# Quasi-convergence of the Ricci flow

### Dan Knopf

We study a collection of Riemannian metrics which collapse under the Ricci flow, and show that the quasi-convergence equivalence class of an arbitrary metric in this collection contains a 1-parameter family of locally homogeneous metrics.

### 1. Introduction and statement of main theorem.

In [1], Hamilton and Isenberg studied the Ricci flow of a family of solvgeometry metrics on twisted torus bundles. This family contains no Einstein metrics, so the (normalized) Ricci flow cannot converge. Hamilton–Isenberg introduced the concept of *quasi-convergence* to describe its behavior, writing

"...the Ricci flow of all metrics in this family asymptotically approaches the flow of a sub-family of locally homogeneous metrics..."

The intent of this paper is to make that statement more precise. In so doing, we answer a question of Hamilton, who asked whether an arbitrary metric in this class would converge to a unique locally homogeneous limit or would exhibit a more nuanced behavior.

**Definition 1.1.** If g, h are evolving Riemannian metrics on a manifold  $\mathcal{M}^n$ , we say g quasi-converges to h if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a time  $t_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{M}^n \times [t_{\varepsilon}, \infty)} |g - h|_h < \varepsilon.$$

Quasi-convergence is an equivalence relation. Indeed, the standard fact that  $|U(V,V)| \leq |U|_h |V|_h^2$  for any symmetric 2-tensor U and vector field V implies that g quasi-converges to h if and only if for all  $t \geq t_{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$(1 - \varepsilon) h(V, V) \le g(V, V) \le (1 + \varepsilon) h(V, V)$$

We now state our result, using notation defined in [1] and to be reviewed in §2 below.

**Theorem 1.2.** If g is any solv-Gowdy metric on a twisted torus bundle  $M^3_{\Lambda}$ , there is a locally homogeneous metric h in its quasi-convergence equivalence class [g]. Moreover, if h corresponds to the data  $(\alpha(\theta), \Omega, F)$ , the locally homogeneous metrics in [g] are exactly those with the data  $(\ell + \alpha(\theta), \Omega, F), \ell \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 1.3.** Similar quasi-convergence of the Ricci flow to a 1-parameter family was conjectured for a class of  $\mathcal{T}^3$  metrics studied in [2].

The paper is organized as follows. §2 describes the bundles  $\mathcal{T}^2 \to \mathcal{M}^3_{\Lambda} \to \mathcal{S}^1$  and the solv-Gowdy metrics under study. It turns out that at large times, an arbitrary solv-Gowdy metric g behaves much like locally homogeneous metrics. §3 quantifies this observation and explicitly constructs a family  $h_{\varepsilon}$  of locally homogeneous metrics existing for all  $t \geq 0$  which approximate g for times  $t \geq t_{\varepsilon}$ . In §4, we show that this family enjoys a certain compactness property which allows us to prove the existence part of the main theorem. The heuristic here is that g resembles a single locally homogeneous metric closely enough that the metrics  $h_{\varepsilon}$  are not too far apart at t = 0. §5 completes the main theorem by explaining the very special sort of non-uniqueness which can occur: distinct locally homogeneous metrics define distinct equivalence classes unless they differ only by a dilation of the base circle.

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank Richard Hamilton for his helpful and encouraging comments.

### 2. Review of solv-Gowdy geometries.

We begin by briefly recalling some notation and results of [1]. Readers familiar with that paper may skip this section.

To construct an arbitrary solv-Gowdy metric g, take  $\Lambda \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_+ > 1 > \lambda_-$ . In coordinates  $\theta, x, y$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , chosen so that the x, y axes coincide with the eigenvectors of  $\Lambda$ , define

(2.1) 
$$g \doteq e^{2A} d\theta \otimes d\theta + e^{F+W} dx \otimes dx + e^{F-W} dy \otimes dy,$$

where F is constant and A, W depend only on  $\theta$ . Clearly, g descends to a metric on the product of the line and the torus  $\mathcal{T}^2$ . Let  $\Lambda$  act on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{T}^2$  by  $(\theta, x, y) \mapsto (\theta + 2\pi, \lambda_- x, \lambda_+ y)$ . If

(2.2) 
$$A(\theta + 2\pi) = A(\theta)$$

and

(2.3) 
$$W(\theta + 2\pi) = W(\theta) + 2\log\lambda_+,$$

then  $\Lambda$  is an isometry, and g becomes a well defined metric on the mapping torus  $\mathcal{M}^3_{\Lambda}$ , regarded as a twisted  $\mathcal{T}^2$  bundle over  $\mathcal{S}^1$ . Notice that A governs the length of the base circle, while F and W respectively describe the scale and skew of the fibers. We denote arc length by

(2.4) 
$$s(\theta) \doteqdot \int_0^\theta e^{A(u)} du$$

and set

(2.5) 
$$Z \doteq \frac{\partial}{\partial s} W$$

Then we can write the Ricci tensor as

(2.6) 
$$\operatorname{Rc} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{2A}Z^2 \, d\theta \otimes d\theta - \frac{1}{2}e^{F+W}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial s} \, dx \otimes dx + \frac{1}{2}e^{F-W}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial s} \, dy \otimes dy.$$

The locally homogeneous solv-Gowdy metrics are easily characterized.

**Lemma 2.1.** A solv-Gowdy metric g is locally homogeneous if and only if W depends linearly on arc length.

*Proof.* If g is locally homogeneous, then  $R = -\frac{1}{2}Z^2$  is constant in space. Since Z is continuous, it follows that  $\partial^2 W/\partial s^2 = 0$ .

If Z is constant in space, let  $P_0 = (\theta_0, x_0, y_0)$ ,  $P_1 = (\theta_1, x_1, y_1)$  be points in  $\mathcal{M}^3_{\Lambda}$ . It will suffice to construct a diffeomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{U}_0 \to \mathcal{U}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1$  are neighborhoods of  $P_0, P_1$  respectively, such that  $\Phi(P_0) = P_1$  and  $\Phi^*g = g$ . If  $\Phi$  is given in coordinates  $(\theta, x, y)$  by

$$\Phi\left( heta,x,y
ight)=\left( au\left( heta,x,y
ight),\,\xi\left( heta,x,y
ight),\,\eta\left( heta,x,y
ight)
ight),$$

the pullback condition  $\Phi^* g = g$  is equivalent to the system

(2.7a) 
$$e^{2A(\theta)} = \left(\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 e^{2A(\tau)} + \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 e^{F+W(\tau)} + \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 e^{F-W(\tau)}$$

(2.7b) 
$$e^{F+W(\theta)} = \left(\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial x}\right)^2 e^{2A(\tau)} + \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x}\right)^2 e^{F+W(\tau)} + \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}\right)^2 e^{F-W(\tau)}$$

(2.7c) 
$$e^{F-W(\theta)} = \left(\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial y}\right)^2 e^{2A(\tau)} + \left(\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial y}\right)^2 e^{F+W(\tau)} + \left(\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial y}\right)^2 e^{F-W(\tau)}$$

Note that  $s(\theta)$  is invertible, because  $\partial s/\partial \theta = e^{A(\theta)} > 0$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left( \theta, x, y \right) &= s^{-1} \left( s \left( \theta \right) + s \left( \theta_1 \right) - s \left( \theta_0 \right) \right) \\ \xi \left( \theta, x, y \right) &= x_1 + e^{-\frac{Z}{2} \left( s \left( \theta_1 \right) - s \left( \theta_0 \right) \right)} \left( x - x_0 \right) \\ \eta \left( \theta, x, y \right) &= y_1 + e^{\frac{Z}{2} \left( s \left( \theta_1 \right) - s \left( \theta_0 \right) \right)} \left( y - y_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\Phi: P_0 \mapsto P_1$ . Equation (2.7a) is satisfied, because

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial s} \left( \tau \right) \cdot \frac{\partial s}{\partial \theta} \left( \theta \right) = e^{-A(\tau) + A(\theta)}.$$

To see that (2.7b) is satisfied, let  $\omega$  denote W regarded as a linear function of arc length, so that  $W(\theta) = \omega(s(\theta))$ . Then we can write

$$\log\left(\left(\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial x}\right)^2 e^{W(\tau)}\right) = -Z \cdot (s\left(\theta_1\right) - s\left(\theta_0\right)) + \omega \left(s\left(\theta\right) + s\left(\theta_1\right) - s\left(\theta_0\right)\right)$$
$$= \omega \left(s\left(\theta\right)\right) = W\left(\theta\right).$$

Equation (2.7c) is verified in a similar fashion.

**Remark 2.2.** When studying a single locally homogeneous solv-Gowdy metric, one can always make A constant in space by a reparameterization of  $S^1$ ; but it will not be convenient for us to do so.

If an arbitrary solv-Gowdy metric g evolves by the Ricci flow

(2.8) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -2\operatorname{Rc},$$

we shall abuse notation and allow the quantities introduced above to depend also on time. We find that g remains a solv-Gowdy metric and that (2.8) is equivalent to the system

(2.9a) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A = \frac{1}{2}Z^2$$

(2.9b) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}Z$$

(2.9c) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F = 0,$$

whose solution exists for all  $t \ge 0$ . It is most convenient to study Z and recover A and W by integration. Z evolves by

(2.10) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Z = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}Z - \frac{1}{2}Z^3,$$

where the operator  $\partial^2/\partial s^2$  plays the role of the Laplacian and evolves according to the commutator

(2.11) 
$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right] = -\frac{1}{2}Z^2\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$$

For all  $t \ge 0$ , we identify  $S^1$  with the circle x = 0, y = 0 and denote its length by

(2.12) 
$$L(t) \doteq \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} ds = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{A(\theta,t)} d\theta.$$

Notice that (2.3) implies the important integral condition

(2.13) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{S}^1} Z \, ds = 2 \log \lambda_+,$$

which is preserved by the flow.

If an evolving solv-Gowdy metric is locally homogeneous at t = 0, it remains so under the Ricci flow. For such metrics, Z is the function of time alone

(2.14) 
$$Z(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+1/\zeta^2}},$$

where  $\zeta \doteq Z(0)$  is positive by (2.13). The sub-family of locally homogeneous solv-Gowdy metrics can thus be indexed by  $(\alpha(\theta), \Omega, F)$ , where

(2.15a) 
$$\alpha(\theta) \doteq A(\theta, 0)$$

(2.15b) 
$$\Omega \doteq W(0,0).$$

We now summarize the estimates we shall use from [1]. Let g be a solution to the Ricci flow whose initial data  $g(\cdot, 0)$  is a  $C^2$  solv-Gowdy metric. Hamilton-Isenberg organize the proof of their main theorem into four steps. In *Step 1*, they show there is C > 0 depending on  $Z(\cdot, 0)$  such that for all t > 0,

$$(2.16) |Z(\cdot,t)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+C}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

By Step 2, there is a time T > 0 and constants  $m \neq Z_{\min}(T)$ ,  $M \neq Z_{\max}(T)$  depending on L(0),  $Z(\cdot, 0)$  and satisfying  $0 < m \leq M < 1/\sqrt{T}$  such that for all  $t \geq T$ ,

(2.17) 
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-T+1/m^2}} \le Z(\cdot,t) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-T+1/M^2}}.$$

By Step 1 again, there are C, C' > 0 depending on  $L(0), Z(\cdot, 0)$  such that for all  $t \ge T + 1$ ,

(2.18) 
$$C\sqrt{t-T} \le L(t) \le C'\sqrt{t-T}.$$

By Step 4, there is C > 0 depending on L(0),  $Z(\cdot, 0)$  such that for all  $t \ge T$ ,

(2.19) 
$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}Z\left(\cdot,t\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\left(1+m^{2}\left(t-T\right)\right)^{2}}.$$

### 3. Construction of approximating metrics.

As a first step in proving the existence part (Theorem 4.1) of our main theorem, we find times  $t_{\varepsilon}$  and construct locally homogeneous metrics  $h_{\varepsilon}$ with the following properties:  $h_{\varepsilon}$  is in a sense the average of g at  $t_{\varepsilon}$ ;  $h_{\varepsilon}$ remains  $\varepsilon$ -close to g for all times  $t \geq t_{\varepsilon}$ ; and most importantly,  $h_{\varepsilon}$  exists for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a time  $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$  and a locally homogeneous solv-Gowdy metric  $h_{\varepsilon}$  evolving by the Ricci flow for  $0 \le t < \infty$  such that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{M}^3_\Lambda \times [t_{\varepsilon},\infty)} |g - h_{\varepsilon}|_{h_{\varepsilon}} < \varepsilon.$$

Before proving this, we collect some technical observations.

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that Z satisfies the pinching estimate

(3.1) 
$$Z_{\max}(t) - Z_{\min}(t) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{L(t)},$$

and the decay estimate

(3.2) 
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-t_{\varepsilon}+1/m_{\varepsilon}^2}} \le Z\left(\cdot,t\right) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-t_{\varepsilon}+1/M_{\varepsilon}^2}},$$

for all  $t \geq t_{\varepsilon}$ , where  $m_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $M_{\varepsilon}$  are defined by

(3.3) 
$$0 < m_{\varepsilon} \doteqdot Z_{\min}(t_{\varepsilon}) \le Z_{\max}(t_{\varepsilon}) \doteqdot M_{\varepsilon} < \infty$$

and satisfy

(3.4) 
$$m_{\varepsilon} \leq M_{\varepsilon} \leq m_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon$$
 and  $M_{\varepsilon}^2 \leq (1+\varepsilon) m_{\varepsilon}^2$ .

Moreover, we can choose  $t_{\varepsilon}$  so that

$$\int_{t_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial Z}{\partial s} \right| \, dt \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Let T, m, M be as in (2.17) and let C be the constant in (2.19). Let  $t_* \doteq \max\{T + C/(m^4\varepsilon), T+1\}$  and suppose  $t \ge t_*$ . Then (2.19) implies

$$\int_{t_*}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial Z}{\partial s} \right| \, dt \le \int_0^{\infty} \frac{C}{m^4 \left( t + t_* - T \right)^2} \, dt = \frac{C}{m^4 \left( t_* - T \right)} \le \varepsilon,$$

and (2.18) implies there is C' > 0 such that

$$L(t) \le C'\sqrt{t-T}.$$

Hence for such times

$$Z_{\max}(t) - Z_{\min}(t) \le \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} \left| \frac{\partial Z}{\partial s} \right| \, ds \le CC' \frac{\sqrt{t-T}}{\left(1 + m^2 \left(t - T\right)\right)^2}$$

Choose  $t_{\varepsilon} \ge t_*$  large enough that (3.1) holds for  $t \ge t_{\varepsilon}$ , and that (3.4) holds for  $m_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $M_{\varepsilon}$  defined by (3.3). This is possible, because

$$\left(\frac{Z_{\max}(t)}{Z_{\min}(t)}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{t - T + 1/m^{2}}{t - T + 1/M^{2}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{m^{2}(t - T)}.$$

Then since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Z = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}Z - \frac{1}{2}Z^3$ , we observe that

$$\frac{d}{dt}Z_{\min} \ge -\frac{1}{2}Z_{\min}^3$$
 and  $\frac{d}{dt}Z_{\max} \le -\frac{1}{2}Z_{\max}^3$ 

A routine use of the maximum principle (proved in [3]) now establishes (3.2) for all  $t \ge t_{\varepsilon}$ .

**Remark 3.3.** The proof shows that for  $t \ge T + 1$ ,

$$Z_{\rm max} - Z_{\rm min} = O \left( t - T \right)^{-3/2},$$

a result which also follows directly from (2.17).

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and let  $t_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $m_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $M_{\varepsilon}$  be as in Lemma 3.2. Then there is a locally homogeneous solv-Gowdy metric

$$h_{\varepsilon} = e^{2A_{\varepsilon}} \, d\theta \otimes d\theta + e^{F_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon}} \, dx \otimes dx + e^{F_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon}} \, dy \otimes dy$$

evolving by the Ricci flow for  $0 \le t < \infty$  so that for  $t \ge t_{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t - t_{\varepsilon} + 1/m_{\varepsilon}^2}} \le Z_{\varepsilon}(t) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{t - t_{\varepsilon} + 1/M_{\varepsilon}^2}},$$

where  $Z_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial W_{\varepsilon}}{\partial s_{\varepsilon}} = e^{-A_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial W_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \theta}$ . Moreover,  $h_{\varepsilon}$  is constructed so that for all  $\theta \in S^1$ ,  $A_{\varepsilon}(\theta, t_{\varepsilon}) = A(\theta, t_{\varepsilon})$  and  $|W(\theta, t_{\varepsilon}) - W_{\varepsilon}(\theta, t_{\varepsilon})| \le \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Define

(3.5) 
$$Z_{\varepsilon}(t) \doteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t + (1/\zeta_{\varepsilon}^2 - t_{\varepsilon})}},$$

where

(3.6) 
$$\zeta_{\varepsilon} \doteq \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} Z \, ds \Big/ \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} ds,$$

with the RHS evaluated at  $t_{\varepsilon}$ . Observe that  $Z_{\varepsilon}$  is well defined for all  $t \ge 0$ , because  $|Z(t)| < 1/\sqrt{t}$  by (2.16), whence

$$1/\zeta_{\varepsilon}^2 - t_{\varepsilon} \ge 1/Z_{\max}^2(t_{\varepsilon}) - t_{\varepsilon} > 0.$$

Now recall that locally homogeneous solv-Gowdy metrics form a 3-parameter family and define

(3.7a) 
$$\alpha_{\varepsilon}(\theta) \doteq A(\theta, t_{\varepsilon}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t_{\varepsilon}} Z_{\varepsilon}^{2} dt$$

(3.7b) 
$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} \doteq W(0, t_{\varepsilon})$$

(3.7c)  $F_{\varepsilon} \doteqdot F.$ 

Notice that  $h_{\varepsilon}$  is well defined; indeed, the identities

$$2\log \lambda_{+} = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{1}} Z \, ds = \zeta_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{1}} ds = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{1}} \zeta_{\varepsilon} e^{A_{\varepsilon}} \, d\theta = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{1}} Z_{\varepsilon} \, ds_{\varepsilon}$$

show that the integral condition (2.13) is satisfied at  $t_{\varepsilon}$ , hence for all time.

The first assertion of the lemma is verified by the elementary observation

$$m_{\varepsilon} = Z_{\min}(t_{\varepsilon}) \le \zeta_{\varepsilon} \le Z_{\max}(t_{\varepsilon}) = M_{\varepsilon},$$

which follows from (3.6). The second assertion is trivial; to prove the third, simply notice that

$$|W(\theta, t_{\varepsilon}) - W_{\varepsilon}(\theta, t_{\varepsilon})| \leq \int_{\mathcal{S}^{1}} |Z - \zeta_{\varepsilon}| \, ds \leq (Z_{\max} - Z_{\min})(t_{\varepsilon}) \cdot L(t_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Without loss of generality, assume  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1/6$ . Let  $t \ge t_{\varepsilon}$  and observe that

$$\begin{split} |(A - A_{\varepsilon})(\theta, t)| &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{t_{\varepsilon}}^{t} \left( Z^2 - Z_{\varepsilon}^2 \right)(\theta, \tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{\varepsilon}}^{t} \left( \frac{1}{\tau - t_{\varepsilon} + 1/M_{\varepsilon}^2} - \frac{1}{\tau - t_{\varepsilon} + 1/m_{\varepsilon}^2} \right) d\tau \\ &= \log \sqrt{\frac{1 + M_{\varepsilon}^2 \left( t - t_{\varepsilon} \right)}{1 + m_{\varepsilon}^2 \left( t - t_{\varepsilon} \right)}}. \end{split}$$

Then since  $|e^u - 1| \le e^U - 1$  when  $|u| \le U$ , we have

$$\left|\left(e^{2A} - e^{2A_{\varepsilon}}\right)(\theta, t)\right| = e^{2A_{\varepsilon}} \left|e^{2(A - A_{\varepsilon})} - 1\right| \le e^{2A_{\varepsilon}} \frac{M_{\varepsilon}^2 - m_{\varepsilon}^2}{m_{\varepsilon}^2}$$

and hence

$$\left((h_{\varepsilon})^{\theta\theta}\right)^2 (g_{\theta\theta} - (h_{\varepsilon})_{\theta\theta})^2 \le \varepsilon^2.$$

Because  $W_{\varepsilon}$  is constant in time, we have

$$\begin{split} |(W - W_{\varepsilon})(\theta, t)| &\leq |W(\theta, t) - W(\theta, t_{\varepsilon})| + |W(\theta, t_{\varepsilon}) - W_{\varepsilon}(\theta, t_{\varepsilon})| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_{\varepsilon}}^{t} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial s} d\tau \right| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon, \end{split}$$

whence substituting  $\delta = 2\varepsilon \leq 1/3$  in the crude estimate  $e^{\delta} \leq 1 + \delta + \frac{e}{2}\delta^2$  (which holds for  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ) gives

$$\left| \left( e^{F+W} - e^{F_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon}} \right) (\theta, t) \right| = e^{F_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon}} \left| e^{(W-W_{\varepsilon})} - 1 \right| \le 3\varepsilon e^{F_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon}}$$

and thus

$$((h_{\varepsilon})^{xx})^2 (g_{xx} - (h_{\varepsilon})_{xx})^2 \le 9\varepsilon^2.$$

The estimate for  $((h_{\varepsilon})^{yy})^2 (g_{yy} - (h_{\varepsilon})_{yy})^2$  is entirely analogous. We have shown that

$$|g - h_{\varepsilon}|_{h_{\varepsilon}}^{2} = (h_{\varepsilon})^{ac} (h_{\varepsilon})^{bd} (g_{ab} - (h_{\varepsilon})_{ab}) (g_{cd} - (h_{\varepsilon})_{cd}) \le 19\varepsilon^{2}$$

for  $t \geq t_{\varepsilon}$ , which is clearly equivalent to the desired result.

# 

### 4. Existence.

We have seen that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a natural choice  $h_{\varepsilon}$  of locally homogeneous metric approximating g for times  $t \ge t_{\varepsilon}$ . In view of our nonuniqueness result (Theorem 5.1), it is remarkable that these choices are close enough to one another that we can prove the existence of a locally homogeneous metric in [g].

**Theorem 4.1.** There is a locally homogeneous solv-Gowdy metric  $h_{\infty}$  evolving by the Ricci flow for  $0 \leq t < \infty$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a time  $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$  with

$$\sup_{\mathcal{M}^3_\Lambda \times [t_{\varepsilon},\infty)} |g - h_\infty|_{h_\infty} < \varepsilon.$$

Again, we first obtain some preliminary results.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\{\varepsilon_j\}$  be a sequence with  $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ . For each j, let  $h_j$  denote the metric  $h_{\varepsilon_j}$  given by Proposition 3.1. Then there is a subsequence  $j_k$  and a locally homogeneous metric  $h_{\infty}$  with data  $(\alpha_{\infty}(\theta), \Omega_{\infty}, F_{\infty})$  such that

$$(\alpha_{j_k}(\theta), \Omega_{j_k}, F_{j_k}) \to (\alpha_{\infty}(\theta), \Omega_{\infty}, F_{\infty})$$

uniformly in  $\theta$ . (Here, and throughout the proof, a subscript such as j denotes quantities corresponding to the metric  $h_j \equiv h_{\varepsilon_j}$ .)

*Proof.* The argument is constructed from four claims, as follows: Claim 4.3 bounds  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A(\cdot, t_j)$ , hence  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A_j(\cdot, t_j)$  by construction, hence  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} A_j(\cdot, 0)$  by (4.1) and the local homogeneity of  $h_j$ . Combining this with Claim 4.4 proves  $\{A_j(\cdot, 0)\}$  is bounded and equicontinuous. Since Claim 4.5 bounds

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j}W_j(\cdot,0)$ , this lets us bound  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}W_j(\cdot,0)$ . Combining this with Claim 4.6 then proves  $\{W_j(\cdot,0)\}$  is bounded and equicontinuous. Because  $F_j \equiv F$  by construction, this lets us extract a subsequence of the  $h_j$  whose initial data converge uniformly to the data of a locally homogeneous metric  $h_{\infty}$  existing for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Notice that if j < k, we may (and shall) assume  $t_j \leq t_k$ .

Claim 4.3. There is  $C < \infty$  such that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{M}^3_\Lambda \times [T,\infty)} \left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} \right| < C.$$

Compute

(4.1) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{1}{2} Z^2 \right) = e^A Z \frac{\partial Z}{\partial s}$$

Since by (2.17),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{t-T+1/M^2}$$

for  $t \geq T$ , there is C' > 0 such that

$$A(\cdot, t) \le \log C' + \log \sqrt{t - T + 1/M^2}$$

for  $t \geq T$ . Then by (2.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} \right) \right| &\leq C' \sqrt{t - T + 1/M^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t - T + 1/M^2}} \cdot \frac{C''}{\left(1 + m^2 \left(t - T\right)\right)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C'C''}{1 + m^4 \left(t - T\right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \ge T$ . Since there is B > 0 depending only on the initial data such that  $-B \le \partial A/\partial \theta \le B$  at t = T, the claim follows.

**Claim 4.4.** The sequence  $\{\alpha_j(\theta)\}$  is bounded for each  $\theta \in S^1$ .

Let  $\theta \in S^1$  be arbitrary. For j < k, consider

$$\alpha_{j}(\theta) - \alpha_{k}(\theta) = A(\theta, t_{j}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t_{j}} Z_{j}^{2} dt - A(\theta, t_{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} Z_{k}^{2} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{k}} \left( Z_{k}^{2} - Z^{2} \right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t_{j}} \left( Z_{k}^{2} - Z_{j}^{2} \right) dt.$$

Since  $1/\zeta_k^2 - t_k \ge 1/M_j^2 - t_j$ , we obtain a familiar estimate for the first integral:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{t_j}^{t_k} \left( Z_k^2 - Z^2 \right) \, dt \right| \le \log \sqrt{\frac{1 + M_j^2 \left( t_k - t_j \right)}{1 + m_j^2 \left( t_k - t_j \right)}} \le \log \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_j}.$$

Write the second integral as

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_j} \left( Z_k^2 - Z_j^2 \right) \, dt = \log \sqrt{\frac{1/\zeta_j^2 - t_j}{1/\zeta_k^2 - t_k}} + \log \sqrt{\frac{t_j + \left( 1/\zeta_k^2 - t_k \right)}{1/\zeta_j^2}} \\ = \log \sqrt{P_{jk}},$$

where

(4.2) 
$$P_{jk} \doteq \left(1 - \zeta_j^2 t_j\right) \left(1 + \frac{t_j}{1/\zeta_k^2 - t_k}\right) > 0.$$

Since

$$\frac{1/M^2 - T}{t_j + 1/M^2 - T} \le 1 - \zeta_j^2 t_j \le \frac{1/m^2 - T}{t_j + 1/m^2 - T}$$

and

$$\frac{t_j + 1/m^2 - T}{1/m^2 - T} \le 1 + \frac{t_j}{1/\zeta_k^2 - t_k} \le \frac{t_j + 1/M^2 - T}{1/M^2 - T},$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{1/M^2 - T}{1/m^2 - T} \le P_{jk} \le \frac{1/m^2 - T}{1/M^2 - T},$$

Claim 4.5. There are  $0 < Z_* \leq Z^* < \infty$  such that  $Z_j(0) \in [Z_*, Z^*]$  for all j.

Note how

$$1/Z_j^2(0) = 1/\zeta_j^2 - t_j \ge 1/Z_{\max}^2(t_j) - t_j \ge 1/M^2 - T > 0$$

by (2.16) and (2.17), and similarly

$$1/Z_j^2(0) = 1/\zeta_j^2 - t_j \le 1/Z_{\min}^2(t_j) - t_j \le 1/m^2 - T < \infty.$$

Claim 4.6. There are  $\Omega_* \leq \Omega^*$  such that  $\Omega_j \in [\Omega_*, \Omega^*]$  for all j.

Suppose j < k. Then since  $\Omega_j \doteq W(0, t_j)$ , we have

$$\left|\Omega_{k} - \Omega_{j}\right| = \left|W\left(0, t_{k}\right) - W\left(0, t_{j}\right)\right| \leq \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{k}} \left|\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}\right| \, dt = \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{k}} \left|\frac{\partial Z}{\partial s}\right| \, dt \leq \varepsilon_{j}.$$

**Lemma 4.7.** If  $h_{\infty}$  is a locally homogeneous metric with data

 $(\alpha_{\infty}(\theta), \Omega_{\infty}, F)$ 

and  $\{h_j\}$  is a sequence of locally homogeneous metrics with data

 $(\alpha_{j}(\theta), \Omega_{j}, F)$ 

converging to  $(\alpha_{\infty}(\theta), \Omega_{\infty}, F)$  uniformly in  $\theta$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $J_{\varepsilon}$  such that for each  $j \geq J_{\varepsilon}$ 

$$\sup_{\mathcal{M}^3_{\Lambda} \times [0,\infty)} \left| h_j - h_\infty \right|_{h_\infty} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. The integral condition

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}^{1}} Z_{\infty}(0) \ e^{\alpha_{\infty}(\theta)} \ d\theta = 2 \log \lambda_{+} = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{1}} Z_{j}(0) \ e^{\alpha_{j}(\theta)} \ d\theta$$

shows that  $Z_j(0) \to Z_{\infty}(0)$ . For  $\delta > 0$  to be determined, choose  $J_{\varepsilon}$  large enough that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{S}^{1}} \left| \alpha_{\infty} \left( \theta \right) - \alpha_{j} \left( \theta \right) \right| \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{Z_{\infty}^{2} \left( 0 \right)}{Z_{j}^{2} \left( 0 \right)} - 1 \right| \leq \delta$$

for all  $j \geq J_{\varepsilon}$ , and consider

$$(A_{\infty} - A_j)(\theta, t) = (\alpha_{\infty} - \alpha_j)(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( Z_{\infty}^2 - Z_j^2 \right) dt.$$

For any  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  we have the now-familiar inequality

$$\log\left(1 - \frac{|\mu - \lambda|}{\lambda}\right) \le \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{t + \lambda} - \frac{1}{t + \mu}\right) \, dt \le \log\left(1 + \frac{|\mu - \lambda|}{\lambda}\right).$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( Z_\infty^2 - Z_j^2 \right) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{t + 1/Z_\infty^2(0)} - \frac{1}{t + 1/Z_j^2(0)} \right) dt$$

and

$$\frac{\left|1/Z_{j}^{2}\left(0\right)-1/Z_{\infty}^{2}\left(0\right)\right|}{1/Z_{\infty}^{2}\left(0\right)} \leq \delta,$$

we get our first estimate:

$$|(A_{\infty} - A_j)(\theta, t)| \le \delta + \log \sqrt{1+\delta}.$$

Next observe that when  $0 < \delta \le \log 2$  we have  $e^{\delta} \le 1 + 2\delta$  and thus obtain our second estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} |(W_{\infty} - W_j)(\theta, t)| &= |W_{\infty}(\theta, 0) - W_j(\theta, 0)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{\theta} Z_{\infty}(0) \cdot e^{\alpha_{\infty}(u)} du - \int_0^{\theta} Z_j(0) \cdot e^{\alpha_j(u)} du \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{\theta} Z_{\infty}(0) \cdot e^{\alpha_{\infty}(u)} \left| 1 - e^{\alpha_j(u) - \alpha_{\infty}(u)} \right| du \\ &+ \int_0^{\theta} Z_j(0) \cdot e^{\alpha_j(u)} \left| \frac{Z_{\infty}(0)}{Z_j(0)} - 1 \right| du \\ &\leq 3\delta \left( 2 \log \lambda_+ \right). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, it follows that we can make  $|h_{\infty} - h_j|_{h_{\infty}}$  as small as desired by choosing  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$  appropriately.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Note that  $|g - h_{\infty}|_{h_{\infty}}$  will be small if both  $|g - h_j|_{h_j}$ and  $|h_j - h_{\infty}|_{h_{\infty}}$  are. So take the subsequence of metrics  $h_{j_k}$  and times  $t_{j_k}$ given by Lemma 4.2 and pass to a further subsequence according to Lemma 4.7.

### 5. Uniqueness.

Distinct locally homogeneous solv-Gowdy metrics belong to the same equivalence class if and only if they differ merely by a dilation of arc length. In that case, we shall see that they approach one another at the rate C/t, where the constant depends on the initial difference in length of the base circle.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let h and  $h_*$  be locally homogeneous metrics corresponding to the data  $(\alpha(\theta), \Omega, F)$  and  $(\alpha_*(\theta), \Omega_*, F_*)$  respectively. If for some

constant  $\ell$  we have  $\alpha_* \equiv \alpha + \ell$  and  $\Omega_* = \Omega$  and  $F_* = F$ , then h and  $h_*$  quasi-converge with

$$\left|h_{*}-h\right|_{h}=O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).$$

In all other cases, there are  $\delta > 0$  and  $\theta \in S^1$  such that

$$|h_* - h|_h \left(\theta, t\right) \ge \delta$$

for all t > 0, so h and  $h_*$  do not quasi-converge.

*Proof.* We consider three cases.

Case 5.2.  $\alpha_* \equiv \alpha + \ell$ ,  $\Omega_* = \Omega$ ,  $F_* = F$ .

Writing

$$Z(t) = rac{1}{\sqrt{t+1/\zeta^2}}$$
 and  $Z_*(t) = rac{1}{\sqrt{t+1/\zeta^2_*}},$ 

we observe that  $\ell = \log (\zeta/\zeta_*)$ , since by the integral condition (2.13) we have

(5.1) 
$$\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_*} = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{S}^1} e^{\alpha_*(\theta)} d\theta}{\int_{\mathcal{S}^1} e^{\alpha(\theta)} d\theta} = e^{\ell}.$$

It follows that the function

(5.2) 
$$\omega(\theta) \doteq \int_0^\theta \left(\zeta_* e^{\alpha_*(u)} - \zeta e^{\alpha(u)}\right) du$$

is identically zero. So for all  $\theta \in S^1$  and  $t \ge 0$  we have

$$(W_* - W)(\theta, t) = (W_* - W)(\theta, 0) = \Omega_* - \Omega + \omega(\theta) = 0.$$

Now notice that

$$(A_{*} - A)(\theta, t) = (\alpha_{*} - \alpha)(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} (Z_{*}^{2}(\tau) - Z^{2}(\tau)) d\tau = \ell + \phi(t),$$

where

(5.3) 
$$\phi(t) \doteq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \zeta_*^2 t}{1 + \zeta^2 t}.$$

It is clear by (5.1) that  $A_* - A \to 0$  uniformly in  $\theta$  as  $t \to \infty$ . In fact, this identifies the critical rate at which distinct locally homogeneous metrics  $h, h_*$  approach each other, because

$$(e^{2A_*} - e^{2A})(\theta, t) = e^{2A(\theta, t)} (e^{2(\ell + \phi(t))} - 1)$$

and hence

$$|h_* - h|_h = \left| h^{\theta \theta} \left( h_* - h \right)_{\theta \theta} \right| = \left| e^{2(\ell + \phi(t))} - 1 \right| = \frac{\left| 1/\zeta_*^2 - 1/\zeta^2 \right|}{t + 1/\zeta^2}.$$

Case 5.3.  $\alpha_* \equiv \alpha + \ell$ ,  $\Omega_* = \Omega$ ,  $F_* \neq F$ .

Notice that  $W_* - W \equiv 0$  and  $A_* - A \to 0$  as above. Without loss of generality, suppose  $F_* - F = \delta > 0$ . Then for all  $\theta \in S^1$  and  $t \ge 0$  we have

$$e^{F_* + W_*} - e^{F + W} = e^{F + W} \left( e^{F_* - F} - 1 \right) > \delta e^{F + W}$$

and hence

$$|h_* - h|_h \ge |h^{xx} (h_* - h)_{xx}| > \delta > 0.$$

**Case 5.4.** Either  $\alpha_* \neq \alpha + \ell$  or  $\Omega_* \neq \Omega$ .

Observe that we can always find  $\theta$  with

$$(W_* - W)(\theta, 0) = \Omega_* - \Omega + \omega(\theta) \neq 0,$$

since  $\omega$  cannot be identically zero if  $\alpha_* \not\equiv \alpha + \ell$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $(W_* - W)(\theta, 0) = \delta > 0$ . Then if  $F_* \geq F$ , we have

$$e^{F_{*}+W_{*}(\theta,t)} - e^{F+W(\theta,t)} = e^{F+W(\theta,t)} \left( e^{F_{*}-F} e^{\delta} - 1 \right) \ge e^{F+W(\theta,t)} \left( e^{\delta} - 1 \right)$$

for all  $t \ge 0$  and hence

$$|h_* - h|_h (\theta, t) \ge |h^{xx} (h_* - h)_{xx}| (\theta, t) > \delta > 0.$$

On the other hand, if  $F \ge F_*$  we obtain

$$e^{F_* - W_*(\theta, t)} - e^{F - W(\theta, t)} = e^{F - W(\theta, t)} \left( e^{F_* - F} e^{-\delta} - 1 \right) \le e^{F - W(\theta, t)} \left( e^{-\delta} - 1 \right)$$

for all  $t \ge 0$  and thus

$$\left|h_{*}-h\right|_{h}\left(\theta,t\right) \geq \left|h^{yy}\left(h_{*}-h\right)_{yy}\right|\left(\theta,t\right) > \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} > 0.$$

#### References.

- R. Hamilton and J. Isenberg, Quasi-convergence of Ricci flow for a class of metrics, Comm. Anal. Geom. 1:4 (1993), 543–559.
- [2] M. Carfora, J. Isenberg, and M. Jackson, Convergence of the Ricci flow for metrics with indefinite curvature, J. Differential Geom. 31 (1990), 249-263.
- [3] R. Hamilton, Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator, J. Differential Geom. 24 (1986), 153–179.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE WI USA