

On Calabi–Yau supermanifolds

Martin Roček and Neal Wadhwa

C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics
SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840, USA
rocek@insti.physics.sunysb.edu

Abstract

We prove that a Kähler supermetric on a supermanifold with one complex fermionic dimension admits a super Ricci-flat supermetric, if and only if the bosonic metric has vanishing scalar curvature. As a corollary, it follows that Yau’s theorem does not hold for supermanifolds.

Calabi [1] proposed that if a Kähler manifold has vanishing first Chern class, that is, the Ricci-form obeys $R_{i\bar{j}}(g) = \partial_i \bar{v}_j - \bar{\partial}_j v_i$ for a globally defined 1-form v , or, equivalently, a complex n -dimensional Kähler manifold has a globally defined holomorphic top form Ω_{i_1, \dots, i_n} , then there exists a unique metric g' which is a smooth deformation of g and obeys $R_{i\bar{j}}(g') = 0$. Yau [2] proved this theorem for ordinary manifolds.

Recently, there has been a lot of interest in Calabi–Yau supermanifolds [3–5]; though these papers use only the topological properties of such spaces, it is interesting to ask whether they also admit Ricci-flat supermetrics. This paper studies the generalization of Calabi’s conjecture to supermanifolds with one complex fermionic dimension. We find that such a Kähler supermanifold admits a Ricci-flat supermetric if and only if the bosonic metric has vanishing scalar curvature. For a given scalar-flat bosonic Kähler metric with Kähler potential K_{Bose} , the super-extension is unique, and has the

super Kähler potential:

$$K(z^i, \bar{z}^j, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = K_{\text{Bose}}(z^i, \bar{z}^j) + \det\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^j} K_{\text{Bose}}\right) \theta \bar{\theta}. \quad (1.1)$$

As complex projective spaces do not admit scalar-flat metrics, but do admit super Calabi–Yau extensions with one fermionic dimension, it follows that Yau’s theorem does not hold for supermanifolds.

A supermanifold is a generalization of a usual manifold with *fermionic* as well as bosonic coordinates.¹ The bosonic coordinates are ordinary numbers, whereas the fermionic coordinates are grassmann numbers. Grassmann numbers are odd elements of a grassmann algebra and anti-commute: $\theta^1 \theta^2 = -\theta^2 \theta^1$ and $\theta^1 \theta^1 = 0$.

On bosonic Kähler manifolds, the Ricci tensor

$$R_{i\bar{j}} = -(\ln \det(g))_{,i\bar{j}}. \quad (1.2)$$

For this to vanish, $\ln \det(g)$ (locally) must be the real part of a holomorphic function, and hence, $\det(g) = |f(z)|^2$ for some holomorphic $f(z)$. This can always be absorbed by a holomorphic coordinate transformation, and hence a Kähler manifold is Ricci-flat if its Kähler potential K obeys the Monge–Ampère equation

$$\det(g) \equiv \det(K_{,i\bar{j}}) = 1. \quad (1.3)$$

On supermanifolds, because elements of g contain grassmann numbers, the determinant is not well-defined and a new definition of the determinant is needed. For any non-degenerate supermatrix

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.4)$$

where A and D are bosonic and B and C are fermionic,

$$\text{sdet}(g) \equiv \frac{\det(A)}{\det(D - CA^{-1}B)} = \frac{\det(A - BD^{-1}C)}{\det(D)}. \quad (1.5)$$

For arbitrary supermatrices X, Y , this definition is consistent with the basic relation $\text{sdet}(XY) = \text{sdet}(X) \text{sdet}(Y)$. In addition, the supertrace is defined as

$$\text{str}(g) = \text{tr}(A) - \text{tr}(D), \quad (1.6)$$

¹More rigorous and technical definitions can be found in the literature (see e.g., [6, 7], but this simple treatment suffices for our results.

which is consistent with $\text{str}(XY) = \text{str}(YX)$. These two definitions imply an identity that is useful in simplifying expressions that use grassmann numbers:

$$\ln \text{sdet}(g) = \text{str} \ln(g) \tag{1.7}$$

Simple examples [5] of Kähler supermanifolds are provided by superprojective spaces, $SP(m|n)$. These can be described in terms of $m + n + 1$ homogeneous coordinates:

$$(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^{m+1} | \theta^1, \dots, \theta^n) \tag{1.8}$$

related by the equivalence relations $z^i \sim \lambda z^i$ and $\theta^i \sim \lambda \theta^i$. There are $m + 1$ coordinate patches where $z^i \neq 0$ in the i -th coordinate patch. In the i -th patch, we can introduce inhomogeneous coordinates $\tilde{z}^j = z^j / z^i$. Other examples include weighted superprojective space, $WSP(k_1, \dots, k_{m+1} | l_1, \dots, l_n)$; the coordinates are identified under the equivalence relations $z^i \sim \lambda^{k_i} z^i$ and $\theta^i \sim \lambda^{l_i} \theta^i$. A direct calculation of the Ricci-form of the standard Fubini-Study metric reveals that $SP(m|m + 1)$ are Calabi–Yau and have a vanishing Ricci-form, whereas $WSP(1, \dots, 1|m)$ are Calabi–Yau but have a non-vanishing Ricci-form (see below).

We now show that for an arbitrary Kähler space with only one complex fermionic coordinate, $R_{i\bar{j}} = 0$ implies that the bosonic part of the Kähler potential yields a space with a Ricci scalar $s = 0$. Consider an arbitrary super Kähler potential K , on a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}(m|1)$ with one complex fermionic coordinate θ and m bosonic coordinates. The super Kähler potential can be written as $K = f^0 + f^1 \theta \bar{\theta}$. We use the convention that holomorphic derivatives are taken from the left and anti-holomorphic derivatives are taken from the right. The supermetric \mathbf{g} is the block matrix

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} f^0_{,i\bar{j}} + f^1_{,i\bar{j}} \theta \bar{\theta} & f^1_{,i} \theta \\ f^1_{,\bar{j}} \bar{\theta} & f^1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.9}$$

Its superdeterminant is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sdet}(\mathbf{g}) &= \frac{\det[f^0_{,i\bar{j}} + (f^1_{,i\bar{j}} - f^1_{,i} f^1_{,\bar{j}} / f^1) \theta \bar{\theta}]}{f^1} \\ &= \frac{\det(f^0_{,i\bar{j}})}{f^1} \det \left[\delta_i^k + g^{k\bar{j}} \left(f^1_{,i\bar{j}} - \frac{f^1_{,i} f^1_{,\bar{j}}}{f^1} \right) \theta \bar{\theta} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

where $g^{i\bar{j}} \equiv (f^0_{,i\bar{j}})^{-1}$ is the inverse metric of the bosonic manifold. Using the identity (1.7), we can rewrite this as:

$$\text{sdet}(\mathbf{g}) = \frac{\det(f^0_{,i\bar{j}})}{f^1} \left[1 + g^{i\bar{j}} \left(f^1_{,i\bar{j}} - \frac{f^1_{,i} f^1_{,\bar{j}}}{f^1} \right) \theta \bar{\theta} \right]. \tag{1.11}$$

On a super Ricci-flat manifold, the superdeterminant can be chosen to be 1. The θ -independent term of $\text{sdet}(\mathbf{g}) = 1$ implies

$$f^1 = \det(f_{,i\bar{j}}^0). \quad (1.12)$$

The remaining term must vanish on a super Ricci-flat Kähler manifold. This implies

$$g^{i\bar{j}} \left(f_{,i\bar{j}}^1 - \frac{f_{,i}^1 f_{,\bar{j}}^1}{f^1} \right) = f^1 g^{i\bar{j}} [\ln(f^1)]_{,i\bar{j}} = 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Substituting (1.12) implies

$$g^{i\bar{j}} \ln \det(f_{,l\bar{k}}^0)_{,i\bar{j}} \equiv g^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}} = 0, \quad (1.14)$$

which is precisely the Ricci scalar of the bosonic space with Kähler potential f^0 . This proves our main result: a Kähler supermanifold with one complex fermionic dimension admits a super Ricci-flat extension, if and only if the bosonic Kähler manifold that it is based on has vanishing scalar curvature s . Many such bosonic manifolds are known and have been studied; (see e.g., [9–11]; such spaces all admit supermanifolds with Calabi–Yau supermetrics. A simple example is the space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \Sigma$, where Σ is a Riemann surface with a metric with constant curvature chosen so that the total scalar curvature vanishes. The super Ricci-flat Kähler potential on such a space is

$$K = \ln(1 + z_1 \bar{z}_1) - \ln(1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2) + \frac{\theta \bar{\theta}}{(1 + z_1 \bar{z}_1)^2 (1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2)^2}. \quad (1.15)$$

There are many other $s = 0$ metrics which can be studied this way.

A corollary of our result is that there are many Kähler supermanifolds with vanishing first Chern class that do not admit super Ricci-flat supermetrics, thus proving that Yau’s theorem does not apply to supermanifolds. Clearly, since no projective space admits an $s = 0$ metric, no supermanifold with one complex fermionic coordinate that is based on projective space admits a super Ricci-flat supermetric. To find our counterexample, it suffices to prove that such supermanifolds may have vanishing first Chern class.

We now consider the explicit example $WSP(1, 1|2)$. The superprojective space $WSP(1, 1|2)$ has a bosonic base which is just $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, and $\ln \det(\mathbf{g})$ of the Fubini-Study supermetric is the *globally defined* scalar, $\theta \bar{\theta} / (1 + z \bar{z})^2$. The gradient of this scalar is a globally defined vector that fulfills the conditions of the super Calabi–Yau conjecture. Equivalently, the top form $dz \wedge d\theta$ is a globally defined holomorphic top-form (the superdeterminant of the coordinate transformation $z \rightarrow -1/z$, $\theta \rightarrow \theta/z^2$ between the two patches that

cover \mathbb{CP}^1 is 1). As the bosonic part of $WSP(1, 1|2)$, \mathbb{CP}^1 , has no metric with Ricci scalar $s = 0$, this space does not satisfy the super Calabi–Yau conjecture. This result can be generalized to $WSP(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_m | m)$. These

spaces have a globally defined vector on them that fulfill the conditions of the super Calabi–Yau conjecture or equivalently they have globally defined holomorphic top-forms that exist in every coordinate patch. In [8], it is observed that $WSP(1, 1|2)$ appears to violate the super Calabi–Yau conjecture, though no explicit proof is given, and it is conjectured that $WSP(1, \dots, 1|m)$ for $m > 2$ will satisfy the conjecture; here we have shown that no $WSP(1, \dots, 1|m)$ admits a super Ricci-flat supermetric.

Acknowledgment

We are happy to thank C. LeBrun, S. Sethi, C. Vafa, E. Witten, and S.T. Yau for encouragement and helpful comments, and the Second Simons Workshop in Physics and Mathematics for a stimulating environment. The work of MR was supported in part by NSF grant no. PHY-0354776.

References

- [1] E. Calabi, *The space of Kahler metrics* Proc. Int. Congr. Math. Amsterdam **2**, 206–207.
- [2] S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kahler manifold and the Monge-Ampere equations*. I, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 339.
- [3] E. Witten, *Perturbative gauge theory as a string theory in twistor space*, arXiv:hep-th/0312171.
- [4] A. Neitzke and C. Vafa, *$N = 2$ strings and the twistorial Calabi-Yau*, arXiv:hep-th/0402128.
- [5] M. Aganagic and C. Vafa, *Mirror symmetry and supermanifolds*, arXiv:hep-th/0403192.
- [6] B. DeWitt, *Supermanifolds Cambridge*. Second edition. University Press of Cambridge, UK Monographs on mathematical physics, 1992.
- [7] D.S. Freed, *IAS/Park City Lectures on Classical Field Theory and Supersymmetry* IAS/Park City Mathematics series **11** (2001), <http://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/dafr/pcmi.pdf>

- [8] S. Sethi, *Supermanifolds, rigid manifolds and mirror symmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B **430** (1994), 31; arXiv:hep-th/9404186.
- [9] C. LeBrun, *Scalar-flat Kaehler metrics on blown-up ruled surfaces*, J. reine Angew. Math. **420** (1991), 161–177.
- [10] C. LeBrun, *On the scalar curvature of complex surfaces*, Geom. Func. Anal. **5** (1995), 619–628.
- [11] C. LeBrun, J.-S. Kim and M. Pontecorvo, *Scalar-flat Kaehler surfaces of all genera*, J. reine Angew. Math. **486** (1997), 69–95.