of this result shall be done in a number of steps.

**Step 1: Representation of  $\Phi(t, x)$ .** Suppose that  $\{Y_t^{x, \omega}, t \geq 0\}$  is a random walk starting at  $x$  and corresponding to the generator  $-L^\omega$ . We have

$$\Phi(t, x; \omega) = \mathbf{E}[c(Y_t^{x, \omega})] = c(x; \omega) - L_t(x; \omega), \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$L_t(x; \omega) := \int_0^t \mathbf{E} L^\omega c(Y_s^{x, \omega}) ds.$$

Let

$$\varphi(t) := \int_0^t \|\Phi(s, 0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 ds.$$

Since

$$\|\Phi(t, x)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 = \|\Phi(t, 0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 = \|c(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 - 2\langle c(0), L_t(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} + \|L_t(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2,$$

we obtain

$$\hat{\varphi}(\lambda) := \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \varphi(t) dt = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} [\|c(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 - 2\langle \phi_\lambda(0), c(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} + \langle \phi_\lambda(0), \phi_{\lambda/2}(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}], \quad \lambda > 0, \tag{3.4}$$

with  $\phi_\lambda(x)$  the solution of (1.1) corresponding to  $b(x) := a(x)\partial c(x)$ . Indeed, denote  $F(t, x; \omega) := -\mathbf{E} L^\omega c(Y_t^{x, \omega}; \omega)$  and  $F(t) := F(t, 0)$ . Then

$$\phi_\lambda(x; \omega) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} F(t, x; \omega) dt.$$

A direct application of the integration by parts formula gives

$$-2 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} dt \int_0^t \langle c(0), L_s(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} ds = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2} \langle c(0), \phi_\lambda(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} ds. \tag{3.5}$$

For any  $t > t' \geq 0$  we have

$$\langle F(t, x), F(t', x) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} = \langle F(t), F(t') \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} = \langle F(t-t'), F(2t') \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}. \tag{3.6}$$

We prove this identity momentarily but first use it to verify (3.4). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} dt \int_0^t \|L_s(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 ds &= 2 \int_{[t \geq s \geq s_1 \geq s_2 \geq 0]} e^{-\lambda t} \langle F(s_2), F(s_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} dt ds_1 ds_2 \\
&\stackrel{(3.6)}{=} \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_{[s_1 \geq s_2 \geq 0]} e^{-\lambda s_1} \langle F(s_1 - s_2), F(2s_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} ds_1 ds_2 \\
&= \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_{[s_2 \geq 0]} e^{-\lambda s_2} \langle \phi_\lambda(0), F(2s_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} ds_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \langle \phi_\lambda(0), \phi_{\lambda/2}(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}}
\end{aligned}$$

and the second equality in (3.4) follows.

**The proof of (3.6).** To show (3.6) we use the notation  $p^\omega(t, x, y)$  to denote transition probabilities corresponding to  $Y_t^{x, \omega}$ . The first equality follows easily from the stationarity of the environment so we only need to prove the second one. Because the generator  $-L^\omega$  is in a divergence form and counting measure is invariant and reversible we have  $p^\omega(t, x, y) = p^\omega(t, y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The middle term in (3.6) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} L^\omega c(y) L^\omega c(y') p^\omega(t, 0, y) p^\omega(t', 0, y') dy dy' \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} L^\omega c(y) L^\omega c(y') p^\omega(t, 0, y) p^\omega(t, 0, z) p^\omega(t' - t, z, y') dy dy' \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}^3} L^\omega c(y) L^\omega c(y') p^\omega(t, 0, y) p^\omega(t, 0, z) p^\omega(t' - t, z, y') dy dy' dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Using stationarity of the environment we can rewrite the right hand side as being equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}^3} L^\omega c(y - z) L^\omega c(y' - z) p^\omega(t, -z, y - z) p^\omega(t, -z, 0) p^\omega(t' - t, 0, y' - z) dy dy' dz.$$

Changing variables  $y := y - z$ ,  $y' := y' - z$ ,  $z := -z$  and using symmetry of  $p^\omega(t, z, 0)$  we obtain that the above expression equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{Z}^3} L^\omega c(y) L^\omega c(y') p^\omega(t, z, y) p^\omega(t, 0, z) p^\omega(t' - t, 0, y') dy dy' dz \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} L^\omega c(y) L^\omega c(y') p^\omega(2t, 0, y) p^\omega(t' - t, 0, y') dy dy',
\end{aligned}$$

and the last equality in (3.6) follows.

**Step 2: Estimates of the resolvent.** We make use of computations made in Section 2.1 with  $b(x) = a(x) \partial c(x)$ . Notice that  $B(x) = \partial c(x)$  and  $\hat{b} = \langle B(0) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}} = 0$ . We prove the following.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Under the above assumptions there exist  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\|\phi_\lambda(0) - \phi_\lambda^{(0)}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C_1 \lambda^{1/2}, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\|c(0) + \phi_\lambda^{(0)}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C_2 \lambda^{1/2}, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1]. \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof.* The argument is very similar to what has been done in Section 2.1. This time, however, we use the expansion (2.5) with  $n=6$ . From Lemma 2.1 we can estimate  $\|r_\lambda^{(6)}\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C_r \lambda^{1/2}$ . To estimate  $\|\phi_\lambda^{(1)}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}$  we use representation (2.33). Because  $\hat{b}=0$  we get (recall that  $B(x) = \partial c(x)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda^{(1)}(0) &= -\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} \partial^* G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_1) \partial^* G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_2 - x_1) \alpha(x_1) c(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^2} g(x_1; \xi_1) g(x_2; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_2 - x_1|} \alpha(x_1) c(x_2) dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Using the mixing assumption in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 we conclude that

$$\|\phi_\lambda^{(1)}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C_1 \lambda^{1/2}, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1]. \tag{3.10}$$

A slight modification of the proof of estimates of  $\phi_\lambda^{(i)}$  for  $i \geq 2$  is also possible due to the fact that  $B(x)$  is a gradient of a zero mean field  $c(x)$ . In that case we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda^{(i)}(0) &= -\frac{\lambda^i}{2^{i+1}} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{i+1}} \partial^* G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_1) \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_{k+1} - x_k) \partial^* G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x_{i+1} - x_i) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^i \alpha(x_k) c(x_{i+1}) dx_1 \dots dx_{i+1} \\ &= -\frac{\lambda^{(i+1)/2}}{2^{i+1}} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{i+1}} g(x_1; \xi_1) g(x_{i+1}; \xi_1) q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^i \left[ q_{\xi_1}^{|x_{k+1} - x_k|} \alpha(x_k) \right] c(x_{i+1}) dx_1 \dots dx_{i+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Using the mixing lemma for  $N = i + 1$  we arrive at the estimate

$$\|\phi_\lambda^{(i)}(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}} \leq C_1 \lambda^{i/2}, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1]. \tag{3.12}$$

This, and expansion (2.33), implies (3.7). To show (3.8) observe (see (2.31)) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda^{(0)}(x) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \partial^* G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x - x_1) \partial c(x_1) dx_1 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \partial^* \partial G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x - x_1) c(x_1) dx_1 \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2\hat{a}} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} G_{\lambda/(2\hat{a})}(x - x_1) c(x_1) dx_1 - c(x) \\ &= \frac{\lambda \xi_1}{2\hat{a}(1 - \xi_1^2)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} q_{\xi_1}^{|x - x_1|} c(x_1) dx_1 - c(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\phi_\lambda^{(0)}(0) + c(0)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 \leq C \lambda \left\| \int_{\mathbb{Z}} q_{\xi_1}^{|x_1|} c(x_1) dx_1 \right\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2.$$

The  $L^2$  norm on the right hand side is of order of magnitude  $\lambda^{-1/2}$ , which can be seen analogously to the estimates of  $J_1$  done previously; see (2.34) and following estimates.  $\square$

**Step 3: The end of the proof of Theorem 3.1.** Note also that, directly from the definition in (3.4), it follows that  $\lambda^{-1}\varphi(\lambda^{-1}) \leq \hat{\varphi}(\lambda)$ , hence

$$\lambda\varphi(\lambda^{-1}) \leq \lambda^2\hat{\varphi}(\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, 1]. \quad (3.13)$$

This in turn implies that, with  $\lambda = T^{-1}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\Phi(t, x)\|_{\mathbb{P}}^2 dt \leq T^{-2}\hat{\varphi}(T^{-1}). \quad (3.14)$$

By virtue of (2.34) and Theorem 3.2 we conclude that the right hand side of (3.14) can be estimated by  $CT^{-1/2}$ , which implies (3.2).

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by NSF grants DMS-0854952 and DMS-0908507 and Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant NN 201419139, and would be impossible without the assistance of the Eyjafjallajökull volcano enabling TK's visit to Stanford University.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bourgeat and A. Piatnitski, *Estimates in probability of the residual between the random and the homogenized solutions of one-dimensional second-order operator*, *Asymp. Anal.*, 21, 303–315, 1999.
- [2] M. Cudna and T. Komorowski, *A finite dimensional approximation of the effective diffusivity for a symmetric random walk in a random environment*, *J. Compt. Appl. Math.*, 213, 186–204, 2008.
- [3] V.N. Denisov and V.V. Zhikov, *Stabilization of solutions of the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations*, *Matem. Zametki*, 37, 834–850, 1985.
- [4] B.D. Hughes, *Random Walks and Random Environments Volume 1: Random Walks*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [5] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1983.
- [6] A. Gloria and F. Otto, *An optimal error estimate in stochastic homogenization of discrete elliptic equations*, preprint, 2010.
- [7] R. Künnemann, *The diffusion limit for reversible jump processes on  $Z^d$  with ergodic random bond conductivities*, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 90, 27–68, 1983.
- [8] J.C. Mourrat, *Variance decay for functionals of the environment viewed by the particle*, preprint, 2010.
- [9] S. Olla, *Lectures on Homogenization of Diffusion Processes in Random Fields*, publications de l'Ecole Doctorale de l'Ecole Polytechnique, 1994.
- [10] A.V. Pozhidaev and V.V. Yurinskii, *On the error of averaging symmetric elliptic systems*, *Math. USSR Izvestiya*, 35, 183–201, 1990.
- [11] S. Sethuraman, S.R.S. Varadhan, and H.T. Yau, *Diffusive limit of a tagged particle in asymmetric simple exclusion processes*, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53, 972–1006, 2000.
- [12] D.W. Stroock and W. Zheng, *Markov chain approximations to symmetric diffusions*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 33, 619–649, 1997.
- [13] V.V. Yurinskii, *On averaging of random symmetric diffusions*, (In Russian), *Sib. Mat. Journ.*, 27, 167–180, 1986.
- [14] V.V. Zhikov, *Stabilization of solutions of parabolic equations*, *Mat. Sb.*, 104, 597–616, 1977; English transl. *Math. USSR-Sb.*, 33(4), 519–537, 1977.
- [15] V.V. Zhikov, S.M. Kozlov, O.A. Oleinik, and Kha T'en Ngoan, *Averaging and G-convergence of differential operators*, *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, 34, 67–133, 1979.
- [16] V.V. Zhikov, *Criteria for pointwise stabilization for parabolic equations with almost periodic coefficients*, *Mat. Sb.*, 109, 304–318, 1979.