

PROPER ACTIONS ON STRONGLY REGULAR HOMOGENEOUS SPACES*

MACIEJ BOCHENSKI†

Abstract. Let G/H be a strongly regular homogeneous space such that H is a Lie group of inner type. We show that G/H admits a proper action of a discrete non-virtually abelian subgroup of G if and only if G/H admits a proper action of a subgroup $L \subset G$ locally isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. We classify all such spaces.

Key words. Proper actions, homogeneous spaces, Lie groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 57S30, 22E40, 22F30.

1. Introduction. Recall that if L is a locally compact topological group acting continuously on a locally Hausdorff topological space M then this action is called *proper* if for every compact subset $C \subset M$ the set

$$L(C) := \{g \in L \mid g \cdot C \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$$

is compact. If L is discrete and acts properly on M then we say that L acts *properly discontinuously* on M . We will restrict our attention to the case where $M = G/H$ is a homogeneous space of reductive type and $L \subset G$. In more detail we assume that G is a linear connected reductive real Lie group with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and $H \subset G$ is a closed subgroup of G with finitely many connected components. Also let \mathfrak{h} be the Lie algebra of H .

DEFINITION 1. *The subgroup H is reductive in G if \mathfrak{h} is reductive in \mathfrak{g} , that is, there exists a Cartan involution θ of \mathfrak{g} for which $\theta(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$. The space G/H is called the homogeneous space of reductive type.*

The problem of proper actions on homogeneous spaces was studied for example in [13], [9], [1], [7] and [2], we also refer the reader to the excellent survey [8]. The idea of how to use orbits, Jacobson-Morozov theorem and lie algebra data to study proper actions comes from Kobayashi ([10], [11]). In the context of proper actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ it was further studied by Teduka ([17] and [18]) and recently by Okuda ([14]).

In the present paper we would like to examine the connection between the following three conditions

- C1 := the space G/H admits a properly discontinuous action of an infinite subgroup of G ,
- C2 := the space G/H admits a properly discontinuous action of a non-virtually abelian infinite subgroup of G ,
- C3 := the space G/H admits a proper action of a subgroup $L \subset G$ locally isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$,

(a discrete group is non-virtually abelian if it does not contain an abelian subgroup of finite index). Notice that if H is compact then every closed subgroup of G acts

*Received February 2, 2016; accepted for publication September 23, 2016.

†Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Warmia and Mazury, Słoneczna 54, 10-710, Olsztyn, Poland (mabo@matman.uwm.edu.pl).

discontinuously on G/H . For example the mentioned three conditions are equivalent (taking G such that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is of non-compact type):

$$C1 \Leftrightarrow C2 \Leftrightarrow C3.$$

However this is not the case with non-compact H . Indeed let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$$

be a Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} induced by a Cartan involution θ and choose a maximal abelian subspace \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{p} . One can show that all maximal abelian subspaces of \mathfrak{p} are conjugate and therefore we can define the *real rank* of \mathfrak{g} by

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g} := \dim(\mathfrak{a}).$$

In this setting we can state the famous Calabi-Markus phenomenon proved by T. Kobayashi (c.f. Corollary 4.4 in [11])

G/H fulfills the condition C1 if and only if $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g} > \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{h}$.

Take $K \subset G$ the maximal compact subgroup corresponding to \mathfrak{k} . The group K acts on \mathfrak{p} by the adjoint representation. Define the Weyl group $W_g := N_K(\mathfrak{a})/Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$ where $N_K(\mathfrak{a})$ (resp. $Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$) denotes the normalizer (resp. centralizer) of \mathfrak{a} in K . Then W_g acts on \mathfrak{a} by orthogonal transformations and W_g is isomorphic to the finite reflection group generated by the restricted root system of \mathfrak{g} (see Sections 2.1 and 2.3). Let $w_0 \in W_g$ be the longest element. Put

$$\mathfrak{b} := \{X \in \mathfrak{a} \mid -w_0(X) = X\}. \tag{1}$$

Also let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{k}_h + \mathfrak{p}_h$ be a Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{h} with respect to $\theta|_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Notice that we can choose a maximal abelian subspace \mathfrak{a}_h of \mathfrak{p} so that $\mathfrak{a}_h \subset \mathfrak{a}$. We have the following.

THEOREM 1 (Theorem 1 in [1]). *The group G contains a discrete and non-virtually abelian subgroup which acts properly discontinuously on G/H if and only if for every w in W_g , $w \cdot \mathfrak{a}_h$ does not contain \mathfrak{b} .*

For example the space $SL(3, \mathbb{R})/SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ fulfills the condition C1 but does not fulfill the condition C2 and therefore the condition C3 (see Example 1 in [1]). Furthermore, consider the following example.

EXAMPLE 1. *Take $SO(4, 4)/U$ where U has a Lie algebra denoted by \mathfrak{u} and $\mathfrak{u} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 + \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The embedding $U \hookrightarrow G$ is induced by $\mathfrak{u} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$ and is described in the Appendix.*

This space fulfills the condition C2 but not C3. Thus for a space of reductive type we only have

$$C1 \Leftarrow C2 \Leftarrow C3.$$

The aim of this paper is to show that one can impose a condition on “regularity” of the embedding $H \hookrightarrow G$ so that conditions C2 and C3 become equivalent and close to C1. Let \mathfrak{t} be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{z}_k(\mathfrak{a}) := \{Y \in \mathfrak{k} \mid \forall X \in \mathfrak{a} [Y, X] = 0\}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{j} := \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}$$

is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} called the *split Cartan subalgebra* (for an arbitrary θ stable Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{w} the dimension $\dim(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{w})$ is called the *non-compact dimension* of the Cartan subalgebra, therefore a Cartan subalgebra is split if it is of maximal non-compact dimension). Analogously define a split Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{j}_{[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]}$ of $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]$. We propose the following definition.

DEFINITION 2. *The space G/H is strongly regular if $\mathfrak{j}_{[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]} \subset \mathfrak{j} \subset \mathfrak{n}_g([\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}])$ where $\mathfrak{n}_g([\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]) := \{Y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \forall X \in [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] [Y, X] \in [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]\}$ is a normalizer of $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]$ in \mathfrak{g} .*

REMARK 1. *The space G/H is strongly regular if and only if $\mathfrak{j}_{[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]} \subset \mathfrak{j}$ (up to conjugation) and $[\mathfrak{j}, [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]] \subset \mathfrak{h}$.*

Examples of strongly regular spaces include (but are not limited to) spaces of parabolic type (i.e. spaces where H is a semisimple part of the Levi factor of any parabolic subgroup of G), spaces induced by regular complex spaces (i.e. spaces where G and H are split real forms of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ and its regular subgroup $H^{\mathbb{C}}$, respectively), and some k -symmetric spaces (spaces where H is an isotropy subgroup induced by an automorphism of G of order k). For more details see Section 2.2.

EXAMPLE 2. *Take $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $0 < c < a < b$. The following spaces are strongly regular (notation is close to [15])*

$$SL(b, \mathbb{R})/SL(a, \mathbb{R}), Sp(b, \mathbb{R})/Sp(a, \mathbb{R}), SO(b, b)/SO(a, a),$$

$$SO(b, b + 1)/SO(a, a + 1), SO(b, b)/SL(a, \mathbb{R}), SO(b, b + 1)/SL(a, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$SO(a, b)/SL(c, \mathbb{R}), SO(2a, 2b)/SO(2c, 2b), SO(2a, 2b + 1)/SO(2c, 2b + 1),$$

$$SU(a, b)/SU(c, b), SU(a, b)/SL(c, \mathbb{C}), SU^*(2b + 2)/SU^*(2a + 2),$$

$$Sp(a, b)/SU^*(2c + 2), E_8^{VIII}/SO(6, 6), E_8^{IX}/E_7^{VII},$$

$$E_6^{III}/SU(1, 6), F_4^I/Sp(3, \mathbb{R}), F_4^I/SL(3, \mathbb{R}).$$

Notice that the notion of strong regularity is a real analogue of the definition of regular subgroup in the complex case.

Define the *a-hyperbolic rank* of a real reductive Lie algebra as

$$\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{g} := \dim \mathfrak{b},$$

where \mathfrak{b} is given by (1). The a-hyperbolic rank can be easily calculated using Table 1 (see Section 2.4).

The main result of this paper is stated in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let G/H be a strongly regular space such that $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{h} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{h}$. Then*

$$C2 \iff C3 \iff \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{g} > \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{h}.$$

COROLLARY 1. *If G/H is a strongly regular homogeneous space such that $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}}\mathfrak{g} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}}\mathfrak{h} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{h}$ then*

$$C1 \iff C2 \iff C3 \iff \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{g} > \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{h}.$$

Taking into account the Calabi-Markus phenomenon we obtain the following characterization of strongly regular spaces G/H for $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}}\mathfrak{h} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{h}$

- $C1 \iff \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{g} > \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{h},$
- $C2 \iff \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}}\mathfrak{g} > \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{h},$
- $C3 \iff \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}}\mathfrak{g} > \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{h}.$

Notice that the assumption $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}}\mathfrak{h} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{h}$ in Theorem 2 is important. Consider the space from Example 1. The space $SO(4, 4)/U$ fulfills the condition of strong regularity: $\mathfrak{j}_{[u, u]} \subset \mathfrak{j}_{so(4, 4)} \subset \mathfrak{n}_{so(4, 4)}([u, u])$. But

$$1 = \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}}\mathfrak{u} < \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{u} = 3.$$

2. Preliminaries. In this section we introduce a notation and properties used in the proof of Theorem 2. We retain the notation from the previous section.

2.1. Restricted roots and the Weyl group. For more information on this subject please refer to Section 4 in Chapter 4 of [16].

Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be complexifications of the reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and the split Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{j} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, respectively. Denote by $\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{C}}}$ the system of roots for $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{g_{\mathbb{C}}}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Consider a subspace

$$\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}) := i\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

and let $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})^*$ be the dual space with respect to the non-degenerate invariant form of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Denote by

$$r : \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^* \tag{2}$$

the restriction map and define

$$\Sigma_g \cup \{0\} := r(\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{C}}} \cup \{0\}).$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_g} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{j} + \mathfrak{g} \cap \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta_{g_{\mathbb{C}}} \\ r(\beta)=0}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{g} \cap \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta_{g_{\mathbb{C}}} \\ r(\beta)=\alpha}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}^{\mathbb{C}} \tag{3}$$

is a root space decomposition for \mathfrak{g} . It follows that for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Sigma_g \cup \{0\}$

$$[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}, \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \neq \{0\} \text{ iff } \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in \Sigma_g \cup \{0\}. \tag{4}$$

The Weyl group W_g of \mathfrak{g} is the finite group of orthogonal transformations of \mathfrak{a} generated by reflections by hyperplanes $C_{\alpha} := \{X \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \alpha(X) = 0\}$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_g$. One can prove the following.

PROPOSITION 1 (Proposition 4.2, Ch. 4 in [16]). *The group W_g coincides with the group of transformations induced by automorphisms Ad_k ($k \in N_K(\mathfrak{a})$) and also with the group of transformations induced by automorphisms Ad_g ($g \in N_G(\mathfrak{a})$). Therefore*

$$W_g \cong N_K(\mathfrak{a})/Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) \cong N_G(\mathfrak{a})/Z_K(\mathfrak{a}).$$

2.2. Strongly regular homogeneous spaces. In this subsection we would like to introduce the notion of a *strongly regular* homogeneous space G/H . For the simplicity we will assume (for this subsection only) that \mathfrak{h} is semisimple.

Recall that the complex semisimple Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{d} of complex semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{e} is called *regular* with respect to a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{e} if $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{n}_e(\mathfrak{d})$. In this setting if

$$\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{s} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_e} \mathfrak{e}_\beta$$

then

$$\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{s}_d + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_d} \mathfrak{e}_\beta,$$

where Δ_d is a closed and symmetric subsystem of Δ_e and

$$\mathfrak{s}_d := \text{Span}(\{[\mathfrak{e}_\beta, \mathfrak{e}_{-\beta}] \mid \beta \in \Delta_d\})$$

is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{d} . (see Proposition 1.1, Ch. 6 in [16]). Recall that

DEFINITION 3. *A subsystem Δ_d of Δ_e is closed if for every $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Delta_d$*

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 \in \Delta_e \implies \beta_1 + \beta_2 \in \Delta_d.$$

It is symmetric if for every $\beta \in \Delta_d$ we have $-\beta \in \Delta_d$.

Let \mathfrak{c}_d be the orthogonal complement to \mathfrak{s}_d in \mathfrak{s} with respect to the Killing form. Notice that

$$\forall \beta \in \Delta_d \quad \forall C \in \mathfrak{c}_d, X \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta \quad [C, X] = \beta(C)X = 0,$$

as $C \in C_\beta$. Therefore

$$[\mathfrak{c}_d, \mathfrak{d}] = 0. \tag{5}$$

In the real case we cannot just assume that *some* Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} is contained in $\mathfrak{n}_g(\mathfrak{h})$ because not all Cartan subalgebras in \mathfrak{g} are conjugate. Therefore we modify our requirement to

$$\mathfrak{j}_h \subset \mathfrak{j} \subset \mathfrak{n}_g(\mathfrak{h})$$

(recall that $\mathfrak{j}_h = \mathfrak{t}_h + \mathfrak{a}_h$ and $\mathfrak{j} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}$ are split-Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{g} , respectively). Also let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_g} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

be the root space decomposition for \mathfrak{g} . In this setting we obtain the following result.

LEMMA 1. *Let G/H be a strongly regular homogeneous space. Then*

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_h} \mathfrak{h}_\alpha \tag{6}$$

is a root space decomposition for \mathfrak{h} such that $\Sigma_h \subset \Sigma_g$ is a subsystem and so by (3) we have $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma_h \cup \{0\}$. Also

$$\mathfrak{a}_h = \text{Span}(\{\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Sigma_h\}).$$

Proof. First notice that $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a regular subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} = \hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{hc}} \mathfrak{g}_\beta^c,$$

where Δ_{hc} is a subsystem of Δ_{gc} and $\hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is some Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$. It follows from the assumption of strong regularity that $\mathfrak{j}_h^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Also $\hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{j}_h^{\mathbb{C}}$ as Cartan subalgebras of the complex semisimple lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are conjugate by an element $w \in H^{\mathbb{C}} \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Assume for a moment that $w \in W_{gc}$. It follows that for $w\hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{j}_h^{\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} = w\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} = w\hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}} + w \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{hc}} \mathfrak{g}_\beta^c = \mathfrak{j}_h^{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\beta \in w(\Delta_{hc})} \mathfrak{g}_\beta^c.$$

Thus we may assume that

$$\hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{j}_h^{\mathbb{C}}$$

and therefore

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{j}_h^{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{hc}} \mathfrak{g}_\beta^c.$$

Now the lemma follows from the definition of the restricted root system and the restriction map (defined by (2)).

We only need to prove that $w \in W_{gc}$. Let \mathfrak{c} be the orthogonal complement of $\hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}}$ in $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We have

$$\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}} = \hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{c}$$

and thus by (5)

$$w\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}} = w\hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}} + w\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{j}_h^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{j}_h^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{j}}_h^{\mathbb{C}}$ are conjugate by an element of $N_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}})$. Without loss of generality we may assume that this element belongs to W_{gc} because

$$W_{gc} \cong N_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}})/Z_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}).$$

□

It follows from (3) and (4) that if \mathfrak{h} is given by (6) then it fulfills the condition of strong regularity. Therefore Lemma 1 can be used to characterize strongly regular homogeneous spaces with semisimple \mathfrak{h} .

It also follows from Lemma 1 how one can construct some easy examples of strongly regular homogeneous spaces. For instance

1. If \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} are split real forms of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and its regular subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$, respectively. Then the root system of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be constructed from the extended Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since for the split real form we can identify $\Delta_{g^{\mathbb{C}}}$ with Σ_g this yields a class of examples of strongly regular homogeneous spaces (see [16], Chapter 6, Section 1.1).
2. Also if \mathfrak{o} is a centralizer in \mathfrak{g} of any subspace in \mathfrak{a} then $\mathfrak{h} := [\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o}]$ induces a strongly regular homogeneous space. In this case the Satake diagram of \mathfrak{h} can be obtained from the Satake diagram of \mathfrak{g} by deleting any subset (different subsets correspond to different centralizers) of white vertexes and all connections and arrows which lead to this subset of white vertexes (see Theorem 1.6 and comments after, Ch. 6 in [16]).

A more nontrivial example (as we may obtain $\mathfrak{h}_{\alpha} \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$) can be constructed as follows. Take a connected semisimple real Lie group M with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{m} such that $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{m} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{m}$. Then there exists a closed subgroup $\tilde{U} \subset M$ locally isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times SL(2, \mathbb{R}) = [SL(2, \mathbb{R})]^{\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{m}}$. The Lie algebra of \tilde{U} is induced by a set of $\text{rank} \mathfrak{m}$ orthogonal restricted roots generating commuting $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ subalgebras (such set of roots exists since $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{m} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{m}$). The resulting homogeneous space M/\tilde{U} is strongly regular.

Also some *k*-symmetric spaces are strongly regular. For instance it is easy to see that a 3-symmetric space $SO(n, n+3)/U(1) \times SO(n, n+1)$, $n \geq 1$ is strongly regular (see [4] for a classification of 3-symmetric spaces).

2.3. Finite groups of reflections. For a more detailed treatment of this subject please refer to Chapter I.1 in [5]. Recall that we denote by W_g the finite reflection group generated by roots in Σ_g . In more detail if $\alpha \in \Sigma_g$ then let s_{α} be a reflection in \mathfrak{a} through a hyperplane

$$C_{\alpha} = \{X \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \alpha(X) = 0\} \tag{7}$$

with respect to the scalar product given by the restriction of the non-degenerate invariant form of \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{a} . Let $\Pi_g \subset \Sigma_g$ be a subset of simple roots. Then W_g is generated by *simple reflections* s_{α} for $\alpha \in \Pi_g$. We also have $W_g(\Sigma_g) = \Sigma_g$.

For an arbitrary $w \in W_g$ let r be the smallest number such that $w = s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_r}$ is a product of simple reflections.

DEFINITION 4. *The number r is called the length of w and is denoted by $l(w) := r$. By definition $l(e) = 0$.*

Using the above definition we can describe a unique element of the Weyl group called the longest element of W_g .

DEFINITION 5 (see section 1.8 in [5]). *The longest element of the Weyl group is the element $w_0 \in W_g$ such that $l(w_0 w) = l(w_0) - l(w)$ for any $w \in W_g$.*

As a consequence we obtain the following property.

FACT 1. *The element w_0 is an involution (that is $w_0^2 = id$). Also $w_0(\Pi_g) = -\Pi_g$.*

Define *the fundamental Weyl chamber* \mathfrak{a}^+ as

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{X \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \forall_{\alpha \in \Pi_g} \alpha(X) \geq 0\}.$$

Then $w_0(\mathfrak{a}^+) = -\mathfrak{a}^+$ and

THEOREM 3 (c.f. Theorem 1.12 in [5]). *The subset \mathfrak{a}^+ is a fundamental domain of the action of W_g on \mathfrak{a} . Also*

1. if $wX_1 = X_2$ for some $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ and $w \in W_g$ then $X_1 = X_2$. Moreover, if $X_3 \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{a}^+) := \{X \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \forall_{\alpha \in \Pi_g} \alpha(X) > 0\}$ then the isotropy group of X_3 is trivial.
2. if $U \subset V$ is a subset then the subgroup $W_U \subset W_g$ fixing U pointwise is generated by those reflections s_α which are contained in W_U .

We will also need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let $e \neq w \in W_g$ and $S \subset \mathfrak{a}$ be a set that w fixes pointwise. Then there exists $\alpha \in \Sigma_g$ such that $S \subset C_\alpha$ (where C_α is defined by (7)).

Proof. Let $W_S \subset W_g$ be the subgroup fixing S pointwise. Then $w \in W_S$ so W_S is nontrivial. It follows from Theorem 3 that there exists a reflection in W_S . Take α to be a root generating this reflection. \square

2.4. The a-hyperbolic rank. A-hyperbolic dimensions of simple real Lie algebras can be calculated using the data in Table 1 (for a detailed description how to calculate the a-hyperbolic rank of a simple Lie algebra please refer to [2]). Table 1 can also be used to calculate the a-hyperbolic rank of a reductive real Lie algebra.

1. The a-hyperbolic rank of a semisimple Lie algebra equals the sum of a-hyperbolic ranks of all its simple parts.
2. The a-hyperbolic rank of a reductive Lie algebra equals the a-hyperbolic rank of its derived subalgebra.

There is a close relation between \mathfrak{b} and the set of antipodal hyperbolic orbits in \mathfrak{g} . Recall that an element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called **hyperbolic** if X is semisimple (that is, ad_X is diagonalizable) and all eigenvalues of ad_X are real.

DEFINITION 6. An adjoint orbit $GX := Ad(G)(X) = \{gX := Ad_g X \mid g \in G\}$ is said to be hyperbolic if X (and therefore every element of GX) is hyperbolic. An orbit GY is antipodal if $-Y \in GY$ (and therefore for every $Z \in GY$, $-Z \in GY$).

We have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. For every hyperbolic orbit GX in \mathfrak{g} the set $GX \cap \mathfrak{a}$ is a single W_g orbit in \mathfrak{a} . Furthermore hyperbolic orbit GY in \mathfrak{g} is antipodal if and only if it meets \mathfrak{b} , that is

$$\mathfrak{b} \cap GY$$

is nonempty.

Proof. It is standard to show that $GX \cap \mathfrak{a}$ is a single W_g orbit (see for example Proposition 2.4 in [12]). If $X \in \mathfrak{b}$ then the longest element $w_0 \in W_g$ takes X to $-X$ so GX is antipodal. Conversely if GX is antipodal then it follows from Theorem 3 that there exists $Y \in GX$ such that $Y \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Also $-Y \in -\mathfrak{a}^+$ and so $w_0(-Y) \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Again it follows from Theorem 3 that $w_0(-Y) = Y$. Thus

$$w_0 Y = -Y$$

and so $Y \in \mathfrak{b}$. \square

<i>a-hyperbolic ranks of simple Lie algebras</i>		
\mathfrak{g}	$\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}}(\mathfrak{g})$	$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$
$\mathfrak{sl}(2k, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2k, \mathbb{C})$ $k \geq 2$	k	$2k-1$
$\mathfrak{sl}(2k+1, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(2k+1, \mathbb{C})$ $k \geq 1$	k	$2k$
$\mathfrak{su}^*(4k)$ $k \geq 2$	k	$2k-1$
$\mathfrak{su}^*(4k+2)$ $k \geq 1$	k	$2k$
$\mathfrak{so}(2k+1, 2k+1)$ $k \geq 2$	$2k$	$2k+1$
\mathfrak{e}_6^I	4	6
\mathfrak{e}_6^{IV}	1	2
$\mathfrak{so}(4k+2, \mathbb{C})$ $k \geq 2$	$2k$	$2k+1$
\mathfrak{e}_6^C	4	6

TABLE 1

The table contains all simple real Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} , for which $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (notation is close to Table 9, page 312 of [15]).

2.5. Criterion of proper actions. Let $L \subset G$ be a subgroup of reductive type in G . After conjugation by an element of G we may assume that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{l} of L has a split Cartan subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{j}_l = \mathfrak{t}_l + \mathfrak{a}_l$$

such that $\mathfrak{a}_l \subset \mathfrak{a}$. Then the following criterion of proper actions holds.

THEOREM 4 (Theorem 4.1 in [11]). *The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) H acts on G/L properly,
- (ii) L acts on G/H properly,
- (iii) $\mathfrak{a}_h \cap W_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathfrak{a}_l = \{0\}$.

Now assume that L is locally isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Then \mathfrak{a}_l is 1-dimensional. On the other hand $\mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and so \mathfrak{l} is generated by three vectors (H, E, F) of \mathfrak{g} (called **$sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ -triple**) such that H is semisimple and

$$[H, E] = 2E, \quad [H, F] = -2F \text{ and } [E, F] = H.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{a}_l = \mathbb{R}H$ and we can reformulate the above criterion using Lemma 3.

LEMMA 4. L acts properly on G/H if and only if $W_{\mathfrak{g}}H \cap \mathfrak{a}_h = \emptyset$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. First notice the following.

LEMMA 5. Let B, V_1, \dots, V_n be subspaces of a finite dimensional Euclidean space V and let

$$B \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n V_k.$$

Then there exists j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $A \subset V_j$.

Proof. We will use induction on n . If $n = 1$ then $B \subset V_1$. So assume that the lemma is valid for the sum of $n - 1$ linear subspaces of V . Let

$$B \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n V_k.$$

If there exists i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$B \subset \bigcup_{k=1, k \neq i}^n V_k \tag{8}$$

then we can apply induction to (8). If it is not the case then we can find nonzero vectors $X, Y \in B$ such that

$$X \in \bigcup_{k=1, k \neq i}^n V_k, X \notin V_i, Y \notin \bigcup_{k=1, k \neq i}^n V_k, Y \in V_i.$$

But then for $a \neq 0$ we have $aX + bY \notin V_i$ and $aX + bY \in B \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n V_k$. Therefore

$$\{aX + bY \mid a \neq 0\} \subset \bigcup_{k=1, k \neq i}^n V_k.$$

After taking closures of this sets we obtain

$$\text{Span}(X, Y) \subset \bigcup_{k=1, k \neq i}^n V_k \Rightarrow Y \in \bigcup_{k=1, k \neq i}^n V_k.$$

A contradiction. \square

Let w_0 and w_0^h be the longest elements for W_g and W_h , respectively. Define \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{b}_h as in (1). We can assume that

$$\mathfrak{b}_h \subset \mathfrak{b}. \tag{9}$$

Indeed if HX is an antipodal hyperbolic orbit in \mathfrak{h} , $X \in \mathfrak{b}_h \subset \mathfrak{a}_h \subset \mathfrak{a}$, then GX is an antipodal hyperbolic orbit in \mathfrak{g} . Therefore there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$gX \in \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}.$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that there exists $w \in W_g$ for which

$$wX \in \mathfrak{b} \Rightarrow X \in w^{-1}\mathfrak{b}.$$

We obtain

$$\mathfrak{b}_h \subset \bigcup_{w \in W_g} w\mathfrak{b}.$$

Since W_g is finite it follows from Lemma 5 that there exists $w_1 \in W_g$ with the following property: $\mathfrak{b}_h \subset w_1\mathfrak{b}$. After conjugating \mathfrak{h} by w_1 we obtain (9).

Step 1: $C2 \Rightarrow C3$

Since $\mathfrak{b}_h \subset \mathfrak{b}$ it follows from Theorem 1 that $\dim \mathfrak{b}_h < \dim \mathfrak{b}$. Also, since G/H is a strongly regular space we can assume that $\Sigma_h \subset \Sigma_g$ (see Lemma 1). Thus $W_h \subset W_g$ and $w_0^h \neq w_0$.

Since $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{h} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{h}$ we have $\mathfrak{a}_h = \mathfrak{b}_h$. Therefore

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{a}_h \quad w_0 w_0^h(X) = w_0(-X) = X$$

and so \mathfrak{a}_h is a set that $w_0 w_0^h \in W_g$ fixes pointwise. It follows from Lemma 2 that we can choose $\alpha \in \Sigma_g$ so that

$$\alpha(\mathfrak{a}_h) \equiv 0. \tag{10}$$

For every root $\alpha \in \Pi_g$ construct a $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -triple $(H_\alpha, E_\alpha, F_{-\alpha})$ so that $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $F_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ and $H_\alpha \in [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$. Define $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ by: $\alpha(H) = 2$ for every $\alpha \in \Pi_g$ and $H \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Since $\{H_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi_g\}$ spans $\mathfrak{a} \cap [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ we can find $\{a_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi_g\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_g} a_\alpha H_\alpha.$$

Set

$$E := \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_g} E_\alpha, \quad F := \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_g} a_\alpha F_{-\alpha}.$$

Then

$$[H, E] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_g} \alpha(H) E_\alpha = 2E,$$

$$[H, F] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_g} a_\alpha (-\alpha(H)) F_{-\alpha} = -2F,$$

$$[E, F] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Pi_g} a_\beta [E_\alpha, F_{-\beta}] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_g} a_\alpha [E_\alpha, F_{-\alpha}] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_g} a_\alpha H_\alpha = H, \tag{11}$$

and (11) follows from (4) since the difference of two simple roots is never a root. Therefore (H, E, F) defines a $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -triple in \mathfrak{g} .

We will show that the subgroup $L \subset G$ induced by $\mathfrak{l} = \text{Span}(H, E, F) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts properly on G/H . By Lemma 4 we only need to show that

$$W_g H \cap \mathfrak{a}_h = \emptyset.$$

Assume that there exists $w_2 \in W_g$ such that

$$w_2 H \in \mathfrak{a}_h \Rightarrow H \in w_2^{-1} \mathfrak{a}_h.$$

By (10) $(w_2^{-1} \alpha)(H) = 0$ and so $s_{w_2^{-1} \alpha} H = H$. By construction of (H, E, F) we have $H \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{a}^+)$. But Theorem 3 implies that the isotropy group of H is trivial - a contradiction.

Step 2: $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{g} \leq \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{h} \Rightarrow \neg C3$

It follows from (9) and the equality $\mathfrak{a}_h = \mathfrak{b}_h$ that in this case $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}_h$. By Theorem 1 the space G/H does not fulfill the condition $C2$. Therefore it does not fulfill the condition $C3$.

Step 3: $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{g} > \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{h} \Rightarrow C2$

It follows that $\dim \mathfrak{b} > \dim \mathfrak{a}_h$. Thus for any $w \in W_g$, $w \mathfrak{a}_h$ does not contain \mathfrak{b} . Our claim follows from Theorem 1.

4. Appendix. Please recall that the split Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$ can be identified with the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^4 . Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be a standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^4 . Denote by $(\mathbb{R}^4)^*$ the dual space of \mathbb{R}^4 . Then

$$\Sigma_{\mathfrak{so}(4,4)} = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\} \subset (\mathbb{R}^4)^*.$$

The Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{so}(4,4)}$ acts on \mathbb{R}^4 by permuting coordinates of a vector and changing the sign of an even number of coordinates of a vector. As is shown in Example 5.3.7 in [3] semisimple elements of all (up to conjugation) $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -triples in $\mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$ are given in the first column of Table 2. The second column of this table shows a transformation of a given semisimple element (denoted by $w(H)$) by some element of the Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{so}(4,4)}$. Take $a = (3, 1, 0, 2)$, $b = (2, 0, 0, 1)$ and $c = (0, 0, 1, 0)$. The third column of Table 2 presents $w(H)$ as a linear combination of vectors a, b, c .

Semisimple elements W	$w(H)$	Linear combination of a, b, c
$(6, 4, 2, 0)$	$(6, 2, 0, 4)$	$2a$
$(4, 2, 2, 0)$	$(4, 0, 2, 2)$	$2(b + c)$
$(3, 3, 1, 1)$	$(-3, 1, 3, -1)$	$a - 3b + 3c$
$(3, 3, 1, -1)$	$(-3, 1, -3, -1)$	$a - 3b - 3c$
$(4, 2, 0, 0)$	$(4, 0, 0, 2)$	$2b$
$(2, 1, 1, 0)$	$(2, 0, 1, 1)$	$b + c$
$(1, 1, 1, 1)$	$(1, 1, 1, 1)$	$a - b + c$
$(1, 1, 1, -1)$	$(1, 1, -1, 1)$	$a - b - c$
$(2, 0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 2, 0)$	$2c$
$(1, 1, 0, 0)$	$(-1, 1, -0, 0)$	$a - 2b$
$(0, 0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0, 0)$	0

TABLE 2
Semisimple elements of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$.

Therefore any W_g orbit of a semisimple element of any $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ triple in $\mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$ meets $\mathfrak{u}_1 := \text{Span}(a, b, c)$. Take the root $\alpha := -e_1 + e_2$ and let $\mathfrak{u}^+, \mathfrak{u}^-$ be root spaces corresponding to α and $-\alpha$, respectively. We have $[\mathfrak{u}^+, \mathfrak{u}^-] = \text{Span}(-1, 1, 0, 0) \subset \mathfrak{u}_1$. Put

$$\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{u}_1 + \mathfrak{u}^+ + \mathfrak{u}^-.$$

One easily sees that \mathfrak{u} is a reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2 + \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{u} = 3 = \dim \mathfrak{u}_1 \text{ and } \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{u} = 1,$$

as \mathfrak{u}_1 is a split Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{u} . Let $U \subset SO(4, 4)$ be the corresponding closed subgroup. It follows from Lemma 4 and the construction of \mathfrak{u} that G/U does not admit proper action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Also

$$\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{so}(4, 4) = 4 = \dim \mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{so}(4,4)} > \dim \mathfrak{u}_1$$

therefore it follows from Theorem 1 that G/U admits a properly discontinuous action of an infinite non-virtually abelian subgroup of G .

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. BENOIST, *Actions propres sur les espaces homogènes réductifs*, Ann. of Math., 144 (1996), pp. 315–347.
- [2] M. BOCHEŃSKI AND A. TRALLE, *Clifford-Klein forms and a -hyperbolic rank*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 5 (2015), pp. 6267–6285.
- [3] D. H. COLLINGWOOD AND W. M. MCGOVERN, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York (1993).
- [4] A. GRAY AND J. WOLF, *Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms I, II*, J. Differential Geometry, 2 (1968), pp. 77–159.
- [5] J. E. HUMPHREYS, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [6] V. G. KAC, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Oxford University Press (1990).
- [7] F. KASSEL, *Deformation of proper actions on reductive homogeneous spaces*, Math. Ann., 353 (2012), pp. 599–632.
- [8] T. KOBAYASHI AND T. YOSHINO, *Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces revisited*, Pure Appl. Math. Quart., 1 (2005), pp. 603–684.
- [9] T. KOBAYASHI, *Criterion for proper actions on homogeneous spaces of reductive groups*, J. Lie Theory, 6 (1996), pp. 147–163.
- [10] T. KOBAYASHI, *On discontinuous groups acting on homogeneous spaces with noncompact isotropy subgroups*, J. Geom. Physics, 12 (1993), pp. 133–144.
- [11] T. KOBAYASHI, *Proper actions on a homogeneous space of reductive type*, Math. Ann., 285 (1989), pp. 249–263.
- [12] B. KOSTANT, *On convexity, the Weyl group and the Iwasawa decomposition*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4), 6 (1973), pp. 413–455.
- [13] R. S. KULKARNI, *Proper actions and pseudo-Riemannian space forms*, Adv. Math., 40 (1981), pp. 10–51.
- [14] T. OKUDA, *Classification of semisimple symmetric spaces with $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -proper actions*, J. Different. Geom., 94 (2013), pp. 301–342.
- [15] A. L. ONISHCHIK AND E. B. VINBERG, *Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Springer (1990).
- [16] A. L. ONISHCHIK AND E. B. VINBERG, *Lie groups and Lie algebras III*, Springer (1994).
- [17] K. TEDUKA, *Proper actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on irreducible complex symmetric spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 84 (2008), pp. 107–111.
- [18] K. TEDUKA, *Proper actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -homogeneous spaces*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 15 (2008), pp. 1–13.

