Inequality for Gorenstein minimal 3-folds of general type

Yong Hu

Let X be a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. We prove the optimal inequality:

$$
K_X^3 \ge \frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X) - 2,
$$

where $\chi(\omega_X)$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of the dualizing sheaf ω_X .

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we work over the complex number field C.

The geography of projective varieties of general type plays a very important role in the classification of algebraic varieties. There are two important types of inequalities in studying the geographical problem: Noether inequality and Yau inequality.

For Yau inequality, we have the following results.

- •In 1977, Yau ([\[21\]](#page-12-1)) proved that the optimal inequality $(-1)^n c_1^{n-2} \cdot c_2 \geq$ $(-1)^n \frac{n}{2(n+1)} c_1^n$ holds for all canonically polarized nonsingular varieties of dimension n. In $[10]$ and $[20]$, the same inequality is proved for more general cases.^{[1](#page-0-0)}
- •In 1977, Miyaoka ([\[14\]](#page-12-3)) proved that the inequality $c_1^2 \leq 3c_2$ holds for all nonsingular projective surfaces of general type. In 1985, Miyaoka([\[15\]](#page-12-4)) proved that $3c_2 - c_1^2$ is pseudo-effective for all nonsingular minimal projective varieties of general type.

In this paper we will restrict our interest to inequalities of Noether type.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J30, 14C20.

Key words and phrases: Albanese map, canonical map, 3-folds of general type.

¹It is pointed out by one of the referees that Yau's method can cover more general cases.

Let S be a smooth minimal surface of general type. We have the classical Noether inequality: $K_S^2 \ge 2p_g(S) - 4$ and $K_S^2 \ge 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - 6$ (c.f. [\[16\]](#page-12-5)).

Let X be a projective 3-fold of general type. A natural question is: does there exists an inequality of Noether type for 3-folds of general type? There have been many works dedicated to proving the 3-dimensional version of the Noether inequality:

• In 1992, M. Kobayashi (c.f. [\[13,](#page-12-6) Proposition 3.2]) constructed an infinite number of canonically polarized smooth 3-folds of general type satisfying the equalities:

(1.1)
$$
K_X^3 = \frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{10}{3}, \ K_X^3 = \frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X) - 2.
$$

- In 2004, M. Chen (c.f. [\[7\]](#page-11-1)) studied minimal 3-folds of general type and gave effective Noether type inequalities.
- In 2004, M. Chen (c.f. [\[8\]](#page-11-2)) proved that the optimal inequality $K_X^3 \geq$ 4 $\frac{4}{3}p_g(X)-\frac{10}{3}$ $\frac{10}{3}$ holds for all canonically polarized smooth 3-folds of general type.
- In 2006, F. Catanese, M. Chen and De-Qi Zhang (c.f. [\[2\]](#page-11-3)) proved that the optimal inequality $K_X^3 \geq \frac{4}{3}$ $rac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{10}{3}$ $\frac{10}{3}$ holds for all smooth minimal 3-folds of general type.
- In 2015, J. A. Chen and M. Chen (c.f. [\[5\]](#page-11-4)) proved the optimal inequality $K_X^3 \geq \frac{4}{3}$ $\frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{10}{3}$ $\frac{10}{3}$ under the assumption that X is Gorenstein minimal.

In this paper, a normal projective 3-fold X is called Gorenstein minimal if X has at worst Q-factorial terminal singularities, the canonical divisor K_X is a Cartier divisor and K_X is nef.

It is interesting to know whether there exists a similar Noether type inequality between K_X^3 and $\chi(\omega_X)$. The following open problem was raised by M. Chen (c.f. [\[8,](#page-11-2) 3.9]):

Conjecture 1.1. [\[8,](#page-11-2) 3.9] Let X be a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. There should be an analogue of the Noether inequality in the form:

$$
K_X^3 \ge a\chi(\omega_X) - b,
$$

where a and b are positive rational numbers.

As was pointed out by M. Chen (c.f. [\[8\]](#page-11-2)), it is difficult to find a Noether inequality in this direction because the inter relations among $p_g(X)$, $q(X)$ and $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ are not clear to us, unlike in surface case. Some partial results were proved in [\[19\]](#page-12-7) and in [\[9\]](#page-11-5).

- \cdot In 1997, D. K. Shin (c.f. [\[19\]](#page-12-7)) proved that an effective inequality $K_X^3 \geq$ 6 $\frac{6}{7}\chi(\omega_X)-\frac{6}{7}$ $\frac{6}{7}$ holds for all smooth minimal 3-folds of general type.
- · In 2006, M. Chen and C. D. Hacon (c.f. [\[9\]](#page-11-5)) proved that an effective inequality $K_X^3 \geq \frac{8}{9}$ $\frac{8}{9}\chi(\omega_X) - \frac{10}{3}$ $\frac{10}{3}$ holds for all smooth minimal 3-folds of general type.

We restrict our attention to the situation where X is a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. The aim of this paper is to prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. Let X be an irregular Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. Then

$$
K_X^3 \ge \frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X).
$$

According to [\[5,](#page-11-4) Theorem 1.1] and Theorem [1.2,](#page-2-0) we can get our main result as follows.

Theorem 1.3. Let X be a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. Then

(1.2)
$$
K_X^3 \ge \frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X) - 2.
$$

Remark 1.4. The inequality in Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1) is optimal because of M. Kobayashi's examples (c.f. [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0)). It is well known that we have $\chi(\omega_X)$ 0 if X is a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. So (1.2) is meaningful. One may ask whether (1.2) is still true if X is a minimal 3-fold of general type. Unfortunately, if X is not Gorenstein, then $\chi(\omega_X)$ could be either positive, zero, or negative (c.f. [\[4,](#page-11-6) line 10-18, page 2501]). This problem does not seem possible to resolve with the methods and the techniques of the present article.

2. Notations and the set up

Definition 2.1. Let S be a smooth projective surface of general type. Denote by S_0 its minimal model and by $(a, b) = (K_{S_0}^2, p_g(S))$. We call S is a surface of type (a, b) .

Let X be a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type with $p_q(X) \geq 2$. According to $[12, \text{Lemma } 5.1], X$ is locally factorial. Write

$$
|K_X| = |\overline{M}| + \overline{Z},
$$

where $|\overline{M}|$ is the movable part of $|K_X|$ and \overline{Z} is the fixed part of $|K_X|$.

We shall resolve the base locus of $|\overline{M}|$ in two steps. For a linear system Υ , we denote by Bs Υ the base locus of Υ . Roughly speaking, the first step is to resolve the subset $Bs|M| \cap Sing(X)$.

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [\[5,](#page-11-4) Section 2]). There is a birational morphism $\alpha: X_0 \to$ X satisfying the following properties.

- (a) The morphism α is a composition of successive divisorial contractions to points and X_0 is a Gorenstein 3-fold with locally factorial terminal singularities.
- (b) Denote by $|M_0|$ the movable part of $|\alpha^*\overline{M}|$. Then $\text{Bs}|M_0| \cap \text{Sing}(X_0) = \emptyset$.

(c) The following formulae

(2.2)
$$
K_{X_0} = \alpha^* K_X + \sum_{t=1}^m c_t D_t, \n\alpha^*(\overline{M}) = M_0 + \sum_{t=1}^m d_t D_t, \quad \alpha^*(\overline{Z}) = Z_0 + \sum_{t=1}^m e_t D_t
$$

hold, where

- (i) Z_0 is the strict transform of \overline{Z} ,
- (ii) D_t is a prime divisor such that $\alpha(D_t)$ is a point for $1 \le t \le m$, and
- (iii) c_t , d_t and e_t are non-negative integers such that $0 < c_t \leq d_t$ for $1 \leq t \leq m$.

Proof. The birational morphism α is constructed in [\[5,](#page-11-4) p. 4–p. 5], using explicit resolutions of terminal singularities (see [\[3\]](#page-11-8) and [\[5,](#page-11-4) Definition 2.2]). Then (a) and (b) follow from the construction and [\[12,](#page-11-7) Lemma 5.1]. Since both X_0 and X are locally factorial, c_t , d_t and e_t are non-negative integers. The inequality $c_t \leq d_t$ follows by [\[5,](#page-11-4) Corollary 2.4].

We fix a birational morphism $\alpha: X_0 \to X$ as in Lemma [2.2.](#page-3-0) We may assume that the number of divisorial contractions in the construction of α is minimal. The second step is to resolve the base locus of $|M_0|$ without changing the singularities of X_0 . This is possible by Lemma [2.2](#page-3-0) (b) and by Hironaka's Theorem (cf. [\[11\]](#page-11-9)).

Lemma 2.3 (cf. [\[7,](#page-11-1) Lemma 4.2]). There are successive blowups

$$
\beta \colon Y = X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_n} X_n \to \cdots \to X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_i} X_i \to \cdots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_0} X_0
$$

such that π_i is a blowup along a smooth irreducible center W_i , W_i is contained in the base locus of the movable part of $|(\pi_0 \circ \pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{i-1})^* M_0|$ and $W_i \cap \text{Sing}(X_i) = \emptyset$. Moreover, the morphism $\beta = \pi_n \circ \cdots \circ \pi_0$ satisfies the following properties.

- (a) Denote by |M| the movable part of $|\beta^*M_0|$. Then |M| is base point free.
- (b) The following formulae

(2.3)
$$
K_Y = \beta^* K_{X_0} + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i E_i, \quad \beta^* M_0 = M + \sum_{i=0}^n b_i E_i
$$

hold, where E_i is the strict transform of the exceptional divisor of π_i for $0 \leq i \leq n$, and a_i and b_i are positive integers such that $a_i \leq 2b_i$ for $0 \leq$ $i \leq n$.

Proof. The construction of the blowups π_i and (a) follow by Lemma [2.2](#page-3-0) (b) and by Hironaka's Theorem (cf. [\[11\]](#page-11-9)). We remark that the assertion $a_i \leq 2b_i$ in (b) is exactly [\[7,](#page-11-1) Lemma 4.2].

From now on, we fix a birational morphism β as in Lemma [2.3](#page-4-0) such that the number $n + 1$ of blowups is minimal. Denote by ϕ_{K_X} the canonical map of X and by Σ the image of ϕ_{K_X} . Let ϕ be the morphism induced by the linear system |M|. Then $\phi = \phi_{K_X} \circ \pi$, where $\pi = \alpha \circ \beta$. Let $Y \stackrel{f}{\to} B \stackrel{\delta}{\to} \Sigma$ be the Stein factorization of ϕ . We have the following commutative diagram:

Note that B is normal.

Proposition 2.4. Keep the same notation as above. We have the following known results.

- (1) If dim $B = 3$, then $K_X^3 \ge 2p_g(X) 6$ (cf.);
- (2) If dim $B = 2$, then $K_X^3 \geq \lceil \frac{2}{3} \rceil$ $\frac{2}{3}(g(C)-1)\big\vert (p_g(X)-2)$ where $g(C)$ is the genus of a general fiber C of f . In particular, we have $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 4$ if $g(C) \geq 3$.
- (3) if dim $B = 1$, then either $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) 4$ or the general fiber of f is a smooth projective surface of type $(1, 2)$.

Proof. Assertion (1) follows by [\[13,](#page-12-6) Main Theorem]. (2) is exactly [\[7,](#page-11-1) Theo-rem 4.1 (ii)]. (3) is just [\[7,](#page-11-1) Theorem 4.1 (iii)].

3. Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1)

This section is devoted to proving Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-1) Throughout this section, we denote by X a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. It is well known that K_X^3 is a positive even integer and $\chi(\omega) > 0$ (c.f. [\[6,](#page-11-10) 2.1, 2.2]). Denote by $a: X \to T$ the Stein factorization of the Albanese morphism of X and by F a general fiber of a. Since 3-dimensional terminal singularities are isolated $(c.f. [18]), F$ $(c.f. [18]), F$ $(c.f. [18]), F$ is smooth.

The following lemma is due to [\[9,](#page-11-5) Propsition 2.1].

Lemma 3.1. Let X be a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type with $p_q(X) > 0$. Then $\chi(\omega_X) \leq p_q(X)$ unless a general fiber of a is a surface F with $q(F) = 0$, in which case one has the inequality

$$
\chi(\omega_X) \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_g(F)}\right) p_g(X).
$$

Proof. After taking a resolution of X, Lemma [3.1](#page-5-0) follows easily by [\[9,](#page-11-5) Propsition 2.1].

Proposition 3.2. Let X be a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. Keep the same notation as in the beginning of this section.

- (1) If $q(X) = 0$, then $\chi(\omega_X) \leq p_g(X) 1$. Therefore we have $K_X^3 \geq$ 4 $\frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X)-2.$
- (2) If $q(X) = 1$, then $\chi(\omega_X) \leq p_g(X)$ holds and we have $K_X^3 \geq \frac{4}{3}$ $\frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X)$ – 10 $\frac{10}{3}$.
- (3) If dim $T \geq 2$ and $p_g(X) > 0$, then $\chi(\omega_X) \leq p_g(X)$ holds and we have $K_X^3 \geq \frac{4}{3}$ $\frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X) - \frac{10}{3}$ $\frac{10}{3}$.

(4) If $p_g(X) = 0$, then $K_X^3 \ge 2\chi(\omega_X)$. (5) If dim $T = 1$ and $p_g(X) = 1$, then $K_X^3 \geq 2\chi(\omega_X)$.

If X satisfies one of the above conditions, then all these statements imply

(3.1)
$$
K_X^3 \ge \frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X) - \frac{10}{3}.
$$

Proof. Assertions (1) and (2) follow by [\[5,](#page-11-4) Theorem 1.1]. Assume that X satisfies condition (3). Since F is a general fiber and X is of general type, $\chi(\omega_X) \leq p_q(X)$ follows by Lemma [3.1.](#page-5-0) So (3) follows by [\[5,](#page-11-4) Theorem 1.1]. (4) is exactly Case 2 of 1.3 of [\[9\]](#page-11-5). Assume that X satisfies condition (5). Since $p_q(X) > 0$ and F is a general fiber, we have $p_q(F) > 0$ and F is of general type. According to Lemma [3.1,](#page-5-0) we have $\chi(\omega_X) \leq \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}p_g(X)$. So $\chi(\omega_X)=1$. Hence $K_X^3 \geq 2\chi(\omega_X)$ for K_X^3 is an even positive integer.

We now turn to the case where X satisfies $p_g(X) \geq 2$, $q(X) \geq 2$ and $\dim T = 1$. In this case, T is a nonsingular projective curve with $g(T) =$ $q(X) \ge 2$ (c.f. [\[1,](#page-11-11) Prop V.15]) and F is a smooth minimal surface of general type because X is minimal. The fibration a is relatively minimal because X is minimal. Therefore $K_{X/T} = K_X - a^* K_T$ is nef by [\[17,](#page-12-9) Theorem 1.4]. Since $p_q(X) \geq 2$, we can study the nontrivial canonical map of X. We can take the modification $\pi: Y \to X$ as in Section 2 (see page 2-3). Keep the same notation as in the last section. Recall that the morphism $f: Y \to B$ is the Stein factorization of the canonical morphism (see page 3).

Lemma 3.3. Let X be a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type with $p_q(X) \geq 2$. Keep the same notation as above. Assume that T is a nonsingular curve of genus $g(T) = g(X) \geq 2$. Then

(3.2)
$$
K_X^3 \ge \frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X) - 6
$$

unless the general fiber of f is a curve of genus $g = 2$.

Proof. Case 1. $\dim B \geq 2$.

According to Proposition [2.4,](#page-4-1) we have $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 6$. Then we have [\(3.2\)](#page-6-0) by Lemma [3.1.](#page-5-0)

Case 2. $\text{dim}B = 1$.

If the general fiber of f is not a surface of type $(1, 2)$, then we have $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 4$ by Proposition [2.4.](#page-4-1) So [\(3.2\)](#page-6-0) holds by Lemma [3.1.](#page-5-0)

Now we turn to the case where the general fiber of f is a surface of type (1,2). Since K_X^3 is a positive even integer, [\(3.2\)](#page-6-0) holds if $\chi(\omega_X) \leq 6$.

So we may assume that $\chi(\omega_X) \geq 7$. We have $p_q(X) \geq 5$ by Lemma [3.1.](#page-5-0) We have $q(X) \leq 1$ by [\[7,](#page-11-1) Lemma 4.5]. But this contradicts to our assumption $q(X) \geq 2$. We are done.

Proposition 3.4. Let X be a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type with $p_q(X) \geq 2$. Keep the same notation as above. Assume that T is a nonsingular curve of genus $g(T) = q(X) \geq 2$ and that a general fiber C of f is a curve of genus $q(C) = 2$. Then

(3.3)
$$
K_X^3 \ge \frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X) - 6.
$$

Proof. Since K_X^3 is a positive even integer, [\(3.3\)](#page-7-0) is automatically true for $\chi(\omega_X) \leq 6$. We may assume $\chi(\omega_X) \geq 7$. So $p_q(X) \geq 5$ by Lemma [3.1.](#page-5-0) Ac-cording to Proposition [3.2,](#page-5-1) we can assume that $q(X) \geq 2$ and that the general fiber C of f is a nonsingular curve of genus 2. Recall that $|M|$ is the movable part of $|K_Y|$ and that $|M|$ is base point free.

We have $M^2 \equiv d_{\Sigma} \cdot \deg \delta \cdot C$, where Σ is the image of ϕ and the symbol ≡ stands for numerical equivalence.

Because Σ is non-degenerate, we have $d_{\Sigma} \geq p_g(X) - 2$. Since both $\pi^* K_X$ and M are nef, we conclude that

(3.4)
$$
K_X^3 \ge (\pi^* K_X \cdot M^2) = d_{\Sigma} \cdot \deg \delta \cdot (\pi^* K_X \cdot C)
$$

$$
\ge (p_g(X) - 2) \deg \delta \cdot (\pi^* K_X \cdot C).
$$

If $(\pi^* K_X \cdot C) \ge 2$, then $K_X^3 \ge 2p_g(X) - 4$. So [\(3.3\)](#page-7-0) holds by [\(3.4\)](#page-7-1) and Lemma [3.1.](#page-5-0)

From now on, we assume that $(\pi^* K_X \cdot C) = 1$.

In order to prove Proposition [3.4,](#page-7-2) we need to use the techniques in the proofs of $[7,$ Theorem 4.3 and $[5,$ Theorem 3.1. Recall from $(2.1), (2.2)$ $(2.1), (2.2)$ and [\(2.3\)](#page-4-2) that

(3.5)
$$
K_Y = \pi^* K_X + \left(\sum_{t=1}^m c_t \beta^* D_t + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i E_i\right),
$$

$$
\pi^* K_X = M + \left(\sum_{t=1}^m (d_t + e_t) \beta^* D_t + \sum_{i=0}^n b_i E_i + \beta^* Z_0\right)
$$

In particular, we have $K_X^3 = (\pi^* K_X)^3 = ((\pi^* K_X)^2 \cdot M) + (K_X^2 \cdot \overline{Z})$. We aim to bound $((\pi^*K_X)^2 \cdot M)$ from below.

For this purpose, by Bertini's theorem, we choose a general member S of |M| such that S is smooth and consider the fibration $f|_S$. By abuse of notation, we still denote by C the general fiber of $f|_S$. We remark that S is of general type since so is X. Also the divisors $\beta^* D_t |_{S}$ and $E_i |_{S}$ are effective for $1 \leq t \leq m$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Recall that $a: X \to T$ is the Stein factorization of the Albanese morphism of X and that $K_{X/T}$ is a nef divisor. It is easy to see that $a_Y: Y \to T$ is the Stein factorization of the Albanese morphism of Y . Take a general fiber F of a such that $F_Y = \pi^* F$ is also a general fiber of a_Y . Note that F is a smooth minimal surface of general type.

Since dim $B = 2$, we can see that $\dim f(F_Y) \geq 1$. So $S \cap F_Y$ is a nontrivial effective curve on Y. Thus we have $a_Y(S) = T$.

Since both $K_{X/T} = K_X - 2(q(X) - 1)F$ and F_Y are nef divisors, we have $(\pi^*K_X \cdot C) \geq 2(q(X) - 1)(F_Y \cdot C) \geq 0.$

Therefore $(F_Y \cdot C) = 0$ because $q(X) \ge 2$ and $(\pi^* K_X \cdot C) = 1$. So $f|_S$ and $a_Y|_S$ induce the same fibration. Denote by $\gamma: S \to \widehat{T}$ the induced fibration. We have $g(\widehat{T}) \ge g(T) = q(X) \ge 2$.

By the definition of M we can write

(3.6)
$$
M|_{S} = \gamma^* A \equiv (\deg A)C,
$$

where A is an effective divisor on \widehat{T} .

Since $g(C) = 2$, we have $(K_Y \cdot C) = 2$ by the adjunction formula. According to [\(3.5\)](#page-7-3) and $(\pi^* K_X \cdot C) = 1$, we have

$$
\left(\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} c_t \beta^* D_t + \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i E_i \right) \Big|_S \cdot C \right) = 1 \text{ and}
$$

$$
\left(\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} (d_t + e_t) \beta^* D_t + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i E_i + \beta^* Z_0 \right) \Big|_S \cdot C \right) = 1.
$$

We conclude that the horizontal part of $(\sum_{t=1}^m c_t \beta^* D_t + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i E_i)|_S$ consists of an irreducible reduced curve Γ , which is also the horizontal part of $(\sum_{t=1}^{m} (d_t + e_t) \beta^* D_t + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i E_i + \beta^* Z_0)|_S$ and $(\Gamma \cdot C) = 1$.

We can write

(3.7)
$$
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} c_t \beta^* D_t + \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i E_i\right)\Big|_S = \Gamma + D_V + E_V,
$$

$$
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} (d_t + e_t) \beta^* D_t + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i E_i + \beta^* Z_0\right)\Big|_S = \Gamma + D'_V + E'_V
$$

where E_V, D_V, E'_V and D'_V are effective divisors contained in the fibers of $γ$.

According to Lemma [2.2](#page-3-0) (c) and Lemma [2.3](#page-4-0) (b), we have

(3.8)
$$
D_V + E_V \le 2D'_V + 2E'_V.
$$

Because Γ is a section of γ ,

(3.9)
$$
(\Gamma \cdot (2D_V' + 2E_V' - D_V - E_V)) \ge 0.
$$

The adjunction formula yields

(3.10)
$$
(K_S \cdot \Gamma) + \Gamma^2 = 2p_a(\Gamma) - 2 \ge 2q(X) - 2
$$

Note that

$$
K_Y|_S = \pi^* K_X|_S + \Gamma + D_V + E_V
$$
 and $\pi^* K_X|_S = M|_S + \Gamma + D'_V + E'_V$

by [\(3.5\)](#page-7-3). By [\(3.9\)](#page-9-0), one has

$$
2q(X) - 2 \leq ((K_S + \Gamma) \cdot \Gamma) = ((K_Y + M)|_S \cdot \Gamma) + \Gamma^2
$$

\n
$$
= ((\pi^* K_X|_S + M|_S + D_V + E_V + 2\Gamma) \cdot \Gamma)
$$

\n(3.11)
\n
$$
\leq ((\pi^* K_X|_S + M|_S + 2D'_V + 2E'_V + 2\Gamma) \cdot \Gamma)
$$

\n
$$
= ((3\pi^* K_X|_S - M|_S) \cdot \Gamma)
$$

\n
$$
= 3(\pi^* K_X|_S \cdot \Gamma) - \deg A.
$$

The last equality holds by $M|_S \equiv (\text{deg}A)C$ (see [\(3.6\)](#page-8-0)) and $(\Gamma \cdot C) = 1$. So we have

(3.12)
$$
(\pi^* K_X \cdot \Gamma) \ge \frac{1}{3} \deg A.
$$

By [\(3.6\)](#page-8-0) and $(\pi^*K_X \cdot C) = 1$, we have

(3.13)
$$
(\pi^*K_X|_S \cdot M|_S) = \deg A.
$$

We have

(3.14)
$$
K_X^3 \ge (\pi^* K_X |_{S} \cdot M |_{S}) + (\pi^* K_X \cdot \Gamma) \ge \frac{4}{3} \deg A
$$

by (3.13) and (3.12) . We will bound deg A from below. **Case** 1. $h^1(\hat{T}, A) > 0$.

By Clifford's inequality and [\(3.6\)](#page-8-0), we have

$$
\deg A \ge 2h^0(\widehat{T}, A) - 2 = 2h^0(S, M|_S) - 2 \ge 2p_g(X) - 4.
$$

So [\(3.3\)](#page-7-0) follows by above inequality, [\(3.14\)](#page-9-3) and Lemma [3.1.](#page-5-0) **Case** 2. $h^{1}(\hat{T}, A) = 0.$ By Riemann-Roch formula and Lemma [3.1,](#page-5-0) we have

(3.15)
$$
\deg A = h^0(\widehat{T}, A) + g(\widehat{T}) - 1 \ge p_g(X) + q(X) - 2 \ge \chi(\omega_X) - 1.
$$

We conclude (3.3) from (3.14) and (3.15) .

We can now prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0) According to Proposition [3.2,](#page-5-1) Lemma [3.3](#page-6-1) and Proposition [3.4,](#page-7-2) we have

(3.16)
$$
K_X^3 \ge \frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X) - 6.
$$

Since X is irregular, for every integer $m \geq 2$ there is a cyclic unramified covering $\tau \colon \widehat{X} \to X$ of degree m. We have

(3.17)
$$
K_{\hat{X}} = \tau^* K_X, \ K_{\hat{X}}^3 = mK_X^3, \ \chi(\omega_{\hat{X}}) = m\chi(\omega_X).
$$

Note that \hat{X} is an irregular Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. So we have $K_{\hat{X}}^3 \geq \frac{4}{3}$ $\frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_{\hat{X}}) - 6$. Therefore by [\(3.16\)](#page-10-1) and [\(3.17\)](#page-10-2), we have

$$
K_X^3 \ge \frac{4}{3}\chi(\omega_X) - \frac{6}{m}
$$

.

Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) follows by letting $m \to \infty$.

Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1) follows easily by Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) and Proposition [3.2](#page-5-1) (1).

4. Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor Meng Chen for his guidance over this paper and encouragement. I wish to thank Yifan Chen for his helpful suggestions. I thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions. The author is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.: 11571076).

References

- [1] A. Beauville, Complex algebraic surfaces, Cambridge Univ. Press (1983) (translation of Surfaces algébriques complexes, Astérisque 54 , Soc. Math. France, Paris (1978)).
- [2] F. Catanese, M. Chen, and D.-Q. Zhang, The Noether inequality for smooth minimal 3-folds, Math. Res. Lett. 13 (2006), no. 4, 653–666.
- [3] J. A. Chen, Explicit resolution of three dimensional terminal singularities, to appear in Minimal Models and Extremal Rays, Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of Shigefumi Mori's 60th Birthday, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics. arXiv:1310.6445.
- [4] J. A. Chen and C. D. Hacon, On the geography of threefolds of general type, J. Algebra 321 (2009), no. 9, 2500–2507.
- [5] J. A. Chen and M. Chen, The Noether inequality for Gorenstein minimal 3-folds, Comm. Anal. Geom. 23 (2015), no. 1, 1–9.
- [6] J. A. Chen, M. Chen, and D.-Q. Zhang, The 5-canonical system on 3-folds of general type, J. Reine Angew. Math. 603 (2007), 165–181.
- [7] M. Chen, Inequalities of Noether type for 3-folds of general type, J. Math. Soc. Japan 56 (2004), 1131–1155.
- [8] M. Chen, Minimal threefolds of small slope and the Noether inequality for canonically polarized threefolds, Math. Res. Lett. 11 (2004), 833– 852.
- [9] M. Chen and C. D. Hacon, On the geography of Gorenstein minimal 3-folds of general type, Asian J. Math. $10(2006)$, no. 4, 757–764.
- [10] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, Inequality between Chern numbers of singular Kähler surfaces and characterization of orbit space of discrete *group of* $SU(2,1)$ *,* Complex differential geometry and nonlinear differential equations (Brunswick, Maine, 1984), 31–44, Contemp. Math. 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [11] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I, Ann. of Math. (2) **79** (1964), 109–203; II, ibid., 205–326.
- $[12]$ Y. Kawamata, Crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces, Ann. of Math. (2) 127 (1988), no. 1, 93–163.
- [13] M. Kobayashi, On Noether's inequality for threefolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 44 (1992), no. 1, 145–156.
- [14] Y. Miyaoka, On the Chern numbers of surfaces of general type, Invent. Math. 42 (1977), 225–237.
- [15] Y. Miyaoka, The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 10 (1987), 449–476.
- [16] M. Noether, Zur Theorie des eindeutigen Entsprechens algebraischer Ge-bilde, Math. Ann. 2 (1870), 293-316; 8 (1875), 495–533.
- [17] K. Ohno, Some inequalities for minimal fibrations of surfaces of general type over curves, J. Math. Soc. Japan 44 (1992), 643–646.
- [18] M. Reid, Minimal models of canonical 3-folds, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 1 (1983), 131–180.
- [19] D.-K. Shin, *Some inequalities between* K_X^3 and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for a threefold *X* of general type, Math. Z. 225 (1997), no. 1, 133–138.
- [20] G. Tian and S. T. Yau, *Existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on com*plete Kähler manifolds and their applications to algebraic geometry, Mathematical aspects of string theory (San Diego, Calif. (1986)), 574– 628, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. 1, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore (1987).
- [21] S. T. Yau, Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 74 (1977), no. 5, 1798–1799.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY Shanghai 200433, P. R. China E-mail address: yonghu11@fudan.edu.cn

Received April 13, 2016