

## MANIFOLDS CARRYING LARGE SCALAR CURVATURE\*

STEFAN BECHTLUFT-SACHS†

**Abstract.** Let  $W = S \otimes E$  be a complex spinor bundle with vanishing first Chern class over a simply connected spin manifold  $M$  of dimension  $\geq 5$ . Up to connected sums we prove that  $W$  admits a twisted Dirac operator with positive order-0-term in the Weitzenböck decomposition if and only if the characteristic numbers  $\hat{A}(TM)[M]$  and  $\text{ch}(E)\hat{A}(TM)[M]$  vanish. This is achieved by generalizing [2] to twisted Dirac operators.

**1. Introduction.** A key point in the Lichnerowicz argument, showing that the  $\hat{A}$  genus is an obstruction to the existence of a metric with positive scalar curvature, is the fact that the scalar curvature appears as the order-0-term in the Weitzenböck decomposition of the ordinary Dirac Laplacian  $\mathcal{D}^2$ . It was shown in [2], [8] that positive scalar curvature can be preserved under surgeries in codimension  $\geq 3$ . Within the class of simply connected spin manifolds of dimension  $\geq 5$  the cobordism relation is generated by surgeries of this type. Therefore all such manifolds admitting a metric of positive scalar curvature could be determined by computations in the spin cobordism ring (see [2], [8], [6], [7]).

Here we extend this to general Dirac operators (see [1], [4]). The role of scalar curvature is taken by the order-0-term in the Weitzenböck decomposition of a twisted Dirac operator. This term is positive if the scalar curvature is larger than a certain norm of the curvature endomorphism of the coefficient bundle. First we prove a surgery theorem for the order-0-term in the the Weitzenböck decomposition of twisted Dirac Laplacians  $\mathcal{D}_{\nabla}^2$  (Theorem 1). Next we consider complex spinor bundles with trivial first Chern class over simply connected spin manifolds of dimension  $\geq 5$ . Up to connected sums, we determine all spinor bundles within this class, which admit a Dirac operator with positive order-0-term in its Weitzenböck decomposition (Theorem 2). This is done by a computation in the cobordism ring  $\sum_{n,k} \Omega_n^{spin}(BSU(k)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

**2. Statement of Results.** Let  $W$  be a complex spinor bundle over a spin manifold  $M$ . Then  $W$  is a twisted spinor bundle  $W = S \otimes E$ , where  $S$  is the spinor bundle associated to the irreducible representation of the Clifford algebra and  $E$  is a complex vector bundle, see [1], [4]. To a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  and a Hermitian connection  $\nabla$  on  $E$  there is naturally associated the twisted Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\nabla}$  acting on sections of  $W$ . The Weitzenböck decomposition of its Dirac laplacian  $\mathcal{D}_{\nabla}^2$  reads ([1], [4])

$$\mathcal{D}_{\nabla}^2 = D^*D + \frac{1}{4}s + \sum_{i,j} e_i e_j \otimes R_{e_i, e_j},$$

the sum being taken over an orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}$  of the tangent space of  $M$ . Here  $D$  is the covariant derivative on  $W$  induced from the connection  $\nabla$  and the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ . By  $s$  we denote the scalar curvature of  $M$  and by  $R$  the curvature tensor of  $\nabla$ . We also define  $\mathcal{E}(\nabla) := 4 \sum_{i,j} e_i e_j \otimes R_{e_i, e_j}$  and  $\|\mathcal{E}(\nabla)\|(x)$  to be minus the smallest eigenvalue of the bundle endomorphism  $\mathcal{E}(\nabla)$  at the point  $x \in M$ .

---

\*Received November 11, 1998; accepted for publication November 23, 1998.

†Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany (stefan.bechtluft-sachs@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de).

Assume additionally that  $M$  is simply connected,  $\dim M \geq 5$  and  $c_1(E) = 0$ . We will show that rationally, i.e. after eventually passing to a suitable connected sum multiple of  $(M, E)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $M$  admits a Riemannian metric  $g$  and  $E$  a Hermitian connection  $\nabla$ , such that  $s(g) > \|\mathcal{E}(\nabla)\|$  on  $M$ . We will then say that  $(M, E)$  admits large scalar curvature.
2. Both  $S$  and  $W$  admit an invertible Dirac operator.
3. The characteristic numbers  $\hat{A}(TM)[M]$  and  $\text{ch}(E)\hat{A}(TM)[M]$  vanish.

As  $\|\mathcal{E}(\nabla)\|$  is always nonnegative, the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is immediate from the Weitzenböck decomposition. By the index theorem we have (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). So we are left with the implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

Therefore we will first extend the surgery theorem for scalar curvature (cf. [2], [8]) to show that positivity of  $s + \mathcal{E}(\nabla)$  can be preserved under surgeries of codimension at least 3.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $E \rightarrow M$  be a vectorbundle over the smooth manifold  $M$ . Assume that there is a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  and a unitary connection  $\nabla$  on  $E$  with  $s(g) > \|\mathcal{E}(\nabla)\|$ . If the manifold  $M'$  is produced from  $M$  by surgery in codimension more than 2 and such that the vector bundle  $E$  extends over the trace of the surgery giving a vector bundle  $E'$  over  $M'$  then there are a Riemannian metric  $g'$  on  $M'$  and a unitary connection  $\nabla'$  on  $E'$  with  $s(g') > \|\mathcal{E}(\nabla')\|$ .*

Now we look at simply connected spin manifolds  $M$  of dimension  $\dim M \geq 5$  endowed with a complex vectorbundle  $E$  with vanishing first Chern class. Then  $E$  — and the spinor bundle  $W = S \otimes E$  — are trivial over embedded 2-spheres. As in [2] we obtain that any cobordism can be replaced by a sequence of surgeries of codimension  $\geq 3$ . Hence we can decide from the cobordism class of  $(M, E)$  in  $\Omega_n^{spin}(BSU(k))$ , whether it admits large scalar curvature. We have

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $E \rightarrow M$  be a  $SU(r)$ -vectorbundle over the smooth simply connected spin manifold  $M$  of dimension  $\geq 5$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. For some  $q$  the  $q$ -fold connected sum  $(M, E) \# \dots \# (M, E)$  carries a metric  $g$  and a connection  $\nabla$  with  $s(g) > \|\mathcal{E}(\nabla)\|$ .
2.  $\hat{A}(TM)[M] = 0$  and  $\text{ch}(E)\hat{A}(TM)[M] = 0$ .

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** Consider surgery on an embedded sphere  $S^k \cong S \subset M^{k+l}$ ,  $n = k + l$ , with trivial normal bundle and such that the restriction to  $S$  of the vector bundle  $E$  is trivial.  $M'$  is then obtained by cutting out a tubular neighbourhood  $f : S^k \times D^l \hookrightarrow M$  of  $S$  and glueing back  $D^{k+1} \times S^{l-1}$  along the boundary  $S^k \times S^{l-1}$ . In the end  $M'$  will be described as a submanifold of  $Z := M \times [0, \delta] \cup_f D^{k+1} \times D^l$ .

Let  $S^k \times D^l$  carry the metric and the connection induced via  $f$  from  $M$ . We can extend these data to all of  $D^{k+1} \times D^l$ , such that in the vicinity of the boundary  $S^k \times D^l$  they are compatible to a product structure of a collar neighbourhood. The metric and connection on  $Z$  are then obtained by glueing this handle  $D^{k+1} \times D^l$  with the product metric and connection on  $M \times [0, \delta]$ .

Let  $\varrho \leq \mathcal{R}$  be sufficiently small constants (e.g. less than the injectivity radius of  $Z$ ) and denote by  $d(\cdot, S)$  the distance from  $S$ . Define  $N_r := \{x \in M \mid d(x, S) \leq r\}$  and  $Y_\rho = \partial N_\rho$ . If  $\rho \leq \mathcal{R}$  then the exponential map provides diffeomorphisms  $D^{n-k} \times S^k \cong \rho D\nu(S, M) \rightarrow N_\rho$  and  $S^{n-k-1} \times S^k \cong \rho S\nu(S, M) \rightarrow Y_\rho$ . Pick a decreasing real function  $\phi(\rho)$  defined for  $\rho \geq \varrho$ , vanishing for  $\rho \geq \mathcal{R}$  and such that all derivatives of its inverse function  $\chi = \phi^{-1}$  vanish at  $\phi(\varrho)$ . Let  $\delta := \phi(\varrho)$  and

$\psi(x) := \phi(d(x, S))$ ,  $x \in M$ . The result of the surgery is

$$M' = \{(m, t) \mid \phi(d(m, S)) = t\} \cup_f \{x \in D^{k+1} \times D^{n-k} \mid d(x, S^k \times D^{n-k}) = \varrho\}.$$

We will show that one can find  $\varrho$  and  $\phi$  such that on  $M'$  we have  $s - \mathcal{E}$  positive.

The calculations in 3.1 are much the same as in [2] and merely included for the reader's convenience.

**3.1. Scalar Curvature of  $M'$ .**  $M'$  is glued together from the graph  $X$  of  $\psi$  on  $M \setminus N_\varrho$  and a handle. We express the scalar curvature of  $X \subset M \times \mathbb{R}$  at  $(m, t)$  in terms of the second fundamental form  $T$  of the submanifolds  $\psi^{-1}(t) \subset M$  at  $m$ . This is a straightforward calculation based on the Gauß equation.

Denote the derivation in direction of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -factor by  $\partial_t$  and the gradient of  $\psi$  by  $\partial\psi$ . Let  $r := -\partial\psi/|\partial\psi| = \partial\psi/\phi'$  and  $\hat{n} := (-\partial\psi, \partial_t)/\sqrt{1 + |\partial\psi|^2} = (-\phi' r, \partial_t)/\sqrt{1 + \phi'^2}$  be the normal unit vectors to  $\psi^{-1}(t)$  and  $X$  respectively. For a vector  $v \in T_m M$  define  $\bar{v} := (v, v(\psi) \partial_t) \in T_{(m, \psi(m))} X$ .

At a point  $(m, t) \in X$  choose an orthonormal basis  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$  of the orthogonal complement of the gradient  $\partial\psi$  in  $T_m M$ . We work in the orthonormal basis

$$\left( \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_{n-1}, \frac{\bar{\partial\psi}}{|\bar{\partial\psi}|} = -\frac{(r, \phi' \partial_t)}{\sqrt{1 + \phi'^2}} \right)$$

of  $T_{(m, t)} X$ .

First we compare the second fundamental form  $T$  of the submanifolds  $\psi^{-1}(t) \subset M$  at  $m$  with the second fundamental form  $\bar{T}$  of  $X \subset M \times \mathbb{R}$  at  $(m, t)$ . For  $v, w$  perpendicular to  $\partial\psi$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T}(\bar{v}, \bar{w}) &= \langle \nabla_{\bar{v}} \bar{w} \mid \hat{n} \rangle = \langle \nabla_v w \mid (-\phi' r) \rangle / \sqrt{1 + \phi'^2} \\ &= T(v, w) \frac{-\phi'}{\sqrt{1 + \phi'^2}} \\ \bar{T}(\bar{v}, \frac{\bar{\partial\psi}}{|\bar{\partial\psi}|}) &= -\langle \nabla_{\bar{v}}(r, \phi' \partial_t) \mid (-\phi' r, \partial_t) \rangle / (1 + \phi'^2) \\ &= (\phi' \langle \nabla_v r \mid r \rangle - v(\phi')) / (1 + \phi'^2) \\ &= (\phi' v(|r|^2) / 2 - v(\phi')) / (1 + \phi'^2) = 0 \\ \bar{T}(\frac{\bar{\partial\psi}}{|\bar{\partial\psi}|}, \frac{\bar{\partial\psi}}{|\bar{\partial\psi}|}) &= \langle \nabla_{(r, \phi' \partial_t)}(r, \phi' \partial_t) \mid (-\phi' r, \partial_t) \rangle / (1 + \phi'^2)^{3/2} \\ &= (\langle \nabla_r r \mid -\phi' r \rangle + r(\phi')) / (1 + \phi'^2)^{3/2} \\ &= \frac{\phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$

The Gauss formula then yields for the sectional curvature  $\bar{K}$  of the submanifold  $X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}(\bar{v}, \bar{w}) &= K(v, w) + \frac{\phi'^2}{1 + \phi'^2} (T(v)T(w) - T(v, w)^2) \\ \bar{K}(\bar{v}, \frac{\bar{\partial\psi}}{|\bar{\partial\psi}|}) &= K^{M \times \mathbb{R}}(v, \frac{\bar{\partial\psi}}{|\bar{\partial\psi}|}) - \frac{\phi' \phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^2} T(v) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \phi'^2} K(v, r) - \frac{\phi' \phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^2} T(v) \end{aligned}$$

Taking sums over the basis above we end up with

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{s} = s + & \frac{\phi'^2}{1 + \phi'^2} \sum_{i,j} (T(v_i)T(v_j) - T(v_i, v_j)^2) \\ & - \frac{\phi'^2}{1 + \phi'^2} 2 \sum_i K(v_i, r) - \frac{\phi' \phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^2} 2 \sum_i T(v_i). \end{aligned}$$

We will need the asymptotic behaviour when approaching  $S$  of the functions on  $N_{\mathcal{R}} \setminus N_{\varrho}$  defined by the sums in (3.1):

LEMMA 3.2. *As  $\rho = d(x, S) \rightarrow 0$  the asymptotic behaviour of the functions  $\mathcal{A} := \sum_{i,j} (T(v_i)T(v_j) - T(v_i, v_j)^2) = (\text{Tr } T)^2 - \text{Tr } T^2$ ,  $\mathcal{B} := -2 \sum_i T(v_i) = 2 \text{Tr } T$  and  $\mathcal{C} := 2 \sum_i K(v_i, r) = 2 \text{Ric}(r)$  is*

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = a_2 \rho^{-2} + a_1(x) \rho^{-1} + a_0(x), \quad \mathcal{B}(x) = b_1 \rho^{-1} + b_0(x),$$

with bounded functions  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_0(x)$  and  $b_0(x)$  and positive constants  $a_2$  and  $b_1$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  also extends to a bounded function on  $N_{\mathcal{R}}$ .

In fact since the codimension  $l$  of the submanifold  $S$  is  $\geq 3$  we have  $a_2 = (l - 1)(l - 2)/2 > 0$  and  $b_1 = l - 1 > 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the diffeomorphism  $S \times \mathbb{R}^l = \nu(S, M) \rightarrow N_{\mathcal{R}}$  given by the exponential map i.e. mapping  $(p, v) \mapsto \exp_p v$ . For unit speed curves  $p(t)$  in  $S$  and  $A_t$  in  $SO(l)$  define vectorfields  $h = \frac{d}{dt} \exp_{p(t)} v$ ,  $u = \frac{d}{dt} \exp_p A_t v$ ,  $\tilde{r} = \frac{d}{dt} \exp_p tv$ . Then for small  $\rho = |v|$  expand  $|u| = \rho + b_u \rho^2$  and  $|\tilde{r}| = \rho + b_r \rho^2$  with smooth functions  $b_u$ ,  $b_r$ . We compute

$$T\left(\frac{u}{|u|}\right) = \frac{1}{|u|^2 |\tilde{r}|} \langle \nabla_u u \mid \tilde{r} \rangle = -\frac{1}{2|u|^2 |\tilde{r}|} \tilde{r} (|u|^2)$$

because  $u$  and  $\tilde{r}$  commute and are mutually perpendicular. Since  $r = \tilde{r}/|\tilde{r}| = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$ , we infer from the asymptotics of  $|u|$ , that this is

$$\frac{-1}{2|u|^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (\rho + b_u \rho^2)^2 = -\frac{1}{\rho} + O(1).$$

A similiar computation shows that  $T(\frac{h}{|h|})$  and  $T(\frac{u}{|u|}, \frac{h}{|h|})$  are bounded. The Lemma then follows from polarisation.  $\square$

The scalar curvature of  $Y_{\rho}$  is also obtained from the Gauß formula (substitute  $\phi'' = 0$  and  $\phi' = \infty$  in (3.1)). Hence for small  $\rho$  we get:

$$s^{Y_{\rho}} = s + \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C} = a_2 \rho^{-2} + a_1 \rho^{-1} + a_0 - \mathcal{C}.$$

**3.2. The Curvature Endomorphism.** The manifold  $X$  can also be viewed as obtained from  $M \setminus N_{\varrho}$  by blowing up the metric in direction of  $r$ . More precisely  $X$  is isometric to  $(M \setminus N_{\varrho}, \bar{g})$  with

$$\bar{g}(v, w) := g(v, w) + g(v, \partial\psi)g(\partial\psi, w) = g(v, w) + |\partial\psi|^2 g(v, r)g(r, w).$$

Especially the length of  $r$  becomes  $\sqrt{1 + \phi'^2}$ . The transition matrix between the metrics  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$  gives an isomorphism between the spinor bundles of  $(M, g)$  and of

$(M, \bar{g})$ . The pull back via this isomorphism of the curvature endomorphism of  $(M, \bar{g})$  to the spinor bundle over  $(M, g)$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\mathcal{E}} &= 4 \sum_{i,j} v_i v_j \otimes R_{v_i, v_j} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{1 + \phi'^2}} \sum_i r v_i \otimes R_{r, v_i} \\
 (3.3) \quad &= \mathcal{E} - 8 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \phi'^2}} \right) \sum_i r v_i \otimes R_{r, v_i}
 \end{aligned}$$

and its smallest eigenvalue is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\bar{\mathcal{E}}\| &\leq \|\mathcal{E}\| + 8 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \phi'^2}} \right) \left\| \sum_i r v_i \otimes R_{r, v_i} \right\| \\
 (3.4) \quad &\leq \|\mathcal{E}\| + 8 \frac{\phi'^2}{1 + \phi'^2} \left\| \sum_i r v_i \otimes R_{r, v_i} \right\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Herein  $\mathcal{D} := 8 \|\sum_i r v_i \otimes R_{r, v_i}\|$  extends to a bounded function on  $N_{\mathcal{R}}$ .

**3.3. Solution of The Differential Inequality.** Finally we need to solve the differential estimate  $\bar{s} - \|\bar{\mathcal{E}}\| > 0$ . From (3.1), (3.4) and Lemma 3.2 we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{s} - \|\bar{\mathcal{E}}\| &\geq s - \|\mathcal{E}\| + \frac{\phi'^2}{1 + \phi'^2} (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C}) + \frac{\phi' \phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^2} \mathcal{B} \\
 &= s - \|\mathcal{E}\| + \frac{\phi'^2}{1 + \phi'^2} (a_2 \rho^{-2} + a_1(x) \rho^{-1} + a_0(x) - \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C}) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\phi' \phi''}{(1 + \phi'^2)^2} (b_1 \rho^{-1} + b_0(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have solved the problem on  $X$  if we can find a decreasing function  $\phi$  on  $[\varrho, \mathcal{R}]$  such that this expression is positive. Furthermore we need that  $\phi$  vanishes identically near  $\mathcal{R}$  and that all derivatives of its inverse function  $\chi = \phi^{-1}$  vanish at  $\phi(\varrho)$  so that  $X$  will inherit a product metric and connection near its boundary.

Eventually after taking an even smaller value of  $\mathcal{R}$ , we pick positive constants  $a, b$  such that on  $N_{\mathcal{R}}$  the estimates  $a \rho^{-2} \leq a_2 \rho^{-2} + a_1(x) \rho^{-1} + a_0(x) - \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{C}$  and  $b \leq b_1 \rho^{-1} + b_0(x)$  hold. Furthermore let  $\epsilon := \min(s - \|\mathcal{E}\|) > 0$ . Then it suffices to solve

$$(3.5) \quad \epsilon + \phi'^4 a \rho^{-2} + \phi' \phi'' b \rho^{-1} > 0$$

Consider the the differential equation  $\phi'^4 \rho^{-2} a/2 + \phi' \phi'' \rho^{-1} b = 0$  and its solutions

$$\phi_C(\rho) = \int_{\rho}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \log x + C}} dx$$

defined for  $\rho \geq \varrho := e^{-Ca/b}$  for some  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ . For a sufficiently large value of  $C$  we can find a decreasing solution of  $\epsilon + \phi' \phi'' b \rho^{-1} > 0$  in the intervall  $[\mathcal{R}/2, \mathcal{R}]$  which vanishes identically near  $\mathcal{R}$  and extends  $\phi_C$  smoothly from  $[\varrho, \mathcal{R}/2]$  to  $[\varrho, \mathcal{R}]$  to ensure the proper boundary condition at  $\rho = \mathcal{R}$ . At the other boundary (3.5) for the inverse function  $\chi$  reads  $\epsilon \chi^2 \chi'^4 + a - b \chi \chi'' \geq 0$ . Let  $\chi_C$  be the inverse function of  $\phi_C$  on  $[0, \phi(\varrho)]$  extended by the constant  $\varrho$  to all of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Then we have  $a/2 - b \chi_C(y) \chi_C''(y) = 0$  for all  $y \neq \phi(\varrho)$ . But  $\chi_C$  can clearly be smoothed keeping  $a - b \chi(y) \chi''(y) \geq 0$ .



for  $M_J^r = (\epsilon_{\mu,\nu})_{\mu=1\dots n, \nu=1\dots r}$ . Here  $\gamma_q$  is the canonical complex line bundle over the  $q$ th factor  $S^2$  in (4.1). Slightly abusing the system of notation above define

$$(4.3) \quad X^{2n+2}(2, (n+1)) := (\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1} \times S^2, (\eta \otimes \gamma) \oplus (\eta^{-1} \otimes \gamma^{-1}))$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad X^{2n+1}(3, (a, b, c)) := (\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}, \eta^a \oplus \eta^b \oplus \eta^c)$$

for  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $-n \leq a, b, c \leq n$ ,  $a + b + c = 0$ , if  $n \geq 2$ . If  $n = 1$  we take  $a = 2$ ,  $b = c = -1$ . In (4.3) and (4.4)  $\eta, \gamma$  denote the canonical bundles over  $\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}$  and  $S^2$ .

LEMMA 4.5. *The  $X^n(r, J)$  above admit large positive scalar curvature with exception of  $X^2(2, (2))$  and  $X^3(3, ((2, -1, -1)))$ .*

*Proof.* In [3] Hitchin has proved that  $(\mathbb{C}P^q, \eta^s)$  admits large scalar curvature if  $q \geq 2s$  and that  $s - |\mathcal{E}(\eta^s)| = 0$  if  $q = |s| = 1$ . It is immediate from the definition that  $|\mathcal{E}(E \oplus F)| = \max(|\mathcal{E}(E)|, |\mathcal{E}(F)|)$  and  $|\mathcal{E}(E \otimes F)| \leq |\mathcal{E}(E)| + |\mathcal{E}(F)|$ . Thus we can estimate

$$|\mathcal{E}(E(M_J^r))| \leq \max_i \left( \sum_{q=1}^n \epsilon_{q,i} |\mathcal{E}(\gamma)| \right) < n |\mathcal{E}(\gamma)|$$

because, with the above exceptions, in every row of the matrices  $M_J^r$  at least one entry vanishes. Since the scalar curvature of the round  $S^2$  equals  $|\mathcal{E}(\gamma)|$ , we thus get that the scalar curvature of  $S^2 \times \dots \times S^2$  is larger than  $|\mathcal{E}(E(M_J^r))|$ . The cases involving  $\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}$  are similar.  $\square$

LEMMA 4.6. *The matrix  $(c_{J'}(X^n(s, J)))_{J', (s, J)}$ ,  $s \leq r$ , has full rank.*

*Proof.* We compute the Chern class of the vectorbundle  $E(M_J^r)$ : Denoting by  $x_q = c_1(\gamma_q)$  the generator of the second cohomology group of the  $q$ th factor  $S^2$  in (4.1) we obtain from (4.2) that:

$$c_k(E) = \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_k} \epsilon_{\mu_1, \nu_1} \dots \epsilon_{\mu_k, \nu_k} x_{\nu_1} \dots x_{\nu_k},$$

where the  $\mu_s$  respectively  $\nu_s$  in this sum are pairwise distinct. Order the partitions  $I, J$  lexicographically. Observing that  $x_s^2 = 0$  we get for  $r \geq 4$  that

$$(4.7) \quad c_I(X^n(r, J)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } I > J \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } I = J \end{cases}$$

Thus this part of the matrix is triangular. If  $r = 3$ , then a straightforward calculations gives that  $c_{j_3, j_2}(X^n(3, (j_3, j_2))) = (-1)^{j_3+j_2-1} (j_3 - 1)(j_3 + j_2)j_3!(2j_3 + 2j_2 - 1)!$ . If  $n$  is even then  $j_3 \neq 1$  and (4.7) still holds. For the remainder of the matrix we use the manifolds defined in (4.3) and (4.4). For  $r = 2$  we clearly have  $c_2^{n+1}((\eta \otimes \gamma) \oplus (\eta^{-1} \otimes \gamma^{-1})) = 2(-1)^{j_2} j_2 \neq 0$ . We are left with the case  $r = 3$  and  $n$  odd. The Chernclasses of  $\eta^a \oplus \eta^b \oplus \eta^c$  are given by the elementary symmetric polynomials  $\sigma_3, \sigma_2$  in  $a, b, c$ . Assume that the polynomial

$$P(a, b, c) := \sum_{j_3, j_2} \alpha_{j_3, j_2} c_{j_3, j_2}(X^n(3, (a, b, c))) = \sum_{j_3, j_2} \alpha_{j_3, j_2} \sigma_3^{j_3} \sigma_2^{j_2}$$

of degree  $2n+1$  vanishes for all  $a, b, c$  as after (4.4). Then the polynomial  $P(a, b, -a-b)$  vanishes for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $-n \leq a, b, a+b \leq n$ . Since it is homogeneous it must be divisible by all  $(na+sb)$  and  $(sa+nb)$ ,  $s = 0 \dots n$  and if  $n \geq 2$  it must also contain  $(a-b)$  hence have degree at least  $2n+2$ . Therefore  $P$  vanishes on the entire plane  $a+b+c=0$ . Since it does not contain  $\sigma_1$  and since there are no algebraic relations between the elementary symmetric polynomials, the coefficients  $\alpha_{j_3, j_2}$  are all 0.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_{n,r} \subset \Omega_{2n}^{spin}(BSU(r))$  be the kernel of those  $c_{JP_I}$  with nontrivial  $I$ . We have shown that the span of the  $X^n(r, J)$  as above projects onto  $\mathcal{K}_{n,r}$ . It is well known that  $\bigoplus_n \Omega_n^{spin}$  is polynomially generated by the Kummer surface  $K$  and the quaternionic projective spaces  $\mathbb{H}P^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . In view of the direct sum decomposition

$$(4.8) \quad \Omega_{2n}^{spin}(BSU(r)) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \mathcal{K}_{p,r} \times \Omega_{2n-2p}^{spin}$$

we infer from Lemma 4.6 that there is a basis of  $\Omega_n^{spin}(BSU(r))$  consisting of monomials in  $K$ , quaternionic projective spaces and one of the  $X^n(r, J)$ . Among these only  $K$ ,  $X^2(2, (2))$  and  $X^3(3, ((2, -1, -1)))$  do not admit large scalar curvature. Therefore the only monomials not admitting large scalar curvature are of the form  $K^{d/4-1} \times X^2(2, (2))$  or  $K^{d/4}$  if the dimension  $d$  is divisible by 4 and  $K^{(d-2)/4-1} \times X^3(3, ((2, -1, -1)))$  if the dimension is  $d = 2 \pmod{4}$ . These monomials are also detected by the characteristic numbers  $\hat{A}$  and  $\text{ch } \hat{A}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. BERLINE, E. GETZLER, AND M. VERGNE, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 298, Springer Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1991.
- [2] M. GROMOV AND JR. H. B. LAWSON, *The classification of simply-connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math., 111 (1980), pp. 423–434.
- [3] N. HITCHIN, *Harmonic spinors*, Adv. Math., 14 (1974), pp. 1–55.
- [4] H. B. LAWSON AND M.-L. MICHELSON, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1989.
- [5] A. LICHTNEROWICZ, *Spineurs harmoniques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A-B, 257 (1963), pp. 7–9.
- [6] T. MIYAZAKI, *Simply connected spin manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 93:4 (1985), pp. 730–734.
- [7] S. STOLZ, *Simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math., 136:3 (1992), pp. 511–540.
- [8] R. SCHOEN AND S. T. YAU, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math., 28:1-3 (1979), pp. 159–183.