New symmetries of QED

DANIEL KAPEC, MONICA PATE, AND ANDREW STROMINGER

The soft photon theorem in $U(1)$ gauge theories with only massless charged particles has recently been shown to be the Ward identity of an infinite-dimensional asymptotic symmetry group. This symmetry group is comprised of gauge transformations which approach angle-dependent constants at null infinity. In this paper, we extend the analysis to all $U(1)$ theories, including those with massive charged particles such as QED.

1. Introduction

The soft photon theorem [1–5] has played a ubiquitous role in the study of QED and more general abelian gauge theories. For example, it is essential for taming otherwise uncontrollable infrared divergences in the $\mathcal{S}$-matrix and is central to the analysis of jet substructure. Recent considerations [6–14] have demonstrated that, in abelian gauge theories with only massless charged particles, the soft theorem is a Ward identity of an infinite-dimensional symmetry group comprised of certain 'large' gauge transformations which do not die off at infinity. These symmetries are spontaneously broken and the soft photons are the Goldstone bosons. This is but one instance of a recently-discovered universal triangle connecting soft theorems, symmetries and memory in gauge and gravitational theories [10–34].

Of course in the real world QED has massive, not massless, charged particles. Hence, it is desirable to extend our results to the massive case. That goal is achieved in this paper. As seen below, the massive case is rather more subtle than the massless one and requires a careful analysis of timelike infinity.

We hope that the identification given herein of the symmetry which controls the electromagnetic soft behavior of QED and more generally, the Standard Model will have practical utility for organizing and predicting a variety of physical phenomena.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we establish conventions and review relevant aspects of abelian gauge theories and their

asymptotic symmetries. In section 3, we discuss the asymptotic states, derive the Ward identity of the asymptotic symmetries, and demonstrate its equivalence to the soft photon theorem.

A key ingredient of our analysis is that, in physical applications, the electromagnetic field is generically[1] not smooth near spatial infinity i^0. Rather it obeys a matching condition near i^0 which identifies its value at the future of past null infinity ($\mathcal{I}^-_+$) with its value at the antipodal point on the sphere at the past of future null infinity ($\mathcal{I}^+_-$). In the appendix, we show in detail how this follows from the standard Lienard-Wiechert formulae.

After completion of this work, we received the eprint [35] by Campiglia and Laddha who independently arrive at the same conclusions. They use an elegant method involving a natural hyperbolic slicing of Minkowski space.

2. Abelian gauge theory with massive matter

We consider the theory of an abelian gauge field A_μ coupled to massive matter fields Ψ_i with charges eQ_i, where Q_i is an integer, in Minkowski space. In retarded coordinates, the Minkowski metric reads

$$(2.1) \qquad ds^2 = -dt^2 + (dx^i)^2 = -du^2 - 2dudr + 2r^2\gamma_{z\bar{z}}dzd\bar{z},$$

where u is retarded time and $\gamma_{z\bar{z}}$ is the round metric on the unit radius S^2 with covariant derivative D_z. The S^2 coordinates $(z, \bar{z})$ are related to standard Cartesian coordinates by

$$(2.2) \qquad r^2 = x_i x^i, \quad u = t - r, \quad x^i = r\hat{x}^i(z, \bar{z}).$$

In retarded coordinates, future null infinity ($\mathcal{I}^+$) is the null hypersurface $(r = \infty, u, z, \bar{z})$.

Near past null infinity ($\mathcal{I}^-$), we work in advanced coordinates $(v, r, z, \bar{z})$ with line element

$$(2.3) \qquad ds^2 = -dv^2 + 2dvdr + 2r^2\gamma_{z\bar{z}}dzd\bar{z}.$$

Advanced coordinates are given by

$$(2.4) \qquad r^2 = x_i x^i, \quad v = t + r, \quad x^i = -r\hat{x}^i(z, \bar{z}),$$

---

[1]For instance when, as in electron-positron scattering, the dipole moment is not constant in the far past or future.

and $\mathcal{I}^-$ corresponds to the null hypersurface $(r = \infty, v, z, \bar{z})$. Note in particular that the angular coordinates on $\mathcal{I}^+$ are antipodally related to those on $\mathcal{I}^-$ so that a light ray passing through the interior of Minkowski space reaches the same value of $z, \bar{z}$ at both $\mathcal{I}^+$ and $\mathcal{I}^-$. We denote the future (past) boundary of $\mathcal{I}^+$ by $\mathcal{I}^+_+$ ($\mathcal{I}^+_-$), and the future (past) boundary of $\mathcal{I}^-$ by $\mathcal{I}^-_+$ ($\mathcal{I}^-_-$).

We consider theories with a $U(1)$ gauge field strength $F = dA$ subject to the Maxwell equation

$$(2.5) \qquad \nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = e^2 J_\nu,$$

where J_ν is the matter charge current. This is invariant under the gauge transformations

$$(2.6) \qquad A_\mu(x) \to A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \varepsilon(x), \qquad \Psi_i(x) \to e^{iQ_i \varepsilon(x)} \Psi_i(x),$$

where $\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon + 2\pi$ and Ψ_i is a wavefunction or field. Gauge transformations that vanish at infinity correspond to redundant descriptions of the same physical state and can be eliminated by a choice of gauge. However, as in the massless case [11], we are interested in certain angle-dependent large gauge transformations which act non-trivially on physical states.

2.1. Asymptotics

We now analyze the behavior of the theory near $\mathcal{I}^+$ in retarded radial gauge

$$(2.7) \qquad A_r = 0, \qquad A_u|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = 0.$$

This gauge choice leaves unfixed a class of residual large gauge transformations parameterized by an arbitrary function $\varepsilon^+(z, \bar{z})$ on S^2. These gauge transformations change boundary data at $\mathcal{I}^+$ and are to be regarded as physical symmetries of the theory. Near $\mathcal{I}^+$, we assume the asymptotic expansion

$$(2.8) \qquad A_u = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{A_u^{(n)}(u, z, \bar{z})}{r^n}, \qquad A_z = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{A_z^{(n)}(u, z, \bar{z})}{r^n}.$$

A similar asymptotic expansion holds for fields near $\mathcal{I}^-$.

We are interested in scattering processes for which the initial and final states consist of non-interacting massive charges moving at constant velocities. Hence, we require that the only contribution to the electric and magnetic fields at future/past timelike infinity $(i^\pm, t \to \pm\infty)$ are those fields sourced

by the constant velocity massive charges, and that the magnetic fields vanish at spatial infinity:

$$(2.9) \qquad F_{z\bar{z}}|_{\mathcal{I}_-^+} = 0, \qquad F_{z\bar{z}}|_{\mathcal{I}_+^-} = 0.$$

In retarded coordinates, Maxwell's equations read

$$(2.10) \qquad r^{-2}\partial_r(r^2 F_{ru}) - \partial_u F_{ru} + r^{-2}(D^z F_{zu} + D^{\bar{z}} F_{\bar{z}u}) = e^2 J_u,$$
$$(2.11) \qquad r^{-2}\partial_r(r^2 F_{ru}) + r^{-2}(D^z F_{zr} + D^{\bar{z}} F_{\bar{z}r}) = e^2 J_r,$$
$$(2.12) \qquad \partial_r(F_{rz} - F_{uz}) - \partial_u F_{rz} + r^{-2}D^{\bar{z}} F_{\bar{z}z} = e^2 J_z.$$

Massive particles with finite energy cannot reach $\mathcal{I}$, so the matter current vanishes at this surface:

$$(2.13) \qquad J_\mu|_{\mathcal{I}} = 0.$$

The leading order equation for the evolution of the gauge field along $\mathcal{I}^+$ is then given by

$$(2.14) \qquad \partial_u F_{ru}^{(2)} + \partial_u(D^z A_z^{(0)} + D^{\bar{z}} A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)}) = 0.$$

The free data at this order includes the boundary data $F_{ru}^{(2)}|_{\mathcal{I}_-^+}$ along with the radiative mode $A_z^{(0)}(u, z, \bar{z})$.

In advanced coordinates, we can perform the analogous large-r expansion near $\mathcal{I}^-$ and obtain the leading order equation

$$(2.15) \qquad \partial_v F_{rv}^{(2)} - \partial_v(D^z A_z^{(0)} + D^{\bar{z}} A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)}) = 0.$$

The free data at this boundary includes the field strength boundary data $F_{rv}^{(2)}|_{\mathcal{I}_+^-}$ along with the radiative mode $A_z^{(0)}(v, z, \bar{z})$. The residual large gauge symmetry is parameterized by an arbitrary function $\varepsilon^-(z, \bar{z})$ on S^2.

2.2. Matching near spatial infinity

The above discussion treats the asymptotic dynamics at $\mathcal{I}^+$ and $\mathcal{I}^-$ separately. However, to study the semiclassical scattering problem, we must first specify how to relate free data and symmetry transformations at $\mathcal{I}^+$ to their

counterparts at $\mathcal{I}^-$. Generic solutions to the sourced Maxwell equations satisfy[2]

$$(2.16) \qquad F_{ru}^{(2)}(z,\bar{z})|_{\mathcal{I}_-^+} = F_{rv}^{(2)}(z,\bar{z})|_{\mathcal{I}_+^-}.$$

Recalling that, according to (2.2) and (2.4), the points labelled by the same $(z,\bar{z})$ in retarded and advanced coordinates are antipodally related, this equates the boundary values of past and future fields at antipodal points near spatial infinity i^0. As discussed in [11, 31], a CPT and Lorentz-invariant matching condition for the gauge field is given by

$$(2.17) \qquad A_z(z,\bar{z})\big|_{\mathcal{I}_-^+} = A_z(z,\bar{z})\big|_{\mathcal{I}_+^-}.$$

Requiring that the large gauge transformations preserve this matching condition gives:

$$(2.18) \qquad \varepsilon^+(z,\bar{z}) = \varepsilon^-(z,\bar{z}).$$

This matching condition singles out a canonical diagonal subgroup of the large gauge transformations at $\mathcal{I}^+$ and $\mathcal{I}^-$. The corresponding gauge parameters are constant along the null generators of $\mathcal{I}$ and generate nontrivial physical symmetries of the $\mathcal{S}$-matrix.

2.3. Mode expansions

The standard mode expansion for the gauge field in the plane wave basis takes the form

$$(2.19) \quad A_\mu(u,r,z,\bar{z}) = e\sum_\alpha \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\omega_q}[\varepsilon_\mu^{*\alpha}(\vec{q})a_\alpha(\vec{q})e^{iq\cdot x} + \varepsilon_\mu^\alpha(\vec{q})a_\alpha(\vec{q})^\dagger e^{-iq\cdot x}].$$

The free data is contained in the $\mathcal{O}(r^0)$ term in this expansion, which we may isolate using the saddle point approximation:

$$(2.20) \quad A_z^{(0)}(u,z,\bar{z}) = -\frac{ie}{2(2\pi)^2}\partial_z\hat{x}^i$$
$$\times \sum_\alpha \int_0^\infty d\omega_q[\varepsilon_i^{*\alpha}a_\alpha(\omega_q\hat{x})e^{-i\omega_q u} - \varepsilon_i^\alpha a_\alpha(\omega_q\hat{x})^\dagger e^{i\omega_q u}].$$

---

[2]See appendix for an expanded discussion of this matching condition.

To extract the contribution from the zero modes, we define the following operator:

$$
(2.21) \quad F_{uz}^{\omega}(z, \bar{z}) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \, e^{i\omega u} \partial_u A_z^{(0)}(u, z, \bar{z})
$$

$$
= -\frac{e}{4\pi} \partial_z \hat{x}^i \sum_{\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega_q \, \omega_q \left[\varepsilon_i^{*\alpha} a_\alpha(\omega_q \hat{x}) \delta(\omega - \omega_q) \right.
$$

$$
\left. + \varepsilon_i^\alpha a_\alpha(\omega_q \hat{x})^\dagger \delta(\omega + \omega_q) \right].
$$

We can separate this operator into its positive and negative frequency components

$$
(2.22) \quad
\begin{aligned}
F_{uz}^{\omega}(z, \bar{z}) &= -\frac{e\omega}{4\pi} \partial_z \hat{x}^i \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_i^{*\alpha} a_\alpha(\omega \hat{x}), \\
F_{uz}^{-\omega}(z, \bar{z}) &= -\frac{e\omega}{4\pi} \partial_z \hat{x}^i \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_i^\alpha a_\alpha(\omega \hat{x})^\dagger,
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\omega > 0$ in both expressions. The zero mode is then given by

$$
(2.23) \quad F_{uz}^0(z, \bar{z}) \equiv \tfrac{1}{2} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \left(F_{uz}^{\omega} + F_{uz}^{-\omega} \right)
$$

$$
= -\frac{e}{8\pi} \partial_z \hat{x}^i \lim_{\omega \to 0} \sum_{\alpha} \left[\omega \varepsilon_i^{*\alpha} a_\alpha(\omega \hat{x}) + \omega \varepsilon_i^\alpha a_\alpha(\omega \hat{x})^\dagger \right],
$$

and creates/annihilates soft photons. An analogous construction holds at $\mathcal{I}^-$ with the incoming soft photon operator given by

$$
(2.24) \quad F_{vz}^0(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{e}{8\pi} \partial_z \hat{x}^i \lim_{\omega \to 0} \sum_{\alpha} \left[\omega \varepsilon_i^{*\alpha} a_\alpha(-\omega \hat{x}) + \omega \varepsilon_i^\alpha a_\alpha(-\omega \hat{x})^\dagger \right].
$$

2.4. Lienard-Wiechert fields

In the analysis that follows, we will need expressions for the electric field due to moving point charges, commonly known as Lienard-Wiechert fields. The radial electric field due to a single particle of charge eQ, moving with constant velocity $\vec{\beta}$ and passing through the origin at $t = 0$ is given by

$$
(2.25) \quad E_r(t, r, z, \bar{z}) = \frac{Qe^2}{4\pi} \frac{\gamma(r - t\hat{x}(z, \bar{z}) \cdot \vec{\beta})}{|\gamma^2[t - r\hat{x}(z, \bar{z}) \cdot \vec{\beta}]^2 - t^2 + r^2|^{3/2}}.
$$

Here $\hat{x}(z, \bar{z})$ is a unit vector specifying a point on the sphere and $\gamma^{-2} = 1 - \beta^2$.

The Lienard-Wiechert field near $\mathcal{I}^+$ due to a set of particles, each with charge eQ_k and moving with constant velocity $\vec{\beta}_k$ is derived by taking a superposition of the single-particle fields (2.25), writing them in retarded coordinates, and taking the large-r limit with $u = t - r$ held fixed

$$(2.26) \qquad E_r^+(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_k \frac{Q_k e^2}{4\pi \gamma_k^2 r^2} \frac{1}{[1 - \hat{x}(z, \bar{z}) \cdot \vec{\beta}_k]^2}.$$

Likewise, the field near $\mathcal{I}^-$ is derived by taking the large-r limit of the field (2.25) in advanced coordinates with fixed v

$$(2.27) \qquad E_r^-(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_k \frac{Q_k e^2}{4\pi \gamma_k^2 r^2} \frac{1}{[1 + \hat{x}(z, \bar{z}) \cdot \vec{\beta}_k]^2}.$$

Importantly, the Lienard-Wiechert formula (2.25) implies that the value of E_r near spatial infinity i^0 depends on how it is approached. In particular, E_r^+ and E_r^- at a fixed angle from the origin are not in general equal near i^0: rather they obey the antipodal matching condition (2.16).[3]

For unaccelerated charges, the asymptotic electric field and the asymptotic magnetic field $\vec{B} = \hat{x} \times \vec{E}$ are time-independent. Since the "hard" radiative photons involved in the scattering process exit/enter $\mathcal{I}^\pm$ at finite values of retarded/advanced time, the electromagnetic fields at $\mathcal{I}_+^+$ and $\mathcal{I}_-^-$ arise solely from the collection of charged particles long after/before the scattering process occurs and thus are of the form given above.

3. Symmetries of the $\mathcal{S}$-matrix

In this section we determine the phase associated to a large gauge transformation on an asymptotic massive charged particle state, find the $\mathcal{S}$-matrix Ward identity and finally demonstrate its equivalence to the soft photon theorem.

3.1. Gauge transformations of asymptotic states

Outgoing massless particles of charge eQ and momentum p, as considered in [11], pierce $\mathcal{I}^+$ at a definite point $(z(p), \bar{z}(p))$. The associated out-state

---

[3]The constant-velocity trajectory considered in this section gives rise to a Lienard-Wiechert field that is insensitive to the choice of Green's function. In the appendix, we consider slightly more complicated trajectories to demonstrate that this matching condition holds for a generic Green's function.

therefore acquires a phase

$$|p\rangle_{out} \to e^{iQ\varepsilon(z(p),\bar{z}(p))}|p\rangle_{out} \qquad (3.1)$$

under a large gauge transformation. Here we are interested in massive particles that never reach $\mathcal{I}^+$, so determining the associated phase is more subtle. There is no canonical point on the S^2 associated to a massive particle in the plane wave basis.[4] Indeed a massive particle with zero three-momentum is rotationally invariant. In this subsection, we use the Lienard-Wiechert formula to determine the analog of the phase (3.1).

The asymptotic states associated to the QED $\mathcal{S}$-matrix are typically taken to be free photons and "bare" non-interacting charged particles. However, the "bare" electron states are not strictly speaking bare (nor are they non-interacting) in the sense that they source non-vanishing electromagnetic fields. These long-range fields accompanying the scattering states are responsible for the infrared divergences in the loop-level matrix elements: even widely separated electrons experience a non-zero acceleration, causing them to bremsstrahlung radiate infinite numbers of low-energy photons. Physically, it is impossible to separate a charged particle from its electromagnetic field. Mathematically, the ability to do so would violate Gauss's law, which is a constraint in the quantum theory in physical gauges:

$$\left[\nabla \cdot \vec{E}(x,t) - e^2 \rho(x,t)\right]|phys\rangle = 0. \qquad (3.2)$$

This sourced electromagnetic field can be treated as a classical background, while the transverse photons describe excitations of the quantized, unsourced electromagnetic field. Since outgoing charged particle states with momentum $p^\mu = \gamma m[1, \vec{\beta}]$ source Lienard-Wiechert fields, the action of the electric field at $\mathcal{I}^+_+$ is non-trivial and given by

$$E_r(r,z,\bar{z})|p\rangle_{out} = \left[\frac{Qe^2}{4\pi\gamma^2 r^2}\frac{1}{[1 - \hat{x}(z,\bar{z})\cdot\vec{\beta}]^2}\right]|p\rangle_{out}. \qquad (3.3)$$

---

[4]Since plane waves of massless particles localize to points on the conformal sphere at null infinity, they are related to local operator insertions on that sphere. Likewise, massive particles in boost eigenstates are also associated to local points on the sphere.

Then, since gauge transformations are generated by the electric charge operator, under a large gauge transformation, such a state acquires a phase

$$(3.4) \qquad |p\rangle_{out} \rightarrow \exp\left[i\frac{1}{e^2}\int_{\mathcal{I}_+^+} d^2z \ \gamma_{z\bar{z}}\varepsilon^+ F_{ru}^{(2)}\right]|p\rangle_{out}$$

$$= \exp\left[i\int_{\mathcal{I}_+^+} d^2z\gamma_{z\bar{z}}\varepsilon^+\left(\frac{1}{4\pi\gamma^2}\frac{Q}{[1-\hat{x}(z,\bar{z})\cdot\vec{\beta}]^2}\right)\right]|p\rangle_{out}.$$

Similarly, in-states transform as

$$(3.5) \qquad |p\rangle_{in} \rightarrow \exp\left[i\int_{\mathcal{I}_-} d^2z\gamma_{z\bar{z}}\varepsilon^-\left(\frac{1}{4\pi\gamma^2}\frac{Q}{[1+\hat{x}(z,\bar{z})\cdot\vec{\beta}]^2}\right)\right]|p\rangle_{in}.$$

For an n-particle state, the phase will be a sum of n such terms. This phase replaces the much simpler expression (3.1) for massless particles but nevertheless, as will be seen shortly, precisely reproduces the soft factor for massive particles.

3.2. Ward identity

We are now in a position to discuss the symmetries of the $\mathcal{S}$-matrix. The symmetry transformations (2.6) for massless matter fields have already been analyzed in [11], where it was demonstrated that the charge

$$(3.6) \qquad Q_\varepsilon^+ = \frac{1}{e^2}\int_{\mathcal{I}_-^+} d^2z\gamma_{z\bar{z}}\varepsilon^+(z,\bar{z})F_{ru}^{(2)}(z,\bar{z})$$

generates the correct $\mathcal{I}^+$ symmetry transformation on the gauge field and matter fields. The form of this charge is essentially fixed by the transformation law for the gauge field. We can use the leading order Maxwell equation (2.14) to turn this expression into an integral over $\mathcal{I}^+$. As discussed in section 2.4, the existence of massive particles generates charge flux through future timelike infinity, so the local charge operator takes the form

$$Q_\varepsilon^+ = \frac{1}{e^2}\int_{\mathcal{I}^+} \gamma_{z\bar{z}}dud^2z \ \varepsilon^+\partial_u(D^zA_z^{(0)}+D^{\bar{z}}A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)}) + \frac{1}{e^2}\int_{\mathcal{I}_+^+} d^2z \ \gamma_{z\bar{z}}\varepsilon^+F_{ru}^{(2)}$$

$$(3.7) \quad \equiv \frac{1}{e^2}\int_{S^2} \gamma_{z\bar{z}}d^2z \ \varepsilon^+(D^zF_{uz}^0+D^{\bar{z}}F_{u\bar{z}}^0) + \frac{1}{e^2}\int_{\mathcal{I}_+^+} d^2z \ \gamma_{z\bar{z}}\varepsilon^+F_{ru}^{(2)}.$$

The first piece of the charge is written in terms of the soft photon operator and will be referred to as the soft charge Q_S^+. If we consider the fixed-angle

charge by choosing $\varepsilon(z, \bar{z}) = \delta^2(z - w)$, then the second term is simply the radial electric field in the direction $(w, \bar{w})$ resulting from the charged particles in the final state at i^+. We label this term the hard charge Q_H^+. It differs from the expression for the hard charge in the massless case which involves an integral over $\mathcal{I}^+$.

An analogous computation can be performed at $\mathcal{I}^-$, where the hard charge encodes the radial electric field of the charged particles in the initial state at i^-. The charge is given by

$$(3.8) \quad Q_\varepsilon^- = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{S^2} \gamma_{z\bar{z}} d^2 z \; \varepsilon^- (D^z F_{vz}^0 + D^{\bar{z}} F_{v\bar{z}}^0) + \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{\mathcal{I}_-^-} d^2 z \; \gamma_{z\bar{z}} \varepsilon^- F_{rv}^{(2)}$$

$$\equiv Q_S^- + Q_H^-.$$

The statement that the transformations (2.6) are symmetries of the $\mathcal{S}$-matrix is equivalent to the statement that the charges (3.7) and (3.8) commute with the $\mathcal{S}$-matrix:

$$(3.9) \qquad \langle \text{out} | \left(Q_\varepsilon^+ \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S} Q_\varepsilon^- \right) | \text{in} \rangle = 0.$$

In order to facilitate comparison with the soft theorem, we separate the hard and soft contributions and rearrange the Ward identity:

$$(3.10) \qquad \langle \text{out} | \left(Q_S^+ \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S} Q_S^- \right) | \text{in} \rangle = - \langle \text{out} | \left(Q_H^+ \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S} Q_H^- \right) | \text{in} \rangle.$$

3.3. Soft theorem → Ward identity

The soft photon theorem for the emission of an outgoing photon in a scattering process with m incoming hard particles and $(n - m)$ outgoing hard particles reads

$$(3.11) \qquad \lim_{\omega \to 0} \omega \langle p_{m+1}, \ldots | a_\alpha(q) \mathcal{S} | p_1, \ldots \rangle$$

$$= e\omega \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} Q_k \frac{p_k \cdot \varepsilon_\alpha}{p_k \cdot q} - \sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k \frac{p_k \cdot \varepsilon_\alpha}{p_k \cdot q} \right] \langle p_{m+1}, \ldots | \mathcal{S} | p_1, \ldots \rangle.$$

A null momentum vector is uniquely specified by an energy and a point z on the asymptotic sphere, and so we parameterize the photon's momentum as

$$(3.12) \qquad q^\mu = \omega[1, \hat{x}(z, \bar{z})] \equiv \omega \hat{q}^\mu(z, \bar{z}),$$

where $\hat{x} : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is an embedding of the sphere into flat three-dimensional space.

We parameterize a massive particle's momentum as

$$(3.13) \qquad p_k^\mu = \gamma_k m_k[1, \vec{\beta}_k],$$

where m is the rest mass of the particle, $\vec{\beta}$ is the particle's velocity and γ is the relativistic factor $\gamma^{-2} = 1 - \beta^2$.

We can relate the left-hand side of equation (3.11) to the zero mode operator defined in equation (2.23) by taking a weighted sum over polarizations. If we perform the analogous operation on the right-hand side and use the identity

$$(3.14) \qquad \partial_z \hat{x}^i(z, \bar{z}) \sum_\alpha \varepsilon_i^{*\alpha} \frac{p_k \cdot \varepsilon_\alpha}{p_k \cdot \hat{q}(z, \bar{z})} = \partial_z \log(p_k \cdot \hat{q}),$$

the soft theorem can be written

$$(3.15) \qquad \langle p_{m+1}, \ldots | F_{uz}^0 \mathcal{S} | p_1, \ldots \rangle$$
$$= -\frac{e^2}{8\pi} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^n Q_k \, \partial_z \log(p_k \cdot \hat{q}) - \sum_{k=1}^m Q_k \, \partial_z \log(p_k \cdot \hat{q}) \right]$$
$$\times \langle p_{m+1}, \ldots | \mathcal{S} | p_1, \ldots \rangle.$$

The soft photon theorem for an incoming soft photon reads

$$(3.16) \qquad \lim_{\omega \to 0} \omega \langle p_{m+1}, \ldots | \mathcal{S} a_\alpha(q)^\dagger | p_1, \ldots \rangle$$
$$= -e\omega \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^n Q_k \frac{p_k \cdot \varepsilon_\alpha^*}{p_k \cdot q} - \sum_{k=1}^m Q_k \frac{p_k \cdot \varepsilon_\alpha^*}{p_k \cdot q} \right] \langle p_{m+1}, \ldots | \mathcal{S} | p_1, \ldots \rangle.$$

An identical calculation yields

$$(3.17) \qquad \langle p_{m+1}, \ldots | \mathcal{S} F_{vz}^0 | p_1, \ldots \rangle$$
$$= \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^n Q_k \, \partial_z \log(p_k \cdot \hat{q}') - \sum_{k=1}^m Q_k \, \partial_z \log(p_k \cdot \hat{q}') \right]$$
$$\times \langle p_{m+1}, \ldots | \mathcal{S} | p_1, \ldots \rangle,$$

where $\hat{q}' = [1, -\hat{x}^i(z, \bar{z})]$. Taking the divergence of each equation, using global charge conservation, and integrating against the respective gauge parameter, we find

$$(3.18) \quad \langle p_{m+1}, \dots | \left(\int_{S^2} d^2 z \gamma_{z\bar{z}} \varepsilon^+ (D^z F^0_{uz} + D^{\bar{z}} F^0_{u\bar{z}}) \right) \mathcal{S} | p_1, \dots \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} d^2 z \gamma_{z\bar{z}} \varepsilon^+ r^2 \Big([E^+_r]_{\text{out}} - [E^+_r]_{\text{in}} \Big) \langle p_{m+1}, \dots | \mathcal{S} | p_1, \dots \rangle$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \langle p_{m+1}, \dots | \mathcal{S} \left(\int_{S^2} d^2 z \gamma_{z\bar{z}} \varepsilon^- (D^z F^0_{vz} + D^{\bar{z}} F^0_{v\bar{z}}) \right) | p_1, \dots \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} d^2 z \gamma_{z\bar{z}} \varepsilon^- r^2 \Big([E^-_r]_{\text{out}} - [E^-_r]_{\text{in}} \Big) \langle p_{m+1}, \dots | \mathcal{S} | p_1, \dots \rangle.$$

Taking the difference and using the matching conditions (2.16)–(2.18), we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \langle p_{m+1}, \dots | Q^+_S \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S} Q^-_S | p_1, \dots \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{1}{e^2} \int_{S^2} d^2 z \gamma_{z\bar{z}} r^2 \Big(\varepsilon^+ E_r \big|_{\mathcal{I}^+_+} - \varepsilon^- E_r \big|_{\mathcal{I}^-_-} \Big) \langle p_{m+1}, \dots | \mathcal{S} | p_1, \dots \rangle$$

$$= -\langle p_{m+1}, \dots | Q^+_H \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S} Q^-_H | p_1, \dots \rangle.$$

This precisely reproduces the Ward identity (3.10).

In conclusion, while the details are more intricate than the massless case, the soft photon theorem is the Ward identity of an infinite-dimensional asymptotic symmetry group for abelian gauge theories with massive particles. We expect similar conclusions apply to other contexts such as non-abelian gauge theory and gravity.

Acknowledgments

Appendix A. Gauge field strength near i^0

In this section, we consider an idealized semiclassical scattering process in which m incoming massive particles with constant velocities $\{\vec{\beta}_1, \dots, \vec{\beta}_m\}$ scatter to $(n - m)$ outgoing massive particles with constant velocities

$\{\vec{\beta}_{m+1}, \ldots, \vec{\beta}_n\}$. For scattering occurring at the origin at $t = 0$, the semiclassical electromagnetic current is given by

$$(A.1) \qquad j_\mu(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m Q_k \int d\tau U_\mu^k \Theta(-\tau) \delta^{(4)}(x - U^k \tau)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=m+1}^n Q_k \int d\tau U_\mu^k \Theta(\tau) \delta^{(4)}(x - U^k \tau),$$

where $U_\mu^k = \gamma_k[1, \vec{\beta}_k]$ is the 4-velocity of the kth particle. Ignoring the radiative contributions arising from the infinite acceleration of particles at the origin, the field strength sourced by this current takes the form

$$(A.2) \qquad F_{rt}(x) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{g(x; \vec{\beta}_k) \, Q_k \gamma_k (r - t\hat{x} \cdot \vec{\beta}_k)}{|\gamma_k^2[t - r\hat{x} \cdot \vec{\beta}_k]^2 - t^2 + r^2|^{3/2}}$$

$$+ \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{h(x; \vec{\beta}_k) \, Q_k \gamma_k (r - t\hat{x} \cdot \vec{\beta}_k)}{|\gamma_k^2[t - r\hat{x} \cdot \vec{\beta}_k]^2 - t^2 + r^2|^{3/2}},$$

where the functional form of g and h depends on the choice of Green's function.

For the retarded solution, the asymptotic behavior of g and h is given by

$$(A.3) \qquad g(r = \infty, u, \hat{x}; \vec{\beta}_k) = \Theta(-u), \qquad h(r = \infty, u, \hat{x}; \vec{\beta}_k) = \Theta(u),$$

$$(A.4) \qquad g(r = \infty, v, \hat{x}; \vec{\beta}_k) = 1, \qquad h(r = \infty, v, \hat{x}; \vec{\beta}_k) = 0.$$

The electric field at $\mathcal{I}_-^+$ is obtained by working in retarded coordinates and taking the limit $r \to \infty$, followed by the limit $u \to -\infty$. This electric field will be of the form (2.26), but only receives contributions from the incoming particles. On the other hand, the electric field at $\mathcal{I}_+^-$ is obtained by working in advanced coordinates and taking the limit $r \to \infty$, followed by the limit $v \to +\infty$. The electric field measured at $\mathcal{I}_+^-$ will be of the form (2.27), but will also only receive contributions from the incoming particles, thereby satisfying the matching condition (2.16).

Likewise, for the advanced solution, the asymptotic behavior of g and h is given by

$$(A.5) \qquad g(r = \infty, u, \hat{x}; \vec{\beta}_k) = 0, \qquad h(r = \infty, u, \hat{x}; \vec{\beta}_k) = 1,$$

$$(A.6) \qquad g(r = \infty, v, \hat{x}; \vec{\beta}_k) = \Theta(-v), \qquad h(r = \infty, v, \hat{x}; \vec{\beta}_k) = \Theta(v).$$

Hence, the advanced solution also obeys the matching condition (2.16) near i^0, but in contrast to the retarded solution, only receives contributions from the outgoing particles. Moreover, linear combinations of the advanced and retarded solutions evaluated near i^0 will obey the matching condition and receive contributions from both outgoing and incoming particles.

Of course, one could always add a homogeneous solution to the free Maxwell equations which does not obey the matching condition. However, we do not know of any physical application in which it is natural to do so: finite energy wave packets die off at i^0. Hence, we conclude that the antipodal matching condition (2.16) holds in generic physical applications.

References

[1] F. E. Low, *Scattering of light of very low frequency by systems of spin* 1/2, Phys. Rev. **96** (1954) 1428.

[2] M. Gell-Mann and M. L. Goldberger, *Scattering of low-energy photons by particles of spin* 1/2, Phys. Rev. **96** (1954) 1433.

[3] F. E. Low, *Bremsstrahlung of very low-energy quanta in elementary particle collisions*, Phys. Rev. **110** (1958) 974.

[4] S. Weinberg, *Infrared photons and gravitons*, Phys. Rev. **140** (1965) B516.

[5] T. H. Burnett and N. M. Kroll, *Extension of the Low soft photon theorem*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20** (1968) 86.

[6] J. Frohlich, G. Morchio, and F. Strocchi, *Infrared problem and spontaneous breaking of the Lorentz group in QED*, Phys. Lett. B **89** (1979) 61.

[7] J. Frohlich, G. Morchio, and F. Strocchi, *Charged sectors and scattering states in quantum electrodynamics*, Annals Phys. **119** (1979) 241.

[8] A. P. Balachandran and S. Vaidya, *Spontaneous Lorentz violation in gauge theories*, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **128** (2013) 118. `arXiv:1302.3406 [hep-th]`.

[9] A. P. Balachandran, S. Kurkcuoglu, A. R. de Queiroz, and S. Vaidya, *Spontaneous Lorentz violation: The case of infrared QED*, Eur. Phys. J. C **75** (2015), no. 2, 89. `arXiv:1406.5845 [hep-th]`.

[10] Z. Bern, S. Davies, P. Di Vecchia, and J. Nohle, *Low-energy behavior of gluons and gravitons from gauge invariance*, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014), no. 8, 084035. `arXiv:1406.6987 [hep-th]`.

[11] T. He, P. Mitra, A. P. Porfyriadis, and A. Strominger, *New symmetries of massless QED*, JHEP **1410** (2014) 112. `arXiv:1407.3789 [hep-th]`.

[12] D. Kapec, V. Lysov, and A. Strominger, *Asymptotic symmetries of massless QED in even dimensions*, `arXiv:1412.2763 [hep-th]`.

[13] A. J. Larkoski, D. Neill, and I. W. Stewart, JHEP **1506** (2015) 077. `arXiv:1412.3108 [hep-th]`.

[14] A. Mohd, *A note on asymptotic symmetries and soft-photon theorem*, JHEP **1502** (2015) 060. `arXiv:1412.5365 [hep-th]`.

[15] A. Strominger, *Asymptotic symmetries of Yang-Mills theory*, JHEP **1407** (2014) 151. `arXiv:1308.0589 [hep-th]`.

[16] A. Strominger, *On BMS invariance of gravitational scattering*, JHEP **1407** (2014) 152. `arXiv:1312.2229 [hep-th]`.

[17] T. He, V. Lysov, P. Mitra, and A. Strominger, *BMS supertranslations and Weinberg's soft graviton theorem*, JHEP **1505** (2015) 151. `arXiv:1401.7026 [hep-th]`.

[18] T. Banks, *The super BMS algebra, scattering and holography*, `arXiv:1403.3420 [hep-th]`.

[19] F. Cachazo and A. Strominger, *Evidence for a new soft graviton theorem*, `arXiv:1404.4091 [hep-th]`.

[20] T. Adamo, E. Casali, and D. Skinner, *Perturbative gravity at null infinity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **31** (2014), no. 22, 225008. `arXiv:1405.5122 [hep-th]`.

[21] Y. Geyer, A. E. Lipstein, and L. Mason, *Ambitwistor strings at null infinity and (subleading) soft limits*, Class. Quant. Grav. **32** (2015), no. 5, 055003. `arXiv:1406.1462 [hep-th]`.

[22] D. Kapec, V. Lysov, S. Pasterski and A. Strominger, *Semiclassical Virasoro symmetry of the quantum gravity $\mathcal{S}$-matrix*, JHEP **1408** (2014) 058. `arXiv:1406.3312 [hep-th]`.

[23] J. Broedel, M. de Leeuw, J. Plefka, and M. Rosso, *Constraining subleading soft gluon and graviton theorems*, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014), no. 6, 065024. `arXiv:1406.6574 [hep-th]`.

[24] C. D. White, *Diagrammatic insights into next-to-soft corrections*, Phys. Lett. B **737** (2014) 216. `arXiv:1406.7184 [hep-th]`.

[25] V. Lysov, S. Pasterski, and A. Strominger, *Low's subleading soft theorem as a symmetry of QED*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** (2014), no. 11, 111601. `arXiv:1407.3814 [hep-th]`.

[26] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *Asymptotic symmetries and subleading soft graviton theorem*, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014), no. 12, 124028. `arXiv:1408.2228 [hep-th]`.

[27] A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, *Gravitational memory, BMS supertranslations and soft theorems*, JHEP **1601** (2016) 086. `arXiv:1411.5745 [hep-th]`.

[28] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *New symmetries for the gravitational S-matrix*, JHEP **1504** (2015) 076. `arXiv:1502.02318 [hep-th]`.

[29] S. Pasterski, A. Strominger, and A. Zhiboedov, *New gravitational memories*, JHEP **1612** (2016) 053. `arXiv:1502.06120 [hep-th]`.

[30] D. Kapec, V. Lysov, S. Pasterski, and A. Strominger, *Higher-dimensional supertranslations and Weinberg's soft graviton theorem*, Annals of Mathematical Sciences and Applications **2** (2017), 69–94. `arXiv:1502.07644 [gr-qc]`.

[31] T. He, P. Mitra, and A. Strominger, *2D Kac-Moody symmetry of 4D Yang-Mills theory*, JHEP **1610** (2016) 137. `arXiv:1503.02663 [hep-th]`.

[32] A. E. Lipstein, *Soft theorems from conformal field theory*, JHEP **1506** (2015) 166. `arXiv:1504.01364 [hep-th]`.

[33] T. Adamo and E. Casali, *Perturbative gauge theory at null infinity*, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015), no. 12, 125022. `arXiv:1504.02304 [hep-th]`.

[34] S. Pasterski, *Asymptotic symmetries and electromagnetic memory*, JHEP **1709** (2017) 154. `arXiv:1505.00716 [hep-th]`.

[35] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *Asymptotic symmetries of QED and Weinberg's soft photon theorem*, JHEP **1507** (2015) 115. `arXiv:1505.05346 [hep-th]`.

Center for the Fundamental Laws of Nature, Harvard University
Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: dkapec@physics.harvard.edu

Center for the Fundamental Laws of Nature, Harvard University
Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: mpate@physics.harvard.edu

Center for the Fundamental Laws of Nature, Harvard University
Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: strominger@physics.harvard.edu