

Sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 and generalized Appell functions

JAN MANSCHOT

A closed expression is given for the generating function of (virtual) Poincaré polynomials of moduli spaces of semi-stable sheaves on the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 with arbitrary rank r and Chern classes. This generating function is known to equal the partition function of topologically twisted gauge theory with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry and gauge group $U(r)$, which localizes on the Hermitian Yang-Mills solutions of the gauge field. To classify and study the novel generating functions, the notion of Appell functions with signature (n_+, n_-) is introduced. For $n_- = 1$, these novel functions reduce to the known class of Appell functions with multiple variables or higher level.

1. Introduction

Moduli spaces and their topological invariants are of fundamental interest for mathematics and physics. The Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem [11, 42] rigorously establishes the correspondence between moduli spaces of instanton solutions in Yang-Mills theory and moduli spaces of semi-stable vector bundles and sheaves. Much progress is made in recent years on the properties and computation of topological invariants of these moduli spaces for rational and ruled algebraic surfaces. Among the used techniques for this progress are wall-crossing [24, 32, 34, 47–49], toric localization in moduli spaces [27, 45], and the Hall algebra [36].

Generating functions of topological invariants of moduli spaces exhibit often interesting arithmetic and modular properties. On the arithmetic side, the topological invariants are known to equal counts of (colored) partitions for rank 1 sheaves [15], dimensions of representations of the Mathieu group for the K3 surface [8, 23], and class numbers for rank 2 sheaves on the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 [25, 44, 50]. The appearance of modularity is understood physically by the relation to gauge theory, and the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ electric-magnetic duality group of this theory. The path integral of topologically twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$

supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory with gauge group $U(r)$ (also known as Vafa-Witten partition function) can be shown to enumerate basic topological invariants as the Euler number and Poincaré polynomial of the moduli spaces of vector bundles of rank r [44]. The electric-magnetic duality group then implies modular transformation properties for the generating functions of these invariants.

The class of rational and ruled surfaces allows to study the generating functions very explicitly, and in particular their dependence on the polarization or Kähler 2-form J . In the limit of vanishing volume of the base of the ruled surface, the generating functions of the topological invariants take the form of a beautiful infinite product formula [34, 36] which transforms as a Jacobi form [13] under modular transformations. Application of wall-crossing formulas [19, 20, 26] allows to determine the invariants for other choices of the polarization J . The change of polarization is taken into account by so-called indefinite theta functions [16, 32, 51]. These are convergent and holomorphic sums over a subset of an indefinite lattice of signature $(r-1, r-1)$. They typically destroy the nice modular transformation properties. However using the theory of mock modular forms [52], one can add a specific non-holomorphic completion such that the modular properties are restored for rank 2 [33, 44]. The non-holomorphic completion is however not very well understood from gauge theory, and the holomorphic anomaly equation is only conjectured for $r > 2$ [37].

In this brief note, we derive a closed expression for the generating functions for arbitrary rank r and Chern classes. This closed form is given by Equation (4.8) together with Proposition 4.1. For rank 3, the function simplifies considerably the expression given in [32], and it also allows to relatively quickly determine invariants for $r > 3$. The key to this simplification is the fact that the wall-crossing formula of Joyce [19] for virtual Poincaré polynomials is very suitable for application in generating functions.¹ For $r = 2$, we find immediately the familiar Appell functions [33, 47]. To describe the functions for $r \geq 3$, we generalize the notion of the classical Appell function (5.1). The generalized Appell functions (5.2) are characterized by their signature (n_+, n_-) . The functions with signature $(n_+, 1)$ reduce to the multi-variable and higher level Appell functions previously described in the literature [39, 52]. The novel form of the generating functions is much more

¹Toda [40] pointed out recently that application of the wall-crossing formula of Joyce for numerical invariants (Euler numbers) [20] is compatible with the theory of indefinite theta functions.

suitable for the study of their arithmetic and modular properties than the form in [32, 33]. The properties are currently being determined [46].

Appell functions have by now a wide variety of applications in number theory, algebraic geometry and mathematical physics [8, 9, 12, 21, 30, 31, 38, 39, 41, 44, 51]. The functions found here for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory have even more subtle transformation properties, which are also likely to appear in other contexts. We mention only a few here:

- The partition function of Yang-Mills theory on a complex surface is a very useful model for the more difficult problem of determining partition functions for D4-D2-D0 branes in string theory supported on divisors in Calabi-Yau three-folds [29]. This problem is relevant for describing quantum black holes in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity. Besides the application to the enumerative geometry of Calabi-Yau three-folds and black hole physics, the modular properties of the generating functions are also important to understand S-duality of IIB string theory [1]. The period integrals which appear in the modular completion of the generating function and which render the partition function continuous as function of the stability parameters are expected to be related to twistor integrals occurring in the Darboux coordinates. Recently it was proposed that these integrals also occur in the multi-particle Witten index for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric theories in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ [2].
- Another interesting aspect of the generating functions is that they are expected to appear as partition functions of two dimensional theories. The 6-dimensional M5-brane of M-theory relates $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills to a 2-dimensional field theory on an elliptic curve [14, 18, 29, 35, 44]. It would be interesting if the generalized Appell functions with signature (n_+, n_-) could be derived from this point of view.
- Application of the wall-crossing for virtual Poincaré polynomials (3.5) will also simplify the analysis for other complex surfaces and give in this way more examples of the generalized Appell functions. A particularly interesting surface is $\frac{1}{2}\text{K3}$ (the rational elliptic surface), for which the Vafa-Witten partition function equals (for suitable J) the partition function of topological strings [37].
- The usual Appell functions are known to appear as global sections of rank 2 bundles on elliptic curves [38]. Properties of the Appell functions can be understood as A_∞ constraints of the Fukaya category of the elliptic curve. It would be interesting to explore whether generalized

Appell functions have similarly an interpretation as global sections of rank $r > 2$ bundles on elliptic curves.

The outline of this note is as follows. We start in Section 2 by writing the physical action for Hermitean Yang-Mills connections in terms of characteristic classes of the corresponding bundles. Section 3 briefly reviews topological invariants of moduli spaces of semi-stable sheaves and the wall-crossing formula for virtual Poincaré polynomials. Section 4 defines the generating functions of virtual Poincaré polynomials and derives a closed expression for the rational surfaces \mathbb{P}^2 and Σ_1 and arbitrary rank of the sheaves. In Section 5 we discuss the classical Appell function and generalize it to the larger class of Appell functions with signature (n_+, n_-) . The generating functions of Section 4 can be expressed in terms of specializations of generalized Appell functions.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Kathrin Bringmann, Babak Haghighat, Boris Pioline, Artan Shesmani, Cumrun Vafa, Don Zagier, Miguel Zapata Rolón and Sander Zwegers for useful discussions. I am also grateful to the ENS Paris, the Amsterdam String Theory Workshop 2014 and the Simons Workshop 2014 for their hospitality during parts of this work.

2. The Yang-Mills action in terms of Chern classes

This section evaluates the Yang-Mills action for Hermitean Yang-Mills connections in terms of Chern classes. Section 4 will use the result to define generating functions for virtual Poincaré polynomials of moduli spaces of semi-stable sheaves. The classical Yang-Mills action is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{S}(A) = -\frac{1}{g^2} \int_S \text{Tr} F \wedge *F + \frac{i\theta}{8\pi^2} \int_S \text{Tr} F \wedge F,$$

where $F = dA + A \wedge A = \sum_{a=0}^{N^2-1} F^a t^a$ is the field strength with t^a the Lie algebra generators of $u(N)$ in the adjoint representation. We let $t^0 = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{1}_N$, with $\mathbf{1}_N$ the N -dimensional identity matrix, and t^a , $a = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1$, are representing the generators of $SU(N)$. The coupling constant g and the θ -angle are naturally combined in the complexified coupling constant $\tau = \frac{\theta}{2\pi} + \frac{4\pi i}{g^2}$ which takes values in the upper half plane \mathbb{H} .

The partition function of topologically twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory localizes on the Hermitean Yang-Mills solutions [44]. A Hermitean Yang-Mills

solution F is such that F^0 is a harmonic form and the F^a are anti-self-dual $F^a = - * F^a$. This can also be formulated without reference to a basis of the Lie algebra. To this end, let J be the Kähler form, which is self-dual, $J = *J$, and of degree $(1, 1)$. Its norm is positive, $\int_S J \wedge J > 0$. Then a Hermitean Yang-Mills connections is a connection such that $\int_S F \wedge J$ is proportional to the identity matrix, and the components of F with form degree $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$ vanish, $F^{(0,2)} = F^{(2,0)} = 0$.

The partition function $\mathcal{Z}(\tau; J)$ of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory takes then schematically the following form [44]

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau; J) = \sum_k \chi(\mathcal{M}_k) \exp(-\mathcal{S}(A)),$$

where k is the instanton number and $\chi(\mathcal{M}(k))$ is the Euler number of the moduli space of instantons with instanton number k . Section 3 discusses in more detail the precise definition of $\chi(\mathcal{M}(k))$. Here we continue with expressing $\exp(-\mathcal{S}(A))$ in terms of algebraic-geometric data.

The Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence relates Hermitean Yang-Mills connections to vector bundles. The Chern character $\gamma = (r, c_1, \text{ch}_2)$ of the vector bundles is given in terms of Yang-Mills data by

$$r = N, \quad c_1 = \text{ch}_1 = \frac{i}{2\pi} \text{Tr } F, \quad \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 - c_2 = \text{ch}_2 = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \text{Tr } F \wedge F.$$

This specifies the proportionality constant between $\int_S F \wedge J$ and $\mathbf{1}_N$,

$$(2.2) \quad \int_S F \wedge J = \frac{2\pi \mathbf{1}_N}{i r} \int_S c_1 \wedge J.$$

For the rational and ruled surfaces, the signature of $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ equals $(1, b_2(S) - 1)$ or equivalently $b_2^\pm = 1$. Therefore, 2-forms orthogonal to J are anti-self-dual and negative definite. As a result, we can express $*F$ in terms of F and J

$$*F = \frac{2 F \cdot J J}{J^2} - F.$$

This equation together with (2.2) gives for the kinetic term

$$(2.3) \quad - \int_S \text{Tr } F \wedge *F = 8\pi^2 \left(\frac{(c_1 \cdot J)^2}{r J^2} - \text{ch}_2 \right),$$

which is the lower bound of the kinetic energy, given the topological Chern numbers of the Hermitean-Yang-Mills solution. Let $c_{1,\pm}$ be the projections

of the c_1 to the positive and negative definite subspaces of $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$(2.4) \quad c_{1,+} = \frac{c_1 \cdot J J}{J^2}, \quad c_{1,-} = c_1 - c_{1,+}.$$

Using these results, we can express the exponentiated action $\exp(-\mathcal{S}(A))$ in terms of the Chern character and discriminant of the bundle

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp\left(-2\pi\tau_2 \left(-\text{ch}_2 + \frac{1}{r}(c_1)_+^2\right) + 2\pi i\tau_1 \text{ch}_2\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-2\pi\tau_2 \left(r\Delta + \frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_+^2 - \frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_-^2\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2\pi i\tau_1 \left(-r\Delta + \frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_+^2 + \frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_-^2\right)\right) \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_+^2} \bar{q}^{r\Delta - \frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_-^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(\gamma)$ is the discriminant defined by

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{r} \left(c_2 - \frac{r-1}{2r}c_1^2\right) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

The sum over all $c_1 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ gives a Siegel-Narain theta function [43]. We will however fix c_1 such that we can drop the sum over c_1 .

The action of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory on a general curved surface S contains also curvature terms [4] in addition to the terms with F . They contribute to the action [4]

$$-2\pi i\bar{\tau} \frac{r\chi(S)}{24},$$

such that the exponential in the partition function becomes

$$(2.7) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_+^2} \bar{q}^{r\Delta - \frac{r\chi(S)}{24} - \frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_-^2}.$$

3. Semi-stable sheaves on rational surfaces and change of polarization

We start in this section with briefly recalling necessary ingredients of semi-stable sheaves on rational surfaces and changes of the polarization. Let γ be the Chern character of a coherent sheaf: $\gamma = (r, c_1, \text{ch}_2)$. The polarization J of

an algebraic surface S is an element of the closure of the ample cone $C(S)$ of S . The polarization enters in the definition of a stability condition $\varphi_J(\gamma)$ for coherent sheaves on S . The two relevant examples of stability conditions for this paper are μ -stability, $\varphi_J^\mu(\gamma) = \mu(\gamma) \cdot J = c_1 \cdot J/r$, and Gieseker stability with $\varphi_J^{\text{Gi}}(\gamma) = p_J(\gamma)$ where $p_J(\gamma)$ is the Hilbert polynomial of the sheaf:

$$(3.1) \quad p_J(\gamma) = J^2/2 + \left(\frac{c_1(F) \cdot J}{r(F)} - \frac{K_S \cdot J}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{r(F)} \left(\frac{c_1(F)^2 - K_S \cdot c_1(F)}{2} - c_2(F) \right) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S).$$

Most of the discussion in this article considers generating functions of so-called virtual Poincaré polynomials $\mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J)$ of the moduli stack $\mathfrak{M}_J(\gamma)$ of sheaves which are μ -semi-stable with respect to J [19]. Their formal definition is rather abstract and involved [19], however their change under variations of J (wall-crossing formula) as well as their generating functions take a rather simple form. They uniquely determine the integer invariants $\Omega(\gamma, w; J)$ which conjecturally enumerate quantum BPS states.

To explain the connection, let $\bar{\Omega}(\gamma, w; J)$ be the rational BPS invariant defined in terms of $\mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J)$ by the relation [19]:

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{\Omega}(\gamma, w; J) := \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_\ell = \gamma \\ p_J(\gamma_i) = p_J(\gamma) \text{ for } i=1, \dots, \ell}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{I}(\gamma_i, w; J)$$

with inverse:

$$\mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_\ell = \gamma \\ p_J(\gamma_i) = p_J(\gamma) \text{ for } i=1, \dots, \ell}} \frac{1}{\ell!} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \bar{\Omega}(\gamma_i, w; J).$$

At generic points of the polarization, away from walls of marginal stability and boundary points, the $\bar{\Omega}(\gamma, w; J)$ can be further related to Laurent polynomials $P(\gamma, w; J) \in \mathbb{Z}[w, w^{-1}]$, which are symmetric under $w \leftrightarrow w^{-1}$. To this end, define $\Omega(\gamma, w; J)$ by:

$$(3.3) \quad \Omega(\gamma, w; J) := \sum_{m|\gamma} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \bar{\Omega}(\gamma/m, -(-w)^m; J),$$

where $\mu(m)$ is the arithmetic Möbius function. Equation (3.3) has inverse:

$$\bar{\Omega}(\gamma, w; J) = \sum_{m|\gamma} \frac{\Omega(\gamma/m, -(-w)^m; J)}{m}$$

Then away from walls of marginal stability $\Omega(\gamma, w; J)$ takes the form:

$$\Omega(\gamma, w; J) = \frac{P(\gamma, w; J)}{w - w^{-1}},$$

where $P(\gamma, w; J)$ is a Laurent polynomial symmetric under $w \leftrightarrow w^{-1}$. The integer BPS invariants $\Omega(\gamma; J)$ are obtained from these by

$$(3.4) \quad \Omega(\gamma; J) = \lim_{w \rightarrow -1} (w - w^{-1}) \Omega(\gamma, w; J)$$

and similarly for the rational numerical invariants $\bar{\Omega}(\gamma; J)$.

If γ is primitive and semi-stability implies stability then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_J(\gamma)$ is smooth and compact and $w^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_J(\gamma)} P(\gamma, w; J)$ equals the Poincaré polynomial $\sum_{\ell=0}^{2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_J(\gamma)} b_{\ell}(\mathcal{M}_J(\gamma)) w^{\ell}$ of $\mathcal{M}_J(\gamma)$. If semi-stable does not imply stable, the precise cohomological meaning of $P(\gamma, w; J)$ is not completely clear. But following [48] one expects that the Laurent polynomial gives dimensions of intersection cohomology groups.

To state the change of $\mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J)$ under wall-crossing, we define the function $S(\{\gamma_i\}, \varphi, J, J')$ as in [19, Definition 4.2].

Definition 3.1. Let $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{\ell}\}$ be a set of Chern characters with $r_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. If for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ we have either

- (a) $\varphi_J(\gamma_i) \leq \varphi_J(\gamma_{i+1})$ and $\varphi_{J'}(\sum_{j=1}^i \gamma_j) > \varphi_{J'}(\sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} \gamma_j)$, or
- (b) $\varphi_J(\gamma_i) > \varphi_J(\gamma_{i+1})$ and $\varphi_{J'}(\sum_{j=1}^i \gamma_j) \leq \varphi_{J'}(\sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} \gamma_j)$,

then define $S(\{\gamma_i\}, \varphi_J, \varphi_{J'}) = (-1)^k$ where k is the number of $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ satisfying (a). Otherwise, $S(\{\gamma_i\}, \varphi_J, \varphi_{J'}) = 0$.

For Gieseker stability φ_J^{Gi} , the orderings \leq and $>$ in Definition 3.1 are to be replaced by the lexicographic ordering, \preceq and \succ , respectively. In the following, we will consider mostly μ -stability and we therefore shorten notation by defining $S(\{\gamma_i\}, J, J') := S(\{\gamma_i\}, \varphi_J^{\mu}, \varphi_{J'}^{\mu})$.

Ref. [19] shows that for surfaces whose anti-canonical class $-K_S$ is numerically effective, the change of the invariants $\mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J)$ under wall-crossing is expressed in terms of $S(\{\gamma_i\}, J, J')$ as [19, Theorem 6.21]:

Theorem 3.2. *Under a change of polarization $J \rightarrow J'$, the invariants $\mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J')$ are expressed in terms of $\mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J)$ by:*

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J') = \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i = \gamma, \\ r_i \geq 1, i=1, \dots, \ell}} S(\{\gamma_i\}, J, J') \\ \times w^{-\sum_{i < j} r_i r_j (\mu_j - \mu_i) \cdot K_S} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{I}(\gamma_i, w; J).$$

We will restrict the computations in this article to only two rational surfaces, namely the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 and its blow-up, the Hirzebruch surface Σ_1 . Let $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ be the base curve and $f \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ be the fibre of Σ_1 , then $H_2(\Sigma_1, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}C \oplus \mathbb{Z}f$, with intersection numbers $C^2 = -1$, $f^2 = 0$ and $C \cdot f = 1$. The anti-canonical class K_{Σ_1} is numerically effective and given by $-K_{\Sigma_1} = 2C + 3f$. We parametrize the closure $\overline{C(S)}$ by:

$$J_{m,n} = m(C + f) + nf, \quad m, n \geq 0.$$

The blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 be given by $\phi : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. The exceptional divisor of ϕ is C , and the hyperplane class H of \mathbb{P}^2 is the pullback $\phi^*(C + f)$.

4. Generating functions for $\mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J)$

We now define the two generating functions $H_{r,c_1}(\tau, z; J)$ and $h_{r,c_1}(\tau, z; J)$ with $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\text{poles}\}$. As usual, we let $q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and $w := e^{2\pi i z}$. Then using the expression for $\exp(-\mathcal{S}(A))$ (2.7), we define the following generating function:

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\tau, z; J) = \sum_{\substack{c_2 \in H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}), \\ c_1 \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})}} \bar{\Omega}(\gamma, w; J) e^{-\mathcal{S}(A)} \\ = \sum_{\substack{c_2 \in H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}), \\ c_1 \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})}} \bar{\Omega}(\gamma, w; J) q^{\frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_+^2} \bar{q}^{r\Delta(\gamma) - \frac{r\chi(S)}{24} - \frac{1}{2r}(c_1)_-^2},$$

where $\Delta(\gamma)$ is the discriminant defined by (2.6), $\chi(S)$ the Euler number of the complex surface S and $c_{1\pm}$ are the projections defined in (2.4). Vafa and Witten [44] derived that this generating function equals the path integral of topologically twisted Yang-Mills theory with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry and gauge group $U(r)$ (for $w \rightarrow -1$). Twisting a sheaf with a line bundle $F \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes F$ induces an isomorphism of moduli spaces. Since this twist leaves invariant

the discriminant Δ , $\mathcal{Z}(\tau, z; J)$ can be written as

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\tau, z; J) = \sum_{\mu \in H^2(S; \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})} \overline{h_{r,\mu}(z, \tau; J)} \Theta_{r,\mu}(\tau; J),$$

where $h_{r,c_1}(\tau, z; J)$ is defined by:

$$h_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J) := \sum_{c_2} \bar{\Omega}(\gamma, w; J) q^{r\Delta(\gamma) - \frac{r\chi(S)}{24}},$$

and $\Theta_{r,c_1}(\tau; J)$ is the following theta function

$$(4.3) \quad \Theta_{r,\mu}(\tau; J) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mu + H^2(S, r\mathbb{Z})} q^{\mathbf{k}_+^2/2} q^{\mathbf{k}_-^2/2}.$$

The projections \mathbf{k}_+ and \mathbf{k}_- are as defined in Equation (2.4). With $\Theta_{r,\mu}(\tau; J)$ defined this way, $\mathcal{Z}(\tau, z; J)$ typically transforms under a congruence subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We refer to [33] for the details of the theta function, such that the generating function transforms under the full $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

We will in the following consider the generating function of the virtual Poincaré polynomials $\mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J)$ rather than the rational invariants $\bar{\Omega}(\gamma, w; J)$. This generating function is defined by

$$(4.4) \quad H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J) := \sum_{c_2} \mathcal{I}(\gamma, w; J) q^{r\Delta(\gamma) - \frac{r\chi(S)}{24}}$$

Using (3.3), $h_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ can be expressed in terms of $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ and vice versa. In the following we will consider only two surfaces, the Hirzebruch surface Σ_1 and the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 . We let $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ be the generating function for invariants of Σ_1 with respect to the polarization J , and $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$ the generating function for \mathbb{P}^2 which has no explicit dependence on its polarization.

Mozgovoy [36] proved using the Hall algebra of \mathbb{P}^1 the conjecture in [34] that the generating functions $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ take a particularly simple form for $J = J_{0,1} = f$. One has:

$$(4.5) \quad H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{0,1}) = \begin{cases} H_r(z, \tau), & \text{if } c_1 \cdot f = 0 \pmod r, \quad r \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } c_1 \cdot f \neq 0 \pmod r, \quad r > 1. \end{cases}$$

with

$$H_r(z, \tau) := \frac{i(-1)^{r-1} \eta(\tau)^{2r-3}}{\theta_1(2z, \tau)^2 \theta_1(4z, \tau)^2 \dots \theta_1((2r-2)z, \tau)^2 \theta_1(2rz, \tau)},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\tau) &:= q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n), \\ \theta_1(z, \tau) &:= i \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{r - \frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{r^2}{2}} w^r \\ &= i(w^{\frac{1}{2}} - w^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)(1 - wq^n)(1 - w^{-1}q^n). \end{aligned}$$

To determine the generating functions $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau, \mathbb{P}^2)$ we use the techniques originally put forward by Yoshioka [47]: change of polarization from $J_{0,1}$ to $J_{1,0}$ using Theorem 3.2 followed by the blow-up formula. Let $\phi : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up map of a point of \mathbb{P}^2 , such that $\phi^*c_1 \in H^2(\Sigma_1, \mathbb{Z})$ is the pull back of the first Chern class of sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 . Then one has [17, 28, 49]:

$$(4.6) \quad H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2) = \frac{H_{r,\phi^*c_1 - kC}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})}{B_{r,k}(z, \tau)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$B_{r,k}(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^r} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i = 0 \\ a_i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{k}{r}}} q^{-\sum_{i < j} a_i a_j} w^{\sum_{i < j} a_i - a_j}.$$

The blow-up formula (4.6) implies non-trivial relations between the $H_{r,\tilde{c}_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$, since different choices of $\tilde{c}_1 = \phi^*c_1 - kC \in H^2(\Sigma_1, \mathbb{Z})$ can correspond to the same $c_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z})$.

The crucial step to determine $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$ is to obtain a closed form for $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$. To this end, we aim to decompose $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$ into more elementary building blocks. Substitution of Eq. (3.5) in (4.4) gives for $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0}) &= \sum_{\text{ch}_2} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i = (r, c_1, \text{ch}_2)} S(\{\gamma_i\}, J_{0,1}, J_{1,0}) \\ &\quad \times w^{-\sum_{j < i} r_i r_j (\mu_i - \mu_j)} \cdot K_{\Sigma_1} q^{r \Delta(\{\gamma_i\}) - \frac{r}{6}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{I}(\gamma_i, w; J_{0,1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(\{\gamma_i\})$ is the discriminant of a filtration $0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_{\ell} = F$ of the sheaf F , whose quotients $E_i = F_i/F_{i-1}$ have Chern character γ_i . We

know from Equation (4.5) that $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{0,1})$ vanishes for $c_1 \cdot f \neq 0 \pmod r$. As a result, we can write $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$ with $c_1 = bC - af$ as

$$(4.8) \quad H_{r,bC-af}(z, \tau; J_{1,0}) = \sum_{r_1+\dots+r_\ell=r, r_i \in \mathbb{N}^*} \Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a,b)}(z, \tau) \prod_{j=1}^\ell H_{r_j}(z, \tau),$$

where, for $r_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a,b)}(z, \tau)$ is defined by

$$(4.9) \quad \Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a,b)}(z, \tau) := \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^\ell (r_i, c_{1,i}) = (r, bC - af)} S(\{\gamma_i\}, J_{0,1}, J_{1,0}) \times w^{-\sum_{j < i} r_i r_j (\mu_i - \mu_j) \cdot K_{\Sigma_1}} q^{r\Delta(\{\gamma_i\}) - \sum_{i=1}^\ell r_i \Delta(\gamma_i)},$$

with $\gamma_i = (r_i, c_{1,i}, \text{ch}_{2,i})$. Note that there is no sum over the second Chern characters $\text{ch}_{2,i}$ in $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a,b)}(z, \tau)$ since these are captured by the functions $H_{r_i}(z, \tau)$.

As defined in Equation (4.9), $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a,b)}(z, \tau)$ is only convergent for a finite radius of convergence of w^{-4} and $w^{-4}q$. The following proposition shows that part of the sums can be carried out using geometric series, such that $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a,b)}(z, \tau)$ becomes an analytic function of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ away from the poles.

Proposition 4.1. *The function $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a,b)}(z, \tau)$, defined in Eq. (4.9), is given for any choice of $r_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ by*

$$\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a,b)}(z, \tau) = \sum_{\substack{r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_\ell b_\ell = b, \\ b_i \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{w^{\sum_{j < i} r_i r_j (b_i - b_j) + 2(r_i + r_{i-1}) \left\{ \frac{a}{r} \sum_{k=i}^\ell r_k \right\}}}{\prod_{i=2}^\ell (1 - w^{2(r_i + r_{i-1})} q^{b_{i-1} - b_i})} \times q^{\sum_{i=1}^\ell \frac{r_i(r-r_i)}{2r} b_i^2 - \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i < j} r_i r_j b_i b_j + \sum_{i=2}^\ell (b_{i-1} - b_i) \left\{ \frac{a}{r} \sum_{k=i}^\ell r_k \right\}},$$

where $\{\lambda\} = \lambda - \lfloor \lambda \rfloor$ is the rational part of λ .²

Proof. The discriminant $\Delta(\{\gamma_i\})$ (2.6) of a filtration is expressed in terms of Chern characters $\gamma_i = (r_i, c_{1,i}, \text{ch}_{2,i})$ of the quotients by:

$$r\Delta(\{\gamma_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^\ell r_i \Delta(E_i) - \sum_{i=2}^\ell \frac{1}{2r_i} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^i r_j \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_i c_{1,j} - r_j c_{1,i} \right)^2.$$

²We hope that the double usage of $\{\}$, for denoting either a rational part or a set, will not lead to confusion.

Substitution of this expression in Equation (4.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.10) \quad & \Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a, b)}(z, \tau) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (r_i, c_{1,i}) = (r, bC - af), \\ r_i \in \mathbb{N}^*, i=1, \dots, \ell}} S(\{\gamma_i\}, J_{0,1}, J_{1,0}) w^{-\sum_{j < i} r_i r_j (\mu_i - \mu_j) \cdot K_S} \\
 & \times q^{-\sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2r_i} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^i r_j \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k} (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_i c_{1,j} - r_j c_{1,i})^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

We parametrize the first Chern classes as $c_1 = bC - af$ and $c_{1,i} = r_i b_i C - a_i f$, such that the sets $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ have to satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i = a$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} r_i b_i = b$. We continue with bringing $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a, b)}(z, \tau)$ to a form which allows to carry out the sums over a_i , $i = 2, \dots, \ell$ of the different contributions. First, after substitution of $c_{1,i} = r_i b_i C - a_i f$ in $\Delta(\{\gamma_i\})$ and $a_1 = a - \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} a_i$ in $\Delta(\{\gamma_i\})$, one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.11) \quad r\Delta(\{\gamma_i\}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} r_i \Delta(\gamma_i) + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \frac{r_i}{2 \sum_{j=1}^i r_j \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_j (b_j - b_i) \right)^2 \\
 &+ \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^i r_j \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_j (b_i - b_j) \right) \\
 &\times \left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} r_i a_k + \sum_{k=1}^i r_k a_i - r_i a \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now make the following change of variables:

$$(4.12) \quad a_i = s_i - s_{i+1}, \quad i = 2, \dots, \ell - 1, \quad a_{\ell} = s_{\ell}.$$

This transforms the second line of (4.11) to:

$$\sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_j (b_i - b_j) \right) \left(\frac{s_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_j} - \frac{s_{i+1}}{\sum_{j=1}^i r_j} - \frac{r_i a}{\sum_{j=1}^i r_j \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k} \right),$$

which can be further simplified to:

$$\sum_{i=2}^{\ell} (b_i - b_{i-1}) s_i - a \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{i-1} r_i r_m (b_i - b_m)}{\sum_{j=1}^i r_j \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k}.$$

The exponent of w in Eq. (4.9) is easily evaluated in terms of a_i and b_i :

$$-\sum_{j<i} r_i r_j (\mu_i - \mu_j) \cdot K_{\Sigma_1} = \sum_{j<i} r_i r_j (b_i - b_j) - 2(r_j a_i - r_i a_j).$$

Replacing a_1 as before this becomes:

$$\sum_{j<i} r_i r_j (b_i - b_j) - 2 \left(2 \sum_{1<j<i} r_j a_i + (r_1 + r_j) a_j - (r - r_1) a \right).$$

The substitution (4.12) then gives:

$$2(r - r_1) a + \sum_{j<i} r_i r_j (b_i - b_j) - 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} (r_{i-1} + r_i) s_i.$$

Now we come to the third term of the summand in (4.10): $S(\{\gamma_i\}, J, J')$. Interestingly, this can be written as a product of differences of signs, which are familiar from the literature on indefinite theta functions [16, 51]. To this end, define

$$\text{sgn}(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we can write $S(\{\gamma_i\}, J, J')$ as

$$S(\{\gamma_i\}, J, J') = \frac{1}{2^{\ell-1}} \prod_{i=2}^{\ell} \left(\text{sgn}(\varphi_J(\gamma_{i-1}) - \varphi_J(\gamma_i) - v_1) - \text{sgn} \left(\varphi_{J'} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \gamma_j \right) - \varphi_{J'} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{\ell} \gamma_j \right) - v_1 \right) \right),$$

where $v_1 > 0$ is a sufficiently small positive constant such that $0 < v_1 < |\varphi_J(\gamma) - \varphi_J(\gamma')|$ for each γ, γ' such that $\varphi_J(\gamma) - \varphi_J(\gamma') \neq 0$. Specializing to $\varphi_J = \varphi_J^\mu$ and substitution of $c_{1,i} = b_i r_i C - a_i f$ gives:

$$S(\{\gamma_i\}; J_{0,1}, J_{1,0}) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{2^{\ell-1}} \prod_{i=2}^{\ell} \left(\text{sgn}(b_i - b_{i-1} + v_2) + \text{sgn} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum_{k=i}^{\ell} a_k r_j - a_j r_k - v_2 \right) \right).$$

where $0 < v_2 < 1$. Making again the substitution for a_1 brings the argument in the second sign to the form:

$$\sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} a_k r_j - a_j r_k = r \sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} a_k - a \sum_{k=i+1}^{\ell} r_k.$$

With the substitution (4.12), this simplifies to:

$$S(\{\gamma_i\}; J_{0,1}, J_{1,0}) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{2^{\ell-1}} \prod_{i=2}^{\ell} \left(\operatorname{sgn}(b_i - b_{i-1} + v_2) + \operatorname{sgn}\left(r s_i - a \sum_{k=i}^{\ell} r_k - v_2 \right) \right),$$

We now observe that the sum over the s_i 's are simply geometric sums and can be carried out if z is such that $|w^{-4}| < 1$ and $|w^4 q| < 1$. This brings $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_{\ell}), (a, b)}(z, \tau)$ to the following form:

(4.13)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_{\ell}), (a, b)}(z, \tau) \\ := & \sum_{\substack{r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_{\ell} b_{\ell} = b, \\ b_i \in \mathbb{Z}}} (-1)^{\ell-1} \frac{w^{2(r-r_1)a + \sum_{j < i} r_i r_j (b_i - b_j) - 2(r_i + r_{i-1})(1 + \lfloor \frac{a}{r} \sum_{k=i}^{\ell} r_k \rfloor)}}{\prod_{i=2}^{\ell} (1 - w^{-2(r_i + r_{i-1})} q^{b_i - b_{i-1}})} \\ & \times q^{\sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \frac{r_i}{2 \sum_{j=1}^i r_j \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k} (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_j (b_j - b_i))^2} \\ & \times q^{\sum_{i=2}^{\ell} (b_i - b_{i-1})(1 + \lfloor \frac{a}{r} \sum_{k=i}^{\ell} r_k \rfloor) - a \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_i r_j (b_i - b_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^i r_k \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} r_m}}. \end{aligned}$$

which can immediately be analytically continued to $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\text{poles}\}$. To bring it to the simpler form of the Proposition, one proves easily with induction on ℓ that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} (r_i + r_{i-1}) \sum_{k=i}^{\ell} r_k = (r - r_1)r, \\ & r \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{r_i r_j (b_i - b_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^i r_k \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} r_m} = b - b_1 r = \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} (b_i - b_{i-1}) \sum_{k=i}^{\ell} r_k, \\ & \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \frac{r_i}{2 \sum_{j=1}^i r_j \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_j (b_j - b_i) \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j \neq \ell} \frac{r_i r_j}{2r} (b_i^2 - 2b_i b_j) \end{aligned}$$

Substitution of these expressions in Equation (4.13) gives the proposition. Note that it is manifestly invariant under shifts of $(a, b) \rightarrow (a, b) + r(k_1, k_2)$ with $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

We note that Proposition 4.1 gives already for $r = 3$ much simpler expressions than those in [27, 32, 34, 45]. The simpler expression allows for a rather quick determination of the invariants. We have verified that the first coefficients of $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$ reproduce those in the previous literature in all known cases.

We finish this section with an example. We compute the integer invariants $\Omega(\gamma, w; \mathbb{P}^2)$ of sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 with $(r, c_1) = (4, 2H)$. Eq. (3.2) shows that we need to determine both $H_{2,H}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$ and $H_{4,2H}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$. For $H_{2,H}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$ we determine first $H_{2,C+f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$. The only contributing term of the sum $\Sigma_{r_1+\dots+r_\ell=2}$ with solutions to $r_1b_1 + r_2b_2 = 1$ in Eq. (4.8) is $(r_1, r_2) = (1, 1)$. This gives immediately the result of Yoshioka [47]:

$$(4.14) \quad H_{2,H}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2) = \frac{H_1(z, \tau)^2}{B_{2,0}(z, \tau)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-2k+1} q^{k^2+2k+\frac{3}{4}}}{1 - w^4 q^{2k+1}}.$$

Alternatively, one can determine $H_{2,H}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$ starting from $H_{2,f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$. This gives

$$(4.15) \quad H_{2,H}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2) = \frac{H_2(z, \tau)}{B_{2,1}(z, \tau)} + \frac{H_1(z, \tau)^2}{B_{2,1}(z, \tau)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-2k+2} q^{k^2+k}}{1 - w^4 q^{2k}}.$$

Section 5 will explain how the equality of (4.14) and (4.15) follows from a known relation for the classical Appell function.

For $H_{4,2H}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$ the contributing terms in the sum $\Sigma_{r_1+\dots+r_\ell=r}$ are $(r_1, \dots, r_\ell) = (3, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 2)$ and $(1, 1, 1, 1)$. The different $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a,b)}(z, \tau)$ with $(a, b) = (-2, 2)$ are given by:

$$\Psi_{(3,1),(a,b)}(z, \tau) = \Psi_{(1,3),(a,b)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-12k+10} q^{6k^2-4k+\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - w^8 q^{4k-2}},$$

$$\Psi_{(2,2),(a,b)}(z, \tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-8k-4} q^{2k^2+4k+\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - w^8 q^{2k+1}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_{(2,1,1),(a,b)}(z, \tau) = \Psi_{(1,1,2),(a,b)}(z, \tau) \\ = &\sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-10k_1-2k_2+8} q^{3k_1^2+2k_1k_2+k_2^2-3k_1-k_2+\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-w^6q^{k_1-k_2})(1-w^4q^{2k_1+2k_2-2})}, \\ &\Psi_{(1,2,1),(a,b)}(z, \tau) = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k_1-6k_2+10} q^{k_1^2+2k_1k_2+3k_2^2-4k_2-1}}{(1-w^6q^{k_1-k_2})(1-w^4q^{2k_1+2k_2-2})}, \\ &\Psi_{(1,1,1,1),(a,b)}(z, \tau) \\ = &\sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k_1-4k_2-2k_3+10} q^{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_3^2+k_1k_2+k_1k_3+k_2k_3-k_1-2k_2-k_3+\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-w^4q^{k_1-k_2})(1-w^4q^{k_2-k_3})(1-w^4q^{k_1+k_2+2k_3-2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up these functions as prescribed by Proposition 4.1 one determines $H_{4,2C+2f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$. After application of the blow-up formula and using the formulas in Section 4, one obtains the generating function of Poincaré polynomials $P(\gamma, w)$ for Gieseker semi-stable sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 with $\gamma = (4, 2H, \text{ch}_2)$:

$$\frac{H_{4,2C+2f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})}{B_{4,0}(z, \tau)} - \frac{1}{2}H_{2,H}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}H_{2,H}(2z, 2\tau; \mathbb{P}^2).$$

Poincaré polynomials are listed for the first few values of c_2 in Table 1. Specializing to Euler numbers, one finds for $c_2 = 8, 9, 10, \dots$ the numbers 93726, 505942, 2411826, \dots

c_2	b_0	b_2	b_4	b_6	b_8	b_{10}	b_{12}	b_{14}	b_{16}	b_{18}	b_{20}	b_{22}	b_{24}	b_{26}	b_{28}	χ
4	1	1	1													6
5	1	2	6	10	17	21	24									162
6	1	2	6	13	27	49	84	126	173	211	231					1846
7	1	2	6	13	29	55	107	185	315	493	736	1008	1290	1509	1634	14766

Table 1: The Betti numbers b_n (with $n \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}$) and the Euler number χ of the moduli spaces of semi-stable sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 with $r = 4$, $c_1 = 2C + 2f$, and $4 \leq c_2 \leq 7$.

5. Appell functions

This section explains that the generating functions $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a, b)}$ can be viewed as major generalization of the classical Appell function. We first review the definition and main properties of the classical Appell function. In Subsection 5.2 we introduce the generalized Appell functions with signature

(n_+, n_-) . Subsection 5.3 illustrates that the functions $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a, b)}(z, \tau)$ of Section 4 are specializations of Appell functions with signature $(\ell - 1, \ell - 1)$, and discusses a few consequences.

5.1. The classical Appell function

The classical Appell function is defined as [3]:

$$(5.1) \quad A(u, v, \tau) := e^{\pi i u} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2} e^{2\pi i n v}}{1 - e^{2\pi i u} q^n}$$

which is a meromorphic function of $u \in \mathbb{C}$ with pole for $u \in \mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$, and holomorphic in $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}$. It is well-known that the transformation properties of $A(u, v, \tau)$ are not exactly those of a modular or Jacobi form [39, 51]. However, define the “completed” Appell function as:

$$\widehat{A}(u, v, \tau) := A(u, v, \tau) + \frac{i}{2} \theta_1(v, \tau) R(u - v, \tau)$$

with

$$R(u, \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \left(\operatorname{sgn}(n) - E\left((n + \operatorname{Im}(u)/y)\sqrt{2y}\right) \right) (-1)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2\pi i u n} q^{-n^2/2}$$

and $E(x) = 2 \int_0^x e^{-\pi u^2} du$. Then $\widehat{A}(u, v, \tau)$ satisfies the following properties [52]:

1) Modular transformations: for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\widehat{A}\left(\frac{u}{c\tau + d}, \frac{v}{c\tau + d}, \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d) e^{\pi i c(-u^2 + 2uv)/(c\tau + d)} \widehat{A}(u, v, \tau).$$

2) Elliptic transformations: for $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\widehat{A}(u + k\tau + l, v + m\tau + n; \tau) = (-1)^{k+m} e^{2\pi i(k-m)u - 2\pi i k v} q^{k^2/2 - km} \widehat{A}(u, v; \tau).$$

3) Periodicity relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_1(v, \tau) A(u + z, v + z, \tau) - \theta_1(v + z, \tau) A(u, v, \tau) \\ &= \frac{\eta(\tau)^3 \theta_1(u + v + z, \tau) \theta_1(z, \tau)}{\theta_1(u, \tau) \theta_1(u + z, \tau)} \end{aligned}$$

4) They can be seen as coefficients of a meromorphic Jacobi form:

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} A(u + m\tau, v, \tau) e^{2\pi i m(z - \frac{1}{2}\tau) - \pi i u} = \frac{\eta(\tau)^3 \theta_1(u + z, \tau) \theta_1(v - z)}{i \theta_1(u, \tau) \theta_1(z, \tau)}$$

5.2. Appell functions with signature (n_+, n_-)

The Appell function and its generalizations (the higher level Appell functions A_ℓ [39] and the multivariable Appell functions A_Q [21, 52]) have appeared at various places in the mathematics and mathematical physics literature. As will be explained in more detail in the next subsection, the functions $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a, b)}(z, \tau)$ for $\ell > 2$ motivate the introduction of a further generalization of Appell functions. These generalized Appell functions are characterized by their signature (n_+, n_-) . They depend on an n_+ -dimensional lattice $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n_+}$ with positive definite quadratic form $Q(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{k}$. The scalar product $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{m}$ denotes as usual $\sum_{i=1}^{n_+} k_i m_i$. We have furthermore an n_+ by n_- matrix \mathbf{M} such that the determinant of

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{M}^T \\ \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

does not vanish. The “signature” of the Appell function can thus be seen as the signature (n_+, n_-) of the above matrix. The column vectors of \mathbf{M} are denoted by $\mathbf{m}_i \in \Lambda^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n_-$. In addition, we have a vector $\mathbf{m}_0 \in \Lambda^* \times \mathbb{Q}$, two complex vectors $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{n_-}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_-}$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_{n_+}) \in \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n_+}$, and a constant $R \in \mathbb{Q}$. In terms of this data we define an Appell function of signature (n_+, n_-) as a function of the form:

$$(5.2) \quad A_{Q, \{\mathbf{m}_i\}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \tau) := e^{2\pi i \mathbf{m}_0 \cdot \mathbf{u}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Lambda} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{k}) + R} e^{2\pi i \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n_-} (1 - q^{\mathbf{m}_j \cdot \mathbf{k}} e^{2\pi i u_j})}$$

Note that expanding the denominators as a geometric sum will bring $A_{Q, \{\mathbf{m}_i\}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \tau)$ to the form of an indefinite theta function of a lattice with the quadratic form $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$ defined above. Appell functions with signature $(1, 1)$ are the classical Appell functions, possibly of higher level. Appell functions of signature $(n_+, 1)$ with $n_+ \geq 2$ are the multi-variable Appell functions studied in [52]. To my knowledge, functions $A_{Q, \{\mathbf{m}_i\}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \tau)$ with $n_- > 1$ have not appeared earlier in the literature.³

³After finishing this note, I became aware that functions very similar to (5.2) are described by Kac and Wakimoto in the context of Lie superalgebras [22, Equation

Analogues of all four properties of the classical Appell function listed above are expected to exist for the Appell functions with general signature. After addition of a suitable completion, the generalized Appell functions are expected to transform as a multivariable Jacobi form with weight $(n_+ + n_-)/2$ modular form. The modular properties will also fix as usual the values R and \mathbf{m}_0 . The analogue of the fourth property is most easily established. We have

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{n_-})$ be a complex vector of length n_-*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_-}} A_{Q, \{\mathbf{m}_i\}}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{l}\tau, \mathbf{v}, \tau) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{m}_0 \tau) - 2\pi i \mathbf{m}_0 \mathbf{u}} \\ &= \Theta_Q(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{z}, \tau) \prod_{j=1}^{n_-} \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)^3 \theta_1(u_j + z_j, \tau)}{i \theta_1(u_j, \tau) \theta_1(z_j, \tau)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta_Q(\mathbf{v}, \tau)$ is a theta function for the lattice with quadratic form Q :

$$\Theta_Q(\mathbf{v}, \tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Lambda} q^{\frac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{k}^2) + R} e^{2\pi i \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}}.$$

Proof. The proof follows almost immediately from the change of the summation variables $\mathbf{l} \rightarrow \mathbf{l} - \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{k}$, and application of the identity:

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{2\pi i m z}}{1 - e^{2\pi i u} q^m} = \frac{\eta(\tau)^3 \theta_1(u + z, \tau)}{\theta_1(u, \tau) \theta_1(z, \tau)}.$$

□

5.3. Generating functions $H_{r, c_1}(z, \tau)$ and Appell functions of signature (ℓ, ℓ)

In this subsection, we relate the generating functions $H_{r, c_1}(z, \tau)$ and the Appell functions with a general signature, and discuss consequences based on the blow-up formula. Clearly, the functions $\Psi_{(r_1, \dots, r_\ell), (a, b)}(z, \tau)$ in Section 4 are specializations of a generalized Appell function with signature $(\ell - 1, \ell - 1)$. If $r_i = 1, \forall i = 1, \dots, \ell$, the corresponding $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional quadratic

(0.13)]. An important difference is that for Lie superalgebras, $\{\mathbf{m}_i\}$ is a set of pairwise orthogonal vectors, whereas this is typically not the case for the functions of interest in this paper.

form corresponds to the one of the $A_{\ell-1}$ root lattice. We define the quadratic form Q_r of the A_r root lattice by

$$(5.3) \quad Q_r := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $r = 2$, explicit expressions for the $H_{2,H}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$ are given in Equations (4.14) and (4.15). The $\Psi_{(1,1),(a,b)}$ are in this case specializations of the classical Appell function. For example, the Appell function in Equation (4.14) can be written as $A(4z + \tau, -2z + \tau + \frac{1}{2}, 2\tau)$ (up to a term of the form $q^\alpha w^\beta$). Writing also the Appell function in Equation (4.15) in terms of a specialization of $A(u, v, \tau)$, one can easily prove the equality of (4.14) and (4.15) using the periodicity relation of $A(u, v, \tau)$ given in Section 5.1.

For $r = 3$, the explicit expressions for the $H_{3,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$ are listed in the appendix. One verifies straightforwardly that the $\Psi_{(1,1,1),(a,b)}$ are specializations of the following A_2 Appell function

$$A_{Q_2}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \tau) = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_1 k_2} e^{2\pi i v_1 (2k_1 + k_2) + 2\pi i v_2 (k_2 - k_1)}}{(1 - e^{2\pi i u_1} q^{2k_1 + k_2})(1 - e^{2\pi i u_2} q^{k_2 - k_1})},$$

with $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)$, and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$. The blow-up formula (4.6) gives in this case the following relations among the $H_{3,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$:

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{H_{3,f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})}{B_{3,1}(z, \tau)} &= \frac{H_{3,-C+f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})}{B_{3,1}(z, \tau)} = \frac{H_{3,C+f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})}{B_{3,0}(z, \tau)}, \\ \frac{H_{3,0}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})}{B_{3,0}(z, \tau)} &= \frac{H_{3,C}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})}{B_{3,1}(z, \tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

which clearly imply very intricate relations among the $\Psi_{(1,1,1),(a,b)}$.⁴

The identities (5.4) also provide non-trivial information about the zeros and poles of $H_{3,c_1}(z, \tau)$. For example, one can show using techniques from

⁴Using the new expressions for $H_{3,c_1}(z, \tau)$, the identities (5.4) are proven in Reference [6] by making only use of analytic properties of the q -series. The first equality sign on the first line of (5.4) corresponds to [6, Theorem 1.1], the second equality sign to [6, Theorem 1.2], and the equality on the second line to [6, Theorem 1.3]. The proofs confirm that, at least for $r \leq 3$, the computation of $H_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; \mathbb{P}^2)$ using the functions $H_{r,\tilde{c}_1}(z, \tau; J_{0,1})$, wall-crossing and blow-up formula, lead to identical generating functions, independent of the choice of $\tilde{c}_1 = \phi^* c_1 - kC$.

[13] that the function $B_{3,1}(z, \tau)$ has zeroes at torsion points: $z_0 = \frac{n}{3}$, $n = 1, 2 \pmod 3$, whereas $B_{3,0}(z, \tau)$ has zeros for $z_0 = \pm(\frac{1}{2}\tau + \frac{1}{4} + \nu(\tau))$ where

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu(\tau) &= -2\pi \int_{\tau}^{i\infty} (t - \tau)F(t)dt \\ &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \left(1 - \frac{11}{6}q + \frac{243}{40}q^2 + \dots \right), \end{aligned}$$

with $F(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\tau)^{12} \eta(3\tau)^6}{(q^{1/2} \prod_{\lambda, \mu=0,1} \eta(\tau)^3 B_{3,0}(\tau, \lambda\tau/4 + \mu/4))^{3/2}}$. It is not hard to verify that both $H_{3,f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$ and $H_{3,-C+f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$ do not have poles at points where $B_{3,0}(z, \tau)$ vanishes. Therefore, $H_{3,C+f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$ must necessarily vanish at the same points where $B_{3,0}(z, \tau)$ vanishes. One can verify order by order that this is indeed the case.

As a final illustration, we express $\Psi_{(1,1,1),(-2,2)}(z, \tau)$ as a generalized Appell function of the form (5.2). The corresponding quadratic form is Q_3 , and the vectors \mathbf{m}_i , $i = 0, \dots, 3$ are given by:

$$\mathbf{m}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{m}_1 = (1, -1, 0), \quad \mathbf{m}_2 = (0, 1, -1), \quad \mathbf{m}_3 = (1, 1, 2),$$

$$\mathbf{u} = -4z(1, 1, 1) + 2\tau(0, 0, 1), \quad \mathbf{v} = (6, 4, 2)z + (3, 3, 2)\tau, \quad \text{and } R = \frac{5}{2}.$$

Appendix A. Explicit expressions for $H_{3,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$

We list here explicit expressions for the functions $H_{3,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$. For any $J \in C(S)$, the functions $H_{3,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ are well-known to satisfy

$$H_{3,c_1}(z, \tau; J) = H_{3,-c_1}(z, \tau; J) = H_{3,c_1+k}(z, \tau; J),$$

with $k \in H^2(\Sigma_1, 3\mathbb{Z})$. As a result, there are only five different functions $H_{3,c_1}(z, \tau; J_{1,0})$. Using the results of Section 4, one obtains for these

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{3,f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0}) \\ &= H_1(z, \tau)H_2(z, \tau) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k+4}q^{3k^2+2k}}{1 - w^6q^{3k}} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k+2}q^{3k^2+k}}{1 - w^6q^{3k}} \right) \\ &\quad + H_1(z, \tau)^3 \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-2(k_1+2k_2-2)}q^{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_1k_2+k_1+k_2}}{(1 - w^4q^{2k_1+k_2})(1 - w^4q^{k_2-k_1})} + H_3(z, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_{3,-C+f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0}) \\
 = & H_1(z, \tau)H_2(z, \tau) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k+2}q^{3k^2+4k+1}}{1-w^6q^{3k+1}} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k+4}q^{3k^2-k}}{1-w^6q^{3k-1}} \right) \\
 & + H_1(z, \tau)^3 \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-2(k_1+2k_2-1)}q^{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_1k_2+2k_1+2k_2+1}}{(1-w^4q^{2k_1+k_2+1})(1-w^4q^{k_2-k_1})},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_{3,C+f}(z, \tau; J_{1,0}) \\
 = & H_1(z, \tau)H_2(z, \tau) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k+6}q^{3k^2-\frac{1}{3}}}{1-w^6q^{3k-1}} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k}q^{3k^2+3k+\frac{2}{3}}}{1-w^6q^{3k+1}} \right) \\
 & + H_1(z, \tau)^3 \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-2(k_1+2k_2-3)}q^{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_1k_2-\frac{1}{3}}}{(1-w^4q^{2k_1+k_2-1})(1-w^4q^{k_2-k_1})},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_{3,0}(z, \tau; J_{1,0}) \\
 = & 2H_1(z, \tau)H_2(z, \tau) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k}q^{3k^2}}{1-w^6q^{3k}} \right) \\
 & + H_1(z, \tau)^3 \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-2(k_1+2k_2)}q^{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_1k_2}}{(1-w^4q^{2k_1+k_2})(1-w^4q^{k_2-k_1})} + H_3(z, \tau),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_{3,C}(z, \tau; J_{1,0}) \\
 = & H_1(z, \tau)H_2(z, \tau) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k+2}q^{3k^2-2k+\frac{1}{3}}}{1-w^6q^{3k-1}} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-6k-2}q^{3k^2+2k+\frac{1}{3}}}{1-w^6q^{3k+1}} \right) \\
 & + H_1(z, \tau)^3 \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w^{-2(k_1+2k_2+1)}q^{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_1k_2+k_1+k_2+\frac{1}{3}}}{(1-w^4q^{2k_1+k_2+1})(1-w^4q^{k_2-k_1})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] S. Alexandrov, J. Manschot, and B. Pioline, *D3-instantons, mock theta series and twistors*, JHEP **1304** (2013) 002. arXiv:1207.1109 [hep-th].
- [2] S. Alexandrov, G. W. Moore, A. Neitzke, and B. Pioline, *\mathbb{R}^3 index for four-dimensional $N = 2$ field theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** (2015) 121601. arXiv:1406.2360 [hep-th].

- [3] M. P. Appell, *Sur les fonctions doublement périodique de troisième espèce*, Annales scientifiques de l'E.N.S. (1886), 9–42.
- [4] C. P. Bachas, P. Bain, and M. B. Green, *Curvature terms in D-brane actions and their M theory origin*, JHEP **9905** (1999) 011. doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1999/05/011, arXiv:hep-th/9903210.
- [5] K. Bringmann and J. Manschot, *From sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 to a generalization of the Rademacher expansion*, Am. J. of Math. **135** (2013), 1039–1065. arXiv:1006.0915 [math.NT].
- [6] K. Bringmann, J. Manschot, and L. Rolin, *Identities for generalized Appell functions and the blow-up formula*, (2015).
- [7] M. C. N. Cheng, *K3 surfaces, $N = 4$ dyons, and the Mathieu group M_{24}* , Commun. Num. Theor. Phys. **4** (2010) 623. arXiv:1005.5415 [hep-th].
- [8] M. C. N. Cheng and J. F. R. Duncan, *The largest Mathieu group and (mock) automorphic forms*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **85** (2012), 53. arXiv:1201.4140 [math.RT].
- [9] A. Dabholkar, S. Murthy, and D. Zagier, *Quantum black Holes, wall crossing, and mock modular forms*. arXiv:1208.4074 [hep-th].
- [10] E. Diaconescu and G. W. Moore, *Crossing the wall: Branes versus bundles*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **14** (2010). arXiv:0706.3193 [hep-th].
- [11] S. K. Donaldson, *Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 231–247.
- [12] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina, *On the unitary representations of $N=2$ and $N=4$ superconformal algebras*, Phys. Lett. **B210** (1988) 125.
- [13] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*, Birkhäuser, (1985).
- [14] A. Gadde, S. Gukov, and P. Putrov, *Fivebranes and 4-manifolds*. arXiv:1306.4320 [hep-th].
- [15] L. Göttsche, *The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990) 193.
- [16] L. Göttsche, D. Zagier, *Jacobi forms and the structure of Donaldson invariants for 4-manifolds with $b_+ = 1$* , Selecta Math., New Ser. **4** (1998) 69. arXiv:alg-geom/9612020.

- [17] L. Göttsche, *Theta functions and Hodge numbers of moduli spaces of sheaves on rational surfaces*, Comm. Math. Physics **206** (1999) 105. [arXiv:math.AG/9808007](#).
- [18] B. Haghighat and S. Vandoren, *Five-dimensional gauge theory and compactification on a torus*, JHEP **1109** (2011) 060. [arXiv:1107.2847 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] D. Joyce, *Configurations in Abelian categories. IV. Invariants and changing stability conditions*, Adv. in Math. **217** (2008), 125–204. [arXiv:math.AG/0410268](#).
- [20] D. Joyce and Y. Song, *A theory of generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, [arXiv:0810.5645 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [21] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Integrable highest weight modules over affine superalgebras and Appell's function*, Commun. Math. Phys. **215** (2001), 631–682.
- [22] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Representations of affine superalgebra's and mock theta functions*, [arXiv:1308.1261 \[math.RT\]](#).
- [23] S. Katz, A. Klemm, and R. Pandharipande, *On the motivic stable pair invariants of K3 surfaces*, [arXiv:1407.3181 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [24] A. Klemm, J. Manschot, and T. Wotschke, *Quantum geometry of elliptic Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Comm. in Number Theory and Physics **6** (2012), 849–917. [arXiv:1205.1795 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] A. Klyachko, *Moduli of vector bundles and numbers of classes*, Funct. Anal. and Appl. **25** (1991), 67–68.
- [26] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations*, [arXiv:0811.2435 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [27] M. Kool, *Euler characteristics of moduli spaces of torsion free sheaves on toric surfaces*, Geom. Dedicata (2014). [arXiv:0906.3393 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [28] W.-P. Li and Z. Qin, *On blowup formulae for the S-duality conjecture of Vafa and Witten*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999), 451–482. [arXiv:math.AG/9808007](#).
- [29] J. M. Maldacena, A. Strominger, and E. Witten, *Black hole entropy in M theory*, JHEP **9712** (1997) 002. [arXiv:hep-th/9711053](#).

- [30] J. Manschot and G. W. Moore, *A modern fareytail*, Commun. Num. Theor. Phys. **4** (2010), no. 1, 103–159. [arXiv:0712.0573](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [31] J. Manschot, *Stability and duality in $N = 2$ supergravity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **299** (2010) 651. [arXiv:0906.1767](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [32] J. Manschot, *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 3 on \mathbb{P}^2* , Lett. in Math. Phys. **98** (2011), 65–78. [arXiv:1009.1775](#) [[math-ph](#)].
- [33] J. Manschot, *BPS invariants of $N = 4$ gauge theory on a surface*, Comm. in Number Theory and Physics **6** (2012), 497–516. [arXiv:1103.0012](#) [[math-ph](#)].
- [34] J. Manschot, *BPS invariants of semi-stable sheaves on rational surfaces*, Lett. Math. Phys. **103** (2013) 895. [arXiv:1109.4861](#) [[math-ph](#)].
- [35] R. Minasian, G. W. Moore, and D. Tsimpis, *Calabi-Yau black holes and $(0,4)$ sigma models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **209** (2000) 325. [arXiv:hep-th/9904217](#).
- [36] S. Mozgovoy, *Invariants of moduli spaces of stable sheaves on ruled surfaces*, [arXiv:1302.4134](#) [[math.AG](#)].
- [37] J. A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky, C. Vafa, and N. P. Warner, *E-strings and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ topological Yang-Mills theories*, Nucl. Phys. B **527** (1998) 581. [arXiv:hep-th/9802168](#).
- [38] A. Polishchuk, *M. P. Appell's function and vector bundles of rank 2 on elliptic curves*, The Ramanujan Journal **5** (2001) 111–128. [arXiv:math/9810084](#) [[math.AG](#)].
- [39] A. M. Semikhatov, A. Taormina, and I. Y. Tipunin, *Higher level Appell functions, modular transformations, and characters*, [arXiv:math/0311314](#) [[math-qa](#)].
- [40] Y. Toda, *Generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants on the local projective plane*. [arXiv:1405.3366](#).
- [41] J. Troost, *The non-compact elliptic genus: mock or modular*, JHEP **1006** (2010) 104. [arXiv:1004.3649](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [42] K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau, *On the existence of Hermitian Yang-Mills connections in stable bundles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), 257–293.

- [43] E. P. Verlinde, *Global aspects of electric-magnetic duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **455** (1995) 211. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(95)00431-Q, arXiv:hep-th/9506011.
- [44] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *A strong coupling test of S duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **431** (1994) 3. arXiv:hep-th/9408074.
- [45] T. Weist, *Torus fixed points of moduli spaces of stable bundles of rank three*, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra **215** (2011). arXiv:0903.0732 [math.AG].
- [46] Work in progress.
- [47] K. Yoshioka, *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on \mathbb{P}^2* , J. reine. angew. Math. **453** (1994), 193–220.
- [48] K. Yoshioka, *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on a ruled surface*, Math. Ann. **302** (1995), 519–540.
- [49] K. Yoshioka, *The chamber structure of polarizations and the moduli of stable sheaves on a ruled surface*, Int. J. of Math. **7** (1996), 411–431. arXiv:alg-geom/9409008.
- [50] D. Zagier, *Nombres de classes et formes modulaires de poids 3/2*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **281** (1975) 883.
- [51] S. P. Zwegers, *Mock theta functions*, Dissertation, University of Utrecht (2002).
- [52] S. P. Zwegers, *Multivariable Appell functions*, (2010).

INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN
UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1
43 BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918
69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE
Current address:
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE
COLLEGE GREEN, DUBLIN 2, IRELAND
E-mail address: manschot@maths.tcd.ie

