

## REMARKS ON THE ENTROPY AND HARNACK ESTIMATES FOR THE GAUSS CURVATURE FLOW

RICHARD S. HAMILTON

Consider a smooth compact strictly convex body  $M^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  subject to wear under impact at a random angle, such as a stone being tossed on a beach. The probability of impact at any point  $P$  is proportional to the Gauss curvature  $K$ . Thus the surface evolves in time by the Gauss curvature flow

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -KN$$

where  $N$  is the unit outward normal. This equation was first studied by Firey [Fi]. Later Tso [Ts] showed that the solution exists and stays smooth and strictly convex until  $t \rightarrow T$  for some time  $T$  when the diameter  $L$  shrinks to zero. Thus we can assume  $M^n$  shrinks to the origin  $0$ . Recently Chow [Ch] proved an entropy and a Harnack estimate for this flow. We use these results to derive two useful corollaries.

**Main Theorem 1.** *The diameter  $L$  satisfies a dilation-invariant bound*

$$L \leq C(T - t)^{1/(n+1)}$$

*This follows by combining Chow's upper bound on the entropy with a lower bound in terms of the least shadow area.*

**Main Theorem 2.** *The Gauss curvature  $K$  satisfies a dilation-invariant bound*

$$K \leq \left(\frac{T}{t}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \frac{S}{T-t}$$

*where  $S = \langle P, N \rangle$  is the support function around the limit point  $0$ . This result follows immediately from considering the Harnack estimate along radial lines. Combining these gives us the following.*

**Corollary.** *The Gauss curvature flow satisfies a dilation-invariant bound*

$$K \leq C/(T-t)^{n/(n+1)}.$$

*This follows since  $S \leq L$  everywhere.*

Our appreciation to Ben Chow and Mike Gage for many useful conversations.

1.

In this section we study the entropy integral

$$E = \int K \ell n K da_M$$

where  $da_M$  is the area element on the surface  $M$ .

**1a.** In his important paper [Ch], Ben Chow proves the following result. Let  $E_t$  be the value of the entropy at time  $t$ ,  $E_0$  its initial value, and  $\sigma_n$  the area of the unit  $n$ -sphere.

**1a1 Theorem.** (Chow). *The entropy has an upper bound*

$$E_t \leq E_0 + \sigma_n \ell n (T/T-t)^{n/(n+1)}.$$

*Proof.* See [Ch] p. 481.  $\square$

**1b.** Now we give a useful lower bound on the entropy of any compact convex smooth hypersurface  $M^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

**1b1 Definition.** The least shadow area  $A_\#$  of  $M$  is the least area of the image of  $M$  under any projection onto a hyperplane  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

Again we let  $\sigma_n$  be the area of the unit  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$ , and we define the constant  $C_n < \infty$  by

$$C_n = \int_{S_+^n} \ell n \sec \psi da_s$$

where  $S_+^n$  is the unit northern hemisphere,  $\psi$  is the angle of declination from the north pole, and  $da_s$  is the area element on the sphere. The improper integral is finite because  $\sec \psi$  is inversely proportional to the distance from the equator, and the logarithm grows quite slowly.

**1b2 Theorem.** *The entropy  $E$  has lower bound in terms of the least shadow area  $A_{\#}$  given by*

$$E \geq \sigma_n \ln(\sigma_n/2A_{\#}) - 2C_n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be the projection whose shadow has the least area  $A_{\#}$ . The surface  $M^n$  divides into two regions  $B'$  and  $B''$  such that the projection  $P$  is one-to-one on each region with image  $A_{\#}$ , while the orientation of the projection is opposite on their interiors. We then apply the following Lemma on both  $B'$  and  $B''$  and add. Note that the images of  $B'$  and  $B''$  in the Gauss sphere are two hemispheres of area  $\sigma_n/2$ .  $\square$

**1b3 Lemma.** *Let  $P$  be any projection of a convex surface  $M^n$  on a hyperplane  $R^n$  in  $R^{n+1}$ , let  $B$  be any subset of  $M^n$  with unit normal  $N$  lying in one hemisphere, let  $A_P(B)$  be the area of the image of  $B$  under the projection  $P$ , and let  $A_s(B)$  be the area of the image of  $B$  in the Gauss sphere under the Gauss map  $G$ . Then the entropy of  $B$*

$$E(B) = \int_B K \ln K da_M$$

*has a lower bound*

$$E(B) \geq A_s(B) \ln[A_s(B) / A_P(B)] - C_n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $da_s$ ,  $da_M$ , and  $da_P$  denote the area elements on the Gauss sphere  $S^n$ , the surface  $M^n$  and the plane  $R^n$  which is the image of the projection  $P$ . Rotate so the projection is vertical onto the horizontal hyperplane  $R^n$ , with the normal  $N$  on  $B$  always in the northern hemisphere  $S_+^n$ , and let  $\psi$  be the angle of declination from the north pole. Then

$$da_s = K da_M \quad \text{and} \quad da_M = \sec \psi da_P.$$

This makes

$$\int_{G(B)} 1 da_s = A_s(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{G(B)} \frac{1}{K \sec \psi} da_s = A_P(B)$$

while

$$\int_{G(B)} \ln \left( \frac{1}{K \sec \psi} \right) da_s = -E(B) - C_M.$$

Now Jensen's inequality tells us that the average value of the logarithm is less than or equal to the logarithm of the average, since the logarithm is concave. Hence

$$\int_{G(B)} \ell n \left( \frac{1}{K \sec \psi} \right) \frac{da_s}{\int_{G(B)} 1 da_s} \leq \ell n \left( \int_{G(B)} \frac{1}{K \sec \psi} \frac{da_s}{\int_{G(B)} 1 da_s} \right)$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

**1c.** If we combine the upper bound and the lower bound on the entropy we get a lower bound on the least shadow area  $A_{\#}$ .

**1c1 Theorem.** *The least shadow area  $A_{\#}$  satisfies*

$$A_{\#}(t) \geq C_{\#}(T - t)^{n/(n+1)}$$

where the constant  $C_{\#}$  is given by

$$C_{\#} = \frac{\sigma_n}{2T^{n/(n+1)}} e^{-(E_0 + 2C_n)/\sigma_n}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\sigma_n \ell n(\sigma_n/2A_{\#}) - 2C_n \leq E \leq E_0 + \sigma_n \ell n(T/T - t)^{n/n+1}$$

and the result follows if we solve for  $A_{\#}$ .  $\square$

The bound on the least shadow area gives us a bound on the diameter.

**1d1 Definition.** The diameter  $L$  of a compact convex body  $M$  is the length of the longest line segment contained in  $M$ . The volume  $V$  of  $M$  is the volume of the region enclosed.

**1d2 Lemma.** *The volume  $V$  in the Gauss curvature flow is given by*

$$V = \sigma_n(T - t).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = - \int_M K da_M = -\sigma_n$$

and  $V \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ .  $\square$

**1d3 Theorem.** *The diameter  $L$  in the Gauss curvature flow satisfies dilation-invariant bounds*

$$c(T-t)^{1/(n+1)} \leq L \leq C(T-t)^{1/(n+1)}$$

for some constants  $c > 0$  and  $C < \infty$  which depend only on  $n$ ,  $T$  and  $E_0$ .

The proof depends on a geometric Lemma, which we give first.

**1d4 Lemma.** *For any convex body  $M^n$ , the volume  $V$ , diameter  $L$  and least shadow area  $A_\#$  are related by*

$$V \geq \frac{1}{3} LA_\#.$$

*Proof.* Find a line segment in  $M^n$  with length  $L$ , let  $P$  be the projection orthogonal to it, and let  $A_D$  be the area of the shadow, the projection of  $M^n$  under  $P$  onto a hyperplane. Rotate so the projection  $P$  is vertical onto a horizontal hyperplane  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and translate so the line of length  $L$  lies over the origin  $0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Introduce cylindrical coordinates  $r$ ,  $\theta$  and  $z$ , where  $r$  is the distance from the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$  the angle, and  $z$  the height in the perpendicular direction. The shadow of  $M^n$  under  $P$  will be a set given by an inequality

$$0 \leq r \leq f(\theta)$$

for some function  $f(\theta)$ , and the set  $M^n$  itself will be the graph over this set of two functions  $h_1(r, \theta)$  and  $h_2(r, \theta)$ , while the region inside is given by

$$h_1(r, \theta) \leq z \leq h_2(r, \theta).$$

Note that when  $r = f(\theta)$  we have

$$h_1(f(\theta), \theta) = h_2(f(\theta), \theta) = h(\theta)$$

for some function  $h(\theta)$ . If we translate in the  $z$ -direction so that the line segment of length  $L$  starts at the origin in  $R^{n+1}$ , we get

$$h_1(0, \theta) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(0, \theta) = L$$

for all  $\theta$ .

Since  $h_1$  is concave and  $h_2$  is convex, we must have

$$h_1(r, \theta) \leq \frac{r}{f(\theta)} h(\theta)$$

and

$$h_2(r, \theta) \geq \frac{r}{f(\theta)} h(\theta) + \left[1 - \frac{r}{f(\theta)}\right] L.$$

The volume  $V$  inside  $M^n$  is given by

$$V = \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{r=0}^{f(\theta)} \int_{z=h_1(r,\theta)}^{h_2(r,\theta)} r dz dr d\theta$$

in cylindrical coordinates. Using the above inequalities and doing the two inner integrals gives

$$V \geq \frac{L}{6} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\theta)^2 d\theta.$$

On the other hand, the area of the shadow is given by

$$A_b = \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{r=0}^{f(\theta)} r dr d\theta$$

in polar coordinates, so

$$A_b = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\theta)^2 d\theta$$

which makes

$$V \geq \frac{1}{3} LA_b.$$

Since  $A_{\#}$  is the least shadow area,  $A_b \geq A_{\#}$ . This proves the Lemma.  $\square$

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 1d3. We have

$$L \leq 3V/A_{\#}$$

by the previous Lemma,

$$V = \sigma_n(T - t)$$

by Lemma 1d2, and

$$A_{\#} \geq C_{\#}(T - t)^{n/(n+1)}$$

by Theorem 1c1. This gives

$$L \leq C(T - t)^{1/(n+1)}$$

for a constant  $C$  determined by  $C_{\#}$  and  $n$ . Finally,  $M^n$  must be contained in a ball of radius  $L$ , so

$$V \leq \sigma_n L^{n+1}$$

which gives a lower bound on  $L$  of the form

$$L \geq c(T - t)^{1/(n+1)}$$

where  $c$  depends only on  $n$ .

## 2.

In this section we study the Harnack inequality for the Gauss curvature flow. It tells us that if the Gauss curvature  $K$  is large at some point after some time has elapsed, it must be comparably large at nearby points after some more time has elapsed. This is a common feature in heat equations where some function is always positive, as  $K$  is in this case.

**2a.** The Harnack estimate for the Gauss curvature flow was derived by Chow in [Ch]. He proves the following result (in his Theorem 3.7).

**2a1 Theorem.** (Chow). *For any points  $X_1$  on  $M^n$  at time  $t_1$  and  $X_2$  on  $M^n$  at time  $t_2$  with  $0 < t_1 < t_2$  we have*

$$\frac{K(X_2, t_2)}{K(X_1, t_1)} \geq \left(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} e^{-\theta/4}$$

where  $\theta$  is the integral over any path  $X = X(t)$  on the surface at each time  $t$  with  $X = X_1$  at  $t = t_1$  and  $X = X_2$  at  $t = t_2$  given by

$$\theta = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} K H_{ij}^{-1} V^i V^j dt$$

where  $H_{ij}^{-1}$  is the inverse of the second fundamental form and  $V^i$  is the tangential component of the velocity of the path  $X$ , so that

$$\frac{dX^\alpha}{dt} = -KN^\alpha + V^i D_i X^\alpha$$

in local coordinates on  $M^n$ .

Chow obtains this result by integrating the following differential Harnack inequality over the path.

**2a2 Theorem.** (Chow). *In any solution to the Gauss curvature flow for  $t > 0$ , we have*

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial t} \geq H_{ij}^{-1} D_i K D_j K - \frac{n}{(n+1)t} K.$$

*Proof.* See [Ch], p.478 and multiply by  $K$ .  $\square$

**2b.** A very useful version of the Harnack estimate can be obtained by integrating over a path in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  which is just a straight line segment. Of course the velocity will not be constant, but must be adjusted to stay on the surface  $M^n$  at each time  $t$ . This requires that the line segment from beginning to end must always be transversal to the surface  $M^n$ .

**2b1 Theorem.** *Along any straight line segment transversal to  $M^n$  the function*

$$t^{n/(n+1)} K \sec \theta$$

*always increases, where  $\theta$  is the angle between the line segment and the normal to the surface.*

*Proof.* Suppose the line segment has a unit velocity vector  $U$ . Then

$$\frac{dX^\alpha}{dt} = \sigma U^\alpha$$

where  $\sigma$  must be adjusted to stay on the surface. Dividing  $dX/dt$  into tangential and normal components

$$\frac{dX^\alpha}{dt} = -KN^\alpha + V^i D_i X^\alpha$$

as before. Since  $N \cdot U = -\cos \theta$ , we need to take

$$\sigma = K \sec \theta \quad \text{and} \quad V_i = U^\alpha \cdot D_i X^\alpha$$

as we see by dotting with  $U$  or  $D_j X^\alpha$ .

The space and time derivatives of the unit outward normal  $N$  are given by Chow in [Ch] as

$$D_i N^\alpha = H_{ij} D_j X^\alpha$$

and

$$\frac{\partial N^\alpha}{\partial t} = D_i K D_i X^\alpha.$$



Now along the line segment

$$\frac{dN^\alpha}{dt} = \frac{\partial N^\alpha}{\partial t} + V_i D_i N^\alpha$$

so

$$\frac{dN^\alpha}{dt} = D_i K D_i X^\alpha + H_{ij} V_i D_j X^\alpha.$$

Then

$$\frac{dN}{dt} \cdot \frac{dX}{dt} = V_i D_i K + H_{ij} V_i V_j.$$

Now since  $\sigma = K \sec \theta$ ,

$$N \cdot U = -\cos \theta = -K/\sigma$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 X}{dt^2} = \frac{d\sigma}{dt} U$$

so

$$N \cdot \frac{d^2 X}{dt^2} = -\frac{K}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = -K \frac{d}{dt} \ell n(K \sec \theta).$$

Also

$$N \cdot \frac{dX}{dt} = -K$$

so

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( N \cdot \frac{dX}{dt} \right) = -\frac{dK}{dt}$$

differentiating along the path, where

$$\frac{dK}{dt} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial t} + V_i D_i K.$$

Finally, note that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( N \cdot \frac{dX}{dt} \right) = N \cdot \frac{d^2 X}{dt^2} + \frac{dN}{dt} \cdot \frac{dX}{dt}.$$

Combining these results gives

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial t} + 2V_i D_i K + H_{ij} V_i V_j = K \frac{d}{dt} \ell n(K \sec \theta)$$

along the straight line segment.

Now Chow's differential Harnack inequality (Theorem 2a2) is equivalent to the statement that

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial t} + 2V_i D_i K + H_{ij} V_i V_j \geq -\frac{n}{(n+1)t} K$$

for any vector  $V_i$ , as the worst possible choice of  $V_i$  is

$$V_i = -H_{ij}^{-1} D_j K$$

and even this works. Therefore

$$K \frac{d}{dt} \ell n(K \sec \theta) \geq -\frac{n}{(n+1)t} K$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ell n(t^{n/(n+1)} K \sec \theta) \geq 0$$

which shows  $t^{n/(n+1)} K \sec \theta$  increases along the line segment, as desired.  $\square$

**2c.** We can apply this result to radial paths out of the limit point 0, since they must all be transversal to the flow.

**2c1 Theorem.** *The Gauss curvature satisfies the bound*

$$K \leq \left(\frac{T}{t}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \frac{S}{T-t}$$

where  $S = \langle P, W \rangle$  is the support function around the limit point 0.

*Proof.* The angle  $\theta$  between the radial line and the unit normal  $N$  is given by

$$S = \langle P, W \rangle = r \cos \theta$$

where  $r = |P|$  is the distance to 0. Now along the radial line

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = -K \sec \theta$$

and we know

$$t^{n/(n+1)} K \sec \theta$$

is increasing. Thus for  $t_0 \leq t < T$  we get

$$t^{n/(n+1)} K \sec \theta \geq t_0^{n/(n+1)} K_0 \sec \theta_0$$

and using  $t < T$  and

$$\sec \theta_0 = r_0/S_0$$

we get

$$r_0(t_0/T)^{n/(n+1)} K_0/S_0 \leq -\frac{dr}{dt}.$$

Now

$$\int_{t_0}^T -\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right) dt = r_0$$

so we have

$$r_0(t_0/T)^{n/(n+1)}(T-t_0)K_0/S_0 \leq r_0$$

after integrating, or

$$K_0 \leq \left(\frac{T}{t_0}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \frac{S}{T-t_0}$$

which proves the Theorem if we replace  $t_0$  by  $t$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Ch] Chow, B., *On Harnack's inequality and entropy for the Gaussian Curvature Flow*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **XLIV** (1991), 469–483.
- [F] Firey, W., *Shapes of worm stones*, Mathematica **21** (1974), 1–11.
- [Ts] Tso, K., *Deforming a hypersurface by its Gauss-Kronecker curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 867–882.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, U. S. A.

RECEIVED SEPTEMBER 27, 1993