

# A counterexample to unique continuation in dimension two

NICULAE MANDACHE

We construct a non-zero solution  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  of the equation  $\bar{\partial}u = Vu$  for a certain  $V$  which belongs to  $L^p$  for any  $p < 2$ . The same is done in arbitrary dimension  $d \geq 2$  for the Laplace equation with a first order term  $\Delta v + W \cdot \nabla v = 0$  and for the Dirac equation  $\mathcal{D}w + Ww = 0$ , with  $W \in L^p$  for any  $p < 2$ . The construction is based on a Weierstrass product in the unit ball. Although its poles accumulate at the boundary, it is flat at the boundary if we remove small disjoint discs around the poles.

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a connected open set. We say that an equation  $P(x, \partial/\partial x)u = 0$  has the unique continuation property in  $\Omega$  if any solution  $u$  which vanishes in a non-empty open subset vanishes identically. We are interested in the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{\partial}u = Vu \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $\bar{\partial}$  is the Cauchy-Riemann operator,  $\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial/\partial x_1 + i\partial/\partial x_2)$  and  $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{C})$ . We will also consider here the Laplace equation with a first order term:

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta v + W \cdot \nabla v = 0,$$

and the Dirac equation:

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{D}w + Ww = 0,$$

with the (zero mass) Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \partial/\partial x_i$ . The  $\alpha_i$  are matrices of order  $m$  satisfying  $\alpha_i^* = -\alpha_i$  and the Clifford commutation relations:  $\alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i = -2\delta_{jk}$ .  $m$  can be chosen  $2^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$ .

There is extensive literature on unique continuation and strong unique continuation properties (in the later, one supposes the solution to vanish

to infinite order at a point instead of vanishing on an open set), see [6]. Although the problem of strong unique continuation has been almost settled, it is a long standing problem whether equations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) have the unique continuation property when the coefficients are  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . We give here a negative answer, constructing solution with compact support for (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) with coefficients in  $L^p$  for any  $p < 2$ . This is optimal in dimension 2. The best positive result belongs to Wolff [5]: in dimension  $d$ , if  $W \in L^d$  then (1.2) and (1.3) have the unique continuation property.

While this paper was awaiting publication, a new way of constructing counterexamples to unique continuation was found by Kenig and Nadi-rashvili [2]. Their result was improved and extended from dimension two to arbitrary dimension by Koch and Tataru [3].

We fix the function  $F(t) = t^2 \ln^{-3}(t+2)$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . It has the property that  $F(at) \leq a^2 F(t)$  for  $a > 1$  and  $F(t)/\tilde{F}(t)$  is bounded,  $\tilde{F}$  being the greatest convex function with  $\tilde{F}(t) \leq F(t)$  for any  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore the set

$$L^F(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ f : f \text{ measurable on } \mathbb{R}^d, \int F(|f|) dx < \infty \right\}$$

coincides with the Orlicz space associated with  $\tilde{F}$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$  (see e.g., [1]). It is a Banach space such that  $L^p \subset L^F_{\text{loc}}$  for  $p < 2$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *There are  $u, V$  which satisfy (1.1) such that  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\text{supp } u = B(0, 1)$  and  $V \in L^F(\mathbb{C})$ . There are a real-valued [both  $v$  and  $W$  are real valued]  $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  and  $W \in L^F(\mathbb{C})$  satisfying (1.2), such that  $\text{supp } v = B(0, 1)$ .*

**Corollary 1.2.** *For any  $d \geq 2$ , there are non-zero smooth solutions  $v$  real-valued and  $w$ , for the equations (1.3) and (1.2) respectively, with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and such that the coefficients  $W$  belong to  $L^F(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

**Notations.**  $C, C', C''$  will stand for absolute positive constants, not necessarily the same in different formulae. The constants which will be carried from a formula to another will be numbered  $C_1, C_2, \dots$ . We write  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  in  $M$  if there are absolute constants  $0 < c < C$  such that  $cf(x) \leq g(x) \leq Cf(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ .

We use the variable  $z = x_1 + ix_2$ , identifying  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^2$  is a multi-index,  $\partial^\beta = (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\beta_1} (\partial/\partial x_2)^{\beta_2}$  and  $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ .  $B(a, r)$  is the ball of center  $a$  and radius  $r$  and  $\overset{\circ}{B}(a, r)$  is its interior. If  $x$  is a real number, we denote by  $[x]$  the greatest integer in  $(-\infty, x]$ .

## 2. Proofs.

We start by constructing a meromorphic function in the unit disk  $B(0, 1)$  as a Weierstrass product. It vanishes to infinite order at the boundary, in a sense which is made precise by Lemma 2.1 below:

$$(2.1) \quad f(z) = \prod_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{z}{1-1/j}\right)^{j^2}}.$$

Let us denote the poles of  $f$  by

$$z_{k,l} = \frac{k-1}{k} \exp(2\pi il/k^2), \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \quad 0 \leq l < k^2.$$

Then each of the sets

$$M_{k,l} = \left\{ z : \begin{array}{l} |z| \in \left[ \frac{2k-3}{2k-1}, \frac{2k-1}{2k+1} \right), \\ \arg z \in [(2l-1)\pi/k^2, (2l+1)\pi/k^2) \end{array} \right\}, \quad k \geq 2, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k^2,$$

contains the corresponding pole of  $f$ . Moreover, these sets form a partition of the annulus  $\{z : |z| \in [1/3, 1)\}$ . We will also need slightly bigger sets:

$$\tilde{M}_{k,l} = \left\{ z : \begin{array}{l} |z| \in \left[ \frac{4k-7}{4k-3}, \frac{4k-1}{4k+3} \right], \\ \arg z \in [(4l-3)\pi/2k^2, (4l+3)\pi/2k^2] \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have then

$$(2.2) \quad M_{k,l} + B(0, k^{-2}/8) \subset \tilde{M}_{k,l}.$$

$$(2.3) \quad B(z_{k,l}, k^{-2}/8) \subset M_{k,l}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The infinite product (2.1) converges to a meromorphic function in the unit open disk, and it converges to 0 elsewhere. Let*

$$g_{k,l}(z) = f(z)(z - z_{k,l})$$

*be the analytic function in  $M_{k,l}$  obtained by multiplicatively removing the pole in this region. Then the following estimate holds:*

$$(2.4) \quad |g_{k,l}(z)| \sim k^{-2} \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} (1 - 1/j)^{j^2} |z|^{-k^3/3+k^2/2} \leq \exp(-k^2/6 + 2k), \quad z \in \tilde{M}_{k,l}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* We divide the product (2.1) in three parts: the factors with index  $j < k$ , the factors with  $j > k$  and the factor with  $j = k$ .

We estimate first the modulus of  $\left(\frac{z}{1-1/j}\right)^{j^2}$  for  $z \in \tilde{M}_{k,l}$ , for  $2 \leq j \leq k-1$ . Since  $\frac{4k-7}{4k-3} \leq |z|$  we have  $\frac{4j-3}{4j+1} \leq |z|$ , so

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \left( \frac{z}{1-1/j} \right)^{j^2} \right| \geq \left( \frac{1-1/(j+1/4)}{1-1/j} \right)^{j^2} \rightarrow e^{1/4} \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \text{ hence:}$$

$$\left| \left( \frac{z}{1-1/j} \right)^{j^2} \right| \geq C_1 > 1.$$

In the same way we obtain for  $|z| \leq \frac{4k-1}{4k+3}$ :

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \left( \frac{z}{1-1/j} \right)^{j^2} \right| \leq C_2 < 1 \text{ for } j \geq k+1.$$

Since  $|\ln(|1/(1-a)| \cdot |a|)| = |\ln(|1-1/a|)| \leq C_3|1/a|$  for  $|a| \geq C_1 > 1$ , putting  $a = (z/(1-1/j))^{j^2}$ , we obtain from (2.5), using again  $|z| \geq (4k-7)/(4k-3)$  as  $z \in \tilde{M}_{k,l}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ln \left| \frac{\prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{z}{1-1/j}\right)^{j^2}}}{\prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1-1/j}{z}\right)^{j^2}} \right| \right| &\leq C_3 \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \left| \frac{1-1/j}{(4k-7)/(4k-3)} \right|^{j^2} \\ &\leq C_3 \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (1-1/j)^{j^2} (1+1/(k-7/4))^{j^2} \\ &\leq C_3 \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \exp -\frac{j(k-7/4-j)}{k-7/4} \\ &\leq C_3 e^3 + C_3 \sum_{j=2}^{k-2} \exp(-\min(j, k-7/4-j)/2) \\ &\leq C_3 e^3 + 2C_3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \exp(-j/2 + 3/8) = C_4. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|z|^k \sim 1$  for  $\frac{4k-7}{4k-3} \leq |z| \leq 1$ , the above gives in this region:

$$(2.7) \quad \left| \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{z}{1-1/j}\right)^{j^2}} \right| \sim \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \left| \frac{1-1/j}{z} \right|^{j^2} \sim |z|^{-k^3/3+k^2/2} \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} (1-1/j)^{j^2}.$$

We have the following upper bound for the right hand side above:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad & |z|^{-k^3/3+k^2/2} \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} (1-1/j)^{j^2} \\
& \leq \left( \frac{4k-7}{4k-3} \right)^{-k^3/3+k^2/2} \exp \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} j^2 (-1/j) \\
& \leq \exp \left( (-k^3/3+k^2/2) \frac{-1}{k-7/4} - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} j \right) \\
& \leq \exp(k^2/3+k-k(k-1)/2+1) \\
& \leq \exp(-k^2/6+2k), \quad \text{for } |z| \geq \frac{4k-7}{4k-3}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the inequality in (2.4). Since for (2.5) to hold we only need  $|z| \geq \frac{4k-7}{4k-3}$ , we infer from (2.7) and (2.8) that the product (2.1) converges to 0 if  $|z| \geq 1$ .

Now, for the factors with index greater than  $k$  in the definition of  $f$ , we use that  $|\ln(1-a)| \leq C_5|a|$  for  $|a| \leq C_2 < 1$ . Via the relation (2.6) this can be applied for  $a = (\frac{z}{1-1/j})^{j^2}$  and we obtain for  $|z| \leq \frac{4k-1}{4k+3}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left| \ln \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{z}{1-1/j}\right)^{j^2}} \right| & \leq C_5 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1 - \frac{1}{k+3/4}}{1-1/j} \right|^{j^2} \\
& \leq C_5 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k+1}\right)^{j^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{j-1}\right)^{j^2} \\
& \leq C_5 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{j^2}{j-1} - \frac{j^2}{k+1}\right) \\
& \leq C_5 e^{3/2} + C_5 \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \exp(k+2-j) = C_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the product  $\prod_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{z}{1-1/j}\right)^{j^2}}$  is uniformly absolutely convergent for  $|z| \leq \frac{4k-1}{4k+3}$ , and

$$(2.9) \quad e^{-C_6} \leq \left| \prod_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{z}{1-1/j}\right)^{j^2}} \right| \leq e^{C_6}.$$

This implies that the product (2.1) is convergent everywhere in  $\overset{\circ}{B}(0, 1)$ . Indeed, for  $|z| \leq \frac{4k-1}{4k+3}$  the product up to the factor  $k$  is a meromorphic function and the rest of the product is absolutely convergent.

For the middle factor with  $j = k$  in (2.1), notice first that the logarithm is a quasi-isometry in the region  $0 < c \leq |z| \leq C$  and  $-3\pi/4 \leq \arg z \leq 3\pi/4$ . More generally,  $|z - z'| \sim |\ln \frac{z}{z'}|$  for  $|z|, |z'| \in [c, C]$  and  $\arg \frac{z}{z'} \in [-3\pi/4, 3\pi/4]$ . Since  $z_{k,l}^{k^2} = (1 - 1/k)^{k^2}$  we have:

$$(2.10) \quad \left| \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{z}{1-1/k}\right)^{k^2}} \right| \sim \frac{1}{k^2 \left| \ln \frac{z}{z_{k,l}} \right|} \sim \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{1}{|z - z_{k,l}|} \quad \text{for } z \in \tilde{M}_{k,l}.$$

It remains to multiply the relations (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10) to obtain the first part of (2.4).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We choose a function  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  such that:

$$\phi(z) = \phi(|z|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |z| \geq 1, \\ |z|^2 & \text{for } |z| \leq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

We require  $\phi$  to be increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\phi(r)/r$  to have only one critical point in  $(0, \infty)$  and that to be non-degenerate. For a choice of the quantities  $\varepsilon_k$  to be performed later, under the constraint  $0 < \varepsilon_k \leq k^{-2}/8$ , we define our function solution of equation (1.1):

$$(2.11) \quad u(z) = f(z) \prod_{k=2}^{\infty} \prod_{l=0}^{k^2-1} \phi\left(\frac{z - z_{k,l}}{\varepsilon_k}\right).$$

Notice first that the product is well defined, since by (2.3) the sets of points where each of the factors is different from 1 are disjoint. Since the poles  $z_{k,l}$  of  $f$  are simple and  $\phi(z) = z\bar{z}$  in a neighborhood of 0,  $u$  is smooth in  $\overset{\circ}{B}(0, 1)$ . For  $z \in M_{k,l}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}u(z) &= \bar{\partial} \left( f(z) \phi\left(\frac{z - z_{k,l}}{\varepsilon_k}\right) \right) = f(z) \bar{\partial} \phi\left(\frac{z - z_{k,l}}{\varepsilon_k}\right) \\ &= f(z) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k} (\bar{\partial} \phi) \left( \frac{z - z_{k,l}}{\varepsilon_k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain that the equation (1.1) is satisfied with a potential

$$V = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{k^2-1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k} \left( \frac{\bar{\partial} \phi}{\phi} \right) \left( \frac{z - z_{k,l}}{\varepsilon_k} \right).$$

The function  $\bar{\partial}\phi/\phi$  has modulus  $|z|^{-1}$  for  $|z| \leq 1/2$  and vanishes for  $|z| > 1$ . Since  $\phi$  does not vanish outside 0, we have that  $|z|\bar{\partial}\phi(z)/\phi(z)$  is bounded in  $B(0, 1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int F(|V|)dx_1dx_2 &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{k^2-1} \int_{B(0, \varepsilon_k)} |z|^{-2} \ln^{-3}(|z|^{-1} + 2) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 2\pi \int_0^{\varepsilon_k} -x^{-1} \ln^{-3} x dx \\ &\leq C' \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 \ln^{-2} \varepsilon_k. \end{aligned}$$

We choose

$$(2.12) \quad \varepsilon_k = \min(k^{-2}/8, e^{-k^{1.7}}),$$

and obtain that the series above is convergent, so  $V \in L^F$ . It remains to check that the solution (2.11) is smooth.

Take  $\tilde{g}_{k,l}(z) = z^{k(k-1)(2k-1)/6} g_{k,l}(z)$ . The relation (2.4) from Lemma 2.1 implies that  $|\tilde{g}_{k,l}(z)| \sim |\tilde{g}_{k,l}(z_{k,l})|$  for  $z \in \tilde{M}_{k,l}$ . From (2.2), each point  $z \in M_{k,l}$  is the center of a ball of radius  $k^{-2}/8$  contained in  $\tilde{M}_{k,l}$ . Since  $\tilde{g}_{k,l}$  is holomorphic in  $\tilde{M}_{k,l}$ , we can apply the Cauchy representation formula using as contour the boundary of this ball, to obtain  $|\partial^\alpha \tilde{g}_{k,l}(z)| \leq C_\alpha (k^{2|\alpha|}) |\tilde{g}_{k,l}(z_{k,l})|$  for  $z \in M_{k,l}$ . Then differentiating  $g_{k,l}(z) = \tilde{g}_{k,l}(z) z^{-k(k-1)(2k-1)/6}$  we obtain for a suitable choice of the constants  $C'_\alpha$ :

$$(2.13) \quad |\partial^\alpha g_{k,l}(z)| \leq C'_\alpha k^{3|\alpha|} |g_{k,l}(z)| \quad \text{for } z \in M_{k,l}.$$

Let us define

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{\phi}(z) = \frac{\phi(z)}{z}.$$

Since  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a symbol of order  $-1$ , all its derivatives are bounded. Then, with the notation of Lemma 2.1,  $u(z) = \frac{g_{k,l}(z)}{\varepsilon_k} \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{z-z_{k,l}}{\varepsilon_k}\right)$  for  $z \in \tilde{M}_{k,l}$ . Using (2.13), the definition of  $\varepsilon_k$  and then (2.4) we obtain for  $k$  big enough:

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha u(z)| &\leq C''_\alpha (\max(\varepsilon_k^{-1}, k^3))^{|\alpha|} \varepsilon_k^{-1} |g_{k,l}(z)| \\ &\leq C''_\alpha \exp((1+|\alpha|)k^{1.7}) C \exp(-k^2/6 + 2k), \quad z \in M_{k,l}, \end{aligned}$$

so all the derivatives of  $u$  tend to zero as  $|z| \rightarrow 1$ , hence  $u$  is smooth in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For the second part of Theorem 1.1, we take  $v = \operatorname{Re} u$ , where  $u$  is given by (2.11), but with one more condition on  $\varepsilon_k$ , which will change the choice (2.12) for finitely many values of  $k$ . In order to obtain a lower bound for  $|\nabla v|$  we will need the following

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $h_0(z) = \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\phi}(z)$ , where  $\tilde{\phi}$  is given by (2.14). Then there are positive constants  $c, C$  such that any real valued function  $h \in C^2(\mathring{B}(0, 1))$ , with  $\|h - h_0\|_{C^2} \leq c$ , has exactly two critical points  $z_1, z_2$  and we have*

$$|\nabla h(z)| \geq C \min(|z - z_1|, |z - z_2|).$$

*Proof.* We have  $h_0(z) = \frac{\phi(|z|)}{|z|} \cos(\arg z)$ , so  $\nabla h_0$  has two zeroes  $(r_\phi, 0)$  and  $(-r_\phi, 0)$ , where  $r_\phi$  is, by the choice of  $\phi$ , the unique zero of  $(r^{-1}\phi(r))'$  in  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\frac{d^2}{dr^2}(r^{-1}\phi(r))\big|_{r=r_\phi} \neq 0$ . Since  $0, \pi$  are non-degenerate critical points of the function  $\theta \rightarrow \cos \theta$ , we can choose open sets  $V_1 \ni (r_\phi, 0)$  and  $V_2 \ni (-r_\phi, 0)$  such that  $\nabla h_0|_{V_i}$  is a diffeomorphism and the tangent mapping of its inverse is bounded. We still get these properties after replacing  $h_0$  by  $h$  if  $\|h - h_0\|_{C^2} \leq c_2$  and  $c_2$  is small enough. Taking  $c_2$  even smaller, we can ensure that  $h$  has exactly one critical point in each of  $V_1, V_2$ ; let these be  $z_1, z_2$ . Then  $|\nabla h|^{-1}|z - z_i|$  is uniformly bounded in  $V_i$  with respect to different choices of  $h$ .

On the other hand, outside  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$  the gradient  $|\nabla h_0|$  is bounded away from zero and so is  $|\nabla h|$  if  $\|h - h_0\|_{C^1} \leq c_1$  with  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2} \inf\{|\nabla h(z)| : z \in B(0, 1) \setminus V\}$ . We choose then  $c = \min(c_2, c_1)$ .  $\square$

Notice that Lemma 2.2 remains valid if we replace  $\tilde{\phi}$  by  $a\tilde{\phi}$ , with  $|a| = 1$ , since this is equivalent to composing  $h_0$  with a rotation. This allows us to apply it to  $h(z) = |g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})|^{-1} \varepsilon_k v(z_{k,l} + \varepsilon_k z)$  which is a perturbation of  $h_0 = \operatorname{Re} \frac{g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})}{|g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})|} \tilde{\phi}$ . From (2.13) we infer

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{|\partial^\alpha g_{k,l}(z_{k,l} + \varepsilon_k z)|}{|g_{k,l}(z_{k,l} + \varepsilon_k z)|} \leq C'_\alpha (\varepsilon_k k^3)^{|\alpha|},$$

so making  $\varepsilon_k k^3$  arbitrarily small we can make

$$\left\| \frac{g_{k,l}(z_{k,l} + \varepsilon_k z)}{|g_{k,l}(z_{k,l} + \varepsilon_k z)|} - \frac{g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})}{|g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})|} \right\|_{C^2(B(0,1))}$$



arbitrarily small. Indeed, if  $\varepsilon_k k^3 \leq C$  then (2.16) implies that  $|g_{k,l}(z)| \sim |g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})|$  for  $z \in B(z_{k,l}, \varepsilon_k)$ , so we can replace the denominator in (2.16) with  $|g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})|$ . Applying Lemma 2.2 we obtain that there is a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that for every  $k$  and  $l$ , if  $\varepsilon_k \leq c_1 k^{-3}$  then there are two points  $z_{k,l}^{(1)}, z_{k,l}^{(2)} \in B(z_{k,l}, \varepsilon_k)$  and  $C > 0$  such that for  $z \in B(z_{k,l}, \varepsilon_k)$  we have:

$$(2.17) \quad |g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})|^{-1} \varepsilon_k |\nabla v(z)| \geq C \varepsilon_k^{-1} \min \left( \left| \frac{z - z_{k,l}^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_k} \right|, \left| \frac{z - z_{k,l}^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_k} \right| \right).$$

We take  $\varepsilon_k = \min(k^{-2}/8, c_1 k^{-3}, e^{-k^{1.7}})$  and  $W(z) = -(\Delta v) \frac{\nabla v}{|\nabla v|^2}$ . We use again that  $|g_{k,l}(z)| \sim |g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})|$  in  $B(z_{k,l}, \varepsilon_k)$ , to obtain in this ball from the first line of (2.15) and from (2.17):

$$|W(z)| = \frac{|\Delta v(z)|}{|\nabla v(z)|} \leq \frac{(C''_{(2,0)} + C''_{(0,2)}) \varepsilon_k^{-3} |g_{k,l}(z)|}{C \varepsilon_k^{-3} |g_{k,l}(z_{k,l})| \min_{i=1,2} |z - z_{k,l}^{(i)}|} \leq C' \left( \frac{1}{|z - z_{k,l}^{(1)}|} + \frac{1}{|z - z_{k,l}^{(2)}|} \right)$$

Since  $v$  is harmonic in  $M_{k,l} \setminus B(z_{k,l}, \varepsilon_k)$  we obtain that  $W \in L^F$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int F(|W|) dx_1 dx_2 &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l < k^2 \\ j=1,2}} \int_{B(z_{k,l}^{(j)}, 2\varepsilon_k)} F(|z - z_{k,l}^{(j)}|^{-1}) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2k^2 2\pi \int_0^{2\varepsilon_k} -x^{-1} \ln^{-3} x dx \\ &\leq C' \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 \ln^{-2} \varepsilon_k < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* The method is a standard one (see e.g., Theorem 2 in [4]): we wrap the solution around a compact submanifold to make it have compact support. Here the support will be a tube around a sphere of dimension  $d - 2$ . We decompose  $\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ , and use the notation  $x = (x_1, x')$  with  $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ . Let  $(W_1(x_1, x_2), W_2(x_1, x_2))$  and  $v$  be the coefficient of the gradient and the solution constructed in Theorem 1.1. Then in dimension  $d \geq 3$  we take

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}(x) &= v(x_1, |x'| - 2) \\ \tilde{W}(x) &= \left( W_1(x_1, |x'| - 2), \left( W_2(x_1, |x'| - 2) - \frac{d-2}{|x'|} \right) \frac{x'}{|x'|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and using polar coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  it is easy to check that (1.2) is satisfied.

For the equation (1.3), we take  $w(x) = \mathcal{D}\tilde{v}\mathbf{v}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i \tilde{v}(x) \alpha_i \mathbf{v}_0$ , where  $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$  is a fixed non-zero vector, and  $W_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\tilde{W} \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}}{|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2} \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i \tilde{v} \alpha_i$ , with the above  $\tilde{v}, \tilde{W}$  (in dimension 2 we use  $v, W$  provided by Theorem 1.1).  $\square$

**Remark.** It is possible to obtain the above results by the standard procedure going back to Pliś (e.g., [4]). It consists of constructing a basic 'brick' of the solution and then gluing infinitely many of them. However, the closure under multiplication of the set of solutions of the  $\bar{\partial}$  equation allowed to avoid this.

### References.

- [1] L.V. Kantorovich and G.P. Akilov, *Functional analysis*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982.
- [2] C. Kenig and N. Nadirashvili, *A counterexample in unique continuation*, preprint.
- [3] H. Koch and D. Tataru, *Sharp counterexamples in unique continuation for second order elliptic equations*, submitted, preprint at <http://www.math.berkeley.edu/tataru/sucp.html>.
- [4] A. Pliś, *A smooth linear elliptic differential equation without any solution in a sphere*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., **14** (1961), 599–617.
- [5] T. Wolff, *A property of measures in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and an application to unique continuation*, Geometric and Functional Analysis, **2** (1992), 225–284.
- [6] T. Wolff, *Recent work on sharp estimates in second-order elliptic unique continuation problems*, Journal of Geometric Analysis, **3** (1993), 621–650.

UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY WALK, BRISTOL BS2 1TW, UK  
*E-mail address:* Niculae.Mandache@bristol.ac.uk

On leave from:  
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ROMANIAN ACADEMY  
 P.O. BOX 1-764, 70700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* mandache@stoilow.imar.ro

RECEIVED JULY 23, 1999.