Frobenius Manifold Structure on Dolbeault Cohomology and Mirror Symmetry

HUAI-DONG CAO AND JIAN ZHOU

We construct a differential Gerstenhaber-Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra from the Dolbeault complex of any closed Kähler manifold, and a formal Frobenius manifold structure on its Dolbeault cohomology.

String theory leads to the mysterious Mirror Conjecture, see Yau [37] for the history. One of the mathematical predictions made by physicists based on this conjecture is the formula due to Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parkes [6] on the number of rational curves of any degree on a quintic in \mathbb{CP}^4 . Recently, it has been proved by Lian-Liu-Yau [20], completing the program of Kontsevich, Manin and Givental.

The theory of quantum cohomology, also suggested by physicists, has lead to a better mathematical formulation of the Mirror Conjecture. As explained in Witten [38], there are two topological conformal field theories on a Calabi-Yau manifold X: the A theory is independent of the complex structure of X, but depends on the Käher form on X, while the B theory is independent of the Kähler form of X, but depends on the complex structure of X. Vafa [33] explained how two quantum rings \mathcal{R}_x and \mathcal{R}_x' arise from these theories, and the notion of mirror symmetry could be translated into the equivalence of A theory on a Calabi-Yau manifold X with B theory on another Calabi-Yau manifold \hat{X} , called the mirror of X, in the sense that the quantum ring \mathcal{R}_x can be identified with \mathcal{R}_x' .

Earlier interpretation of mirror symmetry exploits variations of Hodge structures, see e.g. Morrison [25] or Bertin-Peters [4]. There are two natural Frobenius algebras on any Calabi-Yau *n*-fold,

$$A(X) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} H^{k}(X, \Omega^{k}),$$
 $B(X) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} H^{k}(X, \Omega^{-k}),$

where Ω^{-k} is the sheaf of holomorphic sections to $\Lambda^k TX$. By Hodge theory, B(X) can be identified with $H^n(X,\mathbb{C})$. By the Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov

¹Both authors were supported in part by NSF

theorem, there is a deformation of the complex structures on X parameterized by an open set in $H^1(X,\Omega^{-1})$. Therefore, one gets a family of Frobenius algebra structures on $H^n(X,\mathbb{C})$. Every Frobenius algebra structure can be characterized by a cubic polynomial Φ (in Physics literature, it is called the Yukawa coupling), so we get a family $\Phi_B(X)$ of cubic polynomials on $H^n(X,\mathbb{C})$ parameterized by an open set in $H^1(X,\Omega^{-1})$. An additional structure provided by algebraic geometry is the Gauss-Manin connection on this family. It is a flat connection with some extra properties. On the other hand, counting of rational curves provides a family $\Psi_A(X)$ of cubic polynomials on A(X) parameterized by an open set in $H^1(X,\Omega^1)$. One version of Mirror Conjecture is the conjectural existence, for a Calabi-Yau 3-fold X, of another Calabi-Yau 3-fold \hat{X} , such that one can identify B(X) with $A(\hat{X})$, $\Phi_B(X) = \Psi_A(\hat{X})$, and vice versa.

However, the A theory and the B theory should provide deformations of

$$\widetilde{A}(X) = \bigoplus_{p,q \geq 0} H^p(X, \Omega^q), \text{ and } \widetilde{B}(X) = \bigoplus_{p,q \geq 0} H^p(X, \Omega^{-q}),$$

which are parameterized by open neighborhood of 0 in $\widetilde{A}(X)$ and $\widetilde{B}(X)$ respectively. According to Dijkgraaf-E. Verlinde-H. Verlinde [10] and Witten [35], the associativity condition can be encoded in a system of nonlinear equations called WDVV (Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde) equations. Motivated by this, Dubrovin [11, 12] introduced and extensively studied the notion of Frobenius manifolds. On the A side, under the influence of Witten [35], Ruan-Tian [28] gave a mathematical formulation of quantum cohomology using Gromov-Witten invariants (see also Liu [21] and McDuff-Salamon [22]). They also proved WDVV equations for the quantum cohomology. Witten [38] suggested two kinds of extended moduli spaces, one containing the deformation space of the complex structure, the other containing the complexified Kähler cone. The former provides a natural setting for the B side of the story. Extended moduli space of complex structures on a Calabi-Yau manifold was studied by physicists in the Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity (Bershadsky-Ceccoti-Ooguri-Vafa [3]). As a generalization of the Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov theorem, they showed that the extended moduli space locally is an open subset of the supermanifold $H^{-*,*}(M)$. For related work, see Ran [27] and Gerstenhaber-Schack [13]. Recently, Barannikov-Kontsevich [2] constructed a structure of formal Frobenius manifold on $H^{-*,*}(M)$ based on the above works. This construction and its application to mirror symmetry was known to the authors of [3]. It was singled out among many impressive results in [3], and given a mathematical treatment. The remark in [2] that this construction can be carried out

for any differential Gerstenhaber-Batalin-Vilkovisky (dGBV) algebra with suitable conditions was treated with more details in Manin [24].

The purpose of this paper is to construct a formal Frobenius manifold structure on Dolbeault cohomology $H^{*,*}(M)$ of a closed Kähler manifold by dGBV algebra approach. Comparison with that of Barannikov-Kontsevich [2] suggests that these two kinds of formal Frobenius manifolds might be isomorphic with each other for a pair of mirror manifolds. Now we have two formal Frobenius manifolds on the A-side: one from counting rational curves by Gromov-Witten invariants, the other from our construction. From consideration of spectrum of the Frobenius manifolds, it is known if the formal Frobenius manifolds constructed from a dGBV algebra can be identified with the formal Frobenius manifold structure on the de Rham cohomology of a symplectic manifold M, then M must have torsion c_1 . Nevertheless, it is reasonable to conjecture that for a Calabi-Yau manifold M, the Frobenius manifold structure we construct on $H^{*,*}(M)$ can be identified with that from quantum cohomology. If this is true, it should have some applications in enumerative geometry of Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Jim Stasheff, Gang Tian and Shing-Tung Yau for their interest. The work in this paper is carried out while the second author is visiting Texas A&M University. He likes to express his appreciation for the hospitality and financial support of the Mathematics Department and the Geometry-Analysis-Topology group. He also likes to thank Blaine Lawson for bringing his attention to the reference [17], which made him come across [2] and [24] on the internet.

1. Frobenius algebras and Frobenius manifolds.

In this section, we review the definition of (formal) Frobenius manifold. We will only be concerned with a special case which comes from the consideration of deformations of Frobenius algebras. For the general case, see Dubrovin [12] and Manin [23].

Let H be a finite dimensional commutative associative algebra with 1 over $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} with multiplication denoted by \cdot . Take a basis $\{e_a\}$ of H such that $e_0 = 1$. Then there are constants $\phi^c_{ab} \in \mathbf{k}$ such that

$$e_a \cdot e_b = \phi^c_{ab} e_c$$
.

An inner product on H is a nondegenerate quadratic form

$$(\cdot,\cdot):H\times H\to \mathbf{k}.$$

It is called invariant if

$$(1) (a \cdot b, c) = (a, b \cdot c),$$

for any $a, b, c \in H$. A commutative associative algebra with 1 together with an invariant inner product is called a *Frobenius algebra*. A simple observation is that the structure of a Frobenius algebra H can be encoded in a cubic polynomial $\phi: H \to \mathbf{k}$ as follows. Let $\eta_{ab} = (e_a, e_b)$ and (η^{ab}) be the inverse matrix of (η_{ab}) . Set $\phi_{abc} = \phi^p_{ab}\eta_{pc}$. Then

$$\phi_{abc} = (e_a \cdot e_b, e_c).$$

Hence (1) implies that ϕ is symmetric in the three indices. One can recover the inner product and multiplication by

$$\eta_{ab} = \phi_{0ab}, \qquad \qquad \phi_{ab}^c = \phi_{abp} \eta^{pc}.$$

The associativity of the multiplication is equivalent to the following system of equations

(2)
$$\phi_{abp}\eta^{pq}\phi_{qcd} = \phi_{bcp}\eta^{pq}\phi_{aqd}.$$

Consider a smooth (analytic) family of associativity multiplications $\{\cdot_{\alpha}: \alpha \in H\}$ such that $\cdot_0 = \cdot$. Then we get a family of structure constants $\phi_{abc}(\alpha)$ such that $\phi_{abc}(0) = \phi_{abc}$. Denote by $\{x^a\}$ the linear coordinates in the basis $\{e_a\}$. If for any $\alpha \in H$,

$$\phi_{abc,d}(\alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^d} \phi_{abc}(\alpha)$$

is symmetric in all four indices, then there is a function $\Phi: H \to \mathbf{k}$, such that

$$\phi_{abc}(\alpha) = \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial x^a \partial x^b \partial x^c}(\alpha).$$

The function Φ is called the *potential function* of the family $(H, \{\cdot_{\alpha}\})$ which is then called a *potential family*. It is clear that

(3)
$$\left(\frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial x^0 \partial x^a \partial x^b} (\alpha) \right) = (\eta_{ab})$$

is a constant symmetric nondegenerate matrix if 1 is an identity for all α . Furthermore, from (2), we know that the associativity of the multiplications \cdot_{α} implies that Φ satisfies the WDVV equations:

$$(4) \qquad \frac{\partial^{3}\Phi}{\partial x^{a}\partial x^{b}\partial x^{p}}\eta^{pq}\frac{\partial^{3}\Phi}{\partial x^{q}\partial x^{c}\partial x^{d}} = \frac{\partial^{3}\Phi}{\partial x^{b}\partial x^{c}\partial x^{p}}\eta^{pq}\frac{\partial^{3}\Phi}{\partial x^{a}\partial x^{q}\partial x^{d}}.$$

Conversely, given a Frobenius algebra $(H, \cdot, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a function Φ satisfying (3), (4) and such that

$$\phi_{abc} = \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial x^a \partial x^b \partial x^c}(0),$$

we have a potential family of Frobenius algebra structures on H with the fixed inner product. This gives an example of a Frobenius manifold structure on H. If Φ is just a formal power series with the above properties, then it yield a formal Frobenius manifold structure.

Remark 1.1. One can also consider \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded version of the above discussion. For details, cf. Manin [23, 24].

2. A construction of formal Frobenius (super)manifolds.

In this section, we review a construction of formal Frobenius supermanifolds. For details, the reader should consult the papers by Tian [31], Todorov [32], Bershadsky-Ceccoti-Ooguri-Vafa [3], Barannikov-Kontsevich [2] and Manin [24]. Here, we follow the formulation by Manin [24].

Let (A, \wedge) be a supercommutative associative algebra with identity over a field \mathbf{k} , i.e., (A, \wedge) is an algebra with identity over \mathbf{k} , furthermore, A has \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading, such that for any homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$ with degrees |a|, |b| respectively, we have

$$a \wedge b = (-1)^{|a| \cdot |b|} b \wedge a.$$

Assume that there is a k-linear map δ of odd degree on \mathcal{A} , such that $\delta^2 = 0$, and δ is a derivation: $\delta(a \wedge b) = (\delta a) \wedge b + (-1)^{|a|} a \wedge (\delta b)$. Assume that the cohomology $H = H(\mathcal{A}, \delta)$ is finite dimensional. Then there is an induced multiplication \wedge on H. We will be interested in the deformation of this multiplication obtained by deforming the operator δ .

For this idea to work, one needs the notion of a differential Gerstenhaber-Batalin-Vilkovisky (dGBV) algebra (see e.g. [24], §5). More precisely, one needs another k-linear map Δ of odd degree on \mathcal{A} , such that

- $\delta \Delta + \Delta \delta = 0$, $\Delta^2 = 0$.
- If $[a \bullet b] = (-1)^{|a|} (\Delta(a \wedge b) \Delta a \wedge b (-1)^{|a|} a \wedge \Delta b)$, then $[a \bullet \cdot] : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a derivation of degree |a| + 1, i.e.,

$$[a \bullet (b \land c)] = [a \bullet b] \land c + (-1)^{(|a|+1)|b|}b \land [a \bullet c].$$

The quintuple $(A, \land, \delta, \Delta, [\cdot \bullet \cdot])$ with the above properties is called a dGBV algebra. As in Manin [24], §5, we have

$$[a \bullet b] = -(-1)^{(|a|+1)(|b|+1)}[b \bullet a],$$

$$[a \bullet [b \bullet c]] = [[a \bullet b] \bullet c] + (-1)^{(|a|+1)(|b|+1)}[b \bullet [a \bullet c]],$$

$$\Delta[a \bullet b] = [\Delta a \bullet b] + (-1)^{|a|+1}[a \bullet \Delta b],$$

$$\delta[a \bullet b] = [\delta a \bullet b] + (-1)^{|a|+1}[a \bullet \delta b].$$

See also Koszul [18].

An integral on a dGBV algebra \mathcal{A} is an even linear functional $\int : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbf{k}$, such that

(5)
$$\int (\delta a) \wedge b = (-1)^{|a|+1} \int a \wedge (\delta b),$$
(6)
$$\int (\Delta a) \wedge b = (-1)^{|a|} \int a \wedge (\Delta b),$$

for any homogeneous $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (5) that \int induces a well-defined **k**-bilinear functional

$$(\cdot, \cdot): H(\mathcal{A}, \delta) \otimes H(\mathcal{A}, \delta) \to \mathbf{k},$$

 $([\alpha], [\beta]) = \int \alpha \wedge \beta,$

where $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ are cohomology classes represented by δ -closed α and β respectively. If (\cdot, \cdot) is nondegenerate, we say that the integral is *nice*. It is obvious that

$$([\alpha] \wedge [\beta], [\gamma]) = ([\alpha], [\beta] \wedge [\gamma]).$$

By definition, $(H(A, d), \land, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is then a *Frobenius algebra*, when A has a nice integral.

To find a deformation of the ring structure on H, consider $\delta_a = \delta + [a \bullet \cdot] : A \to A$ for even $a \in A$. When a satisfies

(7)
$$\delta a + \frac{1}{2}[a \bullet a] = 0,$$
$$\Delta a = 0,$$

then $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge, \delta_a, \Delta, [\cdot \bullet \cdot])$ is also a dGBV algebra. Such deformations appeared also in e.g. Akman [1], §5.3. If \int is an integral for $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge, \delta, \Delta, [\cdot \bullet \cdot])$, so is it for $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge, \delta_a, \Delta, [\cdot \bullet \cdot])$. If there is a natural way to identify $H(\mathcal{A}, \delta_a)$ with $H = H(\mathcal{A}, \delta)$ which preserves (\cdot, \cdot) , then we get another Frobenius

algebra structure on H. The construction of Frobenius manifold structure is based on the existence of a solution $\Gamma = \sum \Gamma_n$ to (7), such that $\Gamma_0 = 0$, $\Gamma_1 = \sum x^j e_j, \{e_j \in \operatorname{Ker} \delta \cap \operatorname{Ker} \Delta\} \text{ induce a basis of } H. \text{ For } n > 1, \Gamma_n \in \operatorname{Im} \Delta$ is a homogeneous super polynomial of degree n in x^j 's, such that the total degree of Γ_n is even. Furthermore, x^0 only appears in Γ_1 . Such a solution is called a normalized universal solution. Its existence can be established inductively. This is how Tian [30] and Todorov [32] proved that the deformation of complex structures on a Calabi-Yau manifold is unobstructed. This was generalized by Bershadsky et al [3] to the case of extended moduli space of complex structures of a Calabi-Yau manifold. An interesting observation in [3] is that the action functional of the Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity provides the potential function for a formal structure on $H^{-*.*}(x)$. As mentioned earlier, Barannikiv and Kontesevich [2] gave a mathematical treatment of this observation. They also remarked that this construction can be carried out for dGBV algebra with suitable condition. See Manin [24] for a detailed account. The result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2.1. Let $(A, \land, \delta, \Delta, [\cdot \bullet \cdot])$ be a dGBV algebra which satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. $H = H(A, \delta)$ is finite dimensional.
- 2. There is a nice integral on A.
- 3. The inclusions $i: (\operatorname{Ker} \Delta, \delta) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \delta)$ and $j: (\operatorname{Ker} \delta, \Delta) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$ induce isomorphisms on cohomology.

Then there is a structure of formal Frobenius manifold on the formal spectrum of $\mathbf{k}[[H']]$, the algebra of formal power series generated by H', where H' is the dual \mathbf{k} -vector space of H.

3. Frobenius manifold structures on Dolbeault cohomology.

In this section, we show that there is a natural dGBV algebra structure on the Dolbeault complex of a Kähler manifold. Furthermore, it is shown that all the conditions in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

Let (X, g, J) be a closed Kähler manifold with Kähler form ω . Consider the quadruple $(\Omega^{*,*}(X), \wedge, \delta = \bar{\partial}, \Delta = \partial^*)$. It is well-known that $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$, $(\partial^*)^2 = 0$, and $\bar{\partial}\partial^* + \partial^*\bar{\partial} = 0$. Furthermore, $\bar{\partial}$ is a derivation. Set

$$[a \bullet b]_{\partial^*} = (-1)^{|a|} (\partial^*(a \wedge b) - \partial^* a \wedge b - (-1)^{|a|} a \wedge \partial^* b).$$

A formula of this type in complex geometry was discovered by Tian [30] to prove the important result that deformations of Calabi-Yau manifolds are unobstructed (cf. Todorov [32] and Bershadsky et al [3]). In Tian's situation, he used the holomorphic volume form on a Calabi-Yau manifold to get an operator on $\Omega^{-*,*}(M)$ from ∂ on $\Omega^{*,*}(M)$ and showed that the corresponding bracket can be identified with the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. Such a method of defining bracket also appeared in Koszul's work on Poisson geometry [18]. Conjugation of the exterior differential d by the isomorphism induced by a volume form was used in Witten [38] and Schwarz [29] to construct BV algebras.

Since both ω and J are parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, it follows that near each $x \in X$, one can find a local frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of $T^{1,0}X$ with dual frame $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$, such that $\omega = e^1 \wedge \bar{e}^1 + \dots + e^n \wedge \bar{e}^n$. Furthermore, $\nabla_{e_j} e_k = \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} e_k = \nabla_{e_j} \bar{e}_k = \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} \bar{e}_k = 0$ at x. Then at x, for any $\alpha \in \Omega^{*,*}(X)$, we have (Griffiths-Harris [15], p. 113):

$$\begin{split} \partial \alpha &= e^j \wedge \nabla_{e_j} \alpha, & \bar{\partial} \alpha &= \bar{e}^j \wedge \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} \alpha, \\ \partial^* \alpha &= -e_j \vdash \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} \alpha, & \bar{\partial}^* \alpha &= -\bar{e}_j \vdash \nabla_{e_j} \alpha, \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.1.
$$[a \bullet (b \wedge c)]_{\partial^*} = [a \bullet b]_{\partial^*} \wedge c + (-1)^{(|a|+1)|b|} b \wedge [a \bullet c]_{\partial^*}.$$

Proof. We first express $[a \bullet b]_{\partial^*}$ in terms of the Levi-Civita connection:

$$\begin{split} [a \bullet b]_{\partial^*} &= (-1)^{|a|} (\partial^*(a \wedge b) - (\partial^*a) \wedge b - (-1)^{|a|} a \wedge \partial^*b) \\ &= (-1)^{|a|} (\partial^*(a \wedge b) - (\partial^*a) \wedge b - (-1)^{|a|} a \wedge \partial^*b) \\ &= (-1)^{|a|} (-(e_j \vdash \nabla_{\bar{e}_j}(a \wedge b)) + (e_j \vdash \nabla_{\bar{e}_j}a) \wedge b \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|a|} a \wedge (e_j \vdash \nabla_{\bar{e}_j}b)) \\ &= -(-1)^{|a|} e_j \vdash (\nabla_{\bar{e}_j}a \wedge b + a \wedge \nabla_{\bar{e}_j}b) + (-1)^{|a|} (e_j \vdash \nabla_{\bar{e}_j}a) \wedge b \\ &\quad + a \wedge (e_j \vdash \nabla_{\bar{e}_j}b) \\ &= -\nabla_{\bar{e}_i}a \wedge (e_j \vdash b) - (-1)^{|a|} (e_j \vdash a) \wedge \nabla_{\bar{e}_i}b. \end{split}$$

The left hand side is then given by

$$[a \bullet (b \wedge c)]_{\partial^*} = -\nabla_{\bar{e}_j} a \wedge e_j \vdash (b \wedge c) - (-1)^{|a|} (e_j \vdash a) \wedge \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} (b \wedge c).$$

The right hand side is computed in a similar fashion:

$$\begin{split} [a\bullet b]_{\partial^*} \wedge c + (-1)^{(|a|+1)|b|} b \wedge [a\bullet c]_{\partial^*} \\ &= (-\nabla_{\bar{e}_j} a \wedge (e_j \vdash b) - (-1)^{|a|} (e_j \vdash a) \wedge \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} b) \wedge c \\ &+ (-1)^{(|a|+1)|b|} b \wedge (-\nabla_{\bar{e}_j} a \wedge (e_j \vdash c) - (-1)^{|a|} (e_j \vdash a) \wedge \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} c) \\ &= -\nabla_{\bar{e}_j} a \wedge (e_j \vdash b) \wedge c - (-1)^{|a|} (e_j \vdash a) \wedge \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} b \wedge c \\ &- (-1)^{|b|} \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} a \wedge b \wedge (e_j \vdash c) - (-1)^{|a|} (e_j \vdash a) \wedge b \wedge \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} c \\ &= -\nabla_{\bar{e}_j} a \wedge e_j \vdash (b \wedge c) - (-1)^{|a|} (e_j \vdash a) \wedge \nabla_{\bar{e}_j} (b \wedge c). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.1. For any Kähler manifold (X,g), $(\Omega^{*,*}(X), \wedge, \delta = \bar{\partial}, \Delta = \partial^*)$ is a dGBV algebra.

Remark 3.1. It is actually more natural to take

$$\Delta = -\sqrt{-1}\,\partial^*.$$

Let $\int_X : \Omega^{*,*}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the ordinary integration of differential forms. It is easy to show the following

Lemma 3.2. The following identity holds on closed Kähler manifolds:

$$\begin{split} &\int_X \bar{\partial} a \wedge b = (-1)^{|a|+1} \int_X a \wedge \bar{\partial} b, \\ &\int_X \partial^* a \wedge b = (-1)^{|a|} \int_X a \wedge \partial^* b. \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.1. For any closed Kähler manifold X, if K is the algebra of formal power series generated by the dual space of the Dolbeault cohomology $H^*_{\bar{\partial}}(X)$, then there is a structure of formal Frobenius manifold on the formal spectrum of K obtained from the dGBV algebra $(\Omega^{*,*}(X), \wedge, \delta = \bar{\partial}, \Delta = \partial^*)$.

Proof. By the method of Deligne-Griffiths-Morgan-Sullivan [9], the two natural inclusions $i: (\operatorname{Ker} \partial^*, \bar{\partial}) \to (\Omega^{*,*}(X), \bar{\partial})$ and $j: (\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\partial}, \partial^*) \to (\Omega^{*,*}(X), \partial^*)$ induce isomorphisms on cohomology. Then the theorem follows from Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 2.1.

Remark 3.2. The same construction carries through if we take $\delta = \partial$, $\Delta = \bar{\partial}^*$.

4. Comparison with Barannikov-Kontsevich's Frobenius manifold.

In this section, we compare our construction with that of Barannikov-Kontsevich [2], and make some speculations based on their similarity.

Assume that X is a closed Calabi-Yau n-manifold; fix a nowhere vanishing holomorphic n-form $\Omega \in \Gamma(X, \Lambda^n T^*X)$. Barannikov-Kontsevich [2] considered the dGBV algebra with

$$\mathcal{B} = \oplus \mathcal{B}_k, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{B}_k = \oplus_{q+p=k} \Gamma(X, \Lambda^p T X \otimes \Lambda^q \overline{T}^* X).$$

Here \mathcal{B} is \mathbb{Z} -graded. It also has an induced \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. This grading is different from that in [2] (shifted by 1); we adopt this grading to be compatible with the notations of Manin [24]. The multiplication \wedge on \mathcal{B} is given by the ordinary wedge products on $\Lambda^*\overline{T}^*X$ and Λ^*TX . The derivation is $\delta = \bar{\partial}$. Notice that for any two integers $0 \leq p, q \leq n$, Ω defines an isomorphism

$$\Lambda^p TX \otimes \Lambda^q \overline{T}^* X \to \Lambda^{n-p} T^* X \otimes \Lambda^q \overline{T}^* X, \qquad \gamma \mapsto \gamma \vdash \Omega,$$

defined by the contraction of the *p*-vector with Ω to get a form of type (n-p,0). Then Δ is defined by

$$(\Delta \gamma) \vdash \Omega = \partial (\gamma \vdash \Omega).$$

Tian's formula shows that the bracket, defined by

$$[\alpha \bullet \beta] = (-1)^{|\alpha|} (\Delta(\alpha \wedge \beta) - \Delta\alpha \wedge \beta - (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \wedge \Delta\beta),$$

is given by the wedge product on type (0,*)-forms and the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on type (*,0) polyvector fields. Hence $(\mathcal{B}, \wedge, \delta, \Delta)$ as above is a dGBV algebra. Furthermore, the linear functional

$$\int : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \qquad \int \gamma = \int_X (\gamma \vdash \Omega) \wedge \Omega$$

is a nice integral on X.

Since the complex (\mathcal{B}, δ) contains the deformation complex

$$\Omega^{0,0}(TX) \stackrel{\bar{\partial}}{\to} \Omega^{0,1}(TX) \stackrel{\bar{\partial}}{\to} \Omega^{0,2}(TX),$$

it is called the extended deformation complex. Barannikov-Kontsevich [2] (Lemma 2.1) showed that the deformation functor associated with the graded differential Lie algebra $(\mathcal{B}[-1], \delta, [\cdot \bullet \cdot])$ is represented by the formal

spectrum of formal power series generated by H', the dual of $H = H(\mathcal{B}, \delta)$. (This is the extended moduli space of complex structures in Witten [38].) Their method is that used in [31], [32] and [3].

There are remarkable similarities between the dGBV algebras used by us and in [2]. One can also express Δ on \mathcal{B} in terms of Levi-Civita connection:

$$\Delta = e^j \vdash \nabla_j$$
.

We have the following interesting comparison of the two dGBV algebras:

(BK)
$$\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{p,q} \Gamma(X, \Lambda^p T X \otimes \Lambda^q \overline{T}^* X), \quad \delta = \bar{\partial}, \quad \Delta = e^j \vdash \nabla_j,$$

(CZ)
$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{p,q} \Gamma(X, \Lambda^p T^* X \otimes \Lambda^q \overline{T}^* X), \quad \delta = \bar{\partial}, \quad \Delta = \partial^* = -e_j \vdash \nabla_j,$$

(The multiplications on both algebras are given by the exterior products!) It is reasonable to conjecture that the two corresponding Frobenius manifolds are isomorphic to each other for a pair of mirror manifolds.

References.

- [1] F. Akman, On some generalizations of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **120** (1997), no. 2, 105–141.
- [2] S. Barannikov, M. Kontsevich, Frobenius Manifolds and Formality of Lie Algebras of Polyvector Fields, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1998, no. 4, 201-215, alg-geom/9710032.
- [3] M. Bershadsky, S. Ceccoti, H. Ooguri, C. Vafa, Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes Comm. Math. Phys. 165 (1994), no. 2, 311-427.
- [4] J. Bertin, C. Peters, Variations de structures de Hodge, variétés de Calabi-Yau et symétrie miroir, in Introduction à la théorie de Hodge, 169–256, Panor. Synthèses, 3, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1996.
- [5] J.-L. Brylinski, A differential complex for Poisson manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988), no. 1, 93-114.
- [6] P. Candelas, X. C. de la Ossa, p. S. Green, L. Parkes, A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory, Nuclear Phys. B, 359 (1991), no. 1, 21–74.
- [7] H.-D. Cao, J. Zhou, On Quantum de Rham Cohomology Theory, preprint, April, 1998.
- [8] H.-D. Cao, J. Zhou, Identification of Two Frobenius Manifolds, Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1999), no. 1, 17-29.

- [9] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), no. 3, 245–274.
- [10] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde, E. Verlinde, Notes on topological string theory and 2D quantum gravity, in String theory and quantum gravity (Trieste, 1990), 91–156, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1991.
- [11] B. Dubrovin, *Integrable systems in topological field theory*, Nuclear Phys. B, **379** (1992), no. 3, 627–689.
- [12] B. Dubrovin, Geometry of 2D topological field theories, in Integrable systems and quantum groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993), 120–348, Lecture Notes in Math., 1620, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [13] M. Gerstenhaber, S.D. Schack, Algebraic cohomology and deformation theory, in Deformation theory of algebras and structures and applications (Il Ciocco, 1986), 11–264, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci., 247, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1988.
- [14] W.M. Goldman, J.J. Millson, The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Khler manifolds, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. 67 (1988), 43–96.
- [15] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978.
- [16] M. Kontsevich, Homological algebra of mirror symmetry, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zrich, 1994), 120–139, Birkhuser, Basel, 1995.
- [17] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, I, preprint, q-alg/9709040.
- [18] J.-L. Koszul, Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie, The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984), Astrisque 1985, Numero Hors Serie, 257–271.
- [19] J. Li, G. Tian, Comparison of the algebraic and the symplectic Gromov-Witten invariants, preprint, alg-geom/9712035.
- [20] B. Lian, K. Liu, S.T. Yau, Mirror principle I, Asian J. Math 1 (1997), no. 4, 729-763, alg-geom/9712011.
- [21] G. Liu, Associativity of quantum multiplication, Comm. Math. Phys. 191 (1998), no. 2, 265-282.
- [22] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, J-holomorphic curves and quantum cohomology, University Lecture Series, 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.

- [23] Yu. Manin, Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology, and moduli spaces, AMS Colloquium Publications, 47, AMS, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [24] Y. Manin, Three constructions of Frobenius manifolds: a comparative study, preprint, math.QA/9801006.
- [25] D.R. Morrison, Mirror symmetry and rational curves on quintic threefolds: a guide for mathematicians, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1993), no. 1, 223–247.
- [26] J. Morrow, K. Kodaira Complex manifolds, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York-Montreal, Que.-London, 1971.
- [27] Z. Ran, Thickening Calabi-Yau moduli spaces, in Mirror symmetry, II, 393-400, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [28] Y. Ruan, G. Tian, A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology, J. Differential Geom. 42 (1995), no. 2, 259–367.
- [29] A. Schwarz, Geometry of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization, Comm. Math. Phys. 155 (1993), no. 2, 249–260.
- [30] G. Tian, Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric, in Mathematical aspects of string theory (San Diego, Calif., 1986), 629–646, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., 1, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1987.
- [31] G. Tian, Quantum cohomology and its associativity, in Current developments in mathematics, 1995 (Cambridge, MA), 361–401, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [32] A.N. Todorov, The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of $SU(n \ge 3)$ (Calabi-Yau) manifolds. I., Comm. Math. Phys. 126 (1989), no. 2, 325–346.
- [33] C. Vafa, Topological mirrors and quantum rings, in Essays on mirror manifolds, S.T. Yau ed., 96–119, Internat. Press, Hong Kong, 1992.
- [34] I. Vaisman, Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds. Progress in Mathematics, 118, Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1994.
- [35] E. Witten, Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space, in Surveys in differential geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1990), 243–310, Lehigh Univ., Bethlehem, PA, 1991.
- [36] E. Witten, Mirror manifolds and topological field theory, in Essays on mirror manifolds, S. T. Yau ed., International Press Co., Hong Kong, 1992.
- [37] S.T. Yau ed., Essays on mirror manifolds, International Press, Hong Kong, 1992.

[38] E. Witten, A note on antibracket formalism, Modern Phys. Lett. A 5 (1990), no. 7, 487-494.

RECEIVED JUNE 18, 1998.

TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843
E-mail addresses: cao@math.tamu.edu, zhou@math.tamu.edu