

# Blow-up rate of the mean curvature during the mean curvature flow and a gap theorem for self-shrinkers

NAM Q. LE AND NATASA SESUM

In this paper, we prove that the mean curvature blows up at the same rate as the second fundamental form at the first singular time  $T$  of any compact, type-I mean curvature flow. For the mean curvature flow of surfaces, we obtain similar result provided that the Gaussian density is less than two. Our proofs are based on continuous rescaling and the classification of self-shrinkers. We show that all notions of singular sets defined in [19] coincide for any type-I mean curvature flow, thus generalizing the result of Stone who established that for any mean convex type-I mean curvature flow. We also establish a gap theorem for self-shrinkers.

## 1. Introduction and main results

Let  $M^n$  be a compact  $n$ -dimensional hypersurface without boundary, and let  $F_0 : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a smooth immersion of  $M^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Consider a smooth one-parameter family of embeddings

$$F(\cdot, t) : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

satisfying  $F(\cdot, 0) = F_0(\cdot)$  and

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial F(p, t)}{\partial t} = -H(p, t)\nu(p, t), \quad \forall (p, t) \in M \times [0, T].$$

Here  $H(p, t)$  and  $\nu(p, t)$  denote the mean curvature and the outward unit normal for the hypersurface  $M_t = F(M^n, t)$  at  $F(p, t)$ , respectively. We will sometimes also write  $x(p, t) = F(p, t)$ ,  $M_0 = M$  and refer to (1.1) as to the mean curvature flow equation. The mean curvature vector is denoted by  $\vec{H} = -H\nu$ . Furthermore, for any compact  $n$ -dimensional hypersurface  $M^n$  which is smoothly embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  by  $F : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , let us denote by  $g = (g_{ij})$  the induced metric where  $g_{ij} = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} F \rangle$ ,  $A = (h_{ij})$  the second

fundamental form where  $h_{ij} = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} F \rangle$ ,  $d\mu = \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} dx$  the volume form,  $\nabla$  the induced Levi-Civita connection. Then the mean curvature of  $M^n$  is given by

$$H = g^{ij} h_{ij} = \operatorname{div} \nu.$$

With our convention on the choice of the unit normal vector  $\nu$ ,  $H$  is  $n/R$  on the  $n$ -sphere  $\mathbf{S}^n(R)$  of radius  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $H$  is  $k/R$  on the cylinder  $\mathbf{S}^k(R) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  of radius  $R$  for the spherical factor.

In [14], the authors established the blow up of the mean curvature  $H$  at the first singular time of the mean curvature flow in the case of type-I singularities. This result somewhat extends that of Huisken [9] on the blow-up of the second fundamental form at the first singular time of the mean curvature flow. Before stating this result, we first recall the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that the mean curvature flow (1.1) is of type I at the first singular time  $T < \infty$ , if the blow-up rate of the curvature satisfies an upper bound of the form

$$(1.2) \quad \max_{M_t} |A|^2(\cdot, t) \leq \frac{C_0}{T-t}, \quad 0 \leq t < T,$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$ .

In [14] we proved the following result:

**Theorem 1.1** [14, Theorem 1.2]. *Assume (1.2) for the mean curvature flow (1.1). Then*

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \max_{M_t} |H|^2(\cdot, t) = \infty.$$

On the other hand, Huisken [10] also gave the (sharp) lower bound on the blow-up rate of the second fundamental form at the first singular time. This lower bound was based on the maximum principle and states that

$$(1.4) \quad \max_{M_t} |A|^2(\cdot, t) \geq \frac{1}{2(T-t)}.$$

Having had Theorem 1.1, one can naturally ask if a similar statement like (1.4) also holds for the mean curvature. It turns out that the answer is yes. In this paper, we prove that the mean curvature blows up at the same rate as the second fundamental form at the first singular time  $T$  of the mean

curvature flow if all singularities are of type I. This is the content of the following result:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume (1.2) for the mean curvature flow (1.1). Then, at the first singular time  $T$  of the mean curvature flow, there exists  $C_* > 0$  such that*

$$(1.5) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \sqrt{T - t} \max_{M_t} H(\cdot, t) \geq C_*.$$

Theorem 1.2 extends Theorem 1.1 in two directions:

- It gives a lower bound, optimal modulo constants, on the blow-up rate for the mean curvature.
- The bound here has a sign, not just absolute value, meaning that  $H^+ \equiv \max\{H, 0\}$  blows up at the rate  $(T - t)^{-1/2}$ .

The result in Theorem 1.2 should be compared with its Ricci flow analog. For type-I Ricci flow, Enders *et al.* [8] obtained a lower bound on the blow-up rate for the scalar curvature at the first singular time of the Ricci flow, similar to (1.5). Their result and ours have been proved by blow up arguments. Note that, in the Ricci flow, we have a uniform lower bound for the scalar curvature and moreover, the scalar curvature of a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton (the limit of blow ups of Ricci flow solution) is nonnegative. These statements have no analogs in the mean curvature flow. Therefore, the result obtained in (1.5) is interesting. However, it is not completely surprising if one observes the following somewhat analogous statements between the two flows:

- There are no gradient shrinking Ricci solitons with scalar curvature negative somewhere.
- There are no self-shrinkers with mean curvature negative everywhere.

The first statement follows by Chen [3]. The latter statement follows from [11, Theorem 5.1] for self-shrinkers with bounded second fundamental form, and Colding and Minicozzi [5, Theorem 0.17] where no assumptions on the second fundamental form of the self-shrinkers were made. We will use the above observation as a replacement for the nonnegativity of the mean curvature of a self-shrinker (which is not always true) in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

An easier version of Theorem 1.2, for the purpose of illustration, is the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume (1.2) for the mean curvature flow (1.1). Then, at the first singular time  $T$  of the mean curvature flow, there exists  $C_\infty > 0$  such that*

$$(1.6) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} (T - t) \max_{M_t} |H|^2(\cdot, t) \geq C_\infty.$$

More generally, for any  $\alpha \geq n$ , there exists  $C_\alpha > 0$  such that

$$(1.7) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} (T - t)^{\frac{\alpha - n}{2\alpha}} \|H\|_{L^\alpha(M_t)} \geq C_\alpha.$$

In the special case of  $\alpha = n$ , we obtain the following noncollapsing type result: there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(1.8) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \|H\|_{L^n(M_t)} \geq C.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 was based on blow-up arguments using Huisken's monotonicity formula, the classification of self-shrinkers and White's local regularity theorem for mean curvature flow. See also the recent paper [6] for a different approach, which does not give the blow-up rate as in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. The idea in the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 and other results in the present paper is the use of continuous rescaling.

In the case of the mean curvature flow of surfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , without any assumptions on possible singularities, we proved in [14] that if the Gaussian densities of the flow is below two, then the mean curvature must blow up at the first singular time. In this paper, we sharpen the above result by establishing the blow-up rate, optimal modulo constants, of the mean curvature for mean curvature flow of surfaces with Gaussian densities below two. Equivalently, we will prove the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $M^2$  be a compact, smooth and embedded two-dimensional manifold in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .*

(a) *Suppose that*

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \max_{M_t} |H|^2(\cdot, t)(T - t) = 0.$$

Let  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be a point reached by the mean curvature flow (1.1) at time  $T$ . If the Gaussian density at  $(y_0, T)$  satisfies

$$(1.10) \quad \lim_{t \nearrow T} \int \rho_{y_0, T} d\mu_t := \lim_{t \nearrow T} \int \frac{1}{[4\pi(T-t)]^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y-y_0|^2}{4(T-t)}\right) d\mu_t < 2,$$

then  $(y_0, T)$  is a regular point of the mean curvature flow (1.1).

- (b) The result in (a) is still valid if we replace (1.9) by the following weaker condition:

$$(1.11) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \sqrt{T-t} \max_{M_t} H(\cdot, t) \leq 0.$$

In particular, our theorem says that for the mean curvature flows of surfaces with Gaussian densities below two, at the first singular time  $T$ , the mean curvature must blow up to infinity at the rate  $(T-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

In [8], Ender, Müller and Topping established the blow-up rate of the scalar curvature at any singular point of type-I Ricci flow. In [19], Stone established the blow-up rate of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature at any singular point of the mean convex mean curvature flow having type-I singularities. In this paper, we remove the mean convexity condition in [19] by establishing sharp blow-up rates of the mean curvature at any singular point of the type-I mean curvature flow. Before stating our result in that direction, we give the definitions of different types of singular points, as in [19].

**Definition 1.2.** (i) We say  $p \in M_0$  is a special singular point of the flow (1.1), as  $t \rightarrow T$ , if there exists a fixed  $\delta > 0$ , such that, for some sequence of times  $t_i \rightarrow T$ ,

$$|A|^2(F(p, t_i)) \geq \frac{\delta}{T-t_i}.$$

If, on the other hand,  $|A|^2(p, t_i) \leq \frac{C}{T-t_i}$ , we say that  $p$  is a type-I special singular point, otherwise we say it is a type-II special singular point.

- (ii) We say  $p \in M_0$  is a general singular point of the flow (1.1), as  $t \rightarrow T$ , if there exists a fixed  $\delta > 0$ , such that, for some sequence of times  $t_i \rightarrow T$ , and some sequence of points  $p_i \in M_0$ , with  $p_i \rightarrow p$ ,

$$|A|^2(F(p_i, t_i)) \geq \frac{\delta}{T-t_i}.$$

We distinguish between type-I and type-II general singular points as in the case of special singular points.

Denote by  $\Sigma_s$  the set of all special singular points of the flow and by  $\Sigma_g$  the set of all general singular points of the flow. Moreover, we denote by  $\Sigma_A \subset \Sigma_s$  the set of all points  $p \in M_0$  such that  $|A|(F(p, t), t)$  blows up at the type-I rate as  $t \rightarrow T$ , that is  $|A|^2(F(p, t), t) \geq \frac{\delta}{T-t}$  for all  $t \rightarrow T$ . Similarly, let  $\Sigma_H$  be the set of all points  $p \in M_0$  such that  $|H|(F(p, t), t)$  blows up at the type-I rate as  $t \rightarrow T$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all points  $p \in M_0$  that do not have a neighborhood  $p \in U_p$  in which  $|A(\cdot, t)|$  stays uniformly bounded as  $t \rightarrow T$ .

It is obvious that  $\Sigma_H \subset \Sigma_A \subset \Sigma_s \subset \Sigma_g \subset \Sigma$ . In [19] it was proved that  $\Sigma_s = \Sigma_g = \Sigma$ , in the case of a mean convex flow ( $H \geq 0$ ) and type-I singular points. An analogous statement for the type-I Ricci flow has been obtained in [8]. Our goal in this paper is to show that  $\Sigma_H = \Sigma$ , that is all notions of singular sets coincide for any type-I mean curvature flow, without requiring the mean convexity. Our result states as follows.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $(M_t)$  be a closed, type-I mean curvature flow in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then  $\Sigma_H = \Sigma$ .*

A consequence of Theorem 1.5 is the following corollary whose analog has been proved for the type-I Ricci flow in [8].

**Corollary 1.1.** *Consider the type-I mean curvature flow (1.1). If  $\mu_0(M_0) < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \mu_t(\Sigma) = 0$ . Here  $d\mu_t$  is the volume form of  $M_t$ .*

For the case of the mean curvature flow with  $H \geq -C$ , having type-I singularities, we can prove a stronger statement. For this purpose, we define a special blow-up set  $\Sigma_H^\delta \subset \Sigma_H$ , as the set of all points  $p \in M_0$  such that  $H(F(p, t), t) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2+\delta)(T-t)}}$  for  $t$  sufficiently close to  $T$ . Here  $\delta$  is a given positive number. We will prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $(M_t)$  be a closed, type-I mean curvature flow in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , with  $H \geq -C$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . Then  $\Sigma_H^\delta = \Sigma$ .*

**Remark 1.1.** For the shrinking spheres, we have  $H(F(p, t), t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}}$ . Thus the blow-up rate for the mean curvature in Theorem 1.6 is sharp.

**Remark 1.2.** As can be seen from the proof, we can replace the lower bound  $H \geq -C$  in Theorem 1.6 by

$$\min_{M_t} H(\cdot, t) \geq \frac{-c(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}},$$

where  $c(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ .

In this paper as well as in [14], the classification of self-shrinkers plays an important role. More relevant to our theorems is the question: under what conditions can we conclude that a self-shrinker is a hyperplane? There are two commonly used conditions in the literature:

- Any smooth self-shrinker with mean curvature zero must be a hyperplane [5, Corollary 2.8].
- Any self-shrinker with entropy sufficiently close to one (which is the entropy of the hyperplane) must be flat. This is Brakke’s theorem [2].

We offer another criterion in this paper. First, we recall the definition of a self-shrinker<sup>1</sup> that we will use in the statement of our gap theorem. A hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is said to be a *self-shrinker* if it satisfies the equation

$$H = \langle x, \nu \rangle.$$

Equivalently, a hypersurface is said to be a *self-shrinker* if it is the time  $t = -\frac{1}{2}$  slice<sup>2</sup> of a self-shrinking mean curvature flow (MCF) that disappears at  $(0, 0)$ , i.e., of a MCF satisfying  $M_t = \sqrt{-2t} M_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Our gap result is concerned with self-shrinkers whose second fundamental forms have small norm:

**Theorem 1.7.** *If the hypersurface  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a smooth complete embedded self-shrinker without boundary and with polynomial volume growth, and satisfies  $|A|^2 < 1$  then  $\Sigma$  is a hyperplane.*

**Remark 1.3.** A similar gap result for Ricci soliton has been obtained by Munteanu and Wang [15, Corollary 1]. Yokota [21] obtained a gap theorem concerning the normalized  $f$ -volume for gradient shrinking Ricci solitons.

<sup>1</sup>When a precise normalization in the definition of a self-shrinker is not important, we can use different normalizations of a self-shrinker in this paper, especially in the proof of Theorem 1.5. This will make the notation less heavier.

<sup>2</sup>In [5], Colding and Minicozzi define self-shrinkers to be the time  $t = -1$  slice of a self-shrinking MCF; consequently, they get that  $H = \frac{1}{2}\langle x, \nu \rangle$ .

**Remark 1.4.** The curvature bound  $|A|^2 < 1$  in Theorem 1.7 is optimal.  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbf{S}^{n-k}(\sqrt{n-k})$ , for  $0 < k < n$ , are nonflat self-shrinkers with  $|A|^2 = 1$ .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorems 1.2 to 1.4. In Section 3 we prove Theorems 1.5, 1.6 and Corollary 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.7 will be given in Section 4.

## 2. Blow-up rate of the mean curvature

In this section, we will prove Theorems 1.2 to 1.4 on the blow-up rate of the mean curvature during the mean curvature flow having type-I singularities.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* We first prove (1.6). We argue by contradiction. Suppose otherwise that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \max_{M_t} |H|^2(\cdot, t)(T - t) = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, assume that  $M^n \subset B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a point reached by the mean curvature flow (1.1) at time  $T$ , that is, there exists a sequence  $(y_j, t_j)$  with  $t_j \nearrow T$  so that  $y_j \in M_{t_j}$  and  $y_j \rightarrow y_0$ . We show that  $(y_0, T)$  must be a regular point of (1.1) and this will contradict the assumption that  $T$  is the first singular time.

Without loss of generality, assume that  $y_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a singular point of the mean curvature flow. Then, following Huisken [10], we define the rescaled immersions  $\tilde{F}(p, s)$  by

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{F}(p, s) = (2(T - t))^{-1/2} F(p, t), \quad s(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(T - t).$$

This is the *continuous rescaling* that is crucial in our proofs. The surfaces  $\tilde{M}_s = \tilde{F}(\cdot, s)(M^n)$  are therefore defined for  $-\frac{1}{2} \log T \leq s < \infty$  and satisfy the equation

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{F}(\cdot, s) = -\tilde{H}(\cdot, s) \tilde{\nu}(\cdot, s) + \tilde{F}(\cdot, s).$$

In view of (1.2), the rescaled surfaces  $\tilde{M}_s$  have bounded curvature. By the smoothness estimate [7], one can prove estimates for all higher derivatives



of the second fundamental form

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{A} \right|^2 \leq C_m(C_0) \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

Furthermore, because  $F(0, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ , using (1.2) again, we find that the term  $\tilde{F}(0, s)$  remains bounded. This follows from the estimate

$$|F(0, t)| \leq \int_t^T |H(0, \tau)| d\tau \leq \int_t^T \frac{n^{1/2}C_0}{(T - \tau)^{1/2}} d\tau \leq C(2(T - t))^{1/2}.$$

Hence we have the convergence  $\tilde{M}_{s_j} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_\infty$  for a sequence of times  $s_j \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, let  $\tilde{\rho}(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}$ . Then Huisken’s normalized monotonicity formula [10] reads

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\tilde{M}_s} \tilde{\rho} d\tilde{\mu}_s = - \int_{\tilde{M}_s} \tilde{\rho} \left| \tilde{H}\tilde{\nu} - \tilde{F}^\perp \right|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_s.$$

Here  $\tilde{F}^\perp(\cdot, s)$  is the normal component of the position vector  $\tilde{F}(\cdot, s) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  in the normal space of  $\tilde{M}_s$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . From this we arrive at the following inequality:

$$\int_{s_0}^\infty \int_{\tilde{M}_s} \tilde{\rho} \left| \tilde{H}\tilde{\nu} - \tilde{F}^\perp \right|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_s \leq C.$$

In view of the regularity estimate (2.4) and Huisken’s monotonicity formula (2.5), every limiting hypersurface  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  satisfies the equation

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{H}_\infty = \langle \tilde{x}_\infty, \tilde{\nu}_\infty \rangle.$$

On the other hand, by (2.1), we have  $\tilde{H}_\infty \equiv 0$ . Thus  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  is a minimal cone; see [5, Corollary 2.8]. Because  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  is smooth, it is a hyperplane. In other words, the rescaled surfaces  $\tilde{M}_s$  converge to a hyperplane.

Let  $\rho_{y_0, T} : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times (-\infty, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the backward heat kernel at  $(y_0, T)$ , i.e.,

$$(2.7) \quad \rho_{y_0, T}(y, t) = \frac{1}{[4\pi(T - t)]^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y - y_0|^2}{4(T - t)}\right).$$

Then, the monotonicity formula of Huisken [10] says that

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{M_t} \rho_{y_0, T} d\mu_t = - \int_{M_t} \rho_{y_0, T} \left| H\nu - \frac{F^\perp}{2(T - t)} \right|^2 d\mu_t,$$

from which it follows that the limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \int_{M_t} \rho_{y_0, T} d\mu_t$  exists. Via the rescaling (2.2), we have

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{M_t} \rho_{y_0, T} d\mu_t = \int_{\tilde{M}_s} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} d\tilde{\mu}_s \equiv \int_{\tilde{M}_s} \tilde{\rho} d\tilde{\mu}_s,$$

where  $d\tilde{\mu}_s$  is the induced volume form on  $\tilde{M}_s$ .

Because the rescaled surfaces  $\tilde{M}_s$  converge to a hyperplane, we obtain that

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tilde{M}_s} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} d\tilde{\mu}_s = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} dx = 1.$$

Note that  $s \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ . Combining (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \int_{M_t} \rho_{y_0, T} d\mu_t = 1.$$

This means that the Gaussian density of  $M^n$  at  $(y_0, T)$  is 1. By White’s regularity theorem [20], the second fundamental form  $|A|(\cdot, t)$  of  $M_t$  is bounded as  $t \rightarrow T$  and  $(y_0, T)$  is a regular point.

Finally, we prove (1.7). We use the same notion as above and argue by contradiction. Suppose otherwise that

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} (T - t)^{\frac{\alpha - n}{2\alpha}} \|H\|_{L^\alpha(M_t)} = 0.$$

Via the rescaling (2.2), we have

$$(2.13) \quad (T - t)^{\frac{\alpha - n}{2\alpha}} \|H\|_{L^\alpha(M_t)} = 2^{\frac{n - \alpha}{2\alpha}} \left\| \tilde{H} \right\|_{L^\alpha(\tilde{M}_s)}.$$

Again, note that  $s \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ . Thus, letting  $s \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.13) and using (2.12), we obtain  $\left\| \tilde{H} \right\|_{L^\alpha(\tilde{M}_\infty)} = 0$ . Hence  $\tilde{H}_\infty = 0$  on  $\tilde{M}_\infty$ . Now, arguing as in the proof of (1.6), we obtain a contradiction.  $\square$

Let us make a few observations. Without using Corollary 2.8 in [5], one can also argue as follows. If  $\tilde{H}_\infty \geq 0$  in (2.6), then Huisken [11] proved that  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  is one of the following:

- (i)  $\mathbf{S}^n$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbf{S}^{n-m}(\sqrt{n - m}) \times \mathbf{R}^m$ .

- (iii)  $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  where  $\Gamma$  is one of the homothetically (convex immersed) shrinking curves in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  found by Abresch and Langer [1].

If we know  $\tilde{H}_\infty = 0$  at one point in  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  then the only possibility is  $\tilde{M}_\infty = \mathbb{R}^n$ . This is a kind of a rigidity result for self-shrinkers with nonnegative mean curvature. Note that, in [8], in order to establish the blow-up rate of the scalar curvature at any singular point of type-I Ricci flow, the following rigidity result for gradient shrinking solitons, due to Pigola–Rimoldi–Setti, played an important role:

**Lemma 2.1** [17, Theorem 3]. *Let  $(M^n, g, f)$  be a complete gradient shrinking soliton  $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}$ . Then the scalar curvature  $R_g$  is nonnegative, and if there exists a point  $p \in M$  where  $R_g(p) = 0$ , then  $(M, g, f)$  is the Gaussian soliton, i.e., isometric to flat Euclidean space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \frac{|x|^2}{4})$ .*

Because the scalar curvature of a complete gradient shrinking soliton is nonnegative, the blow-up rate in [8] was established at each singular point of the Ricci flow. For the mean curvature flow, the mean curvature of the self-shrinker  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  satisfying (2.6) can possibly be negative, and therefore a pointwise statement for the blow-up rate of the mean curvature needs to be argued differently (see Theorem 1.5).

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We argue by contradiction. Suppose otherwise that

$$(2.14) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \sqrt{T-t} \max_{M_t} H(\cdot, t) \leq 0.$$

We will prove that any point  $y_0$  reached by our mean curvature flow at time  $T$  must be a regular point of (1.1) and this will contradict the assumption that  $T$  is the first singular time. We use the same rescaling as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 and obtain in the limit a self-shrinker  $\tilde{M}_\infty$ . Using (2.14), we find that our self-shrinker  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  satisfies  $\tilde{H}_\infty \leq 0$ . Moreover, by (1.2), we know that the second fundamental form of  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  is bounded. Let  $L$  be the differential operator  $L = \Delta_{\tilde{M}_\infty} + \left| \tilde{A}_\infty \right|^2 - \langle x, \nabla_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \rangle$ . Then Huisken [11, Theorem 5.1] (see also Colding and Minicozzi [5, Lemma 5.5]) showed that  $L\tilde{H}_\infty = \tilde{H}_\infty$ . From the nonpositivity of  $\tilde{H}_\infty$  and Harnack inequality, we can conclude that  $\tilde{H}_\infty$  is either strictly negative or identically zero. The first case could not happen which follows from the proof of Huisken’s classification result [11, Theorem 5.1]. For the convenience of a reader we will sketch it here.

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n, \nu_\infty$  be an adopted orthonormal frame. If  $\tilde{H}_\infty < 0$  everywhere on  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  we can consider the quantity  $\frac{|\tilde{A}_\infty|^2}{\tilde{H}_\infty^2}$ . Then, simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \left( \frac{|\tilde{A}_\infty|^2}{\tilde{H}_\infty^2} \right) &= \frac{2}{\tilde{H}_\infty^4} \left| (\tilde{h}_\infty)_{ij} \nabla_l \tilde{H}_\infty - \nabla_l (\tilde{h}_\infty)_{ij} \tilde{H}_\infty \right|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\tilde{H}_\infty} \nabla_i \tilde{H}_\infty \nabla_i \left( \frac{|\tilde{A}_\infty|^2}{\tilde{H}_\infty^2} \right) + \langle x, e_i \rangle \nabla_i \left( \frac{|\tilde{A}_\infty|^2}{\tilde{H}_\infty^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We multiply the equation by  $|\tilde{A}_\infty|^2 \rho$ , where  $\rho$  is the rescaled heat kernel  $e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$ . Integrating by parts yields to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{|\tilde{A}_\infty|^2}{\tilde{H}_\infty^2} \right) \right|^2 \rho \, d\mu + 2 \int_{\tilde{M}_\infty} \frac{|\tilde{A}_\infty|^2}{\tilde{H}_\infty^4} |(\tilde{h}_\infty)_{ij} \nabla_k \tilde{H}_\infty \\ - \nabla_i (\tilde{h}_\infty)_{jk} \tilde{H}_\infty|^2 \rho \, d\mu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Huisken shows that in this case a complete and embedded self-shrinker  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  has to be of the form  $\mathbf{S}^{n-m} \sqrt{n-m} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ , for  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , in which case  $\tilde{H}_\infty \geq 0$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $\tilde{H}_\infty < 0$  everywhere on  $\tilde{M}_\infty$ . Here, we have adopted the convention of the outward unit normal vector when talking about the mean curvature and geometric quantities defined with respect to the normal vector such as the mean curvature of the hypersurfaces under consideration.

Thus we are left with the case  $\tilde{H}_\infty = 0$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  is a hyperplane. Now arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can conclude that  $(y_0, T)$  must be a regular point of (1.1). □

We will now prove Theorem 1.4 in which we restrict ourselves to the case when  $n = 2$ , but we allow all possible types of singularities to happen at a finite singular time  $T < \infty$ . We adopt the proof from [14] to show that the blow up rate of the mean curvature at the first singular time must be  $(T - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . The proof of (a) is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1.5 in [14], except that we use here the continuous rescaling. The proof of (b) is a bit different. Though we also use the continuous rescaling, our limiting self-shrinkers does not necessary have bounded second fundamental form. Thus, we have to be more careful when dealing with the classification issues. Huisken’s classification result [11, Theorem 5.1] does not apply. Thanks to Colding–Minicozzi [5, Theorem 0.17], this is not a problem. For the reader’s convenience we will include the detailed proof below.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* In this proof,  $n = 2$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $M^2 \subset B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be a point reached by the mean curvature flow (1.1) at time  $T$ , that is, there exists a sequence  $(y_j, t_j)$  with  $t_j \nearrow T$  so that  $y_j \in M_{t_j}$  and  $y_j \rightarrow y_0$ . We show that  $(y_0, T)$  is a regular point of (1.1) provided that (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied.

We can assume that  $y_0 = 0$ . Then, following Huisken [10], we define the rescaled immersions  $\tilde{F}(p, s)$  by

$$(2.15) \quad \tilde{F}(p, s) = (2(T - t))^{-1/2}F(p, t) \equiv \lambda(s)F(p, t), \quad s(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(T - t).$$

The surfaces  $\tilde{M}_s = \tilde{F}(\cdot, s)(M^n)$  are therefore defined for  $-\frac{1}{2} \log T \leq s < \infty$  and satisfy the equation

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{F}(\cdot, s) = -\tilde{H}(\cdot, s)\tilde{\nu}(\cdot, s) + \tilde{F}(\cdot, s).$$

The induced volume form of  $\tilde{M}_s$  is denoted by  $\tilde{\mu}_s$ .

For any set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , let us define the parabolically rescaled measures at  $(y_0, T)$ :

$$\mu^{\lambda(s)}(A) = [\lambda(s)]^{-n} \mathcal{H}^n[\tilde{M}_s(\lambda(s) \cdot A)].$$

Here  $\mathcal{H}^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Now, let  $\tilde{\rho}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2)$ . Then Huisken's normalized monotonicity formula [10] reads

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\tilde{M}_s} \tilde{\rho} d\tilde{\mu}_s = - \int_{\tilde{M}_s} \tilde{\rho} \left| \tilde{H}\tilde{\nu} - \tilde{F}^\perp \right|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_s.$$

Because  $M$  is a compact, smooth and embedded two-dimensional manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the following local area bound holds

$$\mathcal{H}^2(M \cap B_R(x)) \leq CR^2, \quad \forall R > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Using Huisken's monotonicity formula [10], we can prove that (see, for example [5, Lemma 2.9])

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{H}^2(M_t \cap B_R(x)) \leq CR^2, \quad \forall R > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad 0 \leq t < T.$$

It follows that

$$(2.19) \quad \mu^{\lambda(s)}(B_R(x)) \leq CR^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad R > 0, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \log T \leq s < \infty.$$

*Using the area bound (2.19), and the normalized monotonicity formula (2.17), we can follow the proof of the theorem on weak existence of blowups*

in Ilmanen [12, Lemma 8, p. 14] to show that there exists a subsequence of  $\lambda(s)$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\mu^{\lambda(s)} \rightharpoonup \mu^\infty$  in the sense of Radon measures and the following statements hold:

- (a) (self-similarity)  $\mu^\infty(A) = \lambda^{-n} \mu^\infty(\lambda \cdot A)$ , for all  $\lambda > 0$
- (b) (limit measure is a self-shrinker)  $\mu^\infty$  satisfies

$$(2.20) \quad \vec{H}(x) + S(x)^\perp \cdot x = 0, \quad \mu_\infty \text{ a.e. } x.$$

- (c) Furthermore, Huisken’s normalized integral converges

$$(2.21) \quad \int \tilde{\rho} d\mu^\infty = \lim_{s \nearrow \infty} \int \tilde{\rho} d\mu^{\lambda(s)}.$$

Note that, by Allard’s Compactness Theorem [18] and the fact that  $\int_{B_R(x)} \left| \vec{H}^{\lambda(s)} \right|^2$  is bounded for each  $R > 0$ , the Radon measure  $\mu^\infty$  is integer two-rectifiable, that is

$$d\mu^\infty = \theta(x) d\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner X_\infty,$$

where  $X_\infty$  is an  $\mathcal{H}^2$ -measurable, two-rectifiable set and  $\theta$  is an  $\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner X_\infty$ -integrable, integer valued “multiplicity function”.

Now, using the same argument as in the proof of the  $\mathbb{R}^3$  blow-up theorem of Ilmanen [13, p. 29], we can show that  $X_\infty$  has to be smooth. Let us briefly explain the notations used in (b). We follow the presentation used in the proof of Theorem 1.4 in [14] and for the sake of completeness, we include it here.

For a locally  $n$ -rectifiable Radon measure  $\mu$ , we define its  $n$ -dimensional approximate tangent plane  $T_x\mu$  (which exists  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x$ ) by

$$T_x\mu(A) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{-n} \mu(x + \lambda \cdot A).$$

The tangent plane  $T_x\mu$  is a positive multiple of  $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner P$  for some  $n$ -dimensional plane  $P$ . Let  $S : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow G(n+1, n)$  denotes the  $\mu$ -measurable function that maps  $x$  to the geometric tangent plane, denoted by  $P$  above. An important quantity is the first variation of  $\mu$ , defined by  $\delta V_\mu(X) := \int \operatorname{div}_{S(x)} X(x) d\mu(x)$  for  $X \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ . Here  $\operatorname{div}_S X = \sum_{i=1}^n D_{e_i} X \cdot e_i$ , where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is any orthonormal basis of  $S$ . We also denote by  $S$  the orthogonal projection onto  $S$  and thus  $\operatorname{div}_S X$  can be written as  $S : DX$ . Now, if the total first variation  $\|\delta V_\mu\|$  is a Radon measure and is absolutely

continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , then we can define the generalized mean curvature vector  $\vec{H} = \vec{H}_\mu \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  as follows:

$$(2.22) \quad \int \operatorname{div}_S X d\mu = \int -\vec{H} \cdot X d\mu$$

for all  $X \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ . For further information on geometric measure theory, we refer the reader to Simon’s lecture notes [18]. Note that when  $\mu$  is the surface measure of a smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ , the generalized mean curvature vector  $\vec{H}_\mu$  of  $\mu$  exists and is also the classical mean curvature vector of  $M$ . Therefore, we can apply (2.22) to  $\mu^{\lambda(s)}$ , which is the rescaled surface measure of the smooth manifold  $M_s$ . From (2.22) and the definition of  $\mu^{\lambda(s)}$ , one sees that the mean curvature vector  $\vec{H}^{\lambda(s)}$  of  $\mu^{\lambda(s)}$  is  $\frac{\vec{H}_t}{\lambda(s)}$  where  $\vec{H}_t$  is the mean curvature vector of  $M_t$  where  $t = T - e^{-2s}$ . Recall that  $\lambda(s) = (2(T - t))^{-1/2}$  and  $s = -\frac{1}{2}\log(T - t)$ .

(a) By (1.9), we have

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left| \vec{H}^{\lambda(s)} \right| \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{M_t} \left| \vec{H}_t \right| [(2(T - t))^{1/2}] = 0.$$

The lower semicontinuity of  $\int |H| d\mu$  asserts that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $R > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(x)} \left| \vec{H}_\infty \right| d\mu^\infty &\leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(x)} \left| \vec{H}^{\lambda(s)} \right| d\mu^{\lambda(s)} \\ &\leq \int_{B_R(x)} \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left| \vec{H}^{\lambda(s)} \right| d\mu^{\lambda(s)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\vec{H}_\infty = 0$ . Now, because  $X_\infty$  is smooth, the weak mean curvature vector  $\vec{H}_\infty$  coincides with the mean curvature vector in classical sense. Thus we have a smooth solution  $X_\infty$  that is a self-shrinker with  $H = 0$  and therefore by Colding and Minicozzi [5, Corollary 2.8], it has to be a hyperplane. Furthermore,  $\mu^\infty$  represents the surface measure of the plane  $X_\infty$ .

By the Constancy theorem [18, Theorem 41.1],  $\theta$  is a constant. Thus by the convergence of Huisken’s normalized integral (2.21), we see that

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \int \rho_{y_0, T} d\mu_t = \lim_{s \nearrow \infty} \int \tilde{\rho} d\mu^{\lambda(s)} = \int \tilde{\rho} d\mu^\infty = \int \tilde{\rho} d\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner X_\infty = \theta.$$

In the last equation, we have used that the Huisken’s normalized integral of a plane is one. By (1.10) and Proposition 2.10 in [20],  $1 \leq \theta < 2$ . It follows

from the integrality of  $\theta$  that  $\theta \equiv 1$ . By White’s regularity theorem [20], the second fundamental form  $|A|(\cdot, t)$  of  $M_t$  is bounded as  $t \rightarrow T$  and  $(y_0, T)$  is a regular point. Thus, the flow can be extended past time  $T$ .

- (b) Assume that (1.10) and (1.11) hold. We adopt the notation from (a). Then we want to show that  $(y_0, T)$  is a regular point. Assume as above, without losing any generality, that  $y_0 = 0$ . Rescale similarly and argue as in part (a) to conclude that  $\mu^\infty$  is the limit of the sequence of measures  $\mu^{\lambda(s)}$  with connected supports  $\tilde{M}_s$ . Thus the support  $X_\infty$  of  $\mu^\infty$  is also connected. Because the mean curvature of  $X_\infty$  is locally bounded, by Schatzle’s constancy theorem (see, e.g., [14, Theorem 3.1]), we can conclude that  $\theta$  is a constant on  $X_\infty$ . Thus by the convergence of Huisken’s normalized integral (2.21), we see that

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \int \rho_{y_0, T} d\mu_t = \lim_{s \nearrow \infty} \int \tilde{\rho} d\mu^{\lambda(s)} = \int \tilde{\rho} d\mu^\infty = \int \tilde{\rho} \theta d\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner X_\infty \geq \theta.$$

Here we used the fact that

$$\int \tilde{\rho} d\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner X_\infty \geq 1$$

for any self-shrinker  $X_\infty$ . By (1.10) and Proposition 2.10 in [20],

$$1 \leq \lim_{t \nearrow T} \int \rho_{y_0, T} d\mu_t < 2.$$

It follows from the integrality of  $\theta$  that  $\theta \equiv 1$ . Because the self-shrinker has multiplicity one, we must have the smooth convergence of  $\tilde{M}_s$  to  $X_\infty$ . Note that the mean curvature  $\tilde{H}_s$  of  $\tilde{M}_s$  is  $H_t(2(T - t))^{1/2}$  where  $H_t$  is the mean curvature of  $M_t$  where  $t = T - e^{-2s}$ . Thus, by (1.11), we have

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\tilde{M}_s} \tilde{H}_s \leq 0.$$

It follows that  $H_\infty \leq 0$  on  $X_\infty$ . By the classification result of Colding–Minicozzi [5, Theorem 0.17],  $X_\infty$  must be a hyperplane. Note that in Theorem 0.17 in [5], no boundedness on the second fundamental form of  $X_\infty$  is assumed. Now, we can conclude the proof as in (a). □

The method of the proof of Theorem 1.4 also proves the following result:

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $M^2$  be a compact, smooth and embedded two-dimensional manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . If the multiplicity one conjecture of Ilmanen*



[12, p. 7] holds then at the first singular time  $T$  of the mean curvature flow, there exists  $C_* > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \sqrt{T - t} \max_{M_t} H(\cdot, t) \geq C_*.$$

### 3. Singular sets

In this section, we will prove Theorems 1.5, 1.6 and Corollary 1.1. We will be still dealing with the type-I mean curvature flow, defined by (1.2), such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} (\max_{M_t} |A|^2(p, t)) = +\infty.$$

In this section our goal is to extend Stone’s theorem in [19] about the characterization of singular sets of the mean curvature flow to any type-I mean curvature flow (without requiring  $H \geq 0$  as in [19]). This will tell us that at every singular point of the type-I mean curvature flow the second fundamental form and the mean curvature have to blow up at the rate  $(T - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Note that the analogous characterization of singular sets for the type-I Ricci flow has been recently obtained in [8]. In [8] one of the main tools in proving this characterization was Perelman’s pseudolocality theorem [16, Theorem 10.3]. In [4] the pseudolocality theorem for the mean curvature has been proved which motivated us to prove Theorem 1.5, that is, the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume (1.2) for the mean curvature flow (1.1). Then  $\Sigma_H = \Sigma$ .*

In the case of mean convex mean curvature flow, we have a stronger result, that is Theorem 1.6. The proof of this theorem is simple so we give it here first.

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Due to the inclusions

$$\Sigma_H^\delta \subset \Sigma_H \subset \Sigma_A \subset \Sigma_s \subset \Sigma_g \subset \Sigma,$$

it is enough to show that  $\Sigma \subset \Sigma_H^\delta$ . Let  $p \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_H^\delta$ , meaning that there exists a sequence  $t_i \rightarrow T$  so that

$$(3.1) \quad H(F(p, t_i), t_i) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 + \delta)(T - t_i)}}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume that  $F(p, t_i) \rightarrow 0$ . Then, using the blow-up argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we get in the limit a smooth self-shrinker with  $\tilde{H}_\infty(0) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\delta}}$ . Under the mean convexity assumption and the smoothness of the limit blow-up hypersurface, we know from Huisken’s classification [11] that the self-shrinker must be  $\mathbf{S}^{n-m}(\sqrt{n-m}) \times \mathbb{R}^m$  ( $0 \leq m \leq n$ ). The mean curvature of these surfaces is  $\sqrt{n-m}$ . Thus the inequality  $\tilde{H}_\infty(0) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\delta}}$  forces  $\tilde{M}_\infty$  to be  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This implies that any limit blow-up hypersurface at 0 must be a hyperplane. Its Gaussian density is one and by White’s regularity theorem [20] the norm of the second fundamental form  $|A|(\cdot, t)$  has to be uniformly bounded in a neighborhood of  $p$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ . This means  $p \notin \Sigma$  and we obtain a contradiction. Therefore,  $\Sigma_H^\delta = \Sigma$ .  $\square$

Before we start proving Theorem 3.1, we recall the definition of local  $\delta$ -Lipschitz graph of radius  $r_0$  and state the pseudolocality theorem from [4].

**Definition 3.1.** An  $n$ -dimensional submanifold  $M \subset \tilde{M}$  is said to be a local  $\delta$ -Lipschitz graph of radius  $r_0$  at  $p \in M$ , if there is a normal coordinate system  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  of  $\tilde{M}$  around  $p$  with  $T_p M = \text{span}\{(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_m})\}$ , a vector valued function  $F : \{y' = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \mid (y_1^2 + \dots + y_m^2) < r_0^2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ , with  $F(0) = 0, \quad |DF|(0) = 0$  such that  $M \cap \{|y'| < r_0\} = \{(y', F(y')) \mid |y'| < r_0\}$  and  $|DF|^2(y') = \sum_{i,\beta} (\frac{\partial F^\beta}{\partial y_i})^2 < \delta^2$ .

**Theorem 3.2** (Chen and Yin [4, Theorem 1.4]). *For every  $\alpha > 0$  there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  with the following property. Suppose we have a smooth solution to the mean curvature flow  $M_t \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  properly embedded in  $B(x_0, r_0)$  for  $t \in [0, T]$  with  $0 < T \leq (\varepsilon r_0)^2$ . Assume that at time zero,  $M_0$  is a local  $\delta$ -Lipschitz graph of radius  $r_0$  at  $x_0 \in M_0$ . Then we have an estimate of the second fundamental form,*

$$|A|^2(x, t) \leq \frac{\alpha}{t} + \frac{1}{(\varepsilon r_0)^2},$$

on  $B(x_0, \varepsilon r_0) \cap M_t$ , for any  $t \in (0, T)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* We have that  $\Sigma_H \subset \Sigma$ . Assume  $p \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_H$ . Let  $t_i \in [T - c_i, T)$  be such that

$$(3.2) \quad |H|(p, t_i) \leq \frac{\epsilon_i}{\sqrt{T - t_i}},$$

with  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  and  $\lambda_i = (T - t_i)^{-1/2} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Consider the rescaled sequence  $F_i(\cdot, t) = \lambda_i(F(\cdot, T + \frac{t}{\lambda_i^2}) - p)$ . It has the property that  $|A|_i^2(\cdot, t) =$

$\frac{|A|^2(T+\frac{t}{\lambda_i^2})}{\lambda_i^2} \leq \frac{C}{(-t)}$ , due to condition (1.2) and also  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |H_i|(0, -1) = 0$ . Due to Huisken's monotonicity formula and the smoothness estimates [7], we can let  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and get that the limiting hypersurface  $M_\infty^t$  is a self-shrinker, i.e.,  $M_\infty^s = \sqrt{-s}M_\infty^{-1}$  for all  $s < 0$ , with

$$|H_\infty|(0, -1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_\infty(\cdot, s) = \frac{\langle F_\infty, \mu_\infty \rangle}{(-2s)}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *For all  $s < 0$ , we have*

$$H_\infty(0, s) = 0, \quad \nabla H_\infty(0, s) = 0,$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Euclidean derivative.

*Proof.* At every point on the surface  $M_\infty^s$ , there is an orthonormal frame consisting of the outward unit vector  $\nu$  and vectors  $\{e_i^\infty\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ , lying in a tangential plane to the hypersurface at the point. Recall that  $|H_\infty|(0, -1) = 0$ . If we differentiate  $H_\infty(\cdot, s) = \frac{\langle F_\infty, \mu_\infty \rangle}{(-2s)}$  at 0, in the tangential directions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (-2s)\nabla_i H_\infty &= \langle \nabla_i F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle + \langle F_\infty, \nabla_i \nu_\infty \rangle \\ &= \langle e_i^\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle + \langle F_\infty, \nabla_i \nu_\infty \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\langle \nu_\infty, e_i^\infty \rangle = 0$  and  $F_\infty(0, s) = \sqrt{-s}F(0, -1) = 0$  (recall that  $F_\infty(0, -1) = 0$ , because  $F_\infty(0, -1)$  is the position vector of the origin at time  $-1$ ). This implies

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_i H_\infty(0, s) = 0,$$

where  $\nabla_i$  are the tangential derivatives to the limiting hypersurface at the origin. We claim that  $\nabla_\nu H_\infty(0, s) = 0$ , where  $\nabla_\nu$  is the derivative in the normal direction to the hypersurface. At the origin, we have

$$2(-s)\nabla_\nu H_\infty = \langle \nabla_\nu F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle + \langle F_\infty, \nabla_\nu \nu_\infty \rangle = \langle \nabla_\nu F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle,$$

since  $F_\infty(0, s) = 0$ , for  $s < 0$ . The hypersurface  $M_\infty^s$  at the origin can be locally written as a graph  $F_\infty(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, u(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ , with

$$\nu_\infty(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}, -1 \right).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_{\nu_\infty} F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle &= \langle (\nabla_{\nu_\infty} x_1, \dots, \nabla_{\nu_\infty} x_n, \nabla_{\nu_\infty} u), \nu_\infty \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + 1}} \cdot \left\langle \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}, \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \dots \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right)^2 \right), \nu_\infty \right\rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_{\nu_\infty} H_\infty(0, s) = 0.$$

Relations (3.3) and (3.4) conclude the proof of the Lemma. □

**Claim 3.1.** *For every  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  there exists an  $r_0$  so that*

$$|\langle F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle|(x, s) \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot |F_\infty(x, s)|,$$

for every  $x \in B(0, r) \cap M_\infty^s$ , every  $r \leq r_0$  and  $-1 \leq s < 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  be a small number and let  $r_0 = r_0(\tilde{\varepsilon})$  so that  $|\nabla H_\infty(\cdot, -1)| < \tilde{\varepsilon}/2$  in  $B(0, 2r_0) \cap M_\infty^{-1}$ . Here we have used Lemma 3.1 and as in there,  $\nabla$  is the Euclidean derivative. We find

$$(3.5) \quad |H_\infty|(x, -1) \leq |H_\infty|(0, -1) + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2} \cdot \text{dist}(0, x) \leq \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2} r,$$

for every  $x \in B(0, r) \cap M_\infty^{-1}$  and  $r \leq r_0$ , where  $\text{dist}$  is the Euclidean distance. Since on a self shrinker  $H_\infty(\cdot, s) = \frac{H_\infty(\cdot, -1)}{\sqrt{-s}}$ , (3.5) yields to

$$(3.6) \quad |H_\infty|(x, s) \leq \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon} r}{2\sqrt{-s}}, \quad x \in B(0, r) \cap M_\infty^s.$$

Combining  $H_\infty(\cdot, s) = \frac{\langle F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle(\cdot, s)}{(-2s)}$  with (3.6), we find

$$|\langle F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle(\cdot, s)| \leq \sqrt{-s} \tilde{\varepsilon} r \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} r,$$

in  $B(0, r) \cap M_\infty^s$ , for every  $r \leq r_0$  and every  $-1 \leq s < 0$ , which implies the claim. □

Fix any time slice  $s \in [-1, 0)$ . For ease of notation, we suppress the superscript  $s$  in  $M_\infty^s$  when no confusion arises. By Lemma 7.1 in [4] we have that the connected component of  $B(0, r_0) \cap M_\infty$  containing the origin can be written as a graph  $\{(x, h(x')) \mid |x'| < \frac{r_0}{96}\}$  and that

$$|Dh|(x') \leq \frac{36}{r_0}|x'|, \quad x' \in B\left(0, \frac{r_0}{96}\right).$$

By Claim 3.1, we have that

$$(3.7) \quad |\langle F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle| < \tilde{\varepsilon}r, \quad \text{in } B(0, r) \cap M_\infty,$$

for every  $r \leq r_0$ . Since  $\tilde{\varepsilon} < 1$  is a very small constant, we can conclude that our self-shrinker is very close to being a hyperplane around the origin, in  $B(0, r_0) \cap M_\infty$ . Moreover estimate (3.7) forces that there is exactly one component of  $B(0, \frac{r_0}{96}) \cap M_\infty$  in  $B(0, \frac{r_0}{96})$ . To see that we can argue as follows. If there existed another component, call it  $\gamma$ , it would have to intersect  $\partial B(0, \frac{r_0}{96})$  in two points. Our condition (3.7) would imply that for every  $x \in B(0, \frac{r_0}{96}) \cap M_\infty$  we have

$$|\langle F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle(x)| < \tilde{\varepsilon}|F_\infty|(x).$$

This means at both intersection points the position vector  $F_\infty$  is almost tangential to the hypersurface. Furthermore, since  $\nu$  always stays the outward unit normal vector, this condition would also force that, at one intersecting point we have an angle between the position vector  $F_\infty$  and the normal vector  $\nu_\infty$  measured in the counterclockwise direction being  $\frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha$ ; and at the other intersection point, that angle would have to be either  $\frac{3\pi}{2} + \beta$  or  $-\frac{\pi}{2} + \beta$ , for some small positive numbers  $\alpha, \beta$ . Since the angle between  $F_\infty$  and  $\nu_\infty$  is changing continuously along  $\gamma$ , there would exist a point  $q \in \gamma$  at which the position vector  $F_\infty$  and the normal vector  $\nu_\infty$  are collinear. This would imply

$$|\langle F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle(q)| = |F_\infty|(q),$$

which contradicts (3.7).

Therefore it follows that

$$M_\infty \cap B\left(p, \frac{r_0}{96}\right) = \left\{ (x', h(x')) \mid |x'| < \frac{r_0}{96} \right\},$$

with

$$(3.8) \quad |Dh(x')| \leq \frac{36}{r_0} |x'|, \quad x' \in B\left(0, \frac{r_0}{96}\right).$$

Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be as in the Pseudolocality Theorem 3.2 for the mean curvature flow (Theorem 1.4 in [4]). Let  $\tilde{r}_0 = \min\{\frac{r_0}{96}, \frac{r_0 \cdot \delta}{144}\}$ . We still have that  $\langle F_\infty, \nu_\infty \rangle < \tilde{\varepsilon} |F_\infty|$  for  $x \in B(0, \tilde{r}_0)$  and the same arguments as in the previous paragraph yield to  $B(0, \tilde{r}_0) \cap M_\infty$  having only one component in  $B(0, \tilde{r}_0)$  that is graphical, that is,

$$B(0, \tilde{r}_0) \cap M_\infty = \{(x, h(x')) \mid |x'| < \tilde{r}_0\}.$$

Using (3.8) we find

$$|Dh(x')| \leq \frac{36}{r_0} \cdot |x'|, \quad x' \in B(p, \tilde{r}_0),$$

that is

$$|Dh(x')| \leq \frac{36}{r_0} \cdot \tilde{r}_0 < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

This means  $M_\infty$  is a local  $\delta/2$ -Lipschitz graph of radius  $\tilde{r}_0$  at the origin.

Now, taking  $s \in [-1, 0)$  into consideration and inspecting the above argument, we see that  $M_\infty^s$  is a local  $\delta/2$ -Lipschitz graph of radius  $\tilde{r}_0$  at the origin for all  $s \in [-1, 0)$ , which follows from Claim 3.1. In our application, we can just take  $s = -(\varepsilon\tilde{r}_0)^2$ . Because of the smooth convergence of  $M_j(\cdot, s)$  to  $M_\infty^s$ , by taking  $j \geq j_0$  large enough, the rescaled hypersurface  $M_j \cap B(p, \tilde{r}_0)$  is a local  $\delta$ -Lipschitz graph of radius  $\tilde{r}_0$  at  $p$ . Let  $Q := \lambda_{j_0}^2$ . Then by the Pseudolocality Theorem 3.2 applied to the mean curvature flow with rescaled initial hypersurface  $M_{j_0}$ , we can conclude that

$$(3.9) \quad |A_{j_0}|^2(x, \tau) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\tau + \varepsilon^2 \tilde{r}_0^2} + \frac{1}{(\varepsilon \tilde{r}_0)^2}, \quad \tau \in (-(\varepsilon \tilde{r}_0)^2, 0),$$

$$x \in (M_{j_0})_\tau \cap B(p, \tilde{r}_0 \varepsilon).$$

Here, with a little abuse of notation, we have denoted by  $(M^n)_\tau = F_{j_0}(\cdot, \tau)$   $(M^n)$ . From our rescaling, we see that (3.9) is equivalent to

$$|A(x, t)|^2 \leq Q \left( \frac{1}{(t - T)Q + (\varepsilon \tilde{r}_0)^2} + \frac{1}{(\varepsilon \tilde{r}_0)^2} \right), \quad \text{for all } t \in \left( T - \frac{\varepsilon^2 \tilde{r}_0^2}{Q}, T \right),$$

on the neighborhood  $B(p, \frac{\varepsilon \tilde{r}_0}{Q}) \cap M_t$ . The bound for times  $t < T - \frac{(\varepsilon \tilde{r}_0)^2}{Q}$  follows immediately from the type-I condition (1.2). This implies  $p \notin \Sigma$  and

we obtain contradiction. This concludes that  $\Sigma = \Sigma_H$  finishing the proof of the theorem. □

Having Theorem 1.5, we can follow the arguments in [8] to show the analogous statement for the mean curvature flow about the size of singular sets, stated in Corollary 1.1.

*Proof of Corollary 1.1.* The proof is the same as for the Ricci flow in [8] and for the convenience of the reader we sketch it below.

Define for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Sigma_{H,k} := \{p \in M_0 \mid |H|^2(F(p, t), t) \geq \frac{1/k}{T-t}, \quad \forall t \in [T - 1/k, T)\},$$

and  $\Sigma_{H,0} = \emptyset$ . Then by Theorem 1.5, we have

$$\Sigma_{H,k} \subset \Sigma_H = \Sigma.$$

By the definition of the sets  $\Sigma_{H,k}$ , we have for all  $t \geq T - \frac{1}{k}$  on  $\Sigma_{H,k}$

$$\int_{T-1/k}^t H^2 ds \geq \log \left( \frac{1/k}{T-t} \right)^{1/k}.$$

Recall that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mu_t = -H^2 \mu_t.$$

This implies, using the obvious fact that  $\int_{T-\frac{1}{k}}^t H^2 ds \leq \int_0^t H^2 ds$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(\Sigma_{H,k} \setminus \Sigma_{H,k-1}) &= \int_{\Sigma_{H,k} \setminus \Sigma_{H,k-1}} e^{-\int_0^t H^2 ds} d\mu_0 \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma_{H,k} \setminus \Sigma_{H,k-1}} e^{-\int_{T-1/k}^t H^2 ds} d\mu_0 \\ &\leq k^{1/k} (T-t)^{1/k} \mu_0(\Sigma_{H,k} \setminus \Sigma_{H,k-1}) \\ &\leq 2(T-t)^{1/k} \mu_0(\Sigma_{H,k} \setminus \Sigma_{H,k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the inequality  $k^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq 2$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(\Sigma) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_t(\Sigma_{H,k} \setminus \Sigma_{H,k-1}) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (T-t)^{1/k} \mu_0(\Sigma_{H,k} \setminus \Sigma_{H,k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(\Sigma_{H,k} \setminus \Sigma_{H,k-1}) = \mu_0(\Sigma_H) \leq \mu_0(M_0) < \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \mu_t(\Sigma) \leq 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (T-t)^{1/k} \mu_0(\Sigma_{H,k} \setminus \Sigma_{H,k-1}) = 0.$$

□

### 4. A gap theorem for self-shrinkers

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7.

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* Our proof follows Colding–Minicozzi [5] who obtained the following identity (see (9.42) there) for any self-shrinker  $\Sigma'$  without boundary and with polynomial volume growth, satisfying  $H = \frac{1}{2} < x, \nu >$  and certain integrability conditions:

$$\int_{\Sigma'} |\nabla H|^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} d\mu_{\Sigma'} = - \int_{\Sigma'} H^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - |A|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} d\mu_{\Sigma'}.$$

Changing the normalization to our self-shrinker  $\Sigma$ , we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} d\mu_{\Sigma} = - \int_{\Sigma} H^2 (1 - |A|^2) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} d\mu_{\Sigma}$$

and thus

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} d\mu_{\Sigma} + \int_{\Sigma} H^2 (1 - |A|^2) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} d\mu_{\Sigma} = 0.$$

Using  $|A|^2 < 1$ , we deduce that  $H \equiv 0$  and thus  $\Sigma$  must be a hyperplane.

For reader’s convenience, we will briefly indicate how all integrability conditions are satisfied and how to obtain (4.1). We will omit  $d\mu_{\Sigma}$  in integrals. Let us define the linear operator

$$\mathcal{L}v = \Delta_{\Sigma} v - \langle x, \nabla_{\Sigma} v \rangle \equiv e^{\frac{|x|^2}{2}} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} (e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} \nabla_{\Sigma} v).$$

Then, on  $\Sigma$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{L}H + |A|^2 H = H.$$

(see [11, Theorem 5.1] and also [5, Lemma 5.5].)



Furthermore, the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is self-adjoint in a weighted  $L^2$  space with weight  $e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$ . This means that if  $u, v$  are  $C^2$  functions with

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (|u\nabla v| + |\nabla u| |\nabla v| + |u\mathcal{L}v|) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} < \infty$$

then we obtain (see [5, Corollary 3.10])

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\Sigma} u(\mathcal{L}v) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} = - \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}.$$

Now, if we differentiate the equation  $H = \langle x, \nu \rangle$  in an orthonormal frame  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  on  $\Sigma$  as in [10, Theorem 4.1] and obtain  $\nabla_i H = \langle x, e_i \rangle h_{ii}$ . By our assumption  $|A|^2 < 1$ , we obtain

$$|H|^2 < n \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla H|^2 \leq |A|^2 |x|^2 \leq |x|^2.$$

Combining the above inequalities with (4.2) and the fact that  $\Sigma$  has polynomial volume growth, we find that  $|H|^2, |\nabla H|^2$  and  $H\mathcal{L}H$  are in the weighted  $L^1$  space with weight  $e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$ , i.e.,

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|H\nabla H| + |\nabla H|^2 + |H\mathcal{L}H|) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} < \infty.$$

Therefore, we can apply (4.4) to  $u = v = H$  to obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} = - \int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{L}H) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} = - \int_{\Sigma} H^2(1 - |A|^2) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}.$$

This gives the desired identity (4.1). □

By Lemma 2.9 in [5], if  $(M_t)$  is the closed mean curvature flow with the initial hypersurface  $M_0$  and if  $\tau > 0$  is given, there exists a constant  $V = V(M_0, \tau)$  so that

$$\text{vol}(B_r(x_0) \cap M_t) \leq Vr^n,$$

for all  $t \geq \tau$  and all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . As a consequence of this volume bound, any self-shrinker that arises as a blow-up limit of a closed mean curvature flow has a polynomial volume growth. An immediate corollary of this consideration and Theorem 1.7 is the following result:

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $(M_t)$  is a self-shrinker that is a blow-up limit of the closed mean curvature flow, such that there is a  $t_0$ , with  $\sup_{M_{t_0}} |A|(\cdot, t_0) < 1$ , then  $M_t$  must be a hyperplane.*

### Acknowledgment

N.S. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0905749.

### References

- [1] U. Abresch and J. Langer, *The normalized curve shortening flow and homothetic solutions*, J. Differential Geom. **23** (1986), 175–196.
- [2] K.A. Brakke, *The motion of a surface by its mean curvature*, in ‘Mathematical Notes’, **20**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1978.
- [3] B.-L. Chen, *Strong uniqueness of the Ricci flow*, J. Differential Geom. **82**(2) (2009), 363–382.
- [4] B.-L. Chen and L. Yin, *Uniqueness and pseudolocality theorems of the mean curvature flow*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **15** (2007), 435–490.
- [5] T.H. Colding and W.P. Minicozzi II, *Generic mean curvature flow I; generic singularities*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.3788>, to appear in Ann. Math.
- [6] A.A. Cooper, *A characterization of the singular time of the mean curvature flow*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), 2933–2942.
- [7] K. Ecker and G. Huisken, *Interior estimates for hypersurfaces moving by mean curvature*, Invent. Math. **105**(3) (1991), 547–569.
- [8] J. Enders, R. Müller and P.M. Topping, *On type I singularities in Ricci flow*, [arXiv:1005.1624v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.1624v1) [math.DG].
- [9] G. Huisken, *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **20**(1) (1984), 237–266.
- [10] G. Huisken, *Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow*, J. Differential Geom. **31**(1) (1990), 285–299.
- [11] G. Huisken, *Local and global behaviour of hypersurfaces moving by mean curvature*, in ‘Differential Geometry: Partial Differential Equations on Manifolds (Los Angeles, CA, 1990)’, Proceedings of the Symposium on Pure Mathematics, **54**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, 175–191.
- [12] T. Ilmanen, *Singularities of mean curvature flow of surfaces*, preprint, 1995, <http://www.math.ethz.ch/~papers/pub.html>.

- [13] T. Ilmanen, *Lectures on mean curvature flow and related equations*, preprint, 1998, <http://www.math.ethz.ch/~papers/pub.html>.
- [14] N.Q. Le and N. Sesum, *The mean curvature at the first singular time of the mean curvature flow*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire. **27** (2010), 1441–1459.
- [15] O. Munteanu and M.-T. Wang, *The curvature of gradient Ricci solitons*, arXiv:1006.3547v1 [math.DG], to appear in Math. Res. Lett.
- [16] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, preprint, arXiv:math.DG/0211159v1.
- [17] S. Pigola, M. Rimoldi and A. Setti, *Remarks on non-compact gradient Ricci solitons*, Math. Z. **268**(3–4) (2011), 777–790, doi: 10.1007/s00209-010-0695-4.
- [18] L. Simon, *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, in ‘Proc. of the Centre for Math. Analysis’, **3**, Austr. Nat. Univ., 1983.
- [19] A. Stone, *A density function and the structure of singularities of the mean curvature flow*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **2**(4) (1994), 443–480.
- [20] B. White, *A local regularity theorem for mean curvature flow*, Ann. Math. (2) **161**(3) (2005), 1487–1519.
- [21] T. Yokota, *Perelman’s reduced volume and a gap theorem for the Ricci flow*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **17**(2) (2009), 227–263.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY  
NEW YORK, USA  
*E-mail address:* namle@math.columbia.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
RUTGERS UNIVERSITY  
NEW JERSEY, USA  
*E-mail address:* natasas@math.rutgers.edu

RECEIVED DECEMBER 17, 2010

